<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>George W. Bush — eldest son of Bush crime family; guilty of election fraud in 2000, 2004; guilty of war crimes, war profiteering, treason, crimes against humanity; likely signed-off on 9-11 plot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dick Cheney — former PNAC member; former chairman of CFR; guilty of war profiteering, treason; was in bunker on 9-11 directing several “war games”; lied to 9-11 Omission Commission about timing of 9-11 activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Rumsfeld — former Secretary of War and PNAC member; close friend of Cheney; was at Pentagon on 9-11; once slipped and said “when that missile hit the Pentagon”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Wolfowitz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Perle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas Feith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dov Zakheim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Tenet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Mueller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Pickard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dale Watson — former Deputy Chief of the CIA at the Counter-Terrorist Center; appointed Inspector Deputy Assistant Director of the National Security Division (NSD), FBI Headquarters, Washington, DC in July 1998; appointed FBI Headquarters Assistant on December 6, 1999 by the Attorney General; ignored at least four different FBI agents’ warnings including an “urgent cable” from the CIA on August 23rd about Almihdhar and Alhazmi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Frasca — FBI Radical Fundamentalists Unit Chief; personally scuttled the work of Kenneth Williams in July 2001 and Coleen Rowley in August 2001, the Arizona and Minnesota FBI agents who were actively investigating “terrorist” patsies in CIA-operated flight schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion “Spike” Bowman — FBI agent who thwarted FBI investigations into both Zacarias Moussaoui and the anthrax attacks on Congress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Ashcroft — Attorney General on 9-11; protected “terrorist” patsy Abdussattar Shaikh from subpoena after 9-11; stopped flying commercial aircraft in 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Chertoff — Assistant Attorney General on 9-11; freed over 100 Israeli spies in the US after 9-11; promoted to head Homeland Security; “dual citizen” of US and Israel; Zionist; likely Mossad agent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Mukasey — federal judge in New York; presided over 1993 WTC bombing case; active in 9-11 cases, including Larry Silverstein’s insurance claims; oversaw the detained material witnesses of 9-11, including five dancing Israeli Mossad agents apprehended by FBI; recently appointed by Bush to be the next Attorney General; radical Zionist of Russian Jewish parentage; “dual citizen” of US and Israel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ted Olson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin Powell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condi Rice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tommy Thompson</strong> — Health and Human Services (HHS) Secretary; hired Jerome Hauer, former Office of Emergency Center, on 9-10-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jerome Hauer</strong> — managing director of Kroll and senior adviser to US Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS) for National Security and Emergency Management on 9-11; put John O’Neill at the WTC on 9-11; lied to Dan Rather on CBS News on 9-11 about the controlled demolition of WTC buildings; director of Giuliani’s Office of Emergency Management from 1996 to 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Porter Goss</strong> — former House Intelligence Chair; was meeting with General Mahmoud Ahmad, head of Pakistan’s ISI and 9-11 financier, on 9-11; promoted to Director of CIA, resigned after “hookergate”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bob Graham</strong> — former Florida Senator; was meeting with General Mahmoud Ahmad, head of Pakistan’s ISI and 9-11 financier, on 9-11; ran for President in 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marc Grossman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Armitage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philip Zelikow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ari Fleischer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Card</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karl Rove</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Meyers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image2.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montague Winfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Mies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry Shelton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Schoomaker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geoffrey Lambert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Brinkerhoff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Lehman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Gentry — Army Intelligence and Security Command General Counsel; ordered 2.5 terrabytes of Able Danger data destroyed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philip Odeen — as director of Program Analysis for the National Security Council, provided staff support to Henry Kissinger from 1971 to 1973; served as Deputy Assistant Secretary of War in Systems Analysis; named to chair the National War Panel in 1997; former president of Reynolds and Reynolds; former CEO and president of BDM International; executive vice president of Washington operations of TRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elliot Abrams — former member of PNAC, National Security Council; pleaded guilty in 1991 to lying to Congress about Iran-Contra affair; “dual citizen” of US and Israel; Zionist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis “Scooter” Libby — former PNAC member; studied political science at Yale under Paul Wolfowitz; aid to Cheney; convicted for lying about outing of Valerie Plame; “dual citizen” of US and Israel; Zionist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Abramoff — entertained USG “terrorist” patsy Mohammed Atta on his yacht just before 9-11; convicted criminal lobbyist; ardent Zionist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeb Bush — Florida governor on 9-11; declared martial law in Florida four days before 9-11; brother of George Bush; PNAC member; guilty of election fraud in 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rudolph Giuliani</strong> — mayor of New York on 9-11; hailed as “hero” for his “gutsy” leadership on 9-11; allegedly involved with FEMA and former NYC Police Chief Kerik in Operation Code Angel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bernard Kerik</strong> — NYC Police Chief on 9-11; “sidekick” of Giuliani; allegedly involved with FEMA in WTC demolition “war games” called Operation Code Angel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lewis Eisenberg</strong> — chairman Port Authority of New York and New Jersey on 9-11; authorized transfer of WTC leases to Silverstein and Lowy just weeks before 9-11; later appointed chairman of Republican National Committee; Zionist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eliot Spitzer</strong> — New York Attorney General on 9-11; barred his top aide, Deputy Attorney General Dietrich Snell, from testifying to Congress on Able Danger; threw out Karl Schwarz’s 9-11 synopsis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Holbrooke — former US ambassador to UN; CFR member; co-chaired “Independent Task Force on America’s Response to Terrorism” in which the Official Conspiracy Theory (OCT) was promoted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Deutch — former Undersecretary of War, director of CIA; co-authored paper, “Catastrophic Terrorism: A National Policy” with Zelikow, Ashton Carter; senior partner at Global Technology Partners, an affiliate of Rothschild North America; MIT professor; grandson of Yonah Fischer, Antwerp diamond merchant who ran Zionist Federation of Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashton Carter — co-authored paper, “Catastrophic Terrorism: A National Policy” with Zelikow and Deutch; senior partner at Global Technology Partners, an affiliate of Rothschild North America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Michael Ledeen</strong> — became “anti-terrorism” advisor to Secretary of State, Al Haig in 1981; contacts with mullahs in Iran-Contra affair; alleged ties to Italian fascist P2 Masonic Lodge; contacts with Libby, Cheney’s Chief of Staff; top NeoCon(vict) advisor to Bush and Karl Rove; member AEI (American Enterprise Institute); wrote book extolling fascism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abdussattar Shaikh</strong> — FBI informant to the San Diego office; helped bring “terrorist” patsies to USA; protected by Attorney General Ashcroft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abdullah Noman</strong> — worked for the US Consulate in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; filed 10-15 visas for the patsy 9-11 “hijackers” in the Visa Express Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Lewin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominic Suter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sivan Kurzberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Gross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theresa McAllister</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald Hamburger</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
William Baker — member of FEMA Probe Team; partner with Skidmore, Owings, Merrill; contributed to the flawed NIST report on why the WTC buildings collapsed

Harold Nelson — contributed to the flawed NIST report on why the WTC buildings collapsed

Ramon Gilsanz — contributed to the flawed NIST report on why the WTC buildings collapsed
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shankar Nair — contributed to the flawed NIST report on why the WTC buildings collapsed; quoted in Chicago Tribune, September 19, 2001 that “Already there is near-consensus as to the sequence of events that led to the collapse of the World Trade Center”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gene Corley — led FEMA/ASCE WTC collapse “investigation”; was the principal investigator for ASCE and FEMA of the 1995 bombing of the Murrah Federal Office Building in Oklahoma City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Mlakar — part of ASCE team that investigated both WTC and Murrah Federal building attacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mete Sozen — part of ASCE team that investigated both WTC and Murrah Federal building attacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Thornton — partner of Richard Tomasetti; told Karl Koch, whose company erected the WTC steel, “Karl, we all know what caused the collapse”; part of ASCE team that investigated both WTC and Murrah Federal building attacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Tomasetti — partner of Charles Thornton; reportedly behind the unprecedented and widely criticized decision to destroy most of the WTC steel evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor Ganzi — president and CEO of Hearst Corporation since June 1, 2002; the Hearst publication, Popular Mechanics, has repeatedly tried to debunk the truth of 9-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benjamin Chertoff — 25-year-old cousin of Michael Chertoff; senior “researcher” for Popular Mechanics’ hit piece on 9-11 Truth Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Delaney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marvin Bush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wirt Walker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Silverstein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Lowy — he and partner Larry Silverstein obtained 99-year lease on WTC shortly before 9-11; came to Palestine in 1945 from Hungarian to fight as Golani commando in Israeli “War of Independence”; Australia’s second richest person; in May 2007, investigated by Israeli police for corruption scandal involving Israeli Prime Minister Ehud Olmert; Zionist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Rockefeller — vice director of the Council on Foreign Relations (1949-1985), vice president (1950-1970), and chairman (1970-1985); as chairman of the Downtown-Lower Manhattan Association (1958 to 1975) was primary builder of WTC complex; founder and honorary chairman of the Trilateral Commission; president or CEO of Chase Manhattan Bank, 1961 to 1981; 9-11 was the anniversary of 1973 CIA-sponsored coup plotted by David Rockefeller’s cabal and overseen by Nelson’s protégé Henry Kissinger that toppled Chile’s President Salvador Allende</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicholas Rockefeller — told film-maker Aaron Russo of coming catastrophic event eleven months before 9-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren Buffett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rupert Murdoch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maurice Greenberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallace Hilliard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jules Kroll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Peterson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.B. “Buzzy” Krongard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Loizeaux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loring Knoblauch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Michael Cherkasky</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frank Carlucci</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>William Kristol</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.jpg" alt="William Perry" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image2.jpg" alt="James Woolsey" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3.jpg" alt="Newt Gingrich" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry Kissinger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George H.W. Bush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Blair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pauline Neville-Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahmoud Ahmad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benjamin Netanyahu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ariel Sharon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CRIMINAL 9/11 SUSPECTS

“Out of the temporary evil we are now compelled to commit will come the good of an unshakable rule, which will restore the regular course of the machinery of the national life, brought naught by liberalism. The result justifies the means. Let us, however, in our plans, direct our attention not so much to what is good and moral as to what is necessary and useful.” ...Anonymous, from the Protocols of the Elders of Zion

Congress has established that the penalty for treason is the death penalty.

UNITED STATES CODE, TITLE 18 – CRIMES AND CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

PART 1 – CRIMES, CHAPTER 115 – TREASON, SEDITION, AND SUBVERSIVE ACTIVITIES

Section 2381. Treason: Whoever, owing allegiance to the United States, levies war against them or adheres to their enemies, giving them aid and comfort within the United States or elsewhere, is guilty of treason and shall suffer death; or shall be imprisoned not less than five years and 518 fines under this title but not less than $10,000; and shall be incapable of holding any office under the United
States. No person shall be convicted of treason unless on the testimony of two witnesses to the same overt act, or on confession in open court.

The following is a list of suspects for mass murder, conspiracy to commit mass murder, obstruction of justice, subverting the U.S. Constitution, etc.

All U.S. citizens are considered traitors to the United States of America. All citizens of Israel shall be considered invasive enemies of the United States of America:

George W. Bush...POTUS on 9/11
Ehud Barak...Former Prime Minister of Israel
Benjamin Netanyahu... Former and current Prime Minister of Israel
Ariel Sharon...Prime Minister of Israel on 9/11
Richard “Dick” Cheney... Vice President on 9/11
Donald Rumsfeld... Secretary of Defense on 9/11
Paul Wolfowitz... Deputy Secretary of Defense on 9/11
Richard Perle... Chairman of the Defense Policy Board Advisory Committee on 9/11
Douglas Feith... Undersecretary of Defense for Policy on 9/11
Dov Zakheim... Pentagon Comptroller on 9/11

George Tenet... Director of CIA on 9/11
Robert Mueller... Director of FBI on 9/11
Dale Watson... Assistant Director for the Counterterrorism Division of the FBI
John Ashcroft... Attorney General on 9/11
Henry Kissinger... Former Secretary of State. CEO Kissinger Associates
George H.W. Bush... Former Vice President/President/Former CIA Director
Tony Blair... Prime Minister of United Kingdom on 9/11
Michael Chertoff... Assistant Attorney General on 9/11
Viet Dinh... Assistant Attorney General for the Office of Legal Policy on 9/11
Michael Mukasey... Chief Judge, U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York. Obstruction of Justice
Rudolph “Rudy” Giuliani... Mayor of New York City on 9/11
Larry Silverstein... Obtained lease on WTC six weeks prior to 9/11. Complicit in Mass Murder and Insurance Fraud.
Josh Bolten... White House Deputy Chief of Staff on 9/11
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Frank Lowy... WTC partner with Larry Silverstein. Complicit in Mass Murder, Insurance Fraud.
William Lauder... Este‘ Lauder billionaire. Spearheaded privatization of WTC complex and Stewart AFB
Michael Ledeen... Consultant to Undersecretary of Defense on 9/11
Colin Powell... Secretary of State on 9/11
Condoleezza Rice... U.S. National Security Advisor on 9/11
Jules Kroll... Owner of Kroll Associates
Jared Weinstein... Aide to White House Chief of Staff Josh Bolten
Blake Gottesman... Personal Aide to President Bush
Jerome Hauer... CEO of Kroll Associates
Richard Armitage... Deputy Secretary of State on 9/11
Philip Zelikow... Director of 9/11 Commission
Ari Fleisher... White House spokesman
Karl Rove... Senior Advisor to Bush
Andrew Card... White House Chief of Staff on 9/11
John Lehman... Member of 9/11 Investigation Commission
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Tony Gentry... Army Intelligence and Security Command General Counsel. Obstruction of Justice related to Abel Danger Cover-Up, Subversion. Ordered 2.5 terabytes of Able Danger data destroyed.

Lewis “Scooter” Libby... Chief of Staff to the Vice President of the U.S.

Lewis Eisenberg... Chairman of Port Authority of New York on 9/11

Richard Tomasetti... Willful Destruction of Evidence (WTC steel), Obstruction of Justice.

Mark Loizeaux... CEO of Controlled Demolition Inc., a key company in the cleanup of WTC complex and Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City. Complicit in Mass Murder, Willful Destruction of a Crime Scene, Willful Dissemination of Criminal Disinformation.

Victor Ganzi... CEO of Hearst Publications responsible for willful dissemination of criminal disinformation related to Popular Mechanics disinformation campaign. Sedition, Aiding and Abetting an Enemy of the U.S.


John Deutsch... Former Secretary of Defense and CIA Director

Dominic Suter... Israeli Mossad agent behind Urban Moving Systems and “employer” of Sivan Kurzberg and the other “dancing Israelis.” Complicit in Mass Murder, Terrorist Enemy of the U.S.

Sivan Kurzberg... Leader of “dancing” Israelis, and Mossad Agent. Complicit in Mass Murder, Terrorist Enemy of U.S.

Jeff Ritters... Member of the National Transportation Safety Board who signed off on the faulty Flight 77 flight
recorder information presented to the 9/11 Commission.

Obstruction of Justice.
Theresa McAllister... Edited NIST report regarding WTC buildings collapses. Obstruction of Justice, Providing False Testimony.
Ronald Hamburger... Authored initial FEMA report related to cause of WTC buildings collapses, and key participant in the NIST report. Obstruction of Justice, Providing False Testimony.
William Baker... Member of FEMA probe team, and Contributed to NIST report related to collapse of WTC buildings. Obstruction of Justice, Providing False Testimony.
Harold Nelson... Contributed to flawed NIST report. Obstruction of Justice, Providing False Testimony.
Ramon Gilsanz... Contributed to false NIST report. Obstruction of Justice, Providing False Testimony.
Shankar Nair...Contributed to NIST report. Obstruction of Justice, Providing False testimony

Mete Sozen...American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) team member involved in WTC and Murrah Federal Building probes. Obstruction of Justice, Willfully Providing False Testimony.
Kevin Delaney... FAA manager of the New York Air Route Traffic Control Center who destroyed controller tapes of 9/11. Obstruction of Justice, Willful Destruction of Evidence
Frank Carlucci... Former Secretary of Defense, Former Director of the CIA, Chairman of the Carlyle Group.
Alvin K. Hellerstein...U.S. District Judge. Obstruction of justice.

Wanted For Questioning
General Norton A. Schwartz... Prior to 9/11 was commander of USAF covert operations at McDill AFB where Boeing 767s were delivered for alterations. Commander of war games for Northern Command in Alaska on 9/11.

General Richard Meyers... Commander of US air defenses on 9/11.

General Ralph Eberhardt... NORAD Commander on 9/11.

Thomas Picard... former FBI Director for short term.

Dave Frasca... former FBI Unit Chief regarding scuttling investigations related to 9/11 hijackers.

Ted Olson... former Solicitor General of U.S. regarding alleged Flight 77 phone call.

Tommy Thompson... former Health and Human Services Secretary regarding timely hiring of Jerome Hauer.

Porter Goss... former House Intelligence Committee Chair regarding meetings with General Mahmoud Ahmad of Pakistan’s ISI and 9/11 financier.

Bob Graham... former Florida Senator regarding meetings with General Mahmoud Ahmad of Pakistan ISI and 9/11 financier.

Marc Grossman... Undersecretary for Political Affairs regarding meeting with General Ahmad of Pakistan ISI.

Major General Larry Arnold... NORAD commander on 9/11.

Canadian Air Force Major General Eric Findley... was acting commander of NORAD on 9/11.

Major General Montague Winfield... commander of Pentagon war room on 9/11, but requested that a rookie commander stand in for him on the day before.

Admiral Richard Miles... who ran “Global Guardian” war game from Offutt AFB on 9/11.
General Peter Schoomaker... U.S. Army Chief of Staff who ran Able Danger operation.
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Major General Geoffrey Lambert... Special Operations Command (SOCOM) Intelligence Chief who created Able Danger.

John Brinkerhoff... former U.S. Army Colonel for advocating subversion of U.S. Constitution.

Philip Odeen... wanted for questioning related to staff support of Henry Kissinger, NSC program analysis, and chairmanship of National War Panel.

Bernard Kerik... presently incarcerated for multiple crimes. Wanted for questioning related to war game operation called Operation Code Angel, and FEMA misadventures.

Richard Holbrooke... former UN ambassador and member of Council on Foreign Relations. Co-Chaired the “Independent Task Force on America’s Response to Terrorism”, in which the Official Conspiracy Theory (OCT) was promoted. The OCT was adopted by the Bush regime as the rationale for the “War on Terror.”

Ashton Carter... Co-authored a paper titled, “Catastrophic Terrorism, A National Policy” with Philip Zelikow and John Deutsch. See for yourself if these elitist brats are need for questioning and psychiatric evaluation or not...

<http://www.hks.harvard.edu/visions/publication/terrorism.htm#CATASTROPHICTERRORISM>

Paul Miakar... a member of the ASCE team that investigated both the WTC and Murrah Building attacks.
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Abdussattar Sheikh... Allegedly aided and abetted enemies of the U.S. by assisting 9/11 hijacker patsies to enter the U.S.
Abdullah Noman... Worked at U.S. Consulate in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Allegedly aided and abetted enemies of the U.S. by providing visas for Saudi 9/11 hijackers.

Nicholas Rockefeller... allegedly told film-maker Aaron Russo of coming catastrophic event eleven months prior to 9/11.

Wallace Hilliard... along with Saudi investors including Sheik Kamal Adham, Adnan Khashoggi, and Prince Nawaf bin Abdul Aziz, bought Venice, Florida based Huffman Aviation in 1999. The CIA linked flight school was where alleged 9/11 hijackers Mohammed Atta and company allegedly learned to fly.

Loring Knoblauch... CEO of Underwriters Labs conducted questionable jet fuel fire tests related to WTC fires of 9/11.

James Woolsey... former CIA director who claimed “incompetence” as the reason for the success of the horrors of 9/11.

The main lobby groups, or so called ‘think tanks’, that were successful in pressuring the U.S. government into invading Afghanistan and Iraq were:

American Israel Public Affairs Committee
The Project for a New American Century
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The American Enterprise Institute
The Cato Institute
Rand Corporation
The Committee for Peace and Security in the Gulf
Jewish Institute for National Security Affairs
Center for Security Policy & National Secretary Advisory Council
U.S. Committee for a Free Lebanon
The World Jewish Congress
The following 16 suspects belonged to three or more of the listed think tanks above:


http://www.chuckmaultsby.net/id90.html

May 06, 2009
from TheInfoUnderground Website

This report can be read also at:
- Original report (click above title)
  - http://www.whale.to/b/israel_did_911.html
  and many more...
**Larry Silverstein** - Explains his reason for purchasing the towers as "I felt a compelling urge to own them." Larry had breakfast in "Windows on the World" restaurant every single morning.

On 9/11 he never showed up. Neither did his daughter who worked in building 7.

**Frank Lowy** - Owner of Westfield America. In May 2001, Westfield paid $US127 million for a 99-year lease on the retail area beneath the New York World Trade Center. Lowy was a member of the Golani Brigade, and fought in the Israeli war of independence.

Lowy steered clear of the WTC on 9/11.

Who authorized the lease of the WTC complex to Silverstein?
Lewis Eisenberg
Chairman of the New York Port Authority.

All three men (Larry Silverstein, Frank Lowy, Lewis Eisenberg) are high ranking Jews in the Anti Defamation League and United Jewish Appeal.

Who pushed the WTC for privatization?

Ronald S. Lauder - He was on the board of directors of the NY board of privatization. He is the key individual who lobbied for the privatization of the WTC, but he also got Stewart Airport, formerly Stewart AFB, to become privatized. Oddly, the flight-paths of flight 175 and flight 11 converged directly over this airport.

Lauder is active in the following organizations:
- Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations
- Jewish National Fund
- World Jewish Congress
- American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee
- Anti-Defamation League
- Jewish Theological Seminary

Lauder has funded a school for the Mossad in Herzliya, Israel.

Who ran security at all three airports of "alleged" hijackings?

It is run by "experts" in the security and intelligence field. Israeli intelligence that is. Most employees were ex-Shin Bet agents.

Is this airport security company, who ran the security at Dulles, Logan, and Newark, really that shotty to allow 19 Arabs on board 4 different planes with box-cutters, mace, and even a gun, or is there something else going on here?

Menachem Atzmon was involved in an Israeli political scandal involving Ehud Olmert and other Likudnits in Israel. ICTS was also in charge of airport security when the shoe bomber Richard Reid boarded a plane with a shoe bomb (allegedly). A few hours before the Patriot Act was voted on, it was edited to make foreign companies in charge of security on 9/11 immune to lawsuits.

This would prevent American courts from demanding that ICTS provide testimony or hand over the missing surveillance videos from the airports.

Who was on board flight 11?

Daniel Lewin

Lewin, an Israeli Jew, was confirmed to be a member of the special Israeli commando unit, the Sayeret Matkal, which specializes in "anti-hijack" takeovers and assassinations. Originally, Betty Ong pointed to his seat, 9B, as the one shooting people with a gun and leading the hijacking.

It was later changed to him being shot, later revised to him being stabbed.

Who had the contract to run security at the WTC?

Kroll Associates, owned by... Jules Kroll - Jewish:
Kroll was run by... **Jerome Hauer**:

Jerome Hauer is also Jewish. Hauer's mother, Rose Muscatine Hauer, is the retired Dean of the Beth Israel School of Nursing and the Honorary President of the New York Chapter of Hadassah, the Daughters of Zion movement that is one of the central Zionist organizations involved in the creation and maintenance of the State of Israel.

Hauer, however, told journalist Sander Hicks in a phone conversation that Larry Silverstein hired a “private” security team on his WTC complex. I wonder who manned this “private” security team, since they are the lead suspects in the placing of explosives.

**Were Jews forewarned of the attacks?**

![Odigo](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

Israeli instant messaging company, **Odigo**, admitted that two of its employees received instant messages warning of an impending attack 2 hours prior to the first plane hitting.

This warning was not passed on to authorities, which could have saved thousands of lives.

Odigo has a feature on its service that allows the passing on of messages through a search feature based on nationality, such as Israeli. Knowing these two particular Jews were forewarned, it is very likely they passed the message on to other Jews considering that out of the 4000 Israeli Jews believed to work in the trade towers, only ONE died that day.

Odigo has offices in New York, and in Herzliya, Israel. Herzliya happens to be the Head Quarters of Mossad. Do the math.

Odigo was later bought up by another Israeli company called **Comverse**. The CEO of Comverse was **Kobi Alexander**, “dual” Israeli-US citizen, with connections to Mossad. He has been charged on several counts of fraud.

**Goldman Sachs Forewarned**
On Sep 10, 2001, the Tokyo branch of Goldman Sachs warned its American employees to steer clear of American buildings.

**Israeli ZIM Integrated Shipping Services Forewarned**

ZIM, an Israeli company, vacated its office (10,000 square feet) in the North WTC tower a few days before 9/11, breaking its lease. 49% of this company is owned by the Israeli government.

The lease ran till the end of 2001, and the company lost $50,000 by breaking the lease.

Later, FBI agent Michael Dick, who was investigating Israeli spying before and after 9/11 and looking into the suspicious move, was removed from his duties by the head of the Justice Department’s criminal division, Michael Chertoff (Jewish).

**Israeli espionage around 9/11**

Shortly before 9/11, over 140 Israelis had been arrested for suspected espionage. Some of them were posing as Art students.

These suspects targeted or penetrated:
- Military bases
- DEA
- FBI
Most of the suspects served in military intelligence, electronic surveillance intercept and or explosive ordinance units.

Dozens of Israelis were arrested in American malls kiosks selling toys, acting as a front for a spying operation. 60 detained suspects worked for the Israeli company AMDOCS which provides most directory assistance calls and almost call records and billings services for the U.S. by virtue of its contracts with the 25 largest telephone companies in the U.S.

All alleged 9/11 hijackers had fake IDs. During a joint FBI-CIA operation against the lead patsy hijacker Mohammad Atta in Fort Lee, NJ in 2001, the operation was photographed by Israeli agents and thereby compromised.

These Jews were providing cover for the future patsy hijacker teams.

More Israelis caught after 9/11 - 60 of them! After 9/11 – More detentions of Israelis

Following 9/11, over 60 Israelis were detained either under the Patriot anti-Terrorism Act or for immigrations violations.

Some of them were active Israeli military personnel. A number of them failed polygraph examinations when questioned for surveillance activities against the U.S. Some of them were found to have been spying on Arabs. This includes the “dancing Israelis” who were caught in multiple places filming, and cheering the attacks.

These men admitted being Mossad agents.
Their names were:
- Sivan & Paul Kurzberg
- Yaron Schmuel
- Oded Ellner

They later appeared on an Israeli talk show and claimed to be "documenting the event".

CIA agent Robert Baer confirmed their cameras were set up *BEFORE the first plane struck*. Another group of Israelis were caught with truck bombs around the George Washington Bridge.

A third group of Jews were caught with a van that had a mural painted on the side literally depicting the 9/11 attacks.

All of the white vans were working for the Mossad front company called "urban moving systems" under direction of Dominic Suter, a Mossad agent, who fled to Israel right after 9/11.

ALL of these Jews were sent back to Israel under direction of Michael Chertoff.

*Don’t think Israel could disable Norad? THINK AGAIN!!*
Most national security computerized systems that would have scrambled jets in the event of national emergencies such as multiple hijackings were running on Ptech software.

Jew Michael S. Goff was marketing manager at Ptech and also worked for Israeli database company Guardium (Jew Director Amit Yoran); Guardium has been funded by Mossad fronts. Ptech was funded by Lebanese and Saudi Americans, but why did Goff leave a well-paying job at a Jewish law firm for the dodgy startup Ptech?

Goff was obviously working as a sayanim.

MITRE corporation (computer software)

MITRE – major defense contracting organization headed by former Director of Central Intelligence Jew James Schlesinger.

Ptech was with the MITRE corporation in the basement of the FAA for two years prior to 9/11. Their specific job was to look at inter-operability issues the FAA had with NORAD and the Air Force in the case of an emergency.

This software was installed on the computers of most U.S. government agencies. Including the military...

Precision guided plane anyone?

The first plane to hit the WTC (North Tower) hit the computer room of Jewish-owned Kroll Associates (Managing Director Jerome M. Hauer), the company that had acquired control of building security after the 1993 bomb attack on the WTC.

Precision guided by equipment in the office anyone?

Who could possibly remote control planes into the towers? Ask DOV ZAKHEIM!!
Dov Zakheim’s System Planning Corporation – remote airplane control technology

The SPC Corporation provided the flight termination system and command transmitter system, the technology that allows planes to be remote controlled should the pilots be incapacitated or the plane hijacked.

Who quickly shipped off the WTC metal overseas?

Alan D. Ratner’s Metals Management and the SIMS group.

Ratner is Jewish. Ratner merged with the SIMS group and the Hugo Neu corporation, and they made a handsome profit. Ratner sold over 50,000 tons of crime scene evidence steel to a Chinese company at $120 per ton; Ratner had obtained them for $70 per ton.

9/11 Investigation in Jewish hands from the start!!

Jews were appointed the chief judges:

• Alvin K. Hellerstein - a judge for the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York and has been involved in several high-profile 9/11 related cases including consolidated master case against three airlines, ICTS International NV and Pinkerton’s airport security firms, the World Trade Center owners, and Boeing Co., the aircraft manufacturer
• **Michael B. Mukasey** - This Jew judge oversaw the litigation between Larry Silverstein and insurance companies after 9/11. Silverstein was awarded billions.

• **Kenneth Feinberg** set up the victim’s compensation fund ($7 billion); the Jews managed to get 97% of the victims’ families to take the money in exchange for not demanding a legal investigation of 9/11. The minority of family members demanding an investigation had to deal with a special mediator: Jewess Sheila Birnbaum. Nothing happened though.

• **Benjamin Chertoff** - (cousin of Michael Chertoff) wrote the 9/11 hit piece in Popular Mechanics debunking ‘9/11 conspiracies’ using ridiculous strawmen.

• **Stephen Cauffman** – Leader of NIST cover-up of WTC 7 destruction. These lowlifes continued to maintain that fire brought down WTC 7, a physical impossibility.

Who wrote the fraudulent 9/11 commission report?
Jews in the Right Positions!

Rabbi Dov Zakheim – Co-author of the PNAC paper on rebuilding America’s defenses advocating the necessity of a Pearl Harbor-like incident to mobilize America.

Served as Pentagon comptroller from May 4, 2001 to March 10, 2004. Two large sums of money disappeared from the Pentagon under him. In the beginning $2.3 trillion was reported missing by Donald Rumsfeld (September 10, 2001) and later Zakheim was unable to account for another trillion dollars.

Zakheim also had squads of American F-15s and F-16s sold as surplus to Israel at a fraction of their value.

Michael Chertoff – Jew assistant attorney general for the criminal division of the Justice Department; later, Director of Homeland Security.
Richard Perle – Chairman of Pentagon’s Defense Policy Board. He was expelled from Sen. Henry Jackson’s office in the 1970s after the NSA caught him passing highly classified documents to Israel.

Paul Wolfowitz – Was Deputy Defense Secretary and a member of the Defense Policy Board in the Pentagon. ...plus many many more...

Israel caught creating fake Al Qaeda shortly after 9/11!!!

First Ariel Sharon attempts to spew propaganda that he thinks Palestine is harboring "Al Qaeda" so as to justify attacks on it...
Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon ... said that al-Qaeda militants were operating in the Gaza Strip and Lebanon. "We know that they are there. We know that they are in Lebanon, working closely with Hezbollah. We know that they are in the region," he said.  

*BBC News 12/5/2002*

Then Palestinian officials arrested the fake "al qaeda" poseurs who were actually Mossad agents. "Officials from the Palestinian Authority have accused the Israeli spy agency Mossad of setting up a fake al-Qaeda terrorist cell in Gaza. Palestinian leader Yasser Arafat said that Israel had set up the mock cell in order to justify attacks in Palestinian areas."

*BBC News - 12/8/2002*

The BBC’s **Jeremy Cooke** says that the Israeli Government is keen to demonstrate to the Americans that they are facing a common enemy.

**Mossad Agent posing as Al Qaeda, AGAIN!!**

*Adam Gadahn*, pictured above, is the so called *Al Qaida spokesperson* who released videos of himself preaching Al Qaida goals and ideology on numerous occasions.

The FBI even have him on their most wanted terrorists list.

It turns out he is a Jew named *Adam Pearlman*, from California. Adam’s grandfather, **Carl Pearlman**, was a prominent surgeon and on the Board of Directors of the Anti-Defamation League!

**Fake Al Qaida videos come from Jewish sources!!**
These fake "Al Qaida" Pearlman videos are conveniently always obtained first by a U.S gov't contractor called IntelCenter.

This company is owned and run by Ben Venzke, a Jew. IntelCenter is an offshoot of of IDEFENSE, which was staffed by senior PSYOP officer, Jim Melnick(Jewish), who worked directly for Donald Rumsfeld for a time.

IntelCenter is probably getting these "Jihad" videos straight from Mossad HQ in Herzliya, Israel.

Another "intel gathering" company is called Site Intel Group which states its purpose is...
"Studying the primary source propaganda, training manuals, and chatter of terrorists offers insight into terrorists and their activities that can not be obtained anywhere else. To fulfill this need, the SITE Intelligence Group offers its Monitoring Service, which provides numerous daily translations of terrorist propaganda and multimedia from primary source terrorist websites."
The director and founder of SITE Intel group is Rita Katz.

It turns out that Rita Katz is an Iraqi Jew!

Her father was a Jewish businessman in Iraq and was sentenced to hanging after being caught SPYING FOR ISRAEL in the 1967 war. After her father was hung, Rita and her mother fled to Israel where she served in the Israeli army, which is compulsory, and went to university in Tel Aviv!

Who was related to one of the "alleged" 9/11 hijackers?
NBC "obtains" video of Ziad Jarrah, Nov 22, 2008

Still image from "laughing hijackers" video showing Ziad Jarrah (left), and Mohammed Atta (right), allegedly making their martyrdom video just before 9/11, all the while laughing hysterically about it!

Ziad Jarrah’s uncle, Ali Al Jarrah (pictured above), was recently discovered by Lebanese authorities of being a Mossad Spy for 25 years!!

Coincidence? I think NOT!
A nation can survive its fools, and even the ambitious. But it cannot survive treason from within. An enemy at the gates is less formidable, for he is known and he carries his banners openly. But the traitor moves among those within the gate freely. For the traitor appears not a traitor - a murderer is less to be feared...

Cicero, 42 B.C.E.

Return to Zion and The 9-11 Events
https://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica/esp_sociopol_911_107.htm
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THE ZIONIST JEW LARRY SILVERSTEIN obtained a 99 year lease of the World Trade Center on July 24 2001 just seven weeks before the 9/11 attacks.

Silverstein obtained this lease from his Jewish synagogue buddy, Lewis M Eisenberg, (who was then the Chairman of the Port Authority of New York), even though Vornado Realty outbid Silverstein by $50m.

Eisenberg aborted Vornado’s bid in favour of his Zionist buddy Silverstein. Eisenberg, who was a huge contributor to the Bush-Cheney campaign, is a member of the Republican Jewish Coalition and former partner of the Jewish bank, Goldman Sachs.
Silverstein and Eisenberg both held senior leadership positions with the United Jewish Appeal, a billion dollar Zionist organization.

At present, Silverstein is on the UJA’s Board Of Directors. The UJA raises millions of dollars for its client, the Zionist State of Israel. Silverstein is also co-founder of the Israel Export Development Company.

Of particular note, the Wall Street Journal reported that Silverstein regularly had breakfast at the WTC’s Windows on the World every morning.

Yet strangely enough, Silverstein had a doctor’s appointment the morning of the 9/11 attack... (How did you know not to be there dear Larry?)

9/11 WAS AN INSIDE JOB

SCIENTISTS, ARCHITECTS, & ENGINEERS now affirm that the 9/11 destruction of the World Trade Center buildings was an inside job.

The leading scientist of Scholars For 9/11 Truth, Dr Steven Jones, has proven that controlled demolition devices were placed within the WTC Twin Towers - and this is what brought the buildings down not the airplanes.

Jones says that molten metal was found in the sub-basements of the buildings. The molten metal, Jones argues, was caused by a high-temperature Thermite reaction, used to demolish steel.

Thermal hot spots throughout the sub basement levels were detected by satellite - confirming Jones’ findings.

Jones also says that heated dust with particles of Thermite was discovered in the WTC area. But Silverstein arranged that three NY Jewish steel and scrap companies ship the metal which could have been used as evidence to China & India.

Paul W. Mason, structural engineer of Melbourne Australia, affirms Dr Jones’ findings:
“The chances of the buildings collapsing symmetrically into their own footprint at freefall speed by any other means than by controlled demolition are so remote that there is no other plausible explanation” — Here.

3 Questions Remain:

Who Let The Controlled Demolition Experts Into the Twin Towers?
Were The Controlled Demolition Experts Mossad Operatives?
Did Larry Silverstein Let The Mossad Operatives In?

It Appears That Zionist Jew Larry Silverstein Was 9/11’s Inside Man!

For More See: “9/11 & The Jewish Gatekeepers” Click Here
And: “9/11’s Real & Phony Spies” Click Here
And: “9/11’s Cover-Up Man: Zionist Zelikow” Click Here

http://www.realjewnews.com/?p=238
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LET THESE EVIL NWO SATANISTS KNOW THAT THERE WILL BE HELL TO PAY FOR THEIR 911 TREASON, AND THEIR FUTURE FEMA CAMP PLANNED PUBLIC CRACKDOWN TREASON ALSO JESUS IS LORD, AND THE PUBLIC IS IN CHARGE, NOT THESE SATANIC NWO STOOGES!!!
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JOHN ROBERT LEWIS

Posted January 19, 2017 on Patriot or Traitor
John Robert Lewis is a traitor.

John Robert Lewis is a treasonous U.S. Congressman for Georgia’s 5th congressional district.

The seventy-six-year-old Congressman John Lewis once did something so heroic, so noble, that it helped to change the course of a nation for the better. The Georgia Democrat was beaten up savagely by white Southern racists in 1965, and everyone appreciates very much his personal sacrifice to advance civil rights.

Like the civil rights movement he served, he has become corrupt and destructive. He is a hateful old man who lies about his political adversaries and spews ugliness.

At what point does this man, who has been dining out on his good deeds for more than a half-century, have to account for the truly awful, anti-American things he has done?

John Lewis has spent decades trying to undermine America and siding with its enemies.

In the 1960s and ’70s, he worked with members of the Socialist Workers Party and a Communist Party USA (CPUSA) front group called the National Alliance Against Racist and Political Repression.

In 1989, the Sandinista-led Communist government of Nicaragua renounced a ceasefire agreement with the U.S.-backed Contra rebels, the House of Representatives voted 379 to 29 for a resolution deploring the Nicaraguan action. Lewis was one of the 29 Democrats who voted nay.

In 1989, he was a founding member of the Institute for Southern Studies, a North Carolina-based spinoff of the seditious Marxist think-tank known as the Institute for Policy Studies.

In 2003, he wrote an op-ed for the CPUSA paper People’s Weekly World, titled “An Open letter to my Colleagues in Congress: Remembering the Legacy of Martin Luther King.” In 2015, Massachusetts CPUSA leader Gary Dotterman called Lewis “my hero, my comrade, my inspiration and my friend.”

In 2007, he was an honored guest at the national conference of the Democratic Socialists of America, a Marxist group. He provided an introduction to Bernie Sanders.

In 2009, when the House voted 345 to 75 to defund the criminal, corrupt Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (ACORN), Lewis voted to continue feeding taxpayer funds to the now defunct group.

Lewis supports amnesty for illegal aliens and opposes securing the border. In 2011, he told a rally, “We all live in the same house[,] … If any one of us is illegal, then we all are illegal. There is no illegal human being.”
In 2014, after thousands of mostly unaccompanied Central American minors crossed the southern border illegally, Lewis cheered them on. “We are all connected. We can’t just build a wall or a fence and say no more. This is America. Our doors are open.”

Republicans who don’t agree with Lewis are routinely smeared as black-hating racists.

In 2008, Lewis accused presidential running mates John McCain and Sarah Palin of “sowing the seeds of hatred and division, and there is no need for this hostility in our political discourse.” For good measure, he threw in a reference to pro-segregation Alabama Gov. George Wallace (a Democrat), implying that McCain and Palin were, like Wallace, creating:

... the climate and the conditions that encouraged vicious attacks against innocent Americans who were simply trying to exercise their constitutional rights. Because of this atmosphere of hate, four little girls were killed on [a] Sunday morning when a church was bombed in Birmingham, Alabama.

In 2010, Lewis and other black Democrat lawmakers falsely claimed that conservative Tea Party activists shouted the “N-word” at them at an anti-Obamacare protest on the steps of Capitol Hill. “It surprised me that people are so mean and we can’t engage in a civil dialogue and debate,” he said at the time.

At the Democratic National Convention in 2012, Lewis accused Republicans of trying to restore Jim Crow-like segregation in the country.

In January 2016, he hurled the George Wallace smear at Donald Trump.

This is not an exhaustive list.

His socialist solutions and anti-white vitriol hardly make him a hero unless chaining “his people” to poor schools, fatherless homes, and generations of government dependency is heroic.

Put it all together, and you have a traitor by the name of John Robert Lewis.
James Brien Comey, Jr. is a traitor.

James Brien Comey, Jr. is the (former) Director of the (FBI) Federal Bureau of Investigation.

James Comey has continually refused to comment on the ongoing investigation into the use of Hillary Clinton’s private email server. This dates back to October 2015 when Comey refused to comment at a Capitol Hill hearing.

Watch here:

“Mr. Chairman, I respectfully say that’s one I’m not going to comment on. As you know, the FBI is working on a referral given to us by inspectors general in connection with former Secretary Clinton’s use of her private email server. As you also know about the FBI, we don’t talk about our investigations while we’re doing them. This is one I’m following very closely and get briefed on regularly. I’m confident we have the people and the resources to do it in the way I believe we do all our work, which is promptly, professionally, independently. But I don’t want to do anything that would compromise my ability to do it that way by commenting beyond that,” said Comey.

“Thank you Mr. Chairman, I hope you’ll understand why I don’t think it’s appropriate to answer that. I want to preserve my ability to oversee this investigation in a way that is both in reality independent and fair, and is perceived that way. I believe the Bureau is three things: we are competent, we are independent, and we’re honest, and I want to make sure the American people have confidence that that’s the way we are doing our business, and if I start answering questions like yours which is a reasonable question, I worry that I could infringe upon that.”

Additional Information

FBI Director James Comey feels he has no legal obligation to wrap up the investigation into Hillary Clinton’s e-mail server.

“The urgency is to do it well and promptly. And ‘well’ comes first,” Comey told local law enforcement agents in Buffalo on Monday, May 2, 2016.

“Well” is important. But so is “promptly,” and the FBI’s definition of that is unclear.

The probe, underway for two years now, addresses a fundamental question: Did Clinton intentionally or recklessly forward classified information in a way that put the country at risk?

Getting the answer sooner rather than later is extremely important. There surely is a professional, ethical, and moral obligation for James Comey to finish the investigation ASAP rather than leave a cloud hanging over the electoral process. What’s also troubling, is that James Comey is ignoring his ethical obligations given the highly charged political nature of the investigation.

But an investigation that drags on past the convention, into the fall, is more than a partisan concern. It’s a treasonous act to the country as a whole.

Asked last Sunday (May 1, 2016) on NBC’s “Meet the Press” whether the FBI had reached out to her for an interview, Clinton said, “No, no, they haven’t.” She added, “Back in August, we made clear that I’m happy to answer any questions that anybody might have. And I stand by that.”

If her answer is truthful, and she signaled availability “back in August,” why take so long to question her? A key aspect of any potential criminal investigation would hinge on Clinton’s intent in setting up the private e-mail server. Only she can speak to that.

From a purely political perspective, it would have been better if it happened months ago. If it ended Clinton’s presidential ambitions, so be it. She could have at least been arrested, and the party could have moved onto another candidate.
An endless investigation leaves a perpetual cloud over her head. That’s not a crime, but it’s clearly another treasonous act done by James Comey.

Altogether, James Comey has stopped and/or impeded the investigations of Hillary Clinton on violations of federal laws governing official record-keeping, maintaining classified information, evidence-tampering, obstruction of justice, and possible pay-for-play bribery through the Clinton foundation.

UPDATE: June 16, 2016

President Obama held a meeting with Department of Justice Attorney General Loretta Lynch shortly after his endorsement of Hillary Clinton on June 9, 2016.

“In order for Clinton to carry Obama’s torch, she has to stay out of prison,” writes Katie Pavlich for Townhall. “In order to do that, she has to avoid prosecution. I’m sure Obama made that very clear to his somewhat new Attorney General.”

We have cited the various scandals still hanging over Mrs. Clinton’s head. They include the mishandling of classified materials, obstruction of justice, the public corruption scandal in which she used the State Department as leverage for benefitting the Clinton Foundation as well as her family, and Benghazi.

Contrary to President Obama’s assertion that he is allowing a non-partisan and full investigation, by endorsing Mrs. Clinton he has placed his hand on the scale of justice and made his wishes more than clear to federal investigators. The question is, will Director James Comey and the FBI continue to follow the President’s direction?

Despite the administration’s continued support for Clinton, new stories break daily outlining Mrs. Clinton’s corruption and pay-for-play. ABC News, with the help of Citizens United, found that a Clinton donor was placed on a sensitive intelligence board during Mrs. Clinton’s term as secretary of state—even though he lacked the credentials for the appointment.

The Wall Street Journal also reports that “many” of the 22 classified emails from Mrs. Clinton’s private email server that the government refuses to release, “dealt with whether diplomats concurred or not with the CIA drone strikes…” These highly sensitive and classified emails were “written within the often-narrow time frame in which State Department officials had to decide whether or not to object to drone strikes before the CIA pulled the trigger…” There are more than 2,000 emails that Mrs. Clinton handled that contained classified material on her private, unsecured server, whether marked as such or not.

“Several law-enforcement officials said they don’t expect any criminal charges to be filed as a result of the investigation,” reports the Journal, continuing, “although a final review of the evidence will be made only after an expected FBI interview with Mrs. Clinton this summer.”

Jonathan F. Keiler, a lawyer and former captain in the Army’s Judge-Advocate General Corps, writes that James Comey has already delayed for too long. In an outstanding column for American Thinker, he wonders what Comey is up to: “What FBI director James Comey intends is perhaps the greatest conundrum in Washington these days. Is he playing Hamlet to Hillary’s Claudius, introspective, doubtful, and unwilling to strike the killing blow? Is he just being a careful apolitical policeman? Or is he a political hack who will do what’s best for Jim Comey? Perhaps it’s a bit of all three. Whatever the truth, it is in Hillary’s best interest to discourage James Comey as much as possible. Her early claim to be the Democrat nominee serves that purpose.”

Keiler argues that Hillary’s convenient surge past the magic delegate number the night before the California primary, through a sudden burst of superdelegate declarations, served both her political and legal purposes. “If Comey is an honest policeman,” he writes, “the best time for him to have acted was before Hillary claimed the nomination. Then he would only have been referring charges against another—albeit notorious—private citizen. After the nomination, Hillary becomes not only the standard bearer of one of America’s two great political parties, but a ‘historic’ figure as the first woman to do so. As such, it behooved both Hillary and her backers in the media to reach that point ASAP.”
“As a political and media matter,” he adds, “an FBI referral at this point will be against not only the Democratic Party’s presidential nominee, but also a historic figure, an affront to the American political system and women everywhere.”

Nevertheless, James Comey should immediately uphold the law and proceed with the recommendation of indictment. The evidence against Hillary Clinton is clear, not to mention, there is already talk of a revolt within the FBI along with the unauthorized release of the investigation documents.

UPDATE: July 2, 2016

Attorney General Loretta Lynch’s decision to accept the recommendations of FBI Director James Comey’s and other prosecutors when it comes to Hillary Clinton’s email investigation has not only made Comey the new public face of the probe, but helps shift the final decisions from a person serving in a key political position in the Obama administration.

Ron Hosko, a former FBI assistant director and president of the Law Enforcement Legal Defense Fund said, “There is a growing expectation that we the public need to hear the FBI, James Comey version of whether or not changes will be brought.”

Lynch on Friday (July 1, 2016) said she is not removing herself from the case, as has been demanded by a growing crowd of Republican critics after she met on her government plane with former President Bill Clinton.

She admitted Friday in an interview at the Aspen Ideas Festival that the meeting between her and Clinton had “cast a shadow” over the investigation, even though she said they talked about family, travel and other topics rather than the probe.

She said she’d already made her decision to accept recommendations from the FBI and federal prosecutors privately, but decided to make the announcement public because of the growing controversy over the meeting between herself and the former president.

Clinton will meet with FBI investigators at her home in Washington, D.C., on Saturday (July 2, 2016) — likely the final step in the agency’s probe into her unlawful use of a private email server for government business when she was secretary of state.

Investigators have already questioned several of Clinton’s key aides, including her deputy chief of staff, Huma Abedin, and former chief of staff Cheryl Mills.

Lynch said on Friday (July 1, 2016) that she will not have a role in the eventual findings, but she will be briefed on them and will accept the recommendations, falling short of removing not only herself, but other political appointees from the case.

Did you notice Lynch said she expects to accept. Does accepting their recommendations mean she doesn’t have the right to overrule it? No! She didn’t say I will recuse myself. She didn’t say I’ll stay out of it. She didn’t say I’ll ask for a special prosecutor, an independent counsel. This is all smoke and mirrors folks!”

These are people openly flaunting the system while ordinary Americans are held to a completed different standard. With Bill Clinton, there can be no presumption of truthfulness. There is serial evidence to the contrary. Bill Clinton was impeached for perjury and obstruction of justice. He escaped indictment by accepting a penalty short of criminal conviction including an unequivocal admission of lying under oath by surrendering his law license. As a result, he was disbarred. Hillary Clinton has been investigated for everything from the Rose Law Firm to Whitewater to cattle futures to missing files, Travelgate, etc.

So what should Americans reasonably believe? Nothing that they say!
So, should these people be trusted to run our country? The answer is positively, absolutely, undeniably, NO!

Additional Information

FBI Director James Comey has a long history of involvement in Department of Justice actions that arguably ended up favorable to the Clintons.

In 2004, Comey, then serving as a deputy attorney general in the Justice Department, apparently limited the scope of the criminal investigation of Sandy Berger, which left out former Clinton administration officials who may have coordinated with Berger in his removal and destruction of classified records from the National Archives. The documents were relevant to accusations that the Clinton administration was negligent in the build-up to the 9/11 terrorist attack.

On Tuesday, July 5, 2016, Comey announced that despite evidence of “extreme negligence by Hillary Clinton and her top aides regarding the handling of classified information through a private email server, the FBI would not refer criminal charges to Attorney General Loretta Lynch and the Justice Department.

Curiously, Berger, Lynch and Cheryl Mills all worked as partners in the Washington law firm Hogan & Hartson, which prepared tax returns for the Clintons and did patent work for a software firm that played a role in the private email server Hillary Clinton used when she was secretary of state.

Lynch and Comey both served as U.S. attorney in New York, Lynch for the Eastern District of New York, and Comey for the Southern District of New York. They crossed paths in the investigation of HSBC bank, which avoided criminal charges in a massive money-laundering scandal for which the bank paid a $1.9 billion fine.

After Attorney General John Aschroft recused himself in the Valerie Plame affair in 2004, Comey appointed as special counsel Patrick J. Fitzgerald, who ended up convicting “Scooter” Libby, a top aide to then Vice President Dick Cheney, of perjury and obstruction of justice. The charge was based on the accusations of Plame and her former ambassador husband, Joe Wilson – both partisan supporters of Bill and Hillary Clinton – that Libby outing her as a CIA agent.


Prosecutor in Berger case
As deputy attorney general, Comey was involved in the investigation of Berger, as Fox News reported in 2004

Berger at that time was under criminal investigation by the Justice Department for removing from the National Archives various classified documents that should have been turned over to the independent commission investigating the 9/11 terror attacks and for removing handwritten notes he made while reviewing the documents.

The New York Times reported in 2005 that Republican leaders speculated Berger removed the documents from the National Archives because he was trying to conceal material that could be damaging to the Clinton administration.

There is no evidence Comey’s investigation for the Justice Department made any attempt to determine if anyone affiliated with the Clinton White House prompted Berger or coordinated with him in the decision to remove the classified documents.

Various statements Comey made about Berger’s mishandling of classified documents bear comparison to his comments regarding Hillary Clinton’s email server.

In 2004, Fox News noted Comey told reporters he could not comment on the Berger investigation but did address the general issue of mishandling classified documents.
“As a general matter, we take issues of classified information very seriously,” Comey said in response to a reporter’s question.

He added that the department had prosecuted and sought administrative sanctions against people for mishandling classified information.

“It’s our lifeblood, those secrets,” Comey continued. “It’s against the law for anyone to intentionally mishandle classified documents either by taking it to give to somebody else or by mishandling it in a way that is outside the government regulations.”

On April 1, 2005, Berger pleaded guilty to a misdemeanor charge of intentionally removing documents from the National Archives and destroying some of them. He was fined $50,000, sentenced to 100 hours of community service and two years probation. Also, his national security license was stripped for two years.

Messages found stored on Clinton’s private email server show that Berger – a convicted thief of classified documents – had been advising Clinton while she served as secretary of state and had access to emails containing classified information.

For example, in an email dated Sept. 22, 2009, Berger advised Clinton advised how she could leverage information to make Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu more cooperative in discussions with the Obama administration over a settlement freeze.

**Law firm ties Berger, Lynch, Mills**
Berger worked as a partner in the Washington law firm Hogan & Hartson from 1973 to 1977, before taking a position as the deputy director of policy planning at the State Department in the Carter administration.

When Carter lost his re-election bid, Berger returned to Hogan & Hartson, where he worked until he took leave in 1988 to act as foreign policy adviser in Gov. Michael Dukakis’ presidential campaign.

When Dukakis was defeated, Berger returned to Hogan & Hartson until he became foreign policy adviser for Bill Clinton’s presidential campaign in 1992.

On March 28, WND reported Lynch was a litigation partner for eight years at Hogan & Hartson, from March 2002 through April 2010.

Mills also worked at Hogan & Hartson, for two years, starting in 1990, before she joined then President-elect Bill Clinton’s transition team, on her way to securing a position as White House deputy counsel in the Clinton administration.

According to documents Hillary Clinton’s first presidential campaign made public in 2008, Hogan & Hartson’s New York-based partner Howard Topaz was the tax lawyer who filed income tax returns for Bill and Hillary Clinton beginning in 2004.

In addition, Hogan & Hartson in Virginia filed a patent trademark request on May 19, 2004, for Denver-based MX Logic Inc., the computer software firm that developed the email encryption system used to manage Clinton’s private email server beginning in July 2013. A tech expert has observed that employees of MX Logic could have had access to all the emails that went through her account.

In 1999, President Bill Clinton nominated Lynch for the first of her two terms as U.S. attorney for the Eastern District of New York, a position she held until she joined Hogan & Hartson in March 2002 to become a partner in the firm’s Litigation Practice Group.

She left Hogan & Hartson in 2010, after being nominated by President Obama for her second term as U.S. attorney for the Eastern District of New York, a position she held until Obama nominated her to serve in her current position as attorney general.
A report published April 8, 2008, by The American Lawyer noted Hogan & Hartson was among Hillary Clinton’s biggest financial supporters in the legal industry during her first presidential campaign.

“Firm lawyers and staff have donated nearly $123,400 to her campaign so far, according to campaign contribution data from the Center for Responsive Politics,” Nate Raymond observed in The American Lawyer article. “Christine Varney, a partner in Hogan’s Washington, D.C., office, served as chief counsel to the Clinton-Gore Campaign in 1992.”

While there is no evidence that Lynch played a direct role either in the tax work done by the firm for the Clintons or in linking Hillary’s private email server to MX Logic, the ethics of the legal profession hold all partners jointly liable for the actions of other partners in a business.

“If Hogan and Hartson previously represented the Clintons on tax matters, it is incumbent upon U.S. Attorney General Loretta Lynch to [disclose] what, if any, role she had in such tax matters,” said Tom Fitton, president of Washington-based Judicial Watch.

**HSBC link**

When Lynch’s nomination as attorney general was considered by the Senate one year ago, as WND reported, the Senate Judiciary Committee examined her role in the Obama administration’s decision not to prosecute the banking giant HSBC for laundering funds for Mexican drug cartels and Middle Eastern terrorists.

WND was first to report in a series of articles beginning in 2012 money-laundering charges brought by John Cruz, a former HSBC vice president and relationship manager, based on his more than 1,000 pages of evidence and secret audio recordings.

The staff of the Senate Judiciary Committee focused on Cruz’s allegations that Lynch, acting then in her capacity as the U.S. attorney for the Eastern District of New York, engaged in a Department of Justice cover-up. Obama’s attorney general nominee allowed HSBC in December 2011 to enter into a “deferred prosecution” settlement in which the bank agreed to pay a $1.9 billion fine and admit “willful criminal conduct” in exchange for dropping criminal investigations and prosecutions of HSBC directors or employees.

Cruz called the $1.92 billion fine the U.S. government imposed on HSBC “a joke” and filed a $10 million lawsuit for “retaliation and wrongful termination.”

From 2002 to 2003, Comey held the position of U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York, the same position held by Lynch.

On March 4, 2013, he joined the HSBC board of directors, agreeing to serve as an independent non-executive director and a member of the bank’s Financial System Vulnerabilities Committee, positions he held until he resigned on Aug. 3, 2013, to become head of the FBI.

**Comey, Fitzgerald and Valerie Plame**

On Jan. 1, 2004, the Washington Post reported that after Attorney General John Ashcroft recused himself and his staff from any involvement in the investigation of who leaked the name of CIA employee Valerie Plame after journalist Robert Novak named her in print as a CIA operative, Comey assumed the role of acting attorney general for the purposes of the investigation.

Comey appointed Patrick J. Fitzgerald, a U.S. attorney in Chicago, to act as special counsel in conducting the inquiry into what became known as “Plamegate.”

At the time Comey made the appointment, Fitzgerald was already godfather to one of Comey’s children.

On April 13, 2015, co-authoring a USA Today op-ed piece, Plame and her husband, retired ambassador Joseph Wilson, made public their support for Hillary Clinton’s 2016 presidential campaign, openly acknowledging their political closeness to both Hillary and Bill Clinton.
We have known Hillary Clinton both professionally and personally for close to 20 years, dating back to before President Bill Clinton’s first trip to Africa in 1998 — a trip that they both acknowledge changed their lives, and gave considerable meaning to their post-White House years and to the activities of the Clinton Foundation. Joe, serving as the National Security Council Senior Director for African Affairs, was instrumental in arranging that historic visit.

Our history became entwined with Hillary further after Valerie’s identity as a CIA officer was deliberately exposed. That criminal act was taken in retribution for Joe’s article in The New York Times in which he explained he had discovered no basis for the Bush administration’s justification for the Iraq War that Saddam Hussein was seeking yellowcake uranium to develop a nuclear weapon.

In January 2016, Chuck Ross in the Daily Caller reported that Hillary Clinton emails made public made clear that one of her “most frequent favor-seekers when she was secretary of state was former Ambassador Joseph Wilson, a longtime Clinton friend, an endorser of Clinton’s 2008 presidential campaign, and an Africa expert with deep business ties on the continent.”


In the case of the Afghanistan project, Ross noted, Clinton vouched for Wilson and Symbion as she forwarded the request to Jack Lew, who served then as deputy secretary of state for management and resources.

Ross further reported Wilson’s request might also have been discussed with President Obama, as one email indicates.

In 2005, Fitzgerald prosecuted Libby, a prominent adviser to then Vice President Dick Cheney, in the Plame investigation, charging him with two counts of perjury, two counts of making false statements to federal prosecutors and one count of obstruction of justice.

On March 6, 2007, Libby was convicted of four of the five counts, and on June 5, 2007, was sentenced by U.S. District Judge Reggie B. Walton to two and a half years in federal prison.

On April 6, 2015, the Wall Street Journal reported the publication of New York Times reporter Judith Miller’s memoir “The Story: A Reporter’s Journey” exposed “unscrupulous conduct” by Fitzgerald in the 2007 trial of Libby.

WSJ reporter Peter Berkowitz noted Miller “writes that Mr. Fitzgerald induced her to give what she now realizes was false testimony.”

“By withholding critical information and manipulating her memory as he prepared her to testify, Ms. Miller relates, Mr. Fitzgerald ‘steered’ her ‘in the wrong direction.’”

UPDATE: July 5, 2016

The director of the once-irreproachable Federal Bureau of Investigation grossly misled the American people. Director James Comey, as regards the Hillary Clinton private server scandal, boldly stated that there was no evidence that anyone deliberately mishandled classified information. That, is a bald-faced lie.
There is no way of getting around this: According to Director James Comey, Hillary Clinton checked every box required for a felony violation of Section 793(f) of the federal penal code (Title 18): With lawful access to highly classified information she acted with gross negligence in removing and causing it to be removed from its proper place of custody, and she transmitted it and caused it to be transmitted to others not authorized to have it, in patent violation of her trust. Director Comey even conceded that former Secretary Clinton was “extremely careless” and strongly suggested that her recklessness very likely led to communications (her own and those she corresponded with) being intercepted by foreign intelligence services.

Yet, Director Comey recommended against prosecution of the law violations he clearly found on the ground that there was no intent to harm the United States.

In essence, in order to give Mrs. Clinton a pass, James Comey rewrote the statute, inserting an intent element that Congress did not require. The added intent element, moreover, makes no sense: The point of having a statute that criminalizes gross negligence is to underscore that government officials have a special obligation to safeguard national defense secrets; when they fail to carry out that obligation due to gross negligence, they are guilty of serious wrongdoing. The lack of intent to harm our country is irrelevant. People never intend the bad things that happen due to gross negligence. Moreover, that there are other statutes that criminalize unlawfully removing and transmitting highly classified information with intent to harm the United States. Being not guilty (and, indeed, not even accused) of Offense B does not absolve a person of guilt on Offense A, which she has committed.

It is a common tactic of defense lawyers in criminal trials to set up a straw-man for the jury: a crime the defendant has not committed. The idea is that by knocking down a crime the prosecution does not allege and cannot prove, the defense may confuse the jury into believing the defendant is not guilty of the crime charged. Judges generally do not allow such sleight-of-hand because innocence on an uncharged crime is irrelevant to the consideration of the crimes that actually have been charged.

This is what James Comey has done. He has told the public that because Mrs. Clinton did not have intent to harm the United States we should not prosecute her on a felony that does not require proof of intent to harm the United States. Meanwhile, although there may have been profound harm to national security caused by her grossly negligent mishandling of classified information, we’ve decided she shouldn’t be prosecuted for grossly negligent mishandling of classified information.

Finally, Director Comey’s claim that no reasonable prosecutor would bring a case based on the evidence uncovered by the FBI. However, a reasonable prosecutor would ask: Why did Congress criminalize the mishandling of classified information through gross negligence? The answer, obviously, is to prevent harm to national security. So then the reasonable prosecutor asks: Was the statute clearly violated, and if yes, is it likely that Mrs. Clinton’s conduct caused harm to national security? If those two questions are answered in the affirmative, a reasonable prosecutors would feel obliged to bring the case.

Like many Americans, Speaker of the House Paul Ryan was floored by Director Comey’s recommendation not to indict Hillary Clinton. Expressing deep concern for the erosion of the rule of law. Ryan said, “No one should be above the law.”

The facts speak for themselves, for these treasonous actions by James Comey are a blatant display of government corruption. For the most part, some of the top-secret emails on Hillary Clinton’s personal server were sent between President Obama and Ms. Clinton, so the outcome of this case was decided long ago, and there was no legitimate “investigation.” The fix was in from the beginning, and President Obama was not going to be pulled into a Clinton scandal. To that end, Director Comey unlawfully deemed crimes and lies unworthy of indictment, but he said that the rest of us should not feel similarly free to operate as Ms. Clinton has. We would be prosecuted. He clearly admitted that she is above the law.

Then there is the other criminal matters of the investigation into the Clinton Foundation, and potential quid-pro-quo corruption lining the actions of the secretary of state to donations to the foundation. Director Comey said absolutely nothing about these investigations, not to mention, how Hillary Clinton violated federal laws governing evidence-tampering and obstruction of justice.

Lets face it, unlike the men we honored the day before, on July 4th, who pledged their lives, their fortunes and their sacred honor to defend liberty and the rule of law, FBI Director Comey was not willing to put anything on the line. He wanted us to know Hillary was guilty as hell. But he was not willing to pit himself along with the FBI against Obama’s DOJ, so he let the guilty walk free without even an attempt at insisting she be charged.
The decision not to indict Hillary Clinton is the moment at which the United States crossed the line which separates a “dysfunctional mess” from a genuine “third-world-style banana republic.” We no longer adhere to the rule of law or, if we do, we apply it differently to different classes. The political class operates with one playbook, while the rest of us are tied to another, much harsher, set of rules.

In conclusion, James Comey has treasonously made the FBI part of a federal government crime syndicate, or should we say he has made the FBI their Bitch along with the American people?

UPDATE: September 24, 2016

The weekly document dump by the FBI has turned up some startling information. The Bureau granted at least partial immunity to five Hillary Clinton aides who were key players in the private email scandal now roiling the Clinton campaign.

Clinton’s I.T. aide, Bryan Pagliano, has already been held in contempt of Congress for refusing to testify, despite his being granted immunity by the FBI. The documents revealed that Hillary Clinton’s friend and lawyer, Cheryl Mills, also received an immunity deal for turning over her laptop.

This has incensed congressional Republicans trying to get to the bottom of Clinton’s use of a private email server and why so many emails that were deleted shouldn’t have been. They wonder why the immunity agreements did not include language that would have allowed the aides to testify before Congress.

Politico:

“If the FBI wanted any other American’s laptops, they’d just go get them — they wouldn’t get an immunity deal,” Rep. Jim Jordan (R-Ohio), an oversight panel member, said in a phone interview. “But everyone associated with the Clinton gets a different set of standards applied to them… It’s the strangest stuff I have ever seen.”

Republicans were also incensed that the immunity deals, which now cover five Clinton staffers at the heart of the controversy, did not require witnesses to cooperate with Congress, sources who reviewed them told POLITICO. Such agreements sometimes include language forcing the recipients to answer other investigative entities, but the Justice deals did not.

Republicans have been trying to question several of those protected individuals, including: Clinton’s top IT staffer Bryan Pagliano, who set up the server; Platte River Networks engineer Paul Combetta, who erased Clinton’s email archive days after news of her email use became public; and John Bentel, a tech staffer at the State Department who told his subordinates never to speak of Clinton’s email when they raised concerns.

This latest email flare-up comes at an inopportune time for Clinton, just days before her first debate against Donald Trump. Republicans said the timing of the immunity news was not intentional; they only learned on Friday of the arrangements with Mills, Samuelson and Bentel and almost immediately disclosed them to the AP, which first reported the story. Regardless, Clinton has been unable to shake the email controversy even after the FBI decided against recommending charges against her in July.

Comey’s decision not to prosecute Clinton is clearly seen as a treasonous act. The fact is, granting all these immunity deals is just another way to cover up the truth. No one under Clinton is going to be held responsible for the illegal deletions of emails or the mishandling of classified data, so how can you hold Clinton solely responsible?
Where it looked as if, at one time, Clinton aides Abedin and Mills would, at the very least, be charged with mishandling classified information, the FBI director Comey made sure that no one would be held accountable. Roger Simon of PJ Media asks, “What Happens When You Can’t Trust the FBI and the Department of Justice?”

The answer is lawlessness in government at the highest levels.

UPDATE: September 28, 2016

A review of FBI Director James Comey’s professional history and relationships shows that the Obama cabinet leader — now under fire for his handling of the investigation of Hillary Clinton — is deeply entrenched in the big-money cronyism culture of Washington, D.C. His personal and professional relationships — all undisclosed as he announced the Bureau would not prosecute Clinton — reinforce bipartisan concerns that he politicized the criminal probe.

This focuses on millions of dollars that Comey accepted from a Clinton Foundation defense contractor, Comey’s former membership on a Clinton Foundation corporate partner’s board, and his surprising financial relationship with his brother Peter Comey, who works at the law firm that does the Clinton Foundation’s taxes.

**Lockheed Martin**

When President Obama nominated Comey to become FBI director in 2013, Comey promised the United States Senate that he would recuse himself on all cases involving former employers.

But Comey earned $6 million in one year alone from Lockheed Martin. Lockheed Martin became a Clinton Foundation donor that very year.

Comey served as deputy attorney general under John Ashcroft for two years of the Bush administration. When he left the Bush administration, he went directly to Lockheed Martin and became vice president, acting as a general counsel.

How much money did James Comey make from Lockheed Martin in his last year with the company, which he left in 2010? More than $6 million in compensation.

Lockheed Martin is a Clinton Foundation donor. The company admitted to becoming a Clinton Global Initiative member in 2010.

According to records, Lockheed Martin is also a member of the American Chamber of Commerce in Egypt, which paid Bill Clinton $250,000 to deliver a speech in 2010.

In 2010, Lockheed Martin won 17 approvals for private contracts from the Hillary Clinton State Department.

**HSBC Holdings**

In 2013, Comey became a board member, a director, and a Financial System Vulnerabilities Committee member of the London bank HSBC Holdings.

“Mr. Comey’s appointment will be for an initial three-year term which, subject to re-election by shareholders, will expire at the conclusion of the 2016 Annual General Meeting,” according to HSBC company records.

HSBC Holdings and its various philanthropic branches routinely partner with the Clinton Foundation. For instance, HSBC Holdings has partnered with Deutsche Bank through the Clinton Foundation to “retrofit 1,500 to 2,500 housing units, primarily in the low- to moderate-income sector” in “New York City.”
“Retrofitting” refers to a Green initiative to conserve energy in commercial housing units. Clinton Foundation records show that the Foundation projected “$1 billion in financing” for this Green initiative to conserve people’s energy in low-income housing units.

Who Is Peter Comey?
When our source called the Chinatown offices of D.C. law firm DLA Piper and asked for “Peter Comey,” a receptionist immediately put him through to Comey’s direct line. But Peter Comey is not featured on the DLA Piper website.

Peter Comey serves as “Senior Director of Real Estate Operations for the Americas” for DLA Piper. James Comey was not questioned about his relationship with Peter Comey in his confirmation hearing.

DLA Piper is the firm that performed the independent audit of the Clinton Foundation in November during Clinton-World’s first big push to put the email scandal behind them. DLA Piper’s employees taken as a whole represent a major Hillary Clinton 2016 campaign donation bloc and Clinton Foundation donation base.

DLA Piper ranks #5 on Hillary Clinton’s all-time career Top Contributors list, just ahead of Goldman Sachs.

And here is another thing: Peter Comey has a mortgage on his house that is owned by his brother James Comey, the FBI director.

Peter Comey’s financial records, obtained by Breitbart News, show that he bought a $950,000 house in Vienna, Virginia, in June 2008. He needed a $712,500 mortgage from First Savings Mortgage Corporation.

But on January 31, 2011, James Comey and his wife stepped in to become Private Party lenders. They granted a mortgage on the house for $711,000. Financial records suggest that Peter Comey took out two such mortgages from his brother that day.

This financial relationship between the Comey brothers began prior to James Comey’s nomination to become director of the FBI.

DLA Piper did not answer any question as to whether James Comey and Peter Comey spoke at any point about this mortgage or anything else during the Clinton email investigation.

This needs to be seen by all:

Lead FBI agent John Giacalone abruptly resigned in the middle of the investigation in February 2016.

Pay For Play – involving the Clinton Foundation were not properly vetted, ultimately white washed.

FBI agents were blocked from serving search warrants to retrieve key evidence.

FBI agents were not allowed to interrogate witnesses and targets without warning.

FBI agents had been trying to interview Clinton since December 2015, approval delayed by top brass.

FBI agents believed Clinton case was being “slow-walked” to run-out-the-clock.

FBI agents stunned that targets Cheryl Mills and Heather Samuelson were permitted to sit in on Hillary Clinton’s FBI interview.
Clinton and aides cited amnesia. In Clinton’s case she claimed due to medical complications.

Attempts to secure Clinton’s medical records to confirm her head injury were sabotaged by FBI Director James Comey.

FBI Director James Comey: We found no evidence of intent.

FBI Docs: IT employee labeled his work “Hillary cover-up operation”
No longer can the FBI claim it’s Untouchable. Not only because of the treasonous acts of James Comey, but for every FBI agent within the bureau that did absolutely nothing to stop this from happening. Simple said, the entire FBI is treasonously corrupt.

UPDATE: October 7, 2016

More revelations of wrongdoing by Hillary Clinton and her staff have emerged from FBI files detailing interviews with State Department employees. It appears that at least two boxes of Clinton emails have disappeared. The emails cover the crucial months of January-April, 2009 when Clinton began her tenure as secretary and was setting up her private email server.

There is also the allegation that one of Clinton’s key aides, Patrick Kennedy, altered the classified headings on documents to make more of them unavailable for Freedom of Information Act requests.

Fox News:

The details about the boxes are contained in five pages of the FBI file – with a staggering 111 redactions – that summarize the statements of a State Department witness who worked in the “Office of Information Programs and Services (IPS).”

The employee told the FBI that, “Initially, IPS officials were told there were 14 bankers boxes of former Secretary of State Hillary CLINTON’s emails at CLINTON’s Friendship Heights office.” Friendship Heights is a neighborhood that straddles the Northwest neighborhood of the District of Columbia and Maryland.

The State Department witness further explained to the FBI that “on or about December 5, 2014, IPS personnel picked up only 12 bankers boxes of CLINTON’s emails from Williams & Connolly.”

The officials were not sure if the boxes “were consolidated or what could have happened to the two other boxes. “

Clinton’s chief lawyer at Williams & Connolly, who leads all Clinton-related legal matters, is David Kendall. He has successfully represented Bill and Hillary Clinton together and separately throughout decades of their legal entanglements since the 1980’s, ranging from the former president’s sex scandals to missing billing records for Hillary Clinton’s work as a partner in The Rose Law Firm on behalf of the failed Madison Guaranty Savings and Loan and Capital Management Services.
In the documents provided by Kendall’s law firm, the witness told the FBI they were “unable to locate any of her emails from January-April 2009.” This timeframe is crucial as it covers the start of Clinton’s term as secretary of state and when she set up a private server for all government business, in turn skirting public records laws.

In the same Aug. 18, 2015, interview, on page 42, the State Department witness also told the FBI there was a deliberate effort to change sensitive Clinton emails bearing the “B(1)” code — used in the Freedom of Information Act review process to identify classified information — to the category of “B-5.” That category covers Executive Branch deliberations, “interagency or intra-agency communications including attorney client privileges,” and makes material exempt from public release.

Over five pages of the single-spaced summary notes, the witness, whose name is redacted, alleges Clinton’s team which included Undersecretary for Management Patrick Kennedy played classification games to confuse and obfuscate the formal FOIA review process. None of this interested the FBI enough for them to follow up and get to the bottom of who did what, who destroyed what, and who altered what.

Now imagine an ordinary citizen pulling crap like this with how many years in prison they’d get. Four months worth of crucial documents that could make or break a criminal case against Hillary Clinton and her aides disappears into thin air? A key aide orders subordinates to alter official government documents to try and hide them from FOIA requests?

Many might think the Clintons were too smart to commit anything criminal to paper. That would be wrong, for they counted on friendly investigators covering for them. In this, FBI Director Comey’s decision was nothing less than politically-motivated malfeasance, that is, the performance by a public official of an act that is legally unjustified, harmful, or contrary to law.

Thanks to Comey, it should now be clear to all thoughtful Americans that the U.S. Government, as an institution, is hopelessly corrupt, unaccountable to the people and unconstrained by the rule of law.

James Comey obviously concurs with and has aptly demonstrated the political elite are immune from prosecution regardless of the damage done to our national security and the Constitution, specifically the concept of equal justice under the law.

James Comey has secured his place in U.S. History.

America has a new Benedict Arnold.

UPDATE: October 28, 2016

US Congressman Trey Gowdy is investigating Hillary’s email scandal case. So right up front, FBI Director James Comey asked for immunity and Gowdy told him flatly, “Hell No.”

That’s right. What Comey is asking for is to be absolved of what he’s done and anything that may happen in the future from any further investigations. In turn, one may ask how this doesn’t throw up the biggest red flag in American history? Well it did to Trey Gowdy, and he just ripped Comey a new one, not to mention, he is about to do everything in his power to stop that immunity from happening.

One might think Comey is running out of clever excuses to overlook Hillary’s obvious criminality, and it has come back to bite him and the country he supposedly serves.
However, eleven days before the presidential election, James Comey has told several members of Congress he is reopening the investigation involving Hillary Clinton’s private email server while she headed the State Department.
Honorable Richard M. Burr  
Chairman  
Select Committee on Intelligence

Honorable Charles E. Grassley  
Chairman  
Committee on the Judiciary

Honorable Richard Shelby  
Chairman  
Committee on Appropriations  
Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, Science and Related Agencies

Honorable Ron Johnson  
Chairman  
Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

Dear Messrs Chairmen:

In previous congressional testimony, I referred to the fact...
What is plainly obvious is Comey has not started a legitimate investigation, for when Comey wrote the letter he had no idea what was in the content of the emails. Matter of fact, Comey and the entire FBI haven’t obtained a search warrant to review the new emails related to the investigation into Hillary Clinton’s private email server.

When putting it all together, this is just another treasonous act by James Comey to protect Hillary Clinton along with his own ass from being prosecuted. In other words, Comey can now save himself by recommending a federal indictment against Hillary if Trump wins, and do nothing if Hillary wins.

James Comey is a traitor by his own hubris and of that destructive machine called Clinton.

UPDATE: October 30, 2016

Law enforcement officials have confirmed the FBI later obtained a warrant to search emails related to the Hillary Clinton private server probe that were discovered on ex-congressman Anthony Weiner’s laptop.

The warrant came two days after FBI director James Comey revealed the existence of the emails, which law-enforcement sources said were linked to Weiner’s estranged wife, top Clinton aide Huma Abedin.

The FBI already had a warrant to search Weiner’s laptop, but that only applied to evidence of his allegedly illicit communications with an underage girl.

UPDATE: November 3, 2016

Here is an updated list of the crimes Hillary Clinton and her team should be investigated for by the FBI:

* The unlawful removal, transmitting, maintaining and destruction of sensitive and highly classified data, records and information

* Money laundering

* Sex crimes with minors (children)

* Perjury

* Pay to play through Clinton Foundation

* Evidence tampering

* Obstruction of justice

* and other felony crimes

UPDATE: November 6, 2016

FBI Director James B. Comey notified key members of Congress Sunday afternoon that after reviewing newly discovered Hillary Clinton emails the agency stands by its original findings against recommending charges.
The three-paragraph letter was sent to the chairman of the Homeland Security, Judiciary, Appropriations and Oversight and Government Reform and was copied to the ranking members of those committees. Comey said the FBI had performed an “extraordinary amount of high quality work” to conduct the review.
November 6, 2016

Dear Messrs. Chairmen:

I write to supplement my October 28, 2016 letter that notified you the FBI would be taking additional investigative steps with respect to former Secretary of State Clinton’s use of a personal email server. Since my letter, the FBI investigative team has been working around the clock to process and review a large volume of emails from a device obtained in connection with an unrelated criminal investigation. During that process, we reviewed all of the communications that were to or from Hillary Clinton while she was Secretary of State.

Based on our review, we have not changed our conclusions that we expressed in July with respect to Secretary Clinton.

I am very grateful to the professionals at the FBI for doing an extraordinary amount of high-quality work in a short period of time.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
James B. Comey
Director

cc: See next page
Comey wrote that investigators had worked “around the clock” to review all the emails found on a device used by former congressman Anthony Weiner that had been sent to or from Clinton and that “we have not changed our conclusions expressed in July 2016.”

The conclusion from Comey provided one last twist to the 2016 presidential campaign and came just two days before the election.

A spokesman for the FBI declined to comment beyond Comey’s letter, as did a spokesman for the Department of Justice.

Additional Information

The FBI has become a criminal organization within itself, for the same political interference and corruption would have prevailed if James Comey had resigned.

The number two man within the FBI is Andrew McCabe, and he’s another traitor tainted by the Clinton graft. His wife received $500,000 for a Virginia state senate campaign from Clinton moneyman Terry McAuliffe. So with little doubt McCabe would have certainly further hobbled and ended the Clinton investigations.

What sadly remains is no longer Untouchable, for the FBI has become an agency full of cheats, liars, and traitors.

UPDATE: May 9, 2017

“President Donald Trump on Tuesday, May 9, 2017, fired FBI Director James Comey.

“At the very least, James Comey will is remembered as a traitor to the men and women in the FBI, his president, and his country.

UPDATE: May 18, 2017

“The traitor James Comey has now been caught committed perjury to Congress.

“Former FBI Director James Comey said he was never told to stop an investigation due of political reasons during testimony to the Senate Judiciary Committee on May 3, 2017, which contradicts his new claim that Trump pressured him to stop the Flynn investigation in February.

“Comey now claims President Trump asked him to shut down the federal investigation into former national security adviser, Michael T. Flynn, in an Oval Office meeting in February, according to a reported memo Mr. Comey says he wrote after the meeting.

“The New York Times claims this February memo is the “smoking gun,” but in fact it contradicts Comey’s sworn testimony on May 3, 2017.

“During the Senate testimony, Comey confirmed to Sen. Mazie Hirono (D-Hawaii) that in theory, the Attorney General or senior officials at the Department of Justice could halt a FBI investigation.

“The former FBI director added it would “be a big deal to tell the FBI to stop doing something that – without an appropriate purpose.”
“But I’m talking about a situation where we were told to stop something for a political reason, that would be a very big deal. It’s not happened in my experience.”

“Remember, James Comey said this on May 3, 2017, months after the reported memo he claimed to have written after meeting with Trump.

Daniel Dusek is a traitor.

Captain Daniel Dusek, the former deputy director of operations for the Navy’s 7th Fleet has been sentenced to nearly four years in prison for his treasonous involvement in a bribery scandal.

Dusek pleaded guilty last year (2015) to conspiracy to commit bribery, admitting he traded classified information for luxury gifts and access to prostitutes. He was sentenced to 46 months on Friday.

He’s the highest-ranking officer who has faced charges for his involvement in the scandal. Two admirals had their access to classified material suspended several years ago, over alleged connections to the scandal. To this day, both admirals — one of whom is the Navy’s intelligence chief — are blocked from viewing classified documents.

The scandal in question centers on foreign defense contractor Leonard Glenn Francis, or “Fat Leonard.”

Francis plied officers with vacations, prostitutes, cash and gifts including “top-shelf alcohol, ornamental swords and handmade ship models,” the Associated Press reports.

Dusek acknowledge that he received free hotel stays, alcohol, meals, gifts, entertainment and prostitutes. In return, he would send Francis and his employees classified Navy ship schedules, giving the contractors information that helped them overcharge the military.

In an email to an employee, the Justice Department says, Francis called Dusek “a golden asset to drive the big decks (aircraft carriers) into our fat revenue GDMA ports.”
Before his sentencing at a federal court in San Diego, Dusek told the judge, “I will hold this guilt in my heart for the rest of my life,” the AP reports.

After serving as Deputy Operations Officer for the 7th Fleet, Dusek commanded the USS Essex and then the USS Bonhomme Richard.

He was relieved of duty as commander of the Bonhomme in 2013 over the bribery scandal. After pleading guilty to bribery in 2015, Dusek remained on active duty at a desk job, Navy Times reported.

In addition to his prison sentence, Dusek will also have to pay $100,000 in fines and restitution to the Navy.

Ten other people, including Naval commanders, have been charged so far for their involvement in the corruption scandal.

Dusek has “hinted” that higher-ranking officers than him were being bribed, The Washington Post reports. The paper has more on the broader scandal:

“In a fresh revelation, Dusek said Francis went so far as to purchase a decommissioned British naval vessel and turned it into a party boat to entertain top U.S. Navy officials. The ship, originally known as the RFA Sir Lancelot, was rechristened the Glenn Braveheart after Francis bought it in 2003.

“Dusek also said that Francis had a traveling squad of prostitutes and strippers — dubbed his ‘Thai SEAL Team’ — who accompanied him to greet U.S. ships as they arrived in Asian ports.”

So apparently rank has its privileges, for Dusic received less than four years. That seems a bit light for what really amounts to treason from a Navy officer.

Janice M. Stewart is a traitor.

Janice M. Stewart is a treasonous United States District Court Judge for the District of Oregon.
U.S. Magistrate Judge Janice M. Stewart ruled Nevada rancher Cliven Bundy has little to no constitutional rights and must stay behind bars, calling him a danger to the community after he arrived in Oregon to support the occupation of a national wildlife preserve led by his sons. Janice Stewart also said Bundy should be held without bail ahead of trial because there is a risk he won’t show up for future court dates.

Federal prosecutors called the 69-year-old “lawless and violent” in a document filed before the hearing, an assertion his attorney and family denied. “If he is released and he goes back to his ranch, that is likely the last the government will see of him,” Stewart said.

Bundy, 69, was arrested in Portland on charges stemming from a 2014 armed standoff with federal officials who were rounding up his cattle over unlawful federally imposed grazing fees.

He came to Oregon to support a weeks long occupation at Malheur National Wildlife Refuge, which his sons, Ammon and Ryan Bundy, demanded in accordance with the United States Constitution that the federal government turn over public lands to State and local government control.

His sons were arrested January 26, 2016 and remain in jail, but four holdouts extended the occupation until last Thursday, February 11, 2018, when they surrendered.

The elder Bundy was not charged in connection with the Oregon occupation. All his charges stem from the 2014 Nevada standoff: conspiracy, assault on a federal officer, obstruction, weapon use and possession, extortion to interfere with commerce, and aiding and abetting.

Bundy’s attorney, Noel Grefenson, said his client could not be a danger if authorities waited to charge him for 22 months. Judge Janice Stewart dismissed that argument and set his next hearing for Friday, February 19, 2016.

A family member said the patriarch isn’t dangerous or a criminal and should be released to live at home.

“Mr. Cliven believes in the proper role of government and proper jurisdiction. Where’s the jurisdiction?” daughter-in-law Briana Bundy told The Associated Press by telephone from Bunkerville, Nevada.

“He’s not a flight risk. This is his home. This is where his livelihood is,” she said.

Cliven Bundy is accused of unlawfully directing more than 200 followers to stop federal agents and contract cowboys who were trying to enforce a court order to round up about 400 of his cattle two years ago.

“Witnesses have described the level of threatened violence as so intense that something as innocent as the backfire of (a) vehicle, or someone lighting a firecracker, would have set off a firefight,” according to a 34-page document filed by prosecutors Tuesday, February 15, 2016.

They allege that Bundy and his followers set up traffic checkpoints on public roads and followed and intimidated federal officials trying to conduct plant surveys.

The government said they released the cattle to diffuse the standoff, but failed to mention the cattle were dying under their care.

Federal authorities have said Bundy owes more than $1 million in fees and penalties for letting cows graze for decades on federal land near his ranch. However, the Federal authorities along with Judge Janice Stewart refuse to recognize the United States Constitution in that these lands are not federal lands, but belong to the State and We The People.

One should ask, if Cliven Bundy is so dangerous why has he been allowed to travel as he wished amongst the public since 2014 without an arrest? He must have killed at least 100 people in these last 22 months to get that outlandish description added to his name from a bought and paid for treasonous judge. Bundys attorney is correct, if he was so dangerous to society why didn’t you
arrest him earlier, did you want him to harm someone in Nevada? Just like these charges, it’s all fabricated to keep the truth from getting out on the government land grabs on these ranchers and the murder of Robert LaVoy Finicum that recently happened in Oregon. Although some may disagree with how the Bundy’s handled some things, we should admire their willingness to go balls to the wall against an ever encroaching and tyrannical government.

If convicted of all six charges, Cliven Bundy could spend the rest of his life in federal prison.

Additional Information

Cliven Bundy Arrested By FBI After Flying Into PDX Airport
What Does The Constitution Say About Federal Land Ownership

Additional Information

It should be noted, Judge Janice Stewart continually violates State and Federal laws by releasing illegals under the unlawful policies of the Obama administration.

For example, Judge Janice Stewart on Friday, February 12, 2016 ordered Illegal Alien Francisco Aguirre to be released while he’s awaiting the start of his trial. Aguirre pleaded not guilty to his charge in court. He was taking refuge at an Oregon church to avoid deportation and was arrested this week on a federal charge of illegal re-entry.

Records show the 35-year-old was indicted by a grand jury in September on the illegal re-entry charge. U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement officials confirmed ICE has lifted its detainer on Aguirre, meaning his removal from the U.S. is on hold pending the outcome of his criminal case.

Aguirre, who came to the U.S. from El Salvador nearly two decades ago, was arrested Thursday, February 12, 2016, at a county court. He was there to settle a case of driving under the influence.

The arrest stems from a past criminal case: Aguirre was deported in 2000 after a drug conviction; he then unlawfully re-entered the country.

DUI, Drug conviction, Illegal entry, Illegal re-entry, Hindering arrest. And he’s free to walk.
Barbara Ann Mikulski is a traitor.

Barbara Ann Mikulski is a treasonous United States Senator from Maryland.

Mikulski said at a Senate hearing Wednesday (January 20, 2016) that she wanted to avoid getting “involved in constitutional arguments.” The only problem is that the hearing in question dealt with President Obama’s recent executive actions on guns, which many believe infringes on Americans’ Second Amendment rights.

“I look forward to…listening to the attorney general and listening to this wonderful panel that you’ve invited to participate today,” Mikulski, a Democrat and ardent supporter of gun control, said in her opening remarks ahead of a Senate Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies Subcommittee hearing, which heard testimony from the treasonous Attorney General Loretta Elizabeth Lynch.

“So let’s solve the problem,” Mikuski urged. “Let’s not get involved in constitutional arguments, and let’s help our American people be safe and secure in their home, their neighborhood, their school and their house of worship.”

Earlier this month, Obama announced that his administration will force more gun sellers — even low-volume sellers — to obtain licenses and to conduct background checks. The initiative, which was developed in part by Lynch, expands the category of gun sellers considered to be “in the business” of selling firearms.

Republicans and gun rights advocates criticized the executive action, alleging that it infringes on the Second Amendment right to keep and bear arms.

And as Republican senators at Wednesday’s hearing showed, they were unwilling to heed Mikuski’s request to avoid the constitutionality of Obama’s action.

“The department is on notice,” Alabama Sen. Richard Shelby told Lynch. “This subcommittee will have no part in undermining the Constitution and the rights that it protects.”

In conclusion, Barbara Ann Mikulski is clearly one of the main players within the Washington rat nest of traitors.

UPDATE: January 3, 2017

Barbara Ann Mikulski retired and is no longer a Senator as of January 3, 2017.
Amy Berman Jackson is a traitor.

Amy Berman Jackson is a Judge on the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.

U.S. District Court Judge Amy Berman Jackson has rejected President Barack Obama’s assertion of executive privilege to deny Congress access to records pertaining to Operation Fast and Furious, a gunrunning probe that allegedly allowed thousands of weapons to flow across the border into Mexico.

Jackson ruled Tuesday (January 19, 2016) that the Justice Department’s public disclosures about its response to the so-called “gun walking” controversy undercut Obama’s executive privilege claim.

“There is no need to balance the need against the impact that the revelation of any record could have on candor in future executive decision making, since any harm that might flow from the public revelation of the deliberations at issue here has already been self-inflicted,” Jackson wrote. “The Department itself has already publicly revealed the sum and substance of the very material it is now seeking to withhold. Since any harm that would flow from the disclosures sought here would be merely incremental, the records must be produced.”

Jackson said she wasn’t questioning the propriety of Obama’s claim of privilege, but ruling that the claim could not be sustained in view of other information the Justice Department had released on the topic, chiefly an Office of Inspector General report released in September 2012.
“This ruling is not predicated on a finding that the withholding was intended to cloak wrongdoing on the part of government officials or that the withholding itself was improper,” the judge wrote.

The standoff over the records led to a House vote in June 2012 holding then-Attorney General Eric Holder in contempt of Congress for failing to turn over the records. The House later initiated a lawsuit to try to force disclosure of the files. The case was repeatedly delayed in an unsuccessful effort to broker a settlement.

The administration initially asked Jackson to throw out the suit altogether, arguing that the legislative and executive branches should use their own methods to sort out the dispute. However, the judge ruled in 2013 that the fight was an appropriate one for the courts to resolve. She also rejected the administration’s efforts to appeal the case at that time, before she issued a definitive ruling.

Jackson, an Obama appointee, left open the possibility in her ruling Tuesday (January 19, 2016) that some of the disputed records could still be held back from Congress because they contain sensitive information on law enforcement techniques, implicate foreign policy concerns or discuss matters covered by attorney-client privilege.

To not definitely rule as to the inclusion of all records shows a disdain for the powers of Congress. Therein, Amy Berman Jackson is a traitor for not upholding the tenets of the Constitution.

BARRY GLENN WILLIAMS

Barry Glenn Williams is a traitor.

Barry Glenn Williams is a treasonous Maryland Circuit Court Judge.

Just a day after January 5, 2016 in which a weeping President Obama attempted to disembowel the 2d Amendment with a series of unconstitutional executive orders, a liberal judge in Maryland, caving to the mob justice in that plagues that benighted city, gutted the 5th Amendment. This occurred during a pre-trial hearing in the case of Officer Caesar R. Goodson, the second Baltimore City policeman to be tried criminally in the allegedly accidental death of small-time hood Freddie Gray. The prosecution asked Judge Barry G. Williams to compel Officer William G. Porter, to testify against Goodson, and the judge, over the strenuous opposition of the defense, granted the motion.

Porter was the first officer brought to trial in the Gray case, presumably because the prosecution believed the case against him to be the strongest. In fact, at trial the case against Porter was shown to be gossamer thin, with the State unable to prove exactly
when or how Gray suffered his fatal injury, the defense demonstrating that the medical examiner’s office changed its initial conclusion that the injury was accidental under political pressure, and an absence of evidence that Porter ever did anything to harm Gray. The greatest surprise in Porter’s trial was that despite the dearth of evidence that he committed any crime, that at least one juror voted to convict him.

The mistrial in Porter’s case was disastrous for the prosecution, since they counted on him to provide testimony against his fellow officers either having been convicted or acquitted. The prosecution could have obviated that problem by choosing not to retry Porter, but having promised mob justice to Baltimore’s rioters, State’s Attorney Marilyn Mosby decided to press on. Porter got a new trial date in June, meaning that he is in jeopardy until then and entitled to the 5th Amendment’s protections against self-incrimination. Since the other charged officers will be tried before then, that would deprive the prosecution of Porter’s testimony, unless it either negotiated a plea agreement in return for what is known as “use” immunity, or granted Porter “transactional” immunity which could compel his testimony.

The difference between use and transactional immunity is critical, not subject to much debate, and basically first-year law school stuff. Use immunity only prevents the prosecution from using a person’s own testimony against him/her at a future trial, but still subjects the witness to jeopardy. Ordinarily, testimony under use immunity is voluntary on the part of the witness in return for some kind of plea deal which limits legal liability in return for that testimony. By contrast, transactional immunity gives the witness essentially blanket immunity for the offenses involved in the solicited testimony. In return for this testimony, the witness is generally not under any further jeopardy, and as such, can be compelled to testify or face charges of contempt. This is the type of immunity is routinely granted to various gang-bangers and Mafioso but is evidently not available to a veteran police officer.

What’s especially remarkable about what happened in that Baltimore courtroom is that both the judge and the prosecutor demonstrated that they clearly knew what they were doing was unconstitutional and unethical but proceeded anyway. Prosecutor Michael Schatzow knows that what he sought, and what the judge gave him, had no basis in Maryland law. Schatzow’s claimed that forcing Porter to testify is “necessary to the public interest.” He might as well have been prosecuting a show trial in the 1930s in Moscow or Berlin.

By such a legal standard — necessary public interest — any and all our constitutional freedoms can be extinguished. It is probably in the “general public interest” that the chronic repeat violent criminals who roam Baltimore’s streets be rounded up and summarily executed. Is Mr. Schatzow in favor of that? Is his boss?

The wholesale rejection of law and logic got worse once Judge Williams announced his ruling. Admitting he was entering “uncharted territory” he granted the prosecution’s motion and in a breathtaking display of judicial activism swept away the rights of an American citizen. Williams’ recognition that he was in uncharted territory betrays his nonjudicial intentions, which are to support this political prosecution at almost any cost. “Uncharted territory” really means that he knows that the ruling is without statutory or judicial precedent, which is the basis of the law in Maryland and every other state (and usually taught in about the 9th grade.) Williams also reportedly said during the hearing that Porter’s “extremely important testimony is needed in the Goodson and White cases” which is something you might expect the prosecutor to say, but not the judge.

Besides being blatantly political and unconstitutional, Williams ruling was also logically incomprehensible on its own terms. In issuing the ruling, he warned prosecutors that should they call Porter to testify later, it would be “nigh impossible” to prove that his testimony in Goodson’s case would not impact his retrial. To make any sense of it, you have to believe that Williams just doesn’t know what the words nigh and impossible mean. If he did, he wouldn’t have issued his ruling, since that is exactly what Porter’s attorneys told him in making their case that he could not compel their client’s testimony. What Williams essentially ruled is that what he was doing was unconstitutional, that he knew it was unconstitutional, but that he was going to do it anyway. Moreover, he appears to be content with forcing Porter’s testimony and keeping the man in jeopardy until his retrial, and also with that testimony being used against Porter if the prosecution can figure out a way to get it in.

Porter’s attorneys have appealed the ruling and are asking for an injunction from the appellate courts. Maryland’s appellate judiciary is reliably liberal but hopefully will recognize this for the travesty of constitutional justice it is.
Ann Louise Aiken is a traitor.

Ann Louise Aiken is a treasonous United States District Court judge for the District of Oregon.

Judge Ann Aiken has sentenced two ranchers from Oregon for what can only be deemed as Double Jeopardy as part of a tyrannical move by the federal government for something that occurred over a decade ago.

Dwight Lincoln Hammond, Jr., 73, and his son, Steven Dwight Hammond, 46, both residents of Diamond, Oregon in Harney County, were sentenced to five years in prison by Chief U.S. District Judge Ann Aiken for allegedly committing arson on what the government no longer calls public lands, but is now said to be federal lands.

HISTORY: The Harney Basin (where the Hammond ranch is established) was settled in the 1870’s. The valley was settled by multiple ranchers and was known to have run over 300,000 head of cattle. These ranchers developed a state of the art irrigated system to water the meadows, and it soon became a favorite stopping place for migrating birds on their annual trek north.

In 1908 President Theodor Roosevelt, in a political scheme, create an “Indian reservation” around the Malheur, Mud & Harney Lakes and declared it “as a preserve and breeding ground for native birds”. Later this “Indian reservation” (without Indians) became the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge.

In 1964 the Hammonds purchased their ranch in the Harney Basin. The purchase included approximately 6000 acres of private property, 4 grazing rights on public land, a small ranch house and 3 water rights. The ranch is around 53 miles South of Burns, Oregon.

By the 1970’s nearly all the ranches adjacent to the Blitzen Valley were purchased by the US Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and added to the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge. The refuge covers over 187,000 acres and stretches over 45 miles long and 37 miles wide. The expansion of the refuge grew and surrounds to the Hammond’s ranch. Being approached many times by the FWS, the Hammonds refused to sell. Other ranchers also choose not to sell.

During the 1970’s the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), in conjunction with the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), took a different approach to get the ranchers to sell. Ranchers were told that, “grazing was detrimental to wildlife and must be reduced”. 32 out of 53 permits were revoked and many ranchers were forced to leave. Grazing fees were raised significantly for those who were allowed to remain. Refuge personnel took over the irrigation system claiming it as their own.
By 1980 a conflict was well on its way over water allocations on the adjacent privately owned Silvies Plain. The FWS wanted to acquire the ranch lands on the Silvies Plain to add to their already vast holdings. Refuge personnel intentional diverted the water to bypassing the vast meadowlands, directing the water into the rising Malheur Lakes. Within a few short years the surface area of the lakes doubled. Thirty-one ranches on the Silvies plains were flooded. Homes, corrals, barns and graze-land were washed a way and destroyed. The ranchers that once fought to keep the FWS from taking their land, now broke and destroyed, begged the FWS to acquire their useless ranches. In 1989 the waters began to recede and now the once thriving privately owned Silvies pains are a proud part of the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge claimed by the FWS.

By the 1990’s the Hammonds were one of the very few ranchers that still owned private property adjacent to the refuge. Susie Hammond in an effort to make sense of what was going on began compiling fact about the refuge. In a hidden public record she found a study that was done by the FWS in 1975. The study showed that the “no use” policies of the FWS on the refuge were causing the wildlife to leave the refuge and move to private property. The study showed that the private property adjacent to the Malheur Wildlife Refuge produced 4 times more ducks and gese than the refuge did. It also showed that the migrating birds were 13 times more likely to land on private property than on the refuge. When Susie brought this to the attention of the FWS and refuge personnel, her and her family became the subjects of a long train of abuses and corruptions.

In the early 1990’s the Hammonds filed on a livestock water source and obtained a deed for the water right from the State of Oregon. When the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and US Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) found out that the Hammonds obtained new water rights near the Malhuer Wildlife Refuge, they were agitated and became belligerent and vindictive towards the Hammonds. The US Fish and Wildlife Service challenged the Hammonds right to the water in an Oregon State Circuit Court. The court found that the Hammonds legally obtained rights to the water in accordance to State law and therefore the use of the water belongs to the Hammonds.*

In August 1994 the BLM & FWS illegally began building a fence around the Hammonds water source. Owning the water rights and knowing that their cattle relied on that water source daily the Hammonds tried to stop the building of the fence. The BLM & FWS called the Harney County Sheriff department and had Dwight Hammond (Father) arrested and charged with “disturbing and interfering with” federal officials or federal contractors (two counts, each a felony). He spent one night in the Deschutes County Jail in Bend, and a second night behind bars in Portland before he was hauled before a federal magistrate and released without bail. A hearing on the charges was postponed and the federal judge never set another date.

The FWS also began restricting access to upper pieces of the Hammond’s private property. In order to get to the upper part of the Hammond’s ranch they had to go on a road that went through the Malhuer Wildlife Refuge. The FWS began barricading the road and threatening the Hammonds if they drove through it. The Hammonds removed the barricades and gates and continued to use their right of access. The road was proven later to be owned by the County of Harney. This further enraged the BLM & FWS.

Shortly after the road & water disputes, the BLM & FWS arbitrarily revoked the Hammond’s upper grazing permit without any given cause, court proceeding or court ruling. As a traditional “fence out state” Oregon requires no obligation on the part of an owner to keep his or her livestock within a fence or to maintain control over the movement of the livestock. The Hammonds intended to still use their private property for grazing. However, they were informed that a federal judge ruled, in a federal court, that the federal government did not have to observe the Oregon fence out law. “Those laws are for the people, not for them”.

The Hammonds were forced to either build and maintain miles of fences or be restricted from the use of their private property. Cutting their ranch in almost half, they could not afford to fence the land, so the cattle were removed.

The Hammonds experienced many years of financial hardship due to the ranch being diminished. The Hammonds had to sale their ranch and home in order to purchase another property that had enough grass to feed their cattle. This property included two grazing rights on public land. Those were also arbitrarily revoked later.

The owner of the Hammond’s original ranch passed away from a heart attack and the Hammonds made a trade for the ranch back.

In the early fall of 2001, Steven Hammond (Son) called the fire department, informing them that he was going to be performing a routine prescribed burn on their ranch. Later that day he started a prescribed fire on their private property. The fire went onto
The fire, saved the range and possibly our home”.

In 2006 a massive lightning storm started multiple fires that joined together inflaming the countryside. To prevent the fire from destroying their winter range and possibly their home, Steven Hammond (Son) started a backfire on their private property. The backfire was successful in putting out the lightning fires that had covered thousands of acres within a short period of time. The backfire saved much of the range and vegetation needed to feed the cattle through the winter. Steven’s mother, Susan Hammond said: “The backfire worked perfectly, it put out the fire, saved the range and possibly our home”.

The next day federal agents went to the Harney County Sheriff’s office and filled a police report making accusation against Dwight and Steven Hammond for starting the backfire. A few days after the backfire a Range-Con from the Burns District BLM office asked Steven if he would meet him in town (Frenchglen) for coffee. Steven accepted. When leaving he was arrested by the Harney County Sheriff Dave Glerup and BLM Ranger O.R. Sheriff Glerup then ordered him to go to the ranch and bring back his father. Both Dwight and Steven were booked and on multiple Oregon State charges. The Harney County District Attorney reviewed the accusation, evidence and charges, and determined that the accusations against Dwight & Steven Hammond did not warrant prosecution and dropped all the charges.

In 2011, 5 years after the police report was taken, the U.S. Attorney Office accused Dwight and Steven Hammond of completely different charges, they accused them of being “Terrorist” under the Federal Antiterrorism Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996. This act carries a minimum sentence of five years in prison and a maximum sentence of death. Dwight & Steven’s mug shots were all over the news the next week posing them as “Arsonists”. Susan Hammond (Wife & Mother) said: “I would walk down the street or go in a store, people I had known for years would take extreme measures to avoid me”.

Shortly after the sentencing, Capital Press ran a story about the Hammonds. A person who identified as Greg Allum posted three comments on the article, calling the ranchers “clowns” who endangered firefighters and other people in the area while burning valuable rangeland. Greg Allum, a retired BLM heavy equipment operator, soon called Capital Press to complain that he had not made those comments and request that they be taken down from the website. Capital Press removed the comments. A search of the Internet Protocol address associated with the comments revealed it is owned by the BLM’s office in Denver, Colorado. Allum said, he is friends with the Hammonds and was alerted to the comments by neighbors who knew he wouldn’t have written them. “I feel bad for them. They lost a lot and they’re going to lose more,” Allum said of the ranchers. “They’re not terrorists. There’s this hatred in the BLM for them, and I don’t get it.” The retired BLM employee said. Jody Weil, deputy state director for communications at BLM’s Oregon office, indicated to reporters that if one of their agents falsified the comments, they would keep it private and not inform the public.

In September 2006, Dwight & Susan Hammond’s home was raided. The agents informed the Hammonds that they were looking for evidence that would connect them to the fires. The Hammonds later found out that a boot print and a tire tracks were found near one of the many fires. No matching boots or tires were found in the Hammonds home or on their property. Susan Hammond (Wife) later said; ” I have never felt so violated in my life. We are ranchers not criminals”. Steven Hammond openly maintains his testimony that he started the backfire to save the winter grass from being destroyed and that the backfire ended up working so well it put out the fire entirely altogether.

During the trial proceedings, Federal Court Judge Michael Hogan did not allow time for certain testimonies and evidence into the trial that would exonerate the Hammonds. Federal prosecuting attorney, Frank Papagni, was given full access for 6 days. He had ample time to use any evidence or testimony that strengthened the demonization of the Hammonds. The Hammonds attorney was only allowed 1 day. Much of the facts about the fires, land and why the Hammonds acted the way they did was not allowed into the proceedings and was not heard by the jury. For example, Judge Hogan did not allow time for the jury to hear or review certified scientific findings that the fires improved the health and productivity of the land. Or, that the Hammonds had been subject to vindictive behavior by multiple federal agencies for years.

Federal attorneys, Frank Papagni, hunted down a witness that was not mentally capable to be a credible witness. Dusty Hammond (grandson and nephew) testified that Steven told him to start a fire. He was 13 at the time and 24 when he testified (11 years later). At 24 Dusty had been suffering with mental problems for many years. He had estranged his family including his mother. Judge Hogan noted that Dusty’s memories as a 13-year-old boy were not clear or credible. He allowed the prosecution to continually use Dusty’s testimony anyway. When speaking to the Hammonds about this testimony, they understood that Dusty was manipulated and expressed nothing but love for their troubled grandson.
Judge Michael Hogan & Frank Papagni tampered with the jury many times throughout the proceedings, including during the selection process. Hogan & Papagni only allowed people on the jury who did not understand the customs and culture of the ranchers or how the land is used and cared for in the Diamond Valley. All of the jurors had to drive back and forth to Pendleton everyday. Some drove more than two hours each way. By day 8 they were exhausted and expressed desires to be home.

On the final day, Judge Hogan kept pushing them to make a verdict. Several times during deliberation, Judge Hogan pushed them to make a decision. Judge Hogan also would not allow the jury to hear what punishment could be imposed upon an individual that has convicted as a terrorist under the 1996 act. The jury, not understanding the customs and cultures of the area, influenced by the prosecutors for 6 straight days, very exhausted, pushed for a verdict by the judge, unaware of the ramification of convicting someone as a terrorist, made a verdict and went home.

June 22, 2012, Dwight and Steven were found guilty of starting both the 2001 and the 2006 fires by the jury. However, the federal courts convicted them both as “Terrorist” under the 1996 Antiterrorism Act. Judge Hogan sentenced Dwight (Father) to 3 months in prison and Steven (son) to 12 months in federal prison. They were also stipulated to pay $400,000 to the BLM. Hogan overruling the minimum terrorist sentence, commenting that if the full five years were required it would be a violation of the 8th amendment (cruel and unusual punishment). The day of the sentencing Judge Hogan retired as a federal judge. In his honor the staff served chocolate cake in the courtroom.

On January 4,, 2013, Dwight and Steven reported to prison. They fulfilled their sentences, (Dwight 3 months, Steven 12 months). Dwight was released in March 2013 and Steven, January 2014.

Sometime in June 2014, Rhonda Karges, Field Manager for the BLM, and her husband Chad Karges, Refuge Manager for the Malheur Wildlife Refuge (which surrounds the Hammond ranch), along with attorney Frank Papagni exemplifying further vindictive behavior by filing an appeal with the 9th District Federal Court seeking Dwight’s and Steven’s return to federal prison for the entire 5 years.*

In October 2015, the 9th District Court “resentenced” Dwight and Steven, requiring them to return to prison for several more years. Steven (46) has a wife and 3 children. Dwight (74) will leave Susan (74) to be alone after 55 years of marriage. If he survives, he will be 79 when he is released.

During the court preceding the Hammonds were forced to grant the BLM first right of refusal. If the Hammonds ever sold their ranch they would have to sell it to the BLM.

Dwight and Steven are ordered to report to federal prison again on January 4th, 2016 to begin their re-sentencing. Both their wives will have to manage the ranch for several years without them.

To date they have paid $200,000 to the BLM, and the remainder $200,000 must be paid before the end of this year (2015). If the Hammonds cannot pay the fines to the BLM, they will be forced to sell the ranch to the BLM or face further prosecution.
Palmer Robinson is a traitor.

Palmer Robinson is a treasonous Superior Court Judge in King County, Washington. She has upheld an unconstitutional tax on firearms and ammunition, denying a motion by the National Rifle Association that the legislation violates state law.

The so-called “gun violence tax” opens up a new front in the fight for Second Amendment rights.

Reuters:

The Seattle City Council unanimously approved a “gun violence tax” on sellers of firearms and ammunition in August 2015, directing proceeds toward violence prevention programs and research beginning in January 2016.

A companion measure requires gun owners to report cases of lost and stolen firearms to police.

On Tuesday (December 22, 2015), King County Superior Court Judge Palmer Robinson denied a request by gun rights groups for an injunction, saying the tax did not violate state law and was a “lawful exercise of Seattle’s taxing authority.”

The National Rifle Associations (NRA) and other pro-gun groups vowed to appeal against the ruling, maintaining that the tax does not comply with a Washington state law that bars municipalities from creating their own gun regulations.

They also said the tax would hurt small gun dealers, with customers driving to other retailers outside the city limits to avoid the tax.

“We are going to fight this vigorously in defense of a state preemption law that has served Washington citizens well for more than three decades,” said Alan Gottlieb, founder of the pro-gun rights group Second Amendment Foundation.

The only other municipality in the country with an individual tax on gun sales is Chicago, according to the NRA.

Under the new Seattle law, gun sellers will be taxed $25 for every gun sold plus 2 or 5 cent taxes on each round of ammunition.

Judge Robinson is an outright traitor for deliberately distorting state law along with the Second Amendment of the United States Constitution. In this case, the Judge Robinson completely ignored state law in favor of a made up justification by the city of Seattle that the intent of the law was not to legislate against firearms.
Raising the cost of a weapon by $25 is as much a form of gun control as limiting sales of firearms, but the judge pretended that wasn’t the case. The tax may be overturned on appeal, but liberal judges, anxious to put their mark on a new way to eat into Second Amendment rights, will play the same game as the superior court judge who ruled against a constitutional right to keep and bear arms.

Loretta Elizabeth Lynch is a traitor.

Loretta Elizabeth Lynch is the current Attorney General of the United States.

On December 3, 2015, Loretta Lynch stated that she would prosecute anyone who expressed “anti-Muslim rhetoric” that led to violence against Muslims. Among other things, she also invited members of the Muslim community to contact her if their children are bullied at school. Wow! Does anyone else have Lynch’s direct line so they can hop, skip, and jump over the playground monitor, teacher, counselor, vice-principal, and/or principal to the Attorney General of the United States? I can almost hear the phones dialing now with the next wave of fabricated clock boy complaints.

Lynch is treasonously enforcing Sharia law, creating two classes of citizens. Muslims are the protected class and non-Muslims are second-class citizens. I’m amazed Black Lives Matter folks aren’t up in arms over this, because blacks have just been relegated to a lower rung on the priority ladder (unless you’re black and Muslim, in which case, you’re golden).

But back to Lynch’s threat to prosecute those who don’t uphold Islamic blasphemy law. First, Pamela Geller wrote an excellent piece at Breitbart, where she states:

*If Lynch is serious in prosecuting hate speech, then she will have to start closing down mosques and banning the Quran. She wants to restrict the First Amendment so as to curb “actions predicated on violent talk?” Then she should ban this “violent talk.”*

Geller then lists a multitude of passages from the Quran that mandate such peace-loving ideas as expel, persecute, fight, slay, banish, beat, slaughter, crucify, chastise, smite, force, ambush, terrorize, and kill.

She continues:

*When Lynch made her treasonous statement, the bodies were still warm in San Bernardino....*
Yet when she spoke to the 10th Anniversary Dinner of Muslim Advocates, the group that got the Obama administration to scrub all counter-terror training materials of any mention of jihad and Islam, Lynch said that her “greatest fear” was the “incredibly disturbing rise of anti-Muslim rhetoric” in America. (snip)

Loretta Lynch said that the San Bernardino jihad attack gave her a “wonderful opportunity.” She said, “We’re at the point where these issues have come together really like never before in law enforcement thought and in our nation’s history and it gives us a wonderful opportunity and a wonderful moment to really make significant change.” Lynch’s statement about a “wonderful moment to really make a significant change” should give us all chills (and not the good kind). It echoed Obama’s “fundamental transformation” and should give anyone pause. The Attorney General of the United States wants to treasonously enforce Sharia law.

Meanwhile, George Pataki is urging Lynch to arrest him for strongly suggesting we fight this war that has been waged against us. And while this may be a desperate bid for attention from a GOP presidential candidate polling at zero, it’s nonetheless a welcome development. On Saturday, Pataki sent out the following tweet: “We must declare war on radical Islam, . . . and Loretta Lynch I’m not edging toward violent speech, I’m declaring we kill them. Go ahead, arrest me.”

You might not be a big fan of George Pataki, but we should take our hats off to him on this one.

UPDATE: December 8, 2015

As previously stated, Loretta Lynch said she would prosecute speech that “edges toward violence” when speaking to a Muslim organization a few days ago. On Monday (December 7, 2015) she backpedaled a bit, stating: “Of course, we prosecute deeds and not words.”

Sure, Loretta. Whatever. (Raise your hand if you trust Ms. Lynch.)

Meanwhile, on Sunday, (December 6, 2015) she made this unbelievable (in a normal world) statement in reference to the San Bernardino jihad attack, as Politico reports:

In an interview Sunday about the San Bernardino shootings that killed 14 people, Lynch said she was “not sure” which ideology the San Bernardino shooters were driven by. However, hours later in an Oval Office address, President Barack Obama discussed the shootings and the need for the U.S. to “destroy” the Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant group.

Asked by POLITICO why she was reluctant to publicly say even that the shootings were inspired by ISIL, Lynch stressed the need for investigators to keep an open mind to all possibilities.

“At this point… we’re not prepared to limit any particular ideology to what may have inspired these individuals,” the attorney general said. “There are a number of groups that are on social media, looking to encourage people to commit acts of violence within the homeland, so at this point we simply do not want to rule anything out.”

Wow. We are truly living under a treasonous government, or what many would say is The Twilight Zone.

Hat tip: Legal Insurrection
Loretta Lynch’s lies and corrupt actions are treasonous.

Months before her secret airport meeting with Bill Clinton on June 27, 2016, Attorney General Loretta Lynch went on television and promised the American people that Hillary Clinton would not receive special treatment.

On March 10, Lynch appeared on The Late Show with Stephen Colbert. The host asked Lynch if Clinton would be indicted for risking national security by setting up private servers to conduct government business while at the State Department.

Lynch assured Colbert and his audience that her Justice Department would act “fairly” and “independently” and that anyone with a “famous name” or “political interest” would be treated not at all differently from someone “you’ve never heard of before.”

“Americans look at what we do,” she said, “and have to have that faith in the Department of Justice.” Lynch also stated that “we don’t talk about ongoing matters under review in the Justice Department.”

Attorney General Loretta Lynch thinks she can fool Americans with that honey-toned, lullaby voice of hers, but she is another garden-variety agenda-driven leftist who, just days after the suspicious encounter with Bill Clinton in Phoenix, announced that former secretary of state Hillary Clinton would not be indicted.

Apparently, the top law enforcement officer of the United States and a Harvard-trained lawyer did not understand how a clandestine 30-minute meeting with Bill Clinton followed shortly thereafter by a refusal to indict his wife might look to the outside world. Lynch quickly responded to criticism at the time by insisting that the meeting was “primarily social” and that “I certainly wouldn’t do it again.”

Three months after the “grandkids and golf” talk, Lynch’s chickens are coming home to roost. The recent WikiLeaks revelations coupled with FBI director Comey’s decision to reopen the criminal case against Hillary Clinton has Lynch in the hot seat. Joseph Klein at Frontpage Mag accused Lynch’s DOJ of “corruptly aiding and abetting the Democratic Party’s presidential nominee, Hillary Clinton, to escape legal accountability for her actions.”

The American Center for Law and Justice (ACLIJ) is suing the Department of Justice, demanding records “containing the names of any DOJ official, staff or employee who participated in any discussion regarding the meeting between General Lynch and Bill Clinton that occurred on Monday, June 27, 2016, at Sky Harbor International Airport in Phoenix, Arizona.”

If Lynch truly wants to restore Americans’ faith in the Department of Justice, she should resign immediately and then prepare for a separate investigation into her decision to grant Clinton special treatment.

On Jan. 3, 2017 outgoing Attorney General Loretta Lynch secretly signed an order directing the National Security Agency – America’s 60,000-person-strong domestic spying apparatus – to make available raw spying data to all other federal intelligence agencies, which then can pass it on to their counterparts in foreign countries and in the 50 states upon request. She did so, she claimed, for administrative convenience. Yet in doing this, she violated basic constitutional principles that were erected centuries ago to prevent just what she did.

Here is the back story.

In the aftermath of former President Richard Nixon’s abusive utilization of the FBI and CIA to spy on his domestic political opponents in the 1960s and ’70s – and after Nixon had resigned from office in the wake of all that – Congress passed the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, which created a secret court that was charged with being the sole authority in America that can authorize domestic spying for non-law enforcement purposes.
The standard for a FISA court authorization was that the subject of the spying needed to be a foreign person in the United States who was an agent of a foreign power. It could be a foreign janitor in a foreign embassy, a foreign spy masquerading as a diplomat, even a foreign journalist working for a media outlet owned by a foreign government.

The American spies needed a search warrant from the FISA court. Contrary to the Constitution, the search warrant was given based on probable cause of being “a foreign person” to all Americans who may “communicate with a foreign person.”

As if Americans were children, Congress made those sleight-of-hand changes with no hoopla and little serious debate. Our very elected representatives – who took an oath to preserve, protect and defend the Constitution – instead perverted it.

It gets worse.

The recent USA Freedom Act permits the NSA to ask the FISA court for a search warrant for any person – named or unnamed – based on the standard of “governmental need.” One FISA court-issued warrant I saw authorized the surveillance of all 115 million domestic customers of Verizon. The governmental need standard is no standard at all, as the government will always claim that what it wants, it needs.

All these statutes and unauthorized spying practices have brought us to where we were on Jan. 2 – namely, with the NSA having a standard operating procedure of capturing every keystroke on every computer and mobile device, every telephone conversation on every landline and cellphone, all domestic electronic traffic – including medical, legal and banking records – of every person in America 24/7, without knowing of or showing any wrongdoing on the part of those spied upon.

The NSA can use data from your cellphone to learn where you are, and it can utilize your cellphone as a listening device to hear your in-person conversations, even if you have turned it off – that is, if you still have one of the older phones that can be turned off.

Notwithstanding all of the above gross violations of personal liberty and constitutional norms, the NSA traditionally kept its data – if printed, enough to fill the Library of Congress every year – to itself. So if an agency such as the FBI or the DEA or the New Jersey State Police, for example, wanted any of the data acquired by the NSA for law enforcement purposes, it needed to get a search warrant from a federal judge based on the constitutional standard of “probable cause of crime.”

Until now.

Now, because of the Lynch secret order, revealed by The New York Times late last week, the NSA may share any of its data with any other intelligence agency or law enforcement agency that has an intelligence arm based on – you guessed it – the non-standard of governmental need.

So President Barack Obama, in the death throes of his time in the White House, has delivered perhaps his harshest blow to constitutional freedom by permitting his attorney general to circumvent the Fourth Amendment, thereby enabling people in law enforcement to get whatever they want about whomever they wish without a showing of probable cause of crime as the Fourth Amendment requires. That amendment expressly forbids the use of general warrants – search where you wish and seize what you find – and they had never been a lawful tool of law enforcement until Lynch’s order.

Down the slope we have come, with the destruction of liberty in the name of safety by elected and appointed government officials. At a time when the constitutionally recognized right to privacy was in its infancy, Justice Louis Brandeis warned all
who love freedom about its slow demise. He wrote: “Experience should teach us to be most on our guard to protect liberty when
the Government’s purposes are beneficent. Men born to freedom are naturally alert to repel invasion of their liberty by evil-
minded rulers. The greatest dangers to liberty lurk in insidious encroachment by men of zeal, well meaning but without
understanding.”

Someday we might learn why Obama and Lynch committed this treasonous act. I hope that when we do, it is at a time when we
still have personal liberty in a free society.

http://www.patriotortraitor.com/category/traitors/page/2/

LOIS CAPPS

Lois Capps is a traitor.

Congresswoman Lois Capps is a treasonous U.S. Representative for California’s 24th congressional district.

More than two dozen Democrats have joined Congresswoman Lois Capps with her proposed legislation that would eliminate
the words “husband” and “wife” from federal law.

Those “gendered terms” would be replaced by “gender-neutral” words like “spouse” or “married couple,” according to the bill
from Rep. Lois Capps.

The Supreme Court ruled in June 2015 that the 14th Amendment to the Constitution means all states have to license same-sex
marriages. Capps said her bill was aimed at taking the next step, which is to ensure the United States Code “reflects the equality
of all marriages.”

With the above excerpt notwithstanding, we don’t want to take idiocy like this too seriously. This clearly shows a pattern from
the secular left of attacking all distinctions in gender – which is a larger proposition an attack on the idea that God gave you
certain parts and that you’re supposed to use them as designed. Which, of course, is an attack on God’s sovereignty over the life
of any individual or over the world in general.
Not that Congresswoman Lois Capps and these two dozen members of Congress are cognizant of any such agenda. They’re just morons following the cultural rot of the moment. That’s usually how cultural rot gets advanced.

Nevertheless, back on April 6, 2015, the treasonous Lois Capps announced she will not seek reelection in 2016.

ANTHONY MCLEOD KENNEDY

Anthony McLeod Kennedy is a traitor.

Anthony McLeod Kennedy is a treasonous Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States.

Justice Kennedy, and four others, fabricated a right for same-sex marriage that doesn’t exist within the United States Constitution. They decided that they know best rather than to let the legislatures and voters make the call. (By the way, many states had already done so.)

The Founding Fathers had wisdom, and that’s why they left these issues to the people. The five Justices, Kennedy and the four liberal robots, unlawfully ruled 5-4 same sex marriage is a constitutional right.

Justice Kennedy and the other justices have not settled anything. They’ve simply poisoned the political well by imposing their ungodly definition of marriage on the rest of us.

Indeed, for those who respect the rule of law, this lawless ruling presents a fundamental dilemma: A ruling by the U.S. Supreme Court is considered the law of the land, but a judge-made edict that is not based in the law or the Constitution diminishes faith in our system of government and the rule of law.

It can be expected this issue will poison our political rhetoric for years, and we can thank the traitor Justice Kennedy for doing so.
Justice Anthony Kennedy has been active off the bench as well. He spends his summers not in America, but in Salzburg, Austria, where he teaches international and American law at the University of Salzburg for the McGeorge School of Law international. This in itself explains his unlawful use of international law while on the bench, and why he puts world opinion over our constitutional liberties. In other words, Justice Kennedy has some underlying common mutual interest, some underlying common shared idea, some underlying common shared aspiration, and underlying unified concept of what he’s trying to tell the rest of the world at the expense of destroying our God given rights as protected under the United States Constitution.

ADDISON MITCHELL “MITCH” MCCONNELL, JR.

Addison Mitchell “Mitch” McConnell, Jr. is a traitor.

Addison Mitchell “Mitch” McConnell, Jr. is a treasonous United States Senator from Kentucky. As a senior member of the Republican Party, he has been the Majority Leader of the Senate since January 3, 2015.

Along with his treasonous acts, Senator Mitch McConnell voted for the following:

1. Mitch McConnell Voted to Let Harry Reid Fund ObamaCare – (2013, RCV 206) Senator Mitch McConnell of Kentucky voted to end debate and allow Senate Democrats to re-insert funding for ObamaCare into the Continuing Resolution to fund the federal government. Although McConnell did vote against the final bill, he and all Republicans knew that voting for cloture to end debate would allow Senator Harry Reid to fund ObamaCare by a party-line vote, and thus a vote for cloture was a de facto vote to fund ObamaCare.

2. Mitch McConnell Voted for the Fiscal Cliff Tax Hike – (2012, RCV 251) The fiscal cliff was a fake crisis created by Congress and the president. In which President Obama threatened to shut the government down if Republicans refused to massively raise taxes. In response, Senator McConnell personally negotiated with Vice President Biden and produced a New Year’s Day 2013 fiscal cliff deal that raised payroll taxes on 77 percent of U.S. households and contained no real spending cuts.

3. Mitch McConnell Voted for the Ineffective “Super Committee” Debt Hike – (2011, RCV 123) During the 2011 debt ceiling impasse, McConnell came up with the idea of allowing President Obama to increase the debt limit three times in exchange for allowing Congress a symbolic vote of disapproval each time. McConnell’s idea carried the day and he voted to pass the Budg
Control Act, which allowed over $2 trillion in new debt. In return, taxpayers got stuck with the “super-committee”, which failed to come up with any agreement on targeted spending cuts and gave us the across-the-board sequestration cuts instead.

4. Mitch McConnell Voted for the Wall Street Bailout (T.A.R.P.) – (2008, RCV 213) Senator McConnell voted for the $700 billion bailout of Wall Street. Taxpayers should never have been forced to pay for the reckless lending practices of the big banks. McConnell took credit for being a major part of negotiating the bailout, and called the passage of T.A.R.P. “the Senate at its finest.”

5. Mitch McConnell Voted to Bail Out the Housing Market – (2008, RCV 186) Senator McConnell also voted to bail out the government-sponsored mortgage lending companies Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The risky lending activities of these organizations were a major factor in creating the housing bubble that led to the financial collapse of 2008, yet McConnell and the Senate voted to allow Fannie and Freddie to borrow up to $300 billion of the taxpayers’ money.

6. Mitch McConnell Voted to Increase the Federal Minimum Wage – (2007, RCV 42) Senator McConnell voted for the Fair Minimum Wage Act that increased the federal minimum wage from $5.15 per hour to $7.25 per hour in 2007. Raising the minimum wage is a bad idea because it reduces employment especially among low-skilled workers. The cost of raising the minimum wage is passed onto consumers in the form of higher prices for goods and services.

7. Mitch McConnell Voted to Give the Government Unprecedented Surveillance Powers – (2006, RCV 25) In spite of warnings by 4th Amendment and privacy advocates, Senator McConnell voted to make most of the USA-PATRIOT Act’s provisions permanent in 2006. He also voted for the FISA Amendments Act of 2008, which granted immunity to U.S. telecommunication companies for giving information about their customers to the government without a warrant (2008, RCV 168). Together, the “Patriot Act” and the FISA Amendments Act authorized unprecedented surveillance powers that have been used by the FISA courts to allow bulk data collection on U.S. citizens without a warrant. Yet McConnell called the Patriot Act “one of the most important and overdue pieces of legislation in a generation”, and declared that perhaps “it did not go far enough”.

8. Mitch McConnell Voted against Eliminating the Federal Ethanol Mandate – (2005, RCV 138) Senator McConnell voted to table an amendment that would have eliminated the federal mandate that forced ethanol to be blended into the fuel supply. The ethanol mandate is a market-distorting disaster that has increased the cost of gasoline, while environmentalist groups admit that it is actually bad for the environment (not to mention your car).

9. Mitch McConnell Voted for Massive New Energy Subsidies and Regulations – (2007, RCV 430) Senator McConnell voted for final passage on an omnibus energy bill that created massive new subsidies for green energy development, while also tightening environmental regulations in some areas. The bill massively tightened fuel mileage requirements for passenger vehicles and created a new renewable fuels standard, both of which have increased the cost of both cars and fuel. Also, this is the bill that started the infamous phase-out of incandescent light bulbs.

10. Mitch McConnell Voted for Medicare Part D – (2003, RCV 457) Senator McConnell voted to pass Medicare Part D, a massive entitlement expansion that conservatives rightly predicted would tremendously expand the deficit and add trillions of dollars in unfunded liabilities to the federal rolls.

Additional Information

On Tuesday (April 24, 2015), Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell introduced a short bill that would extend key expiring provisions of the USA PATRIOT Act, a sign this extension could happen without any substantive talk about whether and how to reform these provisions.

The bill from McConnell and Sen. Richard Burr (R-N.C.) would extend sections of the PATRIOT Act through 2020 that allow access to business records and roving surveillance. The business records provision is found in the controversial Section 215 of the bill, which has been used to justify access to phone records.

Roving surveillance refers to language elsewhere in the PATRIOT Act that makes it easier to surveil suspects using different methods, without having to get approval each time the method changes.
McConnell’s bill would also extend Section 6001 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act through 2020. That’s the “lone wolf” language that lets the government surveil suspects as terrorists even when they have no clear association with a known terrorism group.

All of these authorities expire June 1, 2015.

National Security Agency surveillance through the PATRIOT Act has become controversial ever since a contractor, Edward Snowden, revealed that it was being used to collect bulk phone data on millions of Americans. That started a debate about how to pare back the NSA’s authorities.

However, reform efforts have failed so far, and GOP leaders in the House and Senate have indicated they support no changes in order to ensure effective surveillance of terrorist threats to the United States.

Earlier this month, House Majority Leader Kevin McCarthy (R-Calif.) told Republicans that some legislation to extend these surveillance authorities could be considered this month. It’s unclear if the House will come up with its own plan, or whether it might take language the Senate passes first.

UPDATE: June 24, 2015

Senate Majority Leader Senator Mitch McConnell (R-KY) wants to remove a statue of Kentucky-native Jefferson Davis from the state capitol building.

The statue of Jefferson Davis has been in the rotunda of the Kentucky Capitol building since 1936, but it has come under fire by McConnell, and “other treasonous Republicans in Kentucky’s House and Senate.”

Senator Mitch McConnell gave a measured response to questions about removing the statue, saying, “Maybe a better place for that would be the Kentucky History Museum.

UPDATE: August 7, 2015

Senator Mitch McConnell has repeatedly violated his oath of office.

For example, Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell said Republicans should not use a must-pass government spending bill to defund Planned Parenthood, despite increasing pressure from conservatives who want to use a threat of a shutdown to target the embattled women’s health group.

In a wide-ranging news conference with reporters Thursday (August 6, 2015), McConnell warned of the consequences for Republicans if the party triggers a government shutdown over a controversial policy dispute, like the GOP did with Obamacare in 2013.

“We’ve been down this path before,” he said. “This is a tactic that’s been tried going back to the ’90s, frequently by Republican majorities that always have the same ending: that the focus is on the fact that the government is shut down, not on what the underlying issue that is being protested is.”

First of all, essential operations of the government would never be shut down. Second of all, if Obama vetoed a spending bill, he would be the one to “shut down” the government, as McConnell calls it.

Let’s examine the constitutional implications of McConnell’s statements. What he is saying, essentially, is that he will not pass legislation that will be opposed by the Executive Branch. That’s why Obama has had to issue only four vetoes in his entire presidency, an unusual low.
Now, here’s the oath that McConnell swore to when he was last reelected:

*I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter: So help me God.*

He promises to defend the Constitution. And what does the Constitution say about the branches of government? It is strongly implied that the Legislative Branch is co-equal (and some would even say superior) to the Executive Branch. Section 1 of Article 1 of the Constitution says that all legislative powers are vested in the Congress. And sections 7 and 8 talk about the power of the Congress to raise and spend revenue.

Implicit in all this is that the Congress can decide to legislate as it wishes, even passing legislation not approved of by the president (who can veto, but who can also be overridden).

But Mitch McConnell has given up this power. He has stated, in many ways at many times, that he is not going to pass legislation that the president disapproves of. By giving the Executive total power over legislation, McConnell has ceded the powers of the legislative branch, and disrupted our constitutional system. That’s why he’s given up on gay marriage, the debt ceiling, and Obamacare, to name a few.

He has effectively made the Congress a rubberstamp, meaningless forum whose only purpose is to ratify the decisions of the Executive. Congress seems merely to exist to symbolically ratify the decisions of the leader. That’s what Congress does when it ratifies 100% of Obama’s spending without a fight.

Given that, McConnell has violated his oath of office by failing to protect the powers of the legislative branch. Of course, he won’t suffer any consequences for this treasonous act, but it is alarming to note that his failure as Republican leader is not only political, but also constitutional in nature.

---

**UPDATE: September 26, 2015**

Why can’t Mitch McConnell be forced out? With only 54 Republican senators, it would only take four dissenters to deny McConnell a majority.

Here’s are a few of the things McConnell has given Barack Obama:

1) 100% of his budget requests.

2) Fully funding Obamacare without any restraints.

3) Fully funding Obama’s illegal amnesty without any restraints.

4) Raised the debt ceilings repeatedly without any restraints.

5) Effectively given away the Senate’s treaty deciding powers.

6) Refused to set up select committees to investigate the abuse of powers by Obama and his administration.
Leon Lott is a traitor.

Leon Lott is a treasonous Sheriff in charge of law enforcement for the unincorporated area’s of Richland County, South Carolina.

Only a year after the joint drills of the Richland County Sheriff’s Department and the US military raised eyebrows, Sheriff Leon Lott of the RCSD has once again announced that the department will be conducting drills that involve the joint training of civilian law enforcement and the US military.

On May 8, 2015 it was reported by WLTX that the Richland County Sheriff’s Department will be conducting drills in conjunction with the 3rd Special Forces Group out of Fort Bragg, North Carolina. The exercises will take place at late-night and pre-dawn and will run from May 8 until May 15, 2015.

Citizens have now been informed that Sheriff’s Department and Military vehicles will be traveling in the Lower Richland County community near Eastover and Hopkins as well as Elgin near Screaming Eagle Road. The vehicles will also be traveling in the North Richland County area near Monticello Rd.

The Sheriff’s Department has announced that residents in these areas may hear explosives being detonated and ordinance being set off as well as shots being fired.

Sheriff Leon Lott stated that the department’s Special Response Team, a SWAT-like team formulation that is becoming ever popular in South Carolina Sheriff’s Departments, with the 3rd Special Forces Group. Lott announced that the Sheriff’s department will “provide simulated scenarios for the military” and added that Richland County “an ideal location for training that cannot be replicated at Fort Bragg.”

There were no elaborations as to what these scenarios might be or what the training will involve. This is perhaps because the last time the Richland County Sheriff’s Office conducted training with Special Forces, it was simulating and training to raid farm houses and engaging in domestic raids as well as to set up checkpoints and chopper insertion. See my article “Local Police Train With Special Forces To Raid Farm Houses, Conduct Domestic Raids.”

It is worth nothing that the drills will be taking place in the exact same location as last year’s drills.

With this in mind, and taking into consideration not only the increasing number of military and joint military/law enforcement drills taking place over recent years practicing the round up, disarming, and relocation of American citizens as well as the
ongoing Jade Helm exercises taking place across the country, it is highly likely that these drills are of the same category. After all, the last time they were conducted, they apparently contained a number of these elements.

The fact that the police and military are engaging in joint drill exercises in violation of Posse Comitatus and a long-standing American tradition of separation between domestic policing and military activity is concerning enough. However, if they are training for domestic operations such as raiding American farm houses, setting up domestic checkpoints, and conducting other related raids on the home-front should be terrifying to every single American that desires to keep what little shred of freedom they have left.

Unfortunately, it has become abundantly clear that the American military and indeed Sheriff Leon Lott of the Richland County Sheriff’s Department see the American people and the people of South Carolina as the enemy.

---

**Additional Information**

**Title 18, U.S. Code, Section 1385**

Whoever, except in cases and under circumstances expressly authorized by the Constitution or Act of Congress, willfully uses any part of the Army or the Air Force as a posse comitatus or otherwise to execute the laws shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than two years, or both.

---

**JEH CHARLES JOHNSON**

*Posted* May 8, 2015 on *Patriot or Traitor*

Jeh Charles Johnson is a traitor.

Jeh Charles Johnson is the current United States Secretary of Homeland Security.

Jeh Johnson knows the way to success in the Hussein Obama regime is through direct disservice and disloyalty to your nation.

Jeh Johnson has been the chief architect of our southern border disarmament, who oversaw the dismantling of our inland and border immigration enforcement, the man who ordered criminal illegal aliens released onto our streets, the man who on the day
of the Obama amnesty speech ordered ICE agents to violate their oaths of office just as he himself does on a daily basis and to not arrest law-breakers unless they committed violent felonies.

No doubt the patriotic employees at DHS would be thrilled and relieved to be rid of the unscrupulous rogue in charge of one of the most integrity-demanding positions in our government. The exception is the Obama regime, in which integrity is considered to be a character defect.

The Washington Post cites Johnson’s experience as the Pentagon’s top lawyer from 2009 to 2012, which reportedly included a role in the decisions to expand drone warfare, the debate over closing Gitmo, and our approach to cyber-warfare. He also played a prominent role in the repeal of “don’t ask don’t tell.” While those might, in the opinion of some be qualifications, they are hardly a replacement for military experience and do not begin to compensate for assaulting our Constitution.

While former Defense Secretary Robert Gates spoke highly of Johnson in his book “Duty,” his actions as DHS Secretary have been extremely destructive to our nation, the rule of law and the Constitution he supposedly swore an oath to uphold.

Jeh Johnson was also instrumental in the importation program of Central American illegal aliens and helped craft the current un-Constitutional amnesty, knowingly violating the separation of powers in doing so. He has also been deceptive when questioned on any particulars of any of his subversive activities, parsing words and restating questions in a manner so as to avoid being forthcoming.

Jeh Johnson is a man who should be at a minimum under indictment for treason, not head of a one of most sensitive positions within the United States government.

---

**Additional Information**

Homeland Security Secretary Jeh Johnson told the Senate Tuesday (April 28, 2015) that he has no regrets at all for going around Congress to implement President Barack Obama’s several immigration-related executive actions. “Do you regret the actions that you and the administration have taken that have gotten us to this point?” Sen. John Cornyn (R-Texas) asked Johnson at a Senate Judiciary Committee hearing. “No, I do not, senator,” Johnson replied. “I believe that the undocumented population in this country, at least half of which has been here more than 10 years, has to be reckoned with. We know they’re here, and they are not priorities for removal.”

There are millions of people in this country who are not priorities for removal,” he added. “There are dozens of states that allow them to have drivers’ licenses.”

Obama’s latest plan to provide legal protection for millions of illegal immigrants is currently on hold, due to a federal court injunction. But DHS took steps to get ready for that plan, and is lobbying the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals to lift that injunction.

Jeh Johnson also justified Obama’s immigration action by noting that Obama waited “years” for Congress to act. That prompted Cornyn to ask, “So do you think it’s an excuse for the president to act unconstitutionally because Congress doesn’t act quickly enough to suit him?”

“I have what is in my judgment as a lawyer a very, very thoughtful opinion from the Office of Legal Counsel that we have the legal discretion to do what we did,” Johnson replied.

Jeh Johnson spent a good part of Tuesday’s hearing fight back against Republican complaints that Obama’s immigration moves violated the Constitution. He also heard complaints from Sens. Jeff Sessions (R-Ala.) and Ted Cruz (R-Texas) that DHS’s effort to enforce immigration laws has waned.

Cruz, for example, noted that the removal of illegal immigrants has dropped dramatically over the last several years. “How do you explain a 41 percent drop in removals of aliens here illegally?” he asked.
Jeh Johnson replied that removals have fallen because fewer people are being captured at the border. “The apprehensions are in fact lower on the southern border, so the intake is lower this fiscal year in particular,” he said.

DHS announced last week that border apprehensions are down 28 percent this year. This is a clear sign that DHS is becoming even more relaxed about its effort to enforce immigration law.

John Sidney McCain III is a traitor.

John Sidney McCain III is the treasonous United States Senator from Arizona. He was the Republican presidential nominee in the 2008 United States presidential election.

THE EARLY YEARS

John McCain spent his boyhood in exclusive boarding schools where staffers were paid to put up with his tirades. We all did some immature things before we matured. But with John McCain, the tirades continue today.
If John McCain had not been the son and grandson of admirals, there is scant chance he would have been admitted to the U.S. Naval Academy. Given his behavior patterns and academics, had he not been the son and grandson of admirals, there is little doubt he would have been thrown out. Instead, in 1958 he managed to graduate 894 out of 899. Had he not been the son and grandson of admirals, he is no chance he would have been accepted into the prestigious naval flight training program over far better qualified officers. On his way to becoming a North Vietnamese ace, the aviator lost 3 expensive aircraft on routine, non-combat flights. Little was made of all that, because he was, you know, the son and grandson of admirals.

John McCain’s most horrendous loss occurred in 1967 on the USS Forrestal. Well, not horrendous for him. The starter motor switch on the A4E Skyhawk allowed fuel to pool in the engine. When the aircraft was “wet-started,” an impressive flame would shoot from the tail. It was one of the ways young hot-shots got their jollies. Investigators and survivors took the position that John McCain deliberately wet-started to harass the F4 pilot directly behind him. The cook off launched an M34 Zuni rocket that tore through the Skyhawk’s fuel tank, released a thousand pound bomb, and ignited a fire that killed the pilot plus 167 men. Before the tally of dead and dying was complete, the son and grandson of admirals had been transferred to the USS Oriskany.

As a rising naval officer, John McCain was surrounded by rumors of numerous adulterous affairs, such as used to be called “conduct unbecoming an officer.” Author and biographer Robert Timberg has detailed several of McCain’s sexual relationships with subordinates when serving as a Squadron Leader and an Executive Officer. I think we all know such behavior is a clear violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, in other words, a crime.

When John McCain’s application to the National War College was rejected, according to noted author and researcher Joel Skousen, he whined to daddy who pulled strings with the Secretary of the Navy.

PRISONER OR HONORED GUEST?

John McCain’s 5½-year stay at the Hanoi Hilton (officially Hoa Loa Prison) has ever since been the subject of great controversy. He maintains that he was tortured and otherwise badly mistreated. One of many who disagree is Dennis Johnson, imprisoned at Hanoi and never given treatment for his broken leg. He reports that every time he saw McCain, who was generally kept segregated, the man was clean-shaven, dressed in fresh clothes, and appeared comfortable among North Vietnamese Army officers. He adds that he frequently heard McCain’s collaborative statements broadcast over the prison’s loud speakers.

On October 26, 1967, John McCain’s A-4 Skyhawk was shot down over Hanoi. The fractures of 1 leg and both arms were reportedly due to his failure to tuck them in during ejection. According to U.S. News & World Report (May 14, 1973), John McCain didn’t wait long before offering military information in return for medical care. While an extraordinary patient at Gi Lam Hospital, he was visited by a number of dignitaries, including, to quote John McCain himself, General Vo Nguyen Giap, the national hero of Dienbienphu.

Jack McLamb is a highly respected name in law enforcement circles. After 9 years of clandestine operations in Cambodia and unmentionable areas, he returned home to Phoenix where he became one of the most decorated police officers on record. Twice McLamb was named Officer of the Year. He went on to become an FBI hostage negotiator. This man has stated that every one of the many former POWs he has talked with consider McCain a traitor. States McLamb, “He was never tortured…The Vietnamese Communists called him the Songbird, that’s his code name, Songbird McCain, because he just came into the camp singing and telling them everything they wanted to know.” McLamb further quotes former POWs as saying John McCain starred in 32 propaganda videos in which he denounced his country and comrades.

The Glavnoje Razvedyvatel’noje Upravlenije is the Soviet’s military intelligence division. Numerous sources confirm that during the Nam Era, the English-speaking Vietnamese who conducted interrogations of American prisoners were always overseen by Russian GRU officers. The ranking GRU officer at the Hanoi Hilton had a multilingual teenage son who was tasked with translating all interrogation reports into Russian. He would become known only as T.

According to T who interpreted all interrogations and notes pertaining to John McCain during the latter’s stay from December, 1969, to March, 1973, when a well-fed looking McCain’s was released, privileges were extended. These included time at a furnished apartment in Hanoi – furnished with 2 prostitutes. John McCain would attribute such absences to solitary confinement.
It has been widely reported that following his father’s appointment as CINCPAC Commander-in-Chief of all U.S. forces in the Vietnam theater of operations, John McCain was offered an immediate parole. John McCain insists that he refused such a preference. Others insist that his father refused to allow such a preference. In any event, such an offer would have required the approval of the Soviet masters, and T would have seen documentation. He has no recollection of such an offer.

In 1991 the Soviet Union was in a state of collapse. People and things were up for grabs. During that thaw, a mass document swap took place between the KGB and CIA. All T’s translations were included. If these dots are really connected, it is small wonder that John McCain had fought consistently to keep all files sealed, block any attempts to retrieve POWs, and establish the friendliest of relations with his former tormentors.

It is public record that Admiral McCain was on hand to greet his son upon return. According to Major Mark A. Smith (USA Retired), a Green Beret and former POW, a trusted friend of his accompanied the Admiral that day. Later, when the friend referred to that meeting, John McCain became enraged, volunteered that he had received “no special treatment,” and then denied that his father was there.

In 1989 legislation known as The Truth Bill was introduced in the U.S. House. It required the Department of Defense to publish the names and information on all unaccounted for POWs, MIAs, and KIAs in WW II, the Korean War, and Vietnam. It languished and was resurrected 2 years later. Then came the John McCain Bill, promptly enacted, that blocked such information. The DoD does not even have to acknowledge confirmed sightings of live Americans.

TEMPER AND TEMPERAMENT

The senator’s temper and temperament remain in question. His biographer quotes him: “At the smallest provocation I would go off into a mad frenzy, and then suddenly crash to the floor unconscious.” Has he moderated over time? Apparently. Somewhat. Senators who have had John McCain scream hyphenated obscenities at them nose-to-nose include Rick Santorum, Richard Shelby, Thad Cochran, and James Inhofe. Most colleagues decline comment. The man has been called psychologically unstable.

BIRDS OF A FEATHER?

Eleven years ago John McCain loudly defended the glorious hero of the Vietnam War, John Kerry. That would be the young naval officer who hid out in an office until he took command of a river patrol boat for a few weeks. He put in for a purple heart every time he got a scratch or bruise. With 3 of those, he rotated out with the intention of running for public office as a war hero. When Kerry saw the level of anti-war sentiment, he quickly morphed into an anti-war hero running for public office and later married the widow of an extremely wealthy, conservative senator who died under highly suspicious circumstances. Swift Boat Veterans for Truth have not died. Their affiliate is Vietnam Veterans Against John McCain, headed by former Sergeant Ted Sampley, who also serves as vice-president of the half-million member Rolling Thunder Motorcycle Rally.

Sampley has spent years working toward the return of Vietnam era MIAs and POWs abandoned by our government (which is invariably the case at a war’s end). McCann has thwarted him at every turn, dismissing 1,600 credible first hand sightings, 14,000 second hand sightings, and countless radio intercepts that supported the observations.

In 1991 a Senate Select Committee held hearings on the subject of Vietnam POWs. Tracy Usry, honored Vietnam veteran and former chief investigator for the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, testified that American POWs were routinely interrogated by Soviet intelligence officers. Several times, an enraged John McCain interrupted, shouting that, “…none of the returned U.S. POWs released by Vietnam was ever interrogated by the Soviets.” He knew better. So apparently did Bui Tin, former Senior Colonel, NVA, who testified that he had been privy to all Soviet documents pertaining to American prisoners. He supported Usry, refuted McCain, and offered his personal records as added proof.

In short order, Usry and all participating staff members were fired. Jack Wheeler, Republicon insider and master strategist, attributed that to McCain’s behind-the-scenes pressuring.

Add to that McCain’s despicable treatment of families of POWs. Is that based on guilt or is the man simply despicable?
In the 1920s, the Bronfman family of Montreal rose to power and wealth based particularly on its Seagrams liquor business, which had as its Prohibition Era partner Meyer Lansky, American Mafia boss. Bootlegging profits were enormous. The family branched into many areas, including media. In recent years, Bronfman acquired a major chunk of Time-Warner.

Michael Collins Piper is the author of several books including FINAL JUDGMENT and THE HIGH PRIESTS OF WAR. According to his research, Jack Ruby, Texas nightclub manager and silencer of JFK’s alleged assassin, was a Bronfman asset. Piper identifies Ruby as “a key player” in the smuggling of arms stolen from U.S. military bases to Israel.

In the years prior to World War II, the move into Arizona was made. In 1941, Gus Greenbaum of Phoenix started a national wire service for bookies. When he shifted to Las Vegas in 1946 to oversee Meyer Lansky’s casino interests and subsequently replace the infamous, violent Bugsy Siegel, Kemper Marley was appointed crime syndicate boss of Arizona. According to sources within the Marley group, it was Bronfman who put him in the liquor business and enabled him to build a statewide monopoly. In 1948, the feds sent 52 employees to prison for liquor violations. Rumor has it that Marley remained untouched because one of his lieutenants, James Hensley, took the fall and did a dime. Piper reports that Hensley’s attorney and dealmaker was William Rehnquist. Yes, that would be the Chief Justice who later pulled his former girlfriend onto the high court and spent his last decade on the bench hallucinating on drugs. Upon release, Marley gave Hensley one of the biggest Anheuser-Busch distributorships in the country – certainly the biggest in Arizona. Thank you for your faithful service.

Then one day in 1981, an obscure, newly retired naval officer rode into the land of sun, cacti, and retirees. After his first wife, who had raised his children and waited for him became crippled in an accident, John McCain had dumped her overboard and married his mistress – Cindy, daughter of James Hensley. The next year the “straight talker” was installed in the U.S. House of Lords. Four years later he moved to the senate.

So who owns honest John McCain? The mob that runs Arizona? The big Vegas money that continues to contribute heavily? The Israeli connection? You be the judge.

UNDERSTANDING ECONOMICS – WHO NEEDS IT?

Several years ago, John McCain stated to a journalist that, “Economics isn’t my strong suit.” But, he added, he is reading Greenspan. That would be Fed Chairman Alan Greenspan who, during his tenure, expanded the money supply more than in all the years since 1913. The Greenspan who kept the printing presses running at warp speed, turning out little pieces of paper called money and backed by the promises of politicians. Alan the Inflator fueled the dotcom bubble, the stock market bubble, and more recently the real estate bubble. It is no wonder that the LONDON ECONOMIST recently pegged 2007 true U.S. inflation at 17%. Just what we need – another president who is an economic illiterate. It’s small consolation that John McCain admits it, because if elected, he’d appoint the wrong advisors.

JOHN MCCAiN VERSUS THE CONSTITUTION

McCain, also known as senator hyphen around D.C., frequently partners with members of the far left. The McCain-Feingold Campaign Finance Reform Bill was an obvious, full-frontal attack on the First Amendment – perhaps the most blatant since the Sedition Act 200 years previous. Specifically, it outlawed the most protected of free speech, political descent. This alone should be a deal breaker. Anyone voting for the bill should have been impeached and removed from office. George Bush, when he broke another of his pledges and signed the odious legislation, said he had problems with it but that the Supreme Court might very possibly strike down parts. Apparently, his thinking was (1) this is bad law, but why should I worry, and (2) I don’t need to do my job because somebody down the line might do it for me.

Accordingly, it is entirely logical that radio talk show hosts are in strong opposition to McCain. They understand how much he hates free speech, and they don’t want to see a return to the deceptively named Fairness Doctrine that used to force broadcasters to devote matching time to the promotion of liberal views to balance conservative. At the core is the liberals’ fear of exposure to the marketplace of ideas and free discourse. To them, it is not enough that you have a dial and an opposed thumb. If we’re going to have a Fairness Doctrine, let’s carry it all the way out. For every 80 anti-gun news stories, I want to see 80 (easy to find) pro-
gun stories. Not 1. For every male bashing commercial, mandate one female bashing. Let’s limit the number of black players on college and NBA teams to 12½ percent, reflective of the population. Et cetera.

John McCain also works to destroy the Second Amendment. John McCain does not trust you with a firearm, regardless of the plain words of the Constitution. He would bar you from defending yourself from marauders and certainly from an out-of-control government. The Gun Owners of America rates John McCain F minus. Although the National Rifle Association is far softer in defending gun rights, its president has termed John McCain the “worst Second Amendment candidate.” Example: John McCain sponsored an amendment to S. 1805 that would destroy gun shows by outlawing private gun sales at such events, although they have been proven to not be a significant source of criminals’ weapons. A next step would be the outlawing of private transfers. A father would be unable to pass down a family treasure without government blessing. The unconstitutionality of all this is of no importance to the senator and his ilk. Check his record. This alone should be another deal breaker.

Just about everybody loves a maverick, right? Spirit of America and all that. We often impute a certain sense of integrity to someone who turns on his own. Is the senator from Hanoi really a maverick? Sure, but from what? Honor? Duty? The Constitution he works so hard to make irrelevant? But as a career politician and long-time member of the Council on Foreign Relations, John McCain is also a one-worlder and a senior insider.

CAMPAIGN FINANCE

I’m sure the sponsor of the so-called Campaign Finance Reform Bill wouldn’t mind if we took a cursory look at his donors. They include the sinister international currency manipulator George Soros, JP Morgan Chase & Company, Citigroup, Goldman Sachs, and Lehman Brothers. In other words, John McCain is backed by most of the usual suspects who back “the competition.”

According to WorldNetDaily, since 2001, this candidate has receiving funding via the Reform Institute of Alexandria, Virginia, founded to launder money from George Soro’s Open Society Institute and Theresa Heinz Kerry’s Tides Foundation. Let’s just know who owns whom. All this only makes sense. The senator is a long-time member of the Council on Foreign Relations, a one-worlder, an ultimate insider.

Funding scandals? Sure. We have them too. Does anybody remember the Keating Five debacle from 1987 that cost depositors and taxpayers $160 million? Charles Keating owned American Continental Corporation and its subsidiary Lincoln Savings & Loan. Facing multiple federal indictments, he called on the recipients of his largesse – Senators Alan Cranston, John Glenn, Don Riegle, and from the great State of Arizona Dennis DeConsini and John McCain. Strings were pulled, but, in the end, Keating was convicted. In 1991, the Senate Ethics Committee (I know, such an oxymoron) ruled that John McCain hadn’t quite done anything illegal. But by his own standards he was corrupt.

D.C. FOLLIES

Remember the New York Times ran a piece suggesting that John McCain may have had an affair with lobbyist Vicki Iseman that went back 8 years. Ms. Iseman is a partner in Alcalde & Fay, who represent Carnival Lines, several broadcasters, and municipalities. The Times, along with Drudge and the Washington Post, had been sitting on the story for some weeks. The allegations are unproven, and, the senator has exhibited extraordinary self-control when denying them. I can only say that he has a history of this type of Clintonian behavior, both in the military and, admittedly, during his first marriage. Apparently it is acceptable anymore. In any case, I question whether the Times should have run with this.

Influence peddling? Sure, John McCain rode Lowell Paxson’s jet several times. It would be asking a lot of a high-profile senator to walk through a crowded airport and climb on a commercial flight. Maybe he wrote Paxson checks at the commercial fare rate. He did accept $100,000 donation from Alcalde & Fay. And he did write 2 letters recommending that the FCC approve Paxson’s purchase of a Pittsburgh TV station. Only two? Lobbyists lobby. I don’t have any finger to point here.

THE GREAT CONSERVATIVE

Taking a page from Bill Clinton’s Attorney General, John McCain has called Christian leaders “agents of intolerance.”
John McCain often crosses the aisle to block the confirmation of conservative judges with strict construction leanings. His record on taxes is clear; he likes them. Senator hyphen has co-sponsored ill conceived legislation that would boost gasoline prices by more than half a dollar a gallon. And he supports radical global warming measures that would significantly disadvantage the U.S.

COME ON UP. HERE’S A CHECK.

Teaming again with Teddy Kennedy, at al, the senator from Hanoi sponsored an amnesty bill for illegal aliens. A top aide, Juan Hernandez previously held a cabinet level position with ex-president of Mexico Vincente Fox. This dual citizen is on record as favoring “Mexico First.” John McCain supports open borders. Well, until he caught sight of the prize. These days he’s promising that “first I’ll close the borders.” He’d still like to see Social Security money paid to the sneak-ins. Another deal breaker?

CONCLUSIONS

Are you a patriotic American? Do you believe in our wonderful Constitution? Are you opposed to War and its precursor strategies that have killed hundreds of thousands of innocents? Are you fiscally responsible? Do you truly understand the principles of republicanism? Do you believe in marital fidelity? Are you a supporter of free speech? I submit that if your answer to any of these questions is yes, you must admit John McCain is incredibly ineptly unqualified, and is one of the worst treasonous individuals to be in American politics.

In other words, John McCain must be one of the most flawed and treasonously compromised elected official in our nation’s history.

Additional Information – April 7, 2015

Multiple groups rebelled right out of the gate to Senator John McCain’s announcement that he will indeed seek re-election in what is expected to be a historic 2016 election cycle.

Within hours of McCain’s announcement both Conservative Review and the Senate Conservatives Fund had emailed to rally supporters against McCain. The messages pointed out John McCain’s record and weakened position. Senate Conservatives Fund called for a strong show of support to oust a weakened McCain and elect a fresh face to represent Arizona in the U.S. Senate.

Conservative Review grants John McCain an “F” with a 48 percent rating, calling out McCain for an extensive 32-year entrenchment in Washington. CR Editor Gaston Mooney said, “John McCain’s consistent support for gun control, cap and trade, amnesty, and tax increases have put him at odds with just about every coalition inside the Republican Party and recent straw polls have shown that he is vulnerable. McCain pandered to the right in 2008 and now he is at it again.”

“There are few Republicans who have betrayed our conservative principles more than John McCain,” read the Senate Conservatives Fund letter. “McCain lost his way a long time ago.”

Both messages criticize John McCain for his part in the “Gang of Eight” immigration reform and his support for amnesty, his vote for the taxpayer funded “Wall Street” bailout, his vote to fund implementation of Obamacare and criticism of efforts to halt that funding, opposition to a $1.3 trillion tax cut, support for a $600 billion tax hike, repeated votes to raise the debt limit and voting against term limits.

Mincing no words, the Senate Conservatives letter refers to McCain as “one of the most anti-conservative RINOs in the Senate.”
Kim C. Dine is a traitor.

Kim C. Dine became the Police Chief of the United States Capitol Police (USCP) Department on December 12, 2012.

Chief Kim Dine swore allegiance to protect and defend the United States Constitution, along with accepting the duties as police chief in protecting the Members of Congress and their families throughout the United States, its legislative processes, employees, visitors, and facilities from crime, disruption, or terrorism. This includes protecting life and property; preventing, detecting, and investigating criminal acts; and enforcing traffic regulations throughout a large complex of Congressional buildings, parks, and thoroughfares.

As of April 6, 2015, Police Chief Kim Dine is unlawfully withholding information about the circumstances surrounding Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid’s (D-NV) gruesome New Year’s Day injuries.

Despite several news organizations sending numerous phone and email requests for this information to the Capitol Police, no such information has been provided.

Lacking the public release of this information from Chief Kim Dine, several critical questions about the circumstances surrounding Reid’s injuries will remain unanswered:

1. If it was an accident, what are the details of that accident?

2. If it was not an accident, was it an assault?

3. If the possibility of assault on a member of Congress, a federal crime, has not been ruled out, why is the FBI, which has jurisdiction over such matters, not currently investigating?

4. If it is a possible assault and the Capitol Police have not reported it as such to the FBI, would such a failure to report constitute obstruction of justice?

Chief Kim Dine and the Capitol Police, however, are not subject to the HIPAA privacy rule, and their release of all the information related to Reid’s injuries is in the public interest.

At present, they appear to be stonewalling at best.
Failure to fully disclose its activities is nothing new to the Capitol Police and Chief Kim Dine.

In fact, the Capitol Board, the three person body that is the governing authority established by Congress to supervise the Capitol Police, has become increasingly frustrated with how Chief Kim Dine has operated the Capitol Police.

In January 2015, the board–Sergeant at Arms of the Senate Frank J. Larkin, Sergeant at Arms of the House Paul D. Irving, and Architect of the Capitol Stephen T. Ayres, held a testy supervisory meeting with Kim Dine and Deputy Chief Daniel B. Malloy, in which they expressed dissatisfaction with Kim Dine’s lack of disclosure regarding several recent high profile incidents.

UPDATE: April 11, 2015

Capitol Police chief who stonewalled release of Harry Reid injury data reportedly resigns.

After stonewalling release of reports filed by the Capitol Police protective detail that was with Harry Reid when he suffered mysterious injuries on New Year’s Day, Kim C. Dine, chief of the Capitol Police, is reported to have resigned:

_U.S. Capitol Police Chief Kim C. Dine has submitted a letter of resignation to the Capitol Police Board, multiple sources with direct knowledge of the situation confirmed to CQ Roll Call._

_It is not currently known whether the letter has or will be accepted by the three-member board, made up of the House and Senate sergeants-at-arms and the Architect of the Capitol. Multiple attempts to secure a comment from Dine were unsuccessful._

There are other issues aside from the mystery surrounding Reid, whose story about his injuries has changed:

The chief’s letter of resignation also comes amid reports of conflict within the department, which employs 1,775 sworn officers and 370 civilians. A recent CQ Roll Call report detailed growing frustration among rank-and-file officers regarding alleged policies passed down from department brass that officers should not participate in “low value” stops around the Capitol campus. The officers said the top priority was combating terrorist threats against the Capitol and lawmakers, with day-to-day policing taking a backseat.

Lawmakers questioned Dine about officer morale at a recent House Legislative Branch Appropriations Subcommittee hearing.

But it is clear that Harry is watching out for his guy:

Despite the recent concerns about Dine’s leadership, he did receive support from some lawmakers, including Senate Minority Leader Harry Reid, D-Nev., a former Capitol Police officer himself. “I’m for a strong Capitol Police,” Reid said on March 3, 2014. “We need to give them more resources, rather than less.”
As in Alice and Wonderland, things keep getting curiouser and curiouser about Harry Reid.

ALCEE LAMAR HASTINGS

Alcee Lamar Hastings is a traitor.

Alcee Lamar Hastings is a treasonous United States Representative for Florida’s 20th congressional district.

Alcee Hastings was a federal judge from 1979 until he was impeached for bribery and perjury in 1988. He was convicted by the U.S. Senate and removed from his position in 1989, making him one of just eight federal officials in U.S. history to be impeached and removed from office.

Then Alcee Hastings ran for the political office of the United States Congress, and was first elected in 1992. This was followed with him being reelected again in 1994, 1996, 1998, and so forth through 2014.
Additional Information

In the summer of 2014, Congressman Alcee Hastings co-sponsored the measure formally known as H.R. 5344, the “Responsible Body Armor Possession Act.” It would prohibit the purchase, ownership or possession of enhanced body armor by civilians. The bill would have provided exceptions for those whose purchase or possession of body armor is authorized by the federal government or state governments, or the political subdivision of a state.

The legislation also specifies that violations would be punishable by an unspecified (and therefore, unlimited) fine, imprisonment for not more than 10 years, or both.

Among the items the legislation would prohibit are not only the familiar Kevlar vests, but also a “helmet or shield, the ballistic resistance of which meets or exceeds the ballistic performance of Type III armor.” (Type III armor, by definition, protects against bullets traveling at approximately 2,780 feet per second.)

Even if the measure would pass — and it’s not likely, given the GOP majorities in the House and Senate — body armor is already currently regulated by the federal government.

Under 18 U.S. Code, Section 931, it is unlawful for a person to purchase, own, or possess body armor, if that person has been convicted of a felony, i.e., an offense under state law that would constitute a crime of violence. An exception is made if the ‘defendant’ (notice that a convicted felon possessing body armor is already considered to be a ‘defendant,’ even if they have committed no further crimes) obtained prior written certification from his or her employer that the defendant’s purchase, use, or possession of body armor was necessary for the safe performance of lawful business activity.

Violators of the law could be sentenced to 10 years in prison.

It should be noted, the federal measure to ban body armor comes at a time when federal, state and local law enforcement is becoming more and more militarized. In turn, it’s not surprising the very same police who have been getting much of this armor are very much in favor of making sure no one else gets it.

Again, the measure has so far been given zero consideration in the GOP-controlled House, and most assuredly there would be a coalition of concerned citizens’ organizations that would lobby against its passage. But it is telling that treasonous politicians like Alcee Hastings want to make it more difficult for American citizens to protect themselves.
Susan Oki Mollway is a traitor.

Susan Oki Mollway is a treasonous federal district judge on the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii.

Federal Judge Susan Oki Mollway is doing everything she can to nullify what the voters of Maui legally created on Election Day 2014.

In an historic effort, those voters passed a ballot measure temporarily blocking Monsanto and Dow from continuing their toxic GMO and pesticide experiments in the “open-air laboratory” of Maui.

Monsanto and Dow then turned around and sued to nullify that vote, and the County Government of Maui, betraying their own citizens, joined forces with the two corporate behemoths.

Judge Mollway now has the case before her.

In her very latest stalling move, she’s postponed any action until June 15, 2015. Meanwhile, Monsanto and Dow are allowed to continue poisoning the people of Maui.

Mollway’s justification for her latest stall? Between now and June 15, the Hawaii State Legislature MIGHT pass a bill that decides the future of Dow and Monsanto on Maui and thus overrides Maui voters; and therefore waiting is the best option.

This, despite the fact that the relevant agriculture bills now sitting before the State Legislature are receiving zero attention. At the moment, they’re dead ducks.

So what message is Judge Mollway really sending? It’s obvious. She’s nudging and winking at the Legislature, hoping they revive one of these bills or invent a new one and pass it. Soon.

She wants such a bill to make it clear that Monsanto and Dow can continue their GMO/pesticide experiments without interruption, regardless of what the voters of Maui have decided. She wants to destroy the ability of a vote to make any difference.

Since when does a sitting Federal Judge postpone making a judicial decision because another branch of government (the legislature) might enact a law?

In case you’ve forgotten, there are three branches of government, and they’re supposed to limit each other’s power.

What Mollway is doing is treasonous and absurd.
This would be like the US Supreme Court stating, “We are about to enter another round of decision-making on Obamacare—but we’re going to wait, because the Congress might possibly enact new legislation that clarifies the points we’re supposed to debate…”

Yes. Might. Possibly. And a hundred UFOs might land on Maui in early June and render, de facto, all governmental decisions null and void.

Why doesn’t Mollway just come out and say, “Look, there is no way I’m going to stop Monsanto and Dow from doing what they’ve been doing on Maui. I’ll employ any strategy to accomplish my objective. If anyone has suggestions on how I can achieve this, please email me. I’m open to all ideas. For example, the Maui voters were temporarily disabled on Election Day because a solar flare hit Hawaii and caused synaptic chaos. Or, Monsanto is actually a group of messianic extraterrestrials here to save us…”

Better yet, Mollway could simply declare Maui a judicial monarchy, appoint herself Queen, and cancel all voting privileges.

Under the cover of court gibberish and rigamarole, that’s what she’s doing.

Is there an appeals court that’s ready to push her off the case and off the bench? Or are they working for Monsanto, too?

---

Victoria A. Roberts is a traitor.

Victoria A. Roberts is treasonous United States federal judge.

Judge Victoria Roberts is full of dirty tricks when it comes to implementing the heavy hands of the authoritarian federal government.

A prime example is the case of Doreen Hendrickson, a mild-mannered and demure mother of two. Someone you would likely see at a church picnic rather than in a jail house.

Thanks to Judge Victoria Roberts, she may be incarcerated very soon. Denied her basic rights in the court of law, Doreen Hendrickson was convicted of contempt of court on July 25, 2014.
Her tale is certainly unusual.

Doreen Hendrickson, along with her husband Pete, are libertarian activists who file tax returns in an unconventional way and encourage others to do the same. Because of this, Doreen was charged by the federal government with defying two court orders.

“One commanded Doreen to repudiate her previously-filed, sworn tax returns and replace them with new ones containing testimony dictated by the government,” her husband Pete Hendrickson said. “Doreen was ordered to swear to her personal belief in the testimony she was ordered to make and to conceal the fact that the returns she was ordered to make would be coerced and false.”

In short, Doreen was faced with an ultimatum.

She could obey the court order and say she falsified a tax return (a felony), or she could stand by her word and her conscience by refusing to obey the court order that would force her to perjure herself. Doreen did what was right and chose the noble option.

Now she may pay a huge price for such integrity.

“I am accused by the government of having committed a crime for refusing to obey an order to swear to facts I do not believe are true. I agreed to obey the order if I could also simply add to my signature that I had been ordered by Judge Victoria Roberts to swear to the facts. That didn’t suit the government however. Not only must I obey an order to swear to something I do not believe, but I am not allowed to indicate that I’ve been ordered to swear under threat of imprisonment.” – Doreen Hendrickson

When you take a closer look at Doreen and Pete’s activism and the ramifications it could have if widely adopted, it becomes clear as to why the federal government feels so threatened. They are desperate to make an example out of them.

Whereas many complain about federal government corruption and do nothing about it, Doreen’s husband Pete developed a plan to help people protect their economic freedom while chipping away at federal power. He outlined it in his book, Cracking the Code, which in 2003 released the incredible information he compiled about the income tax and the 16th Amendment.

“The limited nature of the income tax is not a matter of my opinion,” Pete Hendrickson says. “In addition to the clear words of the law, dozens of United States Supreme Court rulings agree with my research and analysis, while no Supreme Court rulings support any alternative view, including the broad misunderstanding of the law the IRS likes to encourage.”

Although the information offered in Cracking the Code was not secret, it was deliberately kept hidden from the public for many years by the feds’ and their lackeys.

For generations, Americans have been filing tax returns erroneously and paying more than their ‘fair share’ to Uncle Sam. This truth had fallen by the wayside over the years, but thanks to Pete’s research detailed in his book, it has been revived. Since Cracking the Code was released over a decade ago, tens of thousands have taken his advice and received complete income tax refunds.

Hendrickson estimates that at least $2.3 billion has been forced out of federal coffers and back into the rightful hands of hard-working Americans.

“All of these refunds have been thoroughly vetted by the government before being issued, and all have issued even while the government has striven mightily to suppress the knowledge of the law by which these claims are made,” Pete Hendrickson said.
“Cracking the Code invokes the mechanism provided by the Founders for keeping the State restrained and obedient to the law.”

However, this success has come at a price for Pete Hendrickson. Because of the overarching implications that his ideas could have in restricting federal power, he and his wife have come under constant attack by the feds. Falsifying and trumping up charges to discredit and destroy their opposition is one of their common tactics to maintain power.

Ever since Cracking the Code was released, the feds have been trying to destroy the Hendrickson family. Pete has already had to serve jail-time, and now his wife may be forced to do the same.

Doreen was not allowed to reference any Supreme Court cases that would have demonstrated her innocence to the jury.

She was not allowed to reference the thousands of stories from Americans who received refunds because they filed tax returns based off of information obtained from Pete’s book. Government attorneys lied about a relevant statute and tried to prevent giving the text of the statute from going to the jury.

Mrs. Hendrickson was not even allowed to get through her opening and closing statements. She was taunted by the treasonous Judge Victoria Roberts and not given the proper time to make her case.

To put it quite simply:

This case was a miscarriage of justice. This was a kangaroo court. This was a railroading. This was a dog and pony show. It was a disgrace to everything that America was founded upon.

“To judges don’t get too far in the system by remembering what the law is and who it’s for,” court-watcher Brian Wright said. Wright penned a book called the Motor City Witchcraft Trials about Doreen’s case. “The current lot of judges at all levels has deteriorated into yes men to whatever mobster, especially federal with DHS and the national security state apparatus, tells them to dance.”

To add insult to injury, the jury was instructed by NOT to consider the Constitution of the United States while considering the verdict. They were told by Judge Victoria Roberts, “It is not a defense to the crime of contempt that the court order was unlawful or unconstitutional.”

This twisting of the rule of law, common sense, and basic human decency resulted in what would have been otherwise a completely incomprehensible guilty verdict for Doreen Hendrickson.

At a time when the United States houses the largest prison population in the history of the world and jails are busting at the seams trying to house all of these offenders, a temperate homemaker will possibly be behind bars soon merely for obeying her conscience and standing on principle.

In spite of posing a threat to nobody and committing a ‘crime’ that is highly dubious, to put it mildly, she could face YEARS in prison regardless.

However, this story is not over.

We are not forced to allow this travesty to occur unabated. Government officials can be pressured by the public. If local citizens of southeast Michigan can flood the court room at Doreen’s upcoming sentencing hearing, we can put the fear of God into this treasonous Judge Victoria Roberts.

Judge Victoria Roberts will know that all eyes are on her. She will know this story will not die quietly. Our actions could conceivably affect how Doreen is sentenced.
Hopefully there will be many journalists and activists showing up to her court hearing to make sure this story does not go unnoticed. If we don’t stand for Doreen Hendrickson now, it could be us or our loved ones who the government comes for next.

It is time to say enough is enough. Now is the time to stand up for a good person who desperately needs us.

The hearing takes place on Thursday, April 9, 2015 at 10:30 am at the following address:

231 W Lafayette Ave  
Detroit, MI 48226-2702  
2nd floor

Fighting corruption requires sacrifice. It takes blood, sweat and tears.

If we show up in full force to Doreen’s sentencing, we can make a powerful statement that corruption in the Judicial system will not be tolerated. All individuals deserve a fair shake in court, and Doreen clearly did not receive that.

We must show solidarity behind this noble woman and fight alongside her on behalf of her innocence.

http://www.patriotortraitor.com/category/traitors/page/4/

STEVEN J. “STEVE” ISRAEL

*Posted March 23, 2015 on [Patriot or Traitor](http://www.patriotortraitor.com/category/traitors/page/4/)*

Steven J. “Steve” Israel is a traitor.
Steven J. “Steve” Israel is a treasonous United States Representative for New York’s 3rd congressional district.

As of March 2015, Rep. Steve Israel is attempting to push a new congressional bill called the “Modernize Law Enforcement Protection Act.”

The bill is nothing more or less than an attempt to ban all centerfire and some rimfire rifle ammunition.

Let’s look at the United States Congressman’s press release:

After the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) caved to the gun lobby and delayed a proposal to ban “green tip” ammunition, Congressman Steve Israel (NY-03) called on ATF to reevaluate the decision and immediately ban 5.56 mm M855 green tip ammunition, commonly referred to as “armor-piercing” or “cop-killer” bullets.

M855 ammunition is not “commonly referred to” as armor-piercing in any context. M855 is not considered armor-piercing by the United States military. M995 ammunition is the armor-piercing 5.56 round used by our armed forces; all real armor-piercing small arms ammunition in the military is color-coded with a black tip, not a green tip.

Rep. Steve Israel and his allies are likewise blatantly lying when they claim that M855 is “commonly referred to” as a “cop killer” round. M855 has never been linked to even one instance of a police officer being shot through his protective vest with an AR-15 pistol in the history of the United States.

To the best we can determine, the attempt to label M855 ammunition as “cop killer” ammunition is a plot progressive politicians and journalists that is just days old.

Additionally, Steve Israel announced the Modernize Law Enforcement Protection Act that would extend the definition of armor-piercing ammunition to include all bullets that can pierce body armor and be used in handguns. You will note that this blatant assault on the Second Amendment isn’t limited to ammunition chambered by semi-automatic firearms, but ANY bullet that can be chambered in any handgun, which includes single-shot handguns. As single-shot handguns have been chambered in virtually every rifle caliber, and all centerfire and some rimfire rifle bullets can pierce Level II body armor designed only to stop handgun bullets, then this must be recognized as an attempt to ban all rifle ammunition, in every configuration, in every caliber.

Steve Israel is furious that ATF is backing off of an unlawful plot to attempt to ban incredibly common training ammunition that is NOT armor-piercing under definition provided in the Law Enforcement Protection Act of 1986.

Oddly, Steve Israel forgets to mention that the world’s largest law enforcement organization, the Fraternal Order of Police, has loudly and publicly stated that M855 ammunition simply is not a threat to law enforcement officers. Why let facts get in the way of tyranny?

“This legislation is an important step to protect law enforcement from ammunition that may penetrate body armor. Technology often outpaces legislation and the continuing review of new products by the Attorney General’s office will protect from future loopholes in the law,” said Nassau County Acting Commissioner of Police Thomas C. Krumpter.

Like most police brass in New York, Krumpter was appointed to his position by Democrat politicians. Do you think he will convert “acting commissioner” to “commissioner” if he disagrees with the corrupt Democrat power structure in New York? Of course not.

On Tuesday, March 10, 2015 the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives decided to delay its proposal to update its framework for evaluating armor-piercing ammunition under the Law Enforcement Officers Protection Act (LEOPA) of 1986. This would leave dangerous ammunition on the streets.

By “dangerous ammunition,” Steve Israel would seem to mean “all ammunition.” Once again, M855 is a common training round, and has been relegated to a training role because it isn’t effective as an anti-personnel round. It causes less damage than any other 5.56 bullet that we are aware of, a fact born out in formal and informal ballistics tests, and more than 2 decades of
combat use. Special Operations forces, the Army, and the Marines have all relegated M855 to a training role. Law enforcement and other law-abiding gun owners use it in that same way.

Specifically, the Modernize Law Enforcement Protection Act introduced with Rep. Jackie Speier (D-CA), would require the Attorney General to modify the definition of armor-piercing ammunition to conform to the performance of the bullet. Current law limits the definition for armor-piercing ammunition as bullets or bullet cores used in handguns which are made from one or a combination of certain metals. It would also require the Attorney General to establish testing criteria to assess a bullet’s lethality against the minimum standards of body armor worn by law enforcement personnel.

It’s curious that Rep. Steve Israel refuses to define those “minimum standards.” That is perhaps because Level I vests are designed to stop only shell fragments and anemic handgun and standard .22LR rimfire rounds. Any modern defensive pistol bullet in 9mm, 40 S&W, and .45ACP will blow through a Level I vest.

Perhaps Steven Israel wants to ban all handgun ammunition as well?

This new legislation makes necessary updates to previous common-sense gun safety protections for law enforcement. In 1986, the Law Enforcement Officers Protection Act (LEOPA) was signed into law by President Ronald Reagan to ban armor piercing bullets for handguns but not for hunting rifles. Because of significant developments in bullet propellants, coatings and materials, such as Teflon, the original Law Enforcement Protection Act of 1986 is now outdated.

For a sitting Congressman, Rep. Steven Israel plays the role of a village simpleton rather convincingly. Teflon (polytetrafluoroethylene) does not now, nor has it ever, made bullets “armor-piercing.” It is simply a coating designed to reduce barrel wear. This idiotic claim has been debunked time and again.

As a result, the marketplace has been flooded by growing volumes of ammunition that are fully capable of piercing body armor while skirting the definition of the 1986 ban.

This is simply a meaningless, fact-free statement, devoid of truth, reason, or logic.

Before 2011, few manufacturers sought exemptions and the ATF only granted two, including for “green tip” 5.56 mm bullets because they were largely used for hunting.

Again, “green tips” are largely used for training. They are rarely used for hunting, but when they are they are sued for small game and varmints, as they lack the terminal performance against anything much larger than 40 lbs.

“Green tip” ammunition can now be used in some types of handguns, and is capable of penetrating a police officer’s body armor or bullet-proof vest when fired. We’ve addressed this numerous times, and have specifically noted that law enforcement doesn’t find M855 to be a threat.

Rep. Steve Israel and his anti-gun progressive Democrat allies are attempting to manufacture an excuse to ban all rifle ammunition, thoroughly gutting the Second Amendment.

As such, isn’t it time someone asked this traitor why?
Richard Mauze Burr is a traitor.

Richard Mauze Burr is a treasonous United States Senator from North Carolina.

In early March 2015, the Senate Intelligence Committee advanced a terrible unconstitutional cyber security bill called the Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act of 2015 (CISA) to the Senate floor. Therein, the new chair (and false supporter of transparency) Senator Richard Burr may have set a record as he kept the bill secret until Tuesday night (March 17, 2015).

The Cybersecurity bill aims to facilitate information sharing between companies and the government, but the broad immunity clauses for companies, vague definitions and aggressive spying powers make it a secret surveillance bill.

The bill adds a new authority for companies to monitor information systems to protect an entity’s hardware or software. The broad definitions could be used in conjunction with the monitoring clause to spy on users engaged in potentially innocuous activity. Once collected, companies can then share the information, which is also called “cyber threat indicators,” freely with government agencies like the NSA.

Such sharing will occur because under this bill, DHS would no longer be the lead agency making decisions about the cyber security information received, retained, or shared to companies or within the government. Its new role in the bill mandates DHS send information to agencies—like the NSA—“in real-time.” The bill also allows companies to bypass DHS and share the information immediately with other agencies, like the intelligence agencies, which ensures that DHS’s current privacy protections won’t be applied to the information. The provision is ripe for improper and over-expansive information sharing.

Once the information is sent to any government agency (including local law enforcement), it can use the information for reasons other than for cybersecurity purposes. The provisions grant the government far too much leeway in how to use the information for non-cybersecurity purposes. The public won’t even know what information is being collected, shared, or used because the bill will exempt all of it from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act.

In 2012, the Senate negotiated a much tighter definition in Senator Lieberman’s Cybersecurity Act of 2012. The definition only allowed law enforcement to use information for a violation of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, an imminent threat of death, or a serious threat to a minor. The Senate Intelligence Committee’s bill—at the minimum—should’ve followed the already negotiated language.

The bill also retains near-blanket immunity for companies to monitor information systems and to share the information as long as it’s conducted according to the act. Again, “cybersecurity purpose” rears its overly broad head since a wide range of actions conducted for a cybersecurity purpose are allowed by the bill. The high bar immunizes an incredible amount of activity. Existing private rights of action for violations of the Wiretap Act, Stored Communications Act, and potentially the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act would be precluded or at least sharply restricted by the clause. It remains to be seen why such immunity is needed when just a few months ago, the FTC and DOJ noted they would not prosecute companies for sharing such information. It’s also unclear because we continue to see companies freely share information among each other and with the government both publicly via published reports, information sharing and analysis centers, and private communications.
This unconstitutional and fatally flawed bill is not cyber security, it’s a surveillance bill upon ‘We the People’.

It should be further noted, Senator Richard Burr will undoubtedly continue his treasonous acts in seeking to destroy our privacy protections along with granting new unconstitutional spying powers to all levels of government, including between companies and the government.

Additional Information

Senator Richard Burr, is a pro-waterboarding conservative who wants to give Obama more power.

In elementary civics class, everyone learns about James Madison’s vaunted “separation of powers.” The idea is that if you don’t want a tyranny to develop in your society, you need to empower separate institutions who will, hopefully, jealously guard their own prerogatives from encroachment by other parts of the state. “Ambition must be made to counteract ambition,” he wrote.

That idea might have been taken a bit too far in American constitutional design, but one thing Madison definitely didn’t anticipate is that members of institutions might be complicit in gutting their own power. Power-seeking is one of the most reliable motivators in history, especially for the political class.

But with the case of Richard Burr, we see a senator who would be complicit in his own institutional disenfranchisement.

Back in March 2014, the CIA was fighting desperately to prevent the release of a Senate Intelligence Committee torture report which exposes the torture program as the monstrous and illegal farce it in fact was. In turn, Senator Mark Udall (D-Colo.) has been one of the most prominent critics of the security apparatus, and he’s been near the center of the CIA-Senate fight.

The security apparatus has thus been looking for an opportunity to discredit or get rid of him. Up steps Senator Richard Burr, all but accusing Senator Udall of trying to murder the American people in an interview with Politico: “Members can do whatever they want to. My concern is that the release of information could potentially cause the losses of life to Americans.”

This is obvious misdirection, of course. This fight has nothing whatsoever to do with protecting the American people or intelligence at all. This is a bunch of torturers trying to avoid accountability for committing war crimes. Marcy Wheeler is appropriately cutting: “Right. Knowing the truth about CIA’s torture will kill us all.”

On one level this is merely typically monstrous Republican partisanship. Accusing Democrats of basically supporting the terrorists is standard GOP practice. And there’s an individual motive, too: Burr is the number two Republican on the Senate Intelligence Committee, and since number one Saxby Chambliss is retiring, should Republicans retake the Senate in 2014 Burr stands to gain the committee gavel.

But consider the deeper implications: Should he succeed in his attempt to undermine Senator Udall to save the CIA torturers, he will have won the chair of a rump Intelligence Committee with no power or influence. Having lost a straight oversight fight with the CIA, everyone will know they might as well not even bother to meet. The strangest thing is that Senator Burr doesn’t seem to be much disturbed by this. Indeed, he seems positively eager to stop this whole fight and give the security apparatus whatever they want.

The threat to democratic governance in this country almost goes without saying. As Julian Sanchez at the Cato Institute pointed out on the morning of March 13, 2014:

…the conceit at the center of all of these surveillance programs — of almost the entire idea of a secret intelligence community in a democracy — is that you have elected representatives of the people who are allowed to know what they’re doing and keep
checks on it, even if these things have to be kept secret from the general public. It’s only under these circumstances that you can plausibly think that level of secrecy is compatible with a democratic system.

But Senator Burr’s treasonous brand of reactionary conservatism assumes that the security apparatus is always right. It ranks above personal political or institutional ambition, and even partisanship. Remember, this is President Kenyan Muslim Socialist’s executive branch agencies we’re talking about. But even the frenzied Republican hatred of Obama doesn’t stack up against protecting the CIA’s ability to commit torture with impunity. Especially when it’s being supported and defended by the traitor Senator Richard Mauze Burr.

---

**THOMAS EDGAR “TOM” WHEELER**

*Posted February 26, 2015 on Patriot or Traitor*

Thomas Edgar “Tom” Wheeler is a traitor.

Tom Wheeler is a self serving treasonous politician, and is currently the Chairman of the Federal Communications Commission.

In a 3-2 vote on February 26, 2015 – the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), under the direction of Tom Wheeler, voted to radically overhaul the way Internet service is regulated. FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler and two Democratic Party commissioners voted to move forward with the rules of what they call ‘Net Neutrality’. The agency’s two GOP-appointed commissioners opposed them.

The vote is the result of a lengthy process begun by Chairman Wheeler roughly a year ago, and that process came in the wake of two previous efforts in which the agency’s net neutrality rules were struck down in court. But the end result of the vote was largely set near the end of last year, when President Obama released a statement calling for the agency to implement the strongest possible net neutrality rules.

Obama’s statement, itself somewhat atypical in its attempt to publicly influence an independent regulatory agency, followed a long, secret effort inside the White House, in which administration staffers acted, as The Wall Street Journal reported, “like a parallel version of the FCC.” Wheeler had been considering less restrictive rules, but changed his course after the president’s statement.

Under the new rules, broadband providers, long classified by the agency as Title I information services, will now be regulated as Title II telecommunications services—essentially making them public utilities, like the phone system. The move is designed to allow the FCC to implement strict net rules limiting how much control Internet service providers (ISPs) can exert over what passes over their networks.
Thanks to Tom Wheeler that cast the deciding vote, they mean to control us—all of us, in every imaginable aspect. They will never, ever stop—they’ll keep coming, keep trying every way they can think of to slip the leash over our heads and around our necks one way or another—they will have to BE stopped. The price of freedom is eternal vigilance.

This step solves no significant existing problem, but is instead designed largely to fend off hypothetical harms, and gives the government far more power over the Internet in the process. In other words, the move is a “solution that won’t work to a problem that doesn’t exist.” It is a solution, however, that is now in place, and is sure to create some problems of its own.

Despite Wheeler’s ties to industry associations, he is expected to reinforce the FCC’s commitment to net neutrality.

The Internet is “our printing press” and “our town square.” The framers of America would not be pleased with the FCC’s plan. No one, whether government or corporate, should control free and open access to the Internet.

And as American citizens, the ones who will be affected by this, we don’t know what is in it. We’re not allowed to see it. Furthermore, we couldn’t previously get the commissioner Tom Wheeler that we pay to go and testify on the contents of what’s in it.

Does that sound like net neutrality? Does that sound like Tom Wheeler with these big corporations don’t have anything to do with anything? I mean, it’s been written by the Obama administration with big corporations and special interest groups.

---

**Additional Information**

As Ron Paul notes today (February 26, 2015) the FCC is a non-elected federal government agency that has arbitrarily decided — without a vote from Congress or permission from the American people — to regulate the internet.

Paul characterizes this as “the largest regulatory power grab in recent history” that will undoubtedly have serious consequences for the average internet user, including the possibility of “de facto censorship of ideas perceived as threatening to the political class – ideas like the troops should be brought home, the PATRIOT Act should be repealed, military spending and corporate welfare should be cut, and the Federal Reserve should be audited and ended.”

In addition, the move will likely force online broadcasters and websites to provide “equal opportunities for political opponents.” The FCC is not shy about announcing this is what it plans to do.

Like the Golden Age of Television, the Golden Age of the Internet will be destroyed by the heavy hand of the federal government.

Kennedy’s Federal Communications Commission boss, Newton Minow, was responsible not only for destroying the Golden Age of Television, but also centralizing and placing more power in the hands of the big three television networks, which resulted in “reducing the range of choices in programs” and killing off creativity.

Obama and the federal government have bent over backwards to portray net neutrality as a win for the little guy. In fact, despite all the siren warnings about socialism and the FCC by Obama’s opponents, the agency is in the pocket of the telecommunications industry and always has been.

Its current appointed boss, Tom Wheeler, is a former lobbyist for the cable and wireless industry, with positions including President of the National Cable & Telecommunications Association and CEO of the Cellular Telecommunications & Internet Association.

“The closer you look at Wheeler’s selection, the more questionable it appears. After being poorly led for more than a decade—particularly under the disastrous tenure of Michael Powell, son of Colin—a strong argument can be made that the last thing the
F.C.C. needs is an industry insider with close ties to many of the companies it oversees,” John Cassidy wrote back in 2013 when the White House nominated Wheeler to head up the agency.

Net neutrality is a classic Trojan horse. It will be used not only to censor speech and marginalize opposition to the political class, but will also deliver the internet to large and forever consolidating media corporations.

UPDATE: February 27, 2015

In a vote along party lines, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) approved what amounts to a government takeover of the Internet. FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler and his fellow Democrats, Mignon Clyburn and Jessica Rosenworcel, approved placing the Internet under Title II regulations.

They will reclassify broadband as a telecommunications service, and regulate Internet Service Providers (ISPs) like utility companies, or “common carriers,” rather than “information services” that remain outside the agency’s regulatory power. Republican commissioners Ajit Pai and Michael O’Rielly dissented, with Pai explaining that net neutrality is “a solution that won’t work to a problem that doesn’t exist.”

The arrogance of Wheeler and his allies has been evident for some time. The 332-page proposal they approved was never made available to the public or Congress prior to the vote, even as Wheeler ignored pleas by Pai and O’Rielly to do so. “We respectfully request that FCC leadership immediately release the 332-page Internet regulation plan publicly and allow the American people a reasonable period of not less than 30 days to carefully study it,” they said in a statement released Monday.

Wheeler also ignored a similar request to testify before the House Oversight Committee, eliciting condemnation from Committee Chairman, Rep. Jason Chaffetz (R-UT), and Energy and Commerce Committee Chairman Fred Upton (R-MI). “So long as the chairman continues to insist on secrecy, we will continue calling for more transparency and accountability at the commission,” Chaffetz and Upton said in a statement. “Chairman Wheeler and the FCC are not above Congress.”

Maybe not, but once again Republicans have made it clear they don’t have the stomach for a fight. Despite being virtually assured of yesterday’s outcome, they quietly surrendered, abandoning plans to come up with legislation that would have blocked this power grab. Even worse, they blamed their impotency on Democrats. “The Democrats have been pushed away from negotiating with us,” Senate Commerce Committee Chairman John Thune (R-SD) insisted. He also accused the Obama administration and FCC officials of convincing Democrats not to talk to his party about any proposed legislation until after the vote had transpired.

Thune acknowledged the transparently obvious reality that after-the-fact legislation would be difficult to engender. “It gets more complicated, in my opinion,” he said. “That is what I told Democrats. Yes, you can wait until the 26th, but you are going to lose critical mass that I think is necessary to help with an alternative once the FCC acts.”

Thune is emblematic of a Republican party delusional enough to believe the party of expanding government would vote to limit that expansion, while he and his fellow GOPers have missed another opportunity to put a bill on Obama’s desk. While it is certain Obama would veto any measures to rein in the FCC, given his statement last November advocating net neutrality, Republicans apparently remain maddeningly unwilling to recognize the long-term political advantages of further defining the president as the constitutionally-challenged statist he truly is. Even their supposed investigations into whether the Obama improperly influenced the FCC’s net-neutrality proposal remain in limbo. Once again as it is with immigration, Republicans believe the appearance of a fight is tantamount to having one.

The implications of this decision are more far-reaching than most Americans might imagine. On Wednesday (February 25, 2015) Thune warned that the FCC’s move could make it harder to prevent authoritarian regimes like Russia and China from exercising increasing control over the Internet, using the United Nations’ International Telecommunications Union (ITU) as their vehicle. The U.S. has consistently argued that the Internet is not a “telecommunication service” and therefore outside of the authority of the ITU. “How do you prevent ITU involvement when you’re pushing to reclassify the Internet under Title II of the Communications Act, and is everyone aware of that inherent contradiction?” Thune asked prior to the FCC vote. David
Gross, a partner at the law firm Wiley Rein, and former ambassador to the ITU during the George W. Bush administration, agreed. He insisted the contradiction would undoubtedly make the job of my successors “much more complicated.”

Larry Strickling, the Commerce Department’s assistant secretary for communications and information disagreed, insisting Thune’s warning was not as “stark” as his description suggested. And while he admitted that China and Russia are actively seeking more Internet control through the U.N., there is no contradiction between net neutrality and opposing such power grabs. “I fundamentally don’t think this will change matters going forward,” Strickling said. “The United States is opposed to intergovernmental resolution to these Internet issues. We will remain opposed to that.”

Really? Then why did the Obama administration relinquish control of the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN) that manages Internet infrastructure to the so-called “global community” last March? Prior to that relinquishment the U.S. had always played the principal role in maintaining the master database for domain names, the assignment of Internet protocol addresses and other critical Web functions known as the the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA). Under the previous contract that expires next September, nations could only suppress Internet content, not the registration of domain names. If those parameters change, domain name registry could be censored under the auspices of protecting one’s national sovereignty.

The ICANN Board of Directors insists any changes in the status quo will be approved by a “multi-stakeholder community” consisting of both private and government entities, but who’s kidding whom? How many private entities would be willing to go toe-to-toe with Vladimir Putin if he decided to institute another Internet blackout similar to the one he inflicted on a number of Internet sites in the Russian Federation prior to secession vote in Crimea?

Immediately following yesterday’s vote, Wheeler tried to diffuse criticism. “No one, whether government or corporate, should control free and open access to the Internet,” he declared. “The Internet is too important to allow broadband providers to make the rules,” adding it was nonsense to characterize this effort as a secret plan to regulate the Internet. “This plan is no more a plan to regulate the Internet than the First Amendment is a plan to regulate free speech,” he insisted.

Quite simply, that is a lie. Title II is a series of requirements imposed by government on Internet Service Providers (ISPs) that prevent them from blocking or throttling applications and websites, and charging them for prioritized access to consumers. It also allows the FCC to impose fines on companies found to be employing “unreasonable” business practices, as defined by the FCC itself. And while the agency promises it won’t impose price controls, Title II allows it to do so. In short, net neutrality is nothing less than the Obama administration’s determination to impose “social justice” on private companies because it would be “unfair” for them to treat some customers (read less profitable) less favorably other customers (read more profitable).

There is little doubt the pernicious assumption underlying this effort is that Internet accessibility is a “right” rather than a privilege. A right that demands a radical egalitarian imposition of government controls, preventing companies from deciding who their most valuable customers are. It would be akin to the Obama administration demanding that every car manufactured in the United States be “no better or worse” than an Chevrolet Impala, because those who had the wherewithal to purchase a Lexus would get a car with better acceleration, thereby undermining Americans’ “equal access” to a thruway.

Moreover, despite everything this administration, Democrats and their leftist media allies might say, the Internet has been a triumph of innovation and expansion for decades without the kind of limitations the FCC is now imposing. And that, in and of itself, is the genuine reality that grates against progressive instincts: there must be no such brilliance and innovation that remains beyond the yoke of government, lest the “greater good,” as it is defined by those very same statists, be so transparently threatened.

That mindset is illuminated in a column written by net neutrality advocate Malkia Cyril, founder and executive director of the Oakland-based Center for Media Justice. It is entitled, “Only net neutrality can protect the internet from becoming like TV: white, middle-class and exclusive.” And like the reliable progressive she is, Cyril can’t resist framing the net neutrality issue in those oh-so familiar terms. “If we lose that vote, the most democratic communications platform the world has ever seen could become more like cable TV, a fairly scary place that reproduces the economic gaps and racial hierarchies of the offline world,” she laments. She goes on to insist that “equal representation in a digital economy and 21st century democracy demands net neutrality protections.”
Is there anything more typically progressive than a system of governance that imposes “demands” on the risk-taking, hard work and innovations of others?

In her remarks, Commissioner Clyburn said the “framers” of America’s Constitution “would be pleased” with the FCC’s plan. Really? A plan kept completely secret until after it was voted on? One that imposes government controls where there were none before? Commissioner O’Rielly was far more accurate. “I see no need for net neutrality rules,” he said, adding that the FCC’s decision amounted to a “monumental and unlawful power grab.”

Next stop, the courts. The most recent decision on net neutrality was the DC Circuit Court ruling on Verizon v. FCC, vacating a de facto effort to impose net neutrality. The effort failed precisely because ISPs were not defined as common carriers and remained beyond the FCC’s power to regulate them. Yesterday’s vote changes that equation, but ISPs will litigate on the basis that the ruling constitutes dangerous government overreach. It is an overreach made possible by an out-of-control administration, and its Democrat allies. Both of whom are being aided and abetted by a deer-in-the-headlights Republican Party. Whether liberty itself can survive such a toxic mix remains to be seen.

Marco Antonio Rubio is a traitor.

Marco Antonio Rubio is a treasonous United States Senator that has called on Congress to ‘permanently’ reauthorize core provisions of the post – 9/11 USA Patriot Act, which are due to end on June 1, 2015.

“This year, a new Republican majority in both houses of Congress will have to extend current authorities under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (aka – Patriot Act), and I urge my colleagues to consider a ‘permanent extension’ of the counterterrorism tools,” Rubio wrote in a Fox News op-ed.

Simply said, Senator Rubio is calling to ‘permanently’ extend the unconstitutional legal framework that allows the NSA to collect the bulk U.S. phone metadata — language of all American citizens.

Many supporters of the Patriot Act have said one of the bill’s strongest points is that its periodic sunsets force Congress to reconsider the authorities as it strives to balance civil liberties with security.
Many believe it was very important there is an expiration of the Patriot Act and the provisions that would ensure that we as members of Congress could analyze it a few years down the road. Rep. Cathy McMorris Rodgers, R-Wash., told attendees at the State of the Net conference Tuesday, January 27, 2015. “Is this not just what we intended?”

Some lawmakers critical of the nation’s surveillance programs used Rubio’s op-ed to mock his position. Democratic Rep. Jared Polis called for the intelligence community to begin monitoring Rubio 24 hours a day.

“If Senator Rubio believes that millions of innocent Americans should be subject to intrusive and unconstitutional government surveillance, surely he would have no objections to the government monitoring his own actions and conversations,” Polis said in a statement Tuesday, January 27, 2015. “Maybe after his 2016 strategy documents are accidentally caught up in a government data grab, he’ll rethink the use of mass surveillance.”

Critics of government surveillance, including Edward Snowden, insist that no evidence exists to support the claim that such bulk collection of U.S. phone records help protect national security — and may even distract intelligence agencies from other, more useful intelligence.

Nevertheless, Senator Rubio for years has repeatedly defended the NSA’s unconstitutional spy programs revealed to the public by former agency contractor Edward Snowden.

Senator Rubio also suggested that tech companies such as Apple and Google should not create too-tough-to-crack encryption standards on their mobile devices and digital services. This in turn, will make it easier for both American and foreign governments to unlawfully spy on everyone throughout the entire world.

Additional Information

Marco Rubio’s treasonous actions are not limited to the Patriot Act. His voting record is even more revealing. It reinforces the dictate that politicians put their corporate sponsors’ interest first and their political party’s interests second. No room for Country. Rubio voted against Senate amendment 1126 (S Amdt 1126), which would have prohibited the detention of US citizens without a trial, voted for Senate amendment 1274 (S Amdt 1274), which would have authorize detention after trial during wartime, voted for the increase in State University tuition (CS SB 1710), voted against prohibiting the FDA from approving genetically engineered fish (S Amdt 2108), and so on.

Furthermore, Senator Marco Rubio speaks the same as his liberal socialist colleagues in Congress. He repeats the talking points that call for war on anyone who doesn’t align, including American citizens themselves. He regurgitates the policies published on globalist papers to end with nation states that do not submit to the corporate-controlled regime that governs over the world today. Even simpler; he wants another war where the US is directly involved in, with troops on the ground, to keep the military industrial complex gravy train going, even though the United States doesn’t even have money to pay the interests on its current debt.

Additional Information

One of the worst offenders among the RINO Republican establishment is Florida Senator Marco Rubio. He was one of the original gang of eight amnesty traitors along with John McCain (R-AZ), Lindsey Graham (R-SC) and Jeff Flake (R-AZ).

Senator Marco Rubio worked in tandem with the deceptive House Speaker, John Boehner in the revival of the Mike McCaul (R-TX) bill that is fraudulently represented by establishment Republicans as a piece of border security legislation. Those in the know, including the Border Patrol union, say it does not increase security. It is just another example of the subversive nature of corrupt government officials who are determined to undermine the will of the people in exchange for their own personal rewards.
Perhaps the sellout Rubio can’t grasp the concept of serving the people of the United States, or that this is not an issue of personality or individually. It is a constitutional matter and a legal one, with those like Rubio who support the criminal acts are equally guilty of a criminal assault on our nation as well.

Marco Rubio is the First Hispanic American Traitor.

Marco Rubio, the new rising star in the Republican Party who many say may occupy a relevant position during a Mitt Romney presidency, is often meeting with globalists and talking at globalist organizations during conferences and other events. He is not an angel at all, even though he seems to be enjoying the kind of praise Barack Obama had before 2008. This state of affairs is repeating once again because most of the population is still ignorant about the two-party dictatorship system and the false choice paradigm between one Republican puppet and one Democrat puppet. Most of those people still believe that they live in a Democracy or a Democratic Republic where their votes are dutifully counted.

Marco Rubio just as many other new faces on both sides of the corporate-controlled political landscape is like Alice clones in Resident Evil. They are grown and harvested from the beginning to play a role in the political arena. That is why Rubio, just as all other globalist-sponsored politicians has recently admitted that he would launch an attack on Iran. When asked about what he would do to prevent a nuclear Iran, he said people should be prepared for such an event. “Yes and I think that we need to begin to prepare people for that,” Rubio said. The current Florida senator responded to questions on this issue last week while speaking at the Council on Foreign Relations. It is early to know whether Rubio is speaking in favor of attacking Iran only to gain support from his constituents or the establishment itself or if he actually intends to push for an attack in the near future.

His previous responses about a nuclear Iran haven’t been too different, that is why it is possible he will maintain his public support for an attack even if he is not chosen for a higher office. Rubio also called for an attack on Syria while he spoke at the Brookings Institution last April. Back then he said, “We should also be preparing our allies, and the world, for the reality that unfortunately, if all else fails, preventing a nuclear Iran may, tragically, require a military solution.” He also called for the United States to ignore any United Nations resolution that did not allow or called for an attack on Syria. He said the US should directly send armed forces into that country to aid the supposed rebel fighters, who in reality are local terrorists many of whom are member of Al-Qaeda. Rubio added that the lack of a powerful head, namely the US, would not allow the west to carry out an open attack in the region and that this was the reason why America should commit to blowing up both countries. “In the absence of American power and American influence and American leadership, it’s hard to do that.” Unfortunately, Rubio did not make it clear how he would go about paying for the new wars.

Although an attack on Syria and Iran will be sold on humanitarian grounds, just as it was done with Libya, the truth is that the corporate controllers have made it clear in their writings that the so-called liberation and humanitarian aid provided to countries in the Middle East are just excuses to sell the lies to the public. In reality, all the military industrial corporate complex wants is to get rid of any and all leaders and nations that do not play along. In its own documents, the Brooking Institution, the place where Marco Rubio spoke a few weeks ago, admits that it is all about regime change and nothing else. The link to the Brookings memo has been broken, but you can see an image of the original PDF on the link below.

In the document written by Daniel Byman, Michael Doran, Keneth Pollack and Salman Shaikh, the think tank says that Syria is on a crumbling path and that regardless of the way it collapses, it will be a difficult situation for the United States and the people of Syria. The paper also calls Bashar Assad a ruthless thug for using violence against US and NATO sponsored rebels, who the writers call the resistance, but makes no reference to the uncountable deaths the US has caused in Libya, Iraq and Afghanistan, for example, while using the same methods they criticize as Assads tools to strengthen his tyranny. In the last paragraph, the document says that to protect US interests and to avoid the killing of Syrians, they United States “must walk this tight rope.”

But Marco Rubio’s treasonous actions are not limited to wanting to attack Iran or support blowing up people in Syria. His voting record is even more revealing. It reinforces the dictate that politicians put their corporate sponsors’ interest first and their political party’s interests second. No room for Country. Rubio voted for the National Defense Authorization Act (HR 1540), voted against Senate amendment 1126 (S Amdt 1126), which would have prohibited the detention of US citizens without a trial, voted for Senate amendment 1274 (S Amdt 1274), which would have authorize detention after trial during wartime, voted for
the increase in State University tuition (CS SB 1710), voted against prohibiting the FDA from approving genetically engineered fish (S Amdt 2108), and so on.

On attacking Iran Rubio repeated the widely debunked claim that the Iranian leadership worked day and night planning on how to destroy Israel. “If Iran gets a nuclear weapon then the Saudis will also seek one, and so will other nations,” said Rubio at the CFR. He then pointed out that as a country that actively supports terrorism as a tool of state craft, Iran could not possibly be allowed to enrich nuclear resources any further. Mr. Rubio again forgot to mention that his country has historically sponsored terrorism and terrorist groups all over the planet to carry out regime change in nations that politicians like himself deem non compliant with his bosses’ wishes. Rubio added that Iran could not be trusted with a nuclear weapon because they would share the technology with nations or people who would not commit to American interests. An interesting question to ask Rubio is what is the name of the nation that developed and detonated a nuclear bomb and also what he thinks about that nation sharing such technology with other repressive regimes in the western world.

During the question and answer period of his speech at the CFR, Rubio said that it would be the first time in history that a government with insane people like Iran would have access to a nuclear weapon. In the past, he said, only straight thinking leaders and nations had access to it. But again, it was those straight thinking people who detonated the bomb, not the ‘crazy’ Iranians or Pakistani people. “This is a country that shares IED technology with which American soldiers are killed. What is to say that they wouldn’t share nuclear technology?,” emphasized Rubio. Doesn’t the United States share military technology with Israel, China, Korea, Pakistan and other countries? Aren’t those regimes also responsible for the murder of thousands of people who dare speak out against their leaders? In the case posed by Rubio, and should things be equalled, the United States is responsible for all those murders as well.

“This is not we don’t like them, this is there are real implications to it,” warned Rubio. He finished responding the question about Iran, but forgot to mention the real reason why Iran or any other country for that matter would want a nuclear weapon, which is the same reason why the US, Israel, Pakistan, India and South Korea, among other nations have nuclear capabilities: Security. The only reason why the US hasn’t attacked Pakistan, for example, is due to the fact that this country would not think twice about blowing the US off the face of the planet should America or any of its allies attacked. The same would happen with Russia, if it felt sufficiently threatened. If Iran possessed a nuclear weapon, the talk in Washington and Brussels today would not be about when the attack should come, but whether it would be a wise decision given Iran’s ability to respond. This is a fact that Marco Rubio either doesn’t understand or doesn’t want the public to know. That is how dumb he thinks people are.

So how do you know that Marco Rubio is the first Hispanic-American Traitor? He speaks the same than his colleagues in Congress. He repeats the talking points that call for war on anyone who doesn’t align, including American citizens themselves. He regurgitates the policies published on globalist papers to end with nation states that do not submit to the corporate-controlled regime that governs over the world today. Even simpler; he wants another war where the US is directly involved in, with troops on the ground, to keep the military industrial complex gravy train going, even though the United States doesn’t even have money to pay the interests on its current debt. Marco Rubio is just another corporate puppet, a traitor who should be tried and if convicted, be punished as hard as the law permits in cases of treason.

UPDATE: April 21, 2015

Marco Rubio says we need to continue giving amnesty to so-called “Dreamers” (Illegal Aliens) even before our borders are secured.

The chief spokesman for the presidential campaign of Sen. Marco Rubio (R-FL) said in an on-record interview with Breitbart News that the senator, if elected president, would not require a secured border before he gives legislative and permanent amnesty to recipients of President Barack Obama’s first executive amnesty, the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) program.

Rubio intends to, if elected to the White House—as he laid out in a Spanish-language interview with Univision’s Jorge Ramos this weekend and was subsequently confirmed by Conant—eventually undo DACA. But he would not do so until there is a legislative replacement that provides the legal status—or amnesty—to DACA recipients on a permanent basis.
“For the sake of argument let’s deal with the kids separately,” Conant said. “We wouldn’t repeal the executive order for the kids on day one, and we would work to replace it with legislation—well, he wouldn’t work to replace it, he would replace it with legislation that gave them a permanent legal solution to their status.”

Of course, once the kids are given amnesty, then their parents couldn’t be deported. That would be too cruel! To me, it seems clear that Rubio will simply continue Obama’s policies on amnesty for illegal aliens. I listened to Rubio on Mark Levin’s show recently, and he clearly said there should be no dealing with current illegals here until our border is secure. Now, in Spanish, he is saying something else to Jorge Ramos.

Fortunately, we have other choices.

Senators Ted Cruz (R-TX) and Rand Paul (R-KY), two other declared GOP presidential candidates, and Louisiana Gov. Bobby Jindal, a likely 2016 GOP presidential candidate, have each said in interviews with Breitbart News that one of their first acts as president will be to reverse all of Obama’s unconstitutional and illegal executive actions and orders. That includes DACA. In doing so, they would technically be revoking the temporary legal status that Obama has—illegally, and outside the purview of Congress—provided to about 800,000 illegal aliens through DACA.

UPDATE: May 12, 2015

Marco Rubio wants to extend the NSA’s unconstitutional surveillance program.

“The government is not listening to your phone calls or recording them unless you are a terrorist or talking to a terrorist outside the United States,” Rubio writes in a USA Today op-ed posted on May 10. He insists there “is not a single documented case of abuse of this program.”

“Despite recent court rulings, this program has not been found unconstitutional, and the courts have not ordered a halt to the program,” Rubio adds.

He then cites the secret court responsible for issuing surveillance warrants as a benchmark. “In fact, this program has been found legal and constitutional by at least 15 federal judges serving on the FISA Court on 35 occasions,” Rubio claims.

Rubio conveniently ignores the fact the surveillance program has operated without the consent of Congress or the American people since its inception. The Florida Republican excuses this illegality by saying “the federal government mobilized to defend the country and prevent further loss of innocent life” after September 11, 2001. He also mentions the PATRIOT Act.

The United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit ruled last week that the NSA surveillance program is rogue and is not authorized by section 215 of the PATRIOT Act (the act was ruled unconstitutional in 2007, a violation of the Fourth Amendment).

“We hold that the text of § 215 cannot bear the weight the government asks us to assign to it, and that it does not authorize the telephone metadata program,” the Court of Appeals ruling last week reads. “We do so comfortably in the full understanding that if Congress chooses to authorize such a far-reaching and unprecedented program, it has every opportunity to do so, and to do so unambiguously. Until such time as it does so, however, we decline to deviate from widely accepted interpretations of well established legal standards.”

The NSA has routinely violates rules set down by the secret FISA court. Information from Intelligence Community Documents Regarding Collection under Section 501 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act reveals the agency crossed referenced a selected list of some 16,000 phone numbers against databases which contained millions of records on Americans’ telephone numbers.

US District Judge Reggie Walton, who oversaw the secret court, said in 2009 he was “deeply troubled” by the NSA accessing domestic phone records without “articulable suspicion.”
Moreover, an independent government review board said last year “certain aspects of the program’s implementation raise privacy concerns” and the NSA has pushed “the program close to the line of constitutional reasonableness.”

While establishment Republicans and Democrats alike insist the illegal surveillance program prevents terrorism and stops the “further loss of innocent life,” as Rubio writes, studies reveal NSA spying on the American people does nothing to prevent terrorism.

“Our investigation found that bulk collection of American phone metadata has had no discernible impact on preventing acts of terrorism and only the most marginal of impacts on preventing terrorist-related activity, such as fundraising for a terrorist group,” said Peter Bergen, director of the New America Foundation. The group analyzed cases involving 225 people recruited by al-Qaeda or other terrorist groups.

Despite the findings by the New America Foundation, government officials continue to claim terror threats have been averted as a result of massive, unchecked and unconstitutional surveillance.

“Fifty-four times this and the other program stopped and thwarted terrorist attacks both here and in Europe — saving real lives,” Republican Rep. Mike Rogers, who chairs the House Intelligence Committee, said in July, 2013.

The claim, cited initially by NSA chief Gen. Keith Alexander, has been debunked.

“We’ve heard over and over again the assertion that 54 terrorist plots were thwarted” by NSA surveillance, Sen. Patrick Leahy, D-Vt., told Alexander at the Judiciary Committee hearing in October, 2013. “That’s plainly wrong, but we still get it in letters to members of Congress, we get it in statements. These weren’t all plots and they weren’t all thwarted. The American people are getting left with the inaccurate impression of the effectiveness of NSA programs.”

Real Reason for NSA Surveillance Grid: Political and Social Control

Congress continues to promote the fallacy that the NSA is valiantly protecting American innocents from evil terrorists because the surveillance grid now in place is required by the state and the elite that control it.

“These programs were never about terrorism: they’re about economic spying, social control, and diplomatic manipulation. They’re about power,” whistleblower Edward Snowden said in December, 2013.

“Instead of focusing on catching actual terrorists, police spy on Americans who criticize the government, or the big banks or the other power players,” notes Washington’s blog.

The FBI and its precursor at the Justice Department have used surveillance and sabotage to undermine and neutralize political movements for more than a hundred years.

The behavior of the government in response to the nonviolent Occupy movement bears out this assertion. The FBI, the Department of Homeland Security, and elements of the private sector — a combination that represents the true nature of fascism — teamed up to undermine and destroy the movement.

“How do we hear an echo from the abyss of the counterintelligence programs of the 1960s and 1970s, when FBI memos — I have some in my own heavily redacted files obtained through an FOIA request — were routinely copied to military intelligence units? “ asks Washington’s blog.

It should come as no surprise the leading presidential candidates, with the notable exception of Rand Paul, all routinely support illegal and unconstitutional NSA surveillance of Americans.
As the Occupy experience and earlier COINTELRPO operations reveal, the elite need massive and unprecedented surveillance to maintain control of politics in America. The NSA is not concerned with a handful of unruly and largely ineffective terrorists. The NSA and the state are seriously concerned about the real enemy — the American people.

Sam A. Lindsay is a traitor.

Sam A. Lindsay is a treasonous United States District Judge for the Northern District of Texas, with chambers in Dallas, Texas.

Who is Judge Samuel Lindsay? That was the question we recently asked when we read the New York Times article on Barrett Brown’s criminal case that indicated that Brown cannot say anything about his own case that is not in the public record, per a new court order specific to Brown and his attorneys. A “gag order” of this sort caused us to believe that Brown’s right to speak out about his case might be violated and possibly other rights as well, and so we decided to look at the judge who will be overseeing the trial and has made this and other pre-trial rulings. (Remember, Brown hasn’t been convicted of anything yet and despite being held in pre-trial custody still has 1st Amendment rights.)

When we first started searching for information on this judge we couldn’t find any mainstream articles that told anything about him, including his name. We were only able to track him down after looking at the published orders that he had written in Brown’s case which had his signature.

What we learned about him is that Lindsay is the first African-American to serve in the Northern District of Texas federal court with his chambers located in Dallas. He was nominated by Bill Clinton and he was unanimously confirmed by the US Senate on March 11th 1998. He was raised in South Texas and received his Bachelor’s in history and government from St. Mary’s University in 1974. He earned his law degree in 1977 from the University of Texas Law School in Austin, and from 1977-1979 he was a staff attorney for the Texas Aeronautics Commission and in 1979 joined the Dallas City Attorney’s Office where he was eventually named City Attorney in 1992.

We found it of interest that he began in the prosecution division in 1979 and worked in the federal litigation section for ten years while at the City Attorney’s office – so he frequently worked with FBI agents in the North Texas area. We wondered if he has any connection to the FBI agents who are dealing with the Barrett Brown case now, since it is alleged that Brown threatened an FBI agent’s family and if so, if there is a potential conflict of interest? This information about him was cited when he first became a judge by the Dallas Bar Association which supported his nomination.
As a County Attorney Lindsay argued cases all the way to the US Supreme Court defending city ordinances. The way he came to that job was via his wife Cathleen who was a programmer/systems analyst with the oil company ARCO, also known as Atlantic Richfield Company which has operations in the US, Indonesia, North Sea and South China Sea and became a subsidiary of the UK-based BP (British Petroleum) later infamous in the Gulf/Deepwater Horizon spill because of connections to Dick Cheney and Halliburton who protected BP from the gulf spill fallout.

Apparently through his wife’s interest in the oil business, Judge Samuel Lindsay has rubbed elbows with some of the richest, wealthiest oil business elites in Texas and was asked to serve as a trustee on the Center for American and International Law and to this day remains as a trustee. CAIL as it is called down in Texas is a “non-profit institution dedicated to the continuing education of lawyers and law enforcement agents in the US and throughout the world” and Samuel Lindsay has been a trustee of this organization since his days of helping to train the employees that worked for him under the Dallas City Attorney’s office.

This raises a substantial number of questions about whether it is ethical for a judge who has trained and worked with FBI agents in his past to hear cases by the same local FBI? Considering that he still sits on the board of CAIL that trains law enforcement, one has to wonder how appropriate it is for him to hear Barrett Brown’s case, especially since Brown is accused of threatening an FBI agent in the state of Texas. As a result of this most curious hobby of this judge of staying as a trustee at CAIL all the way through the years he has stayed on the bench, one has to wonder how many criminal cases he has heard while acting as a trainer or serving on the board of an organization that trains Texas law enforcement?

Surely the mainstream media would be interested in naming a federal judge who is hearing criminal cases and at the same time he sits on the board of an organization that trains law enforcement?

What also makes him so delightful to research was that on that same board at CAIL are several fascinating Texas luminaries, including Harriet Miers who was the former attorney for George W. Bush who was granted the right to testify without having to be sworn under oath in the US Attorney firing scandal’s aftermath. Another CAIL trustee is Oliver “Buck” Revell who runs the Revell Group International and was a top agent in the FBI. Reading the trustee list of CAIL you also find a substantial number of oil industry figures and the law firms that represent them.

But the most interesting firm with a trustee on the CAIL board and related to the Brown prosecution is Hunton and Williams, who is represented by John C. Eichman and the reason this firm is so interesting is because this is the firm that Anonymous turned over materials on in the HBGary data raid. These Emails from HBGary try to sell Hunton and Williams on HBGary’s services in investigating progressive political activists such as Glenn Greenwald, and it was these services by HBGary that started Barrett Brown’s investigations. Barrett Brown started something called “Project PM”, a website in which the Emails and other material Anonymous obtained from HBGary were being thoroughly and professionally analyzed including the connection to Hunton and Williams. It seems kind of funny and ethically challenging to believe that a judge who is serving on a small board as a trustee with members of Hunton and Williams who were being investigated by journalist Brown, now under criminal threat for that journalism that seems to have threatened the judge’s close associates.

When we started peeking under this judge’s robe to take a good look at this man, what we found were personal connections to big oil boys and the very firm that can be described as a “victim” of Barrett Brown if indeed Brown’s research was criminal at all.

We want to say “shame” on Judge Lindsay for not disclosing these connections as you would have to be blinder than the statue of justice (who we know as the Greek Goddess Themis) to not know who Brown was doing investigative journalist work on prior to his prosecution as he tracked the data dumps Anonymous was producing. And so there’s no question on this, we have torn off the blinder that has thus far kept Themis from seeing a serious conflict of interest. We also ponder how many Texas oil-industry-related cases have been heard by this judge and his other friends who sit as trustees at CAIL and similar oil industry front groups.

It is our opinion that this judge should be removed from this case due to his conflicts and a federal judge appointed who has nothing to do with Hunton and Williams or any of the other companies Barrett Brown was investigating.

Updated Information – September 4, 2013
Federal judge Sam A. Lindsay issued a gag order on government and defense lawyers involved in criminal cases against a Dallas man who has claimed to be the spokesman for the hacking group Anonymous.

U.S. District Judge Sam A. Lindsay issued the order, which applies to all three federal cases against Barrett Brown. The cases have generated national attention, including discussions about a possible film documentary.

The government had sought to limit pretrial publicity in the cases, noting that Brown has been the subject of various articles in print and online, including one in a recent issue of Rolling Stone.

Brown, 32, and the lawyers cannot say anything about the cases to any media organization or bloggers that could prejudice Brown or the government, according to the order. They may, however, mention information already in the public record.

Brown has been coordinating media coverage of his cases from behind bars with help from others, prosecutors say.

Assistant U.S. Attorney Candina S. Heath told Lindsay that Brown has tried to manipulate the media for his benefit. She called as a witness an FBI agent who had listened to jail recordings of Brown’s conversations with journalists and others about the publicity he sought.

FBI Special Agent Robert Smith testified that he listened to a recording of a call Brown made from the Mansfield jail to Glenn Greenwald, who writes about civil liberties for the Guardian, a British daily newspaper.

Brown’s attorneys, who include Seattle lawyer Charles Swift, had opposed the gag order. On the morning of the hearing, they filed court papers claiming that Brown had not said much about his case, and nothing that would prejudice a jury.

Brown has been in custody since his arrest last year. He is accused of trafficking in data, including credit card numbers, that was stolen from private intelligence firm Stratfor. He did so by posting a link to the data online, according to his indictment. He faces a dozen charges, including aggravated identity theft and device fraud.

He also faces two counts of obstruction of justice by concealing evidence, stemming from an FBI raid on his Dallas apartment last year. Brown also faces charges related to alleged threats he made against Smith, the FBI agent.

If convicted on all counts, Brown could receive up to 105 years in federal prison.

The gag order also prohibits the re-posting or re-publication of any statements made prior to the order.

And it says Brown is “cautioned to consult with counsel prior to making any statements to the media or publishing materials to avoid violating this order.”

Updated Information – December 13, 2014

On the eve of a court hearing more than two years in the making, federal attorneys are asking a Texas judge to keep details about their case against journalist Barrett Brown secret as they seek an eight-and-a-half year sentence.

Brown, a 33-year-old journalist and activist, was arrested in September 2012 and subsequently charged with more than a dozen counts ranging from computer crime to threatening a federal agent – the likes of which left him at one point facing the possibility of over a 100 years behind bars. A plea deal entered last April let him off the hook for all but three charges, however, and Judge Sam A. Lindsay is now slated to announce his sentencing next Tuesday.

Federal prosecutors are reportedly asking the court to give Brown, a published author who has long been connected to the hacktivist collective Anonymous, the maximum sentence of 8.5 years. Defense attorneys, on the other hand, hope that Lindsay
will say next week that their client can walk out of a Dallas, Texas courthouse a free man, following two years and three months in jail preparing for a trial that was taken off the table when the plea agreement was signed almost eight months earlier.

Attorneys for Brown have filed a pre-sentencing memorandum with the court that contains the defense’s arguments for time served. Because that filing challenges the government’s own pre-hearing recommendations entered under seal, however, neither party’s proposal concerning the fate of Brown can legally be made public.

“On Thursday, the defense filed a motion to unseal the sentencing memorandum and attendant exhibits in support of the public’s right of access. Incredibly, the government is opposing the release of the sentencing memo,” reads a statement released that evening by Free Barrett Brown, a support group advocating for a lenient sentence.

After more than two years of trying to raise awareness of Brown’s plight, supporters say the latest maneuver from the government is only the most recent in a long series of actions that kept the case largely secret.

Prosecutors waited more than two weeks after arresting Brown in September 2012 before publicly announcing their charges against him. By January 2013, they had unsealed a total of four separate indictments against him, including one that sought to criminalize the act of sharing a link over the internet. Then, one year into pre-trial confinement, Judge Lindsay approved a request from prosecutors gagging Brown and his attorneys from discussing the case with the media, an order that’s since been lifted.

The bulk of the government’s claims against Brown made during the last two-plus years have been filed under seal and, as a result, few details if any have emerged concerning their case. Although the prosecution at one point had charged Brown with crimes carrying a maximum sentence of 105 years in prison, those claims have never been – nor will they be – argued publicly in court. In fact, what few details have surfaced about their argument have only been revealed through responses filed by the defense, not under seal, including one in which they countered the government’s claim that Brown “secretly plotted the overthrow of the government” with the hacktivist collective Anonymous.

“I am not and never have been the spokesman for Anonymous, nor its ‘public face’ or, worse, ‘self-proclaimed’ ‘face’ or ‘spokesperson’ or ‘leader,’” Brown wrote from prison two years ago. According to the paperwork filed by his attorneys in response to the government’s sealed argument, however, prosecutors have tried to tie Brown to the hacktivist group, yet couldn’t make the connection when it came to filing charges.

“The government alleges no meaningful nexus between an association with Anonymous and any form of violent conduct,” the defense argued previously. “Nor is Mr. Brown charged as a ‘member’ of ‘Anonymous.’”

According to a statement made by the Free Barrett Brown group this week, “It seems clear that the government doesn’t want journalists to attend the upcoming hearing with an understanding of what issues are at stake, and they don’t want further attention to a case that has already proven to be an embarrassment.”

Updated Information – January 22, 2015

Barrett Brown, the independent journalist who covered the 2011 Stratfor hack by Anonymous, was sentenced by Judge Sam A. Lindsay to 5 years in prison and ordered to pay $890,000 in restitution.

Brown closely followed Anonymous as it leaked internal e-mails from the global intelligence firm Stratfor, which has close ties to the CIA.

He drew the attention of law enforcement after he revealed an Internet Relay Chat channel where members of Anonymous were distributing e-mails and other documents from the hack.

The Department of Justice claimed that by sharing a hyperlink to the IRC channel, “Brown caused the data to be made available to other persons online, without the knowledge and authorization of Stratfor and the card holders.”
Critics, however, argued that sharing a link to an IRC channel was not identity theft and called the case “prosecutorial overreach.”

In 2009, Barrett Brown created Project PM, which was, quote, “dedicated to investigating private government contractors working in the secretive fields of cyber security, intelligence and surveillance.” He was particularly interested in the documents leaked by WikiLeaks and Anonymous. In the documentary We are Legion, Barrett Brown explains the importance of information obtained by hackers.

WikiLeaks said in a statement, “Brown’s prosecution is yet another transgression against media freedom in the land of the First Amendment. It chills investigative reporting of national security issues and provides cover for the unholy alliance between government agencies and the security industry.”

Brown said the “novel, and sometimes even radical” claims the government made during his sentencing threatens “every journalist in the United States.”

“The government asserts that I am not a journalist and thus unable to claim the First Amendment protections guaranteed to those engaged in information-gathering activities,” he said in a statement to the court. “Your Honor, I’ve been employed as a journalist for much of my adult life, I’ve written for dozens of magazines and newspapers (including Vanity Fair, The Huffington Post, The Guardian), and I’m the author of two published and critically-acclaimed books of expository non-fiction.”

“If I am not a journalist, then there are many, many people out there who are also not journalists, without being aware of it, and who are thus as much at risk as I am.”

Flanked by his two lawyers — Barrett heard his sentence without flinching. Then Judge Lindsay paused to flip through some papers, Barrett blurted out, “Procedural question, Your Honor? That copy of the Declaration of Independence that they took from me as evidence? Do I get that back?” Judge Lindsay stared daggers at him in response.

After the court was adjourned, and as Barrett was being led out in shackles, Alexa O’Brien (a journalist, film-maker and digital media strategist) shouted, “Stay strong, Barrett!” Then: “Congratulations, DOJ, for imprisoning our brightest young minds.” Judge Lindsay, already on his feet, turned and said something about contempt. O’Brien reminded him that court had been adjourned, and she had the right to speak her mind. He threatened to gavel the court back into session. At which point the marshals began to shoo everyone out. Someone — couldn’t tell who — shouted, “That sucked!”

Additional Information

Barrett Brown was railroaded by a corrupt system under the jurisdiction of Judge Sam A. Lindsay, whose interests is, at best, treasonous.

First, let it be known Judge Sam A. Lindsay along with the FBI and federal government prosecutors cannot define journalism any more than it can define religion, for anyone who can write is a journalist.

Yes, the court of Judge Sam A. Lindsay abused Barrett Brown. He was denied bail and the flight risk is complete Bull Shit. Judge Lindsay could of put Brown under house arrest with an anklet. Instead he denied Barrett Brown bail, unconstitutionally, with the intent to break him.

Barrett Brown was convinced by the prosecutor that if he didn’t plea bargain then he would be tried for many other offenses with a much much longer prison sentence. Furthermore, the prosecutor simply used constant delays in order to financially drain Brown’s ability to pay his legal fees. This, along with minimum sentencing guidelines is how prosecutors routinely cheated Brown out of his constitutionally guaranteed right to a jury trial.

Keep in mind, the feds operate detention facilities whose sole purpose is to break down the target to get them to plea. There is something like a 90% plea rate. If an accused actually goes to trial there is a much greater chance of beating the charges. The
feds do not want this, for usually their cases are made with coerced/bought testimony, withholding of evidence, unconstitutional searches etc. This is what they do. They grossly overcharge (Barrett Brown was facing up to 105 years), they deny bail unlawfully, they detain you in a hellhole where they will do anything including medical torture, until you cut a deal. If the accused makes a motion to oppose any of this his right to a speedy trial is suspended until the motions are dealt with. There is no limit on the time that can be taken for a motion to be dealt with. Brown had been held for 2 years. He should never have been denied bail. He is supposed to have a right to a trial within 70 days of indictment. He was broken by criminals with expertise in breaking their targets. That is why he pled, not to mention, they probably told him to plead guilty or they would arrest his mother that had already admitted to hiding information from the FBI.

In reading the government’s response to Barrett Brown’s discovery request. The feds basically asserted the right not to show him any evidence against him until AFTER it has been presented in court. That precludes any chance of preparing a defense and any chance at getting a fair trial. After being illegally detained for months in a federal shit hole the reality begins to sink in that if you go to trial the entire process is completely rigged against the defendant. Eventually, almost every poor bastard the feds target will take a deal so they have some hope of getting out. For the most part, federal prosecutors and judges like Sam A. Lindsay are among the most criminal and evil people on the planet.

Barrett Brown’s sentencing statement nearly says it all, “This is not the rule of law, your honor, this is the rule of law enforcement.”

In other words, basically there is no difference between being a political prisoner in Russia and being one in the court of Judge Sam A. Lindsay.

---

Bernard “Bernie” Sanders

Bernard “Bernie” Sanders is a traitor. Bernard “Bernie” Sanders is a United States Senator from Vermont, and self-described socialists.

Every committed socialists needs a plan. Karl Marx had one, and so did Joseph Stalin and Mao Zedong. Add to that group socialist senator Bernie Sanders, who is considering running for president (as a Democrat) and has already announced a 12-point plan at the Huffington Post. He writes:

Bernard “Bernie” Sanders is a traitor.
As Vermont’s senator, here are 12 initiatives that I will be fighting for which can restore America’s middle class.

1. We need a major investment to rebuild our crumbling infrastructure: roads, bridges, water systems, waste water plants, airports, railroads and schools. A $1 trillion investment in infrastructure could create 13 million decent paying jobs and make this country more efficient and productive.

Why does this sound so familiar? Remember the Obama stimulus plan? That too was a trillion-dollar investment in our “crumbling infrastructure” (the favorite amorphous buzzword for government spending). How many millions of permanent jobs were created from that? I think the exact number was... zero.

2. The United States must lead the world in reversing climate change and make certain that this planet is habitable for our children and grandchildren. We must transform our energy system away from fossil fuels and into energy efficiency and sustainable energies... and we need to greatly accelerate the progress we are already seeing in wind, solar, geothermal, biomass and other forms of sustainable energy.

Good news! Our planet is currently habitable for our children and grandchildren. Nothing further needs be done! However, if we move away from fossil fuels to cutting-edge Don Quixote technologies from the 1900s, like windmills, our children and grandchildren will be paying enormous costs for energy and will have no energy at all when the wind isn’t blowing (for windmills) and when the sun isn’t shining (for solar).

3. We need to develop new economic models to increase job creation and productivity. Instead of giving huge tax breaks to corporations which ship our jobs to China and other low-wage countries, we need to provide assistance to workers who want to purchase their own businesses by establishing worker-owned cooperatives.

This has been tried in many countries. Israel used to have cooperatives called “Kibbutzes.” I say “used to” because most of them went bankrupt. When people were not rewarded more for working harder, and people were rewarded for not working at all, the system went broke.

4. Union workers who are able to collectively bargain for higher wages and benefits earn substantially more than non-union workers. Today, corporate opposition to union organizing makes it extremely difficult for workers to join a union. We need legislation which makes it clear that when a majority of workers sign cards in support of a union, they can form a union.

Union workers in places like Detroit have good jobs at good wages with good benefits...the ones who still have jobs, that is. Many lost their jobs because the wages unions demanded for unskilled labor caused the auto companies to collapse – not once, but several times.

5. The current federal minimum wage of $7.25 an hour is a starvation wage. We need to raise the minimum wage to a living wage.

Every time you raise the minimum wage, the poor suffer, because more jobs disappear, and the products produced by minimum-wage labor become more expensive. The minimum wage is supposed to be a training wage, where people go to get their first step on the ladder leading upward. Those who learn are promoted and get higher wages. Those who don’t…well…

6. Women workers today earn 78 percent of what their male counterparts make. We need pay equity in our country — equal pay for equal work.

Will we start this policy in the White House? In the offices of Democratic Senate and House staffers? Will we hire committees of thousands of bureaucrats to go into every company and judge the work of every employee to decide what is “equal work”? Because that is the only way such a policy could be put into effect.

7. Since 2001 we have lost more than 60,000 factories in this country, and more than 4.9 million decent-paying manufacturing jobs. We must end our disastrous trade policies (NAFTA, CAFTA, PNTR with China, etc.)

I think what Senator Sanders is saying here is that he supports tariffs. It’s funny, though, that he doesn’t say tariffs. Tariffs acquired a bad reputation after they helped lead to the Great Depression.

8. In today’s highly competitive global economy, millions of Americans are unable to afford the higher education they need in order to get good-paying jobs. Quality education in America, from child care to higher education, must be affordable for all.

Is Senator Sanders going to require colleges and universities to make sure that all their professors are working 40-hour work weeks in the classroom? Is he going to audit the costs of universities, find out how much the teaching component costs, and then require universities to lower tuition accordingly? If so, I congratulate Senator Sanders for taking on the liberal college money-making establishment!
9. The function of banking is to facilitate the flow of capital into productive and job-creating activities. Financial institutions cannot be an island unto themselves, standing as huge profit centers outside of the real economy. Today, six huge Wall Street financial institutions have assets equivalent to 61 percent of our gross domestic product – over $9.8 trillion…. They are too powerful to be reformed. They must be broken up.

If banks are profit centers, how do they make profits? The only way is by investing in the economy, real estate, industries, and businesses. These activities create jobs.

10. The United States must join the rest of the industrialized world and recognize that health care is a right of all, and not a privilege. Despite the fact that more than 40 million Americans have no health insurance, we spend almost twice as much per capita on health care as any other nation. We need to establish a Medicare-for-all, single-payer system.

Health care is a right in many countries, such as Cuba and North Korea. However, having a right to health care is not the same as receiving health care. In a single-payer system, the incentive to innovate and create medicines is lost, and the demand for medical care will far outstrip supply.

11. Millions of seniors live in poverty and we have the highest rate of childhood poverty of any major country. We must strengthen the social safety net, not weaken it. Instead of cutting Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid and nutrition programs, we should be expanding these programs.

The more we spend, the better off these people will be – so he says. But where will this money come from? We currently have over 18 trillion dollars in debt, and that doesn’t even count unfunded obligations to Social Security and other programs. If we incur more debt, and our economy collapses, as is happening in countries like Greece and Portugal, the poor will suffer even more. The best anti-poverty program is a free-market economy, which creates jobs. A job is the best “safety net.”

12. At a time of massive wealth and income inequality, we need a progressive tax system in this country which is based on ability to pay.

Now Senator Sanders is quoting Karl Marx! “From each according to his ability, to each according to his need.” But we already have a progressive tax system in America. If you combine federal and state taxes, in some states, like California and New York, “the rich” pay over 50% in taxes. Does Senator Sanders think an even higher rate will inspire job-creators to work even harder?

Senator Sanders will be 75 years old in 2016. His campaign ideas are only slightly older.

Additional Information

From the senate.gov website, this is the Oath of Office, as it is administered to US Senators:

I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter: So help me God.

On Senator Bernie Sanders own Senate.gov webpage, an article appears which describes Sanders as a socialist:

When Vermonters chose Sanders to replace retired Sen. Jim Jeffords, I-Vt., in 2006, some senators doubted whether the impassioned socialist known for his untamed white hair and fiery oratory would fit in with the staid Senate.

The American Constitution is wholly incompatible with socialism. These reasons are mainly because first; the government can never, ever be the purveyor of our rights, and second; our Constitution is a charter of negative rights and negative liberties. These concepts are both diametrically opposed to socialism.

Anyone who swears to bear true faith and allegiance to the Constitution of The United States, but openly identifies themselves as “socialist”, has in fact sworn a false oath. We should all take grievous offence at anyone who pays the oath lip service.
US Senator Bernie Sanders Demands A Federal Reserve Bailout Of Greece

It should hardly come as a surprise when a hard-core US socialist like Senator Bernie Sanders comes out with demands that his socialist peers in Greece be bailed out by none other than the Federal Reserve: in fact, it does show why while so many quasi-socialists hate when the Fed bails out the “loathsome” banks, they love when the Fed bails out everyone else. And yes, socialists like Senator Bernie Sanders are known to demand for bailouts – in fact the more often, and the more frequently, the better.

Updated Information – July 6, 2015

How many examples of Bernie Sanders hypocrisy can you find in 4 sentences?

We need more games to cheer conservatives in these trying times. Let’s play one now. The name of the game is “How many examples of Bernie Sanders hypocrisy can you find in four sentences?”

Are you ready? Set? Go!

On the stump, Mr. Sanders pledges to take direct aim at the wealthy, diminish their power, expose their tax havens and break up the largest financial institutions in the country. He tells working-class Americans that he would fight for higher wages, guaranteed health care, family and medical leave and paid vacations.

“This grotesque level of [income] inequality is immoral…. It is unsustainable, and it is not what the United States of America is supposed to be about,” Mr. Sanders said in Madison.

1) Diminish the power of the wealthy. The wealthy can’t arrest anyone or confiscate anyone’s money. Who is the real powerful entity that Bernie isn’t talking about? (A hint: he works for them.)

2) Expose their tax havens. Companies don’t repatriate income from other countries because of high corporate tax rates and double-taxation. They are havens only because American tax policies are so punitive.

3) Break up the largest financial institutions. Is Bernie referring to the Federal Reserve? Because that is the largest and most powerful one there is.

4) Bernie will work for higher wages – presumably higher minimum wages, which means that fewer people will have jobs, and the costs of everything produced will become more expensive, impoverishing everyone else.

5) Bernie will fight for guaranteed health care. There is guaranteed health care in Cuba and North Korea as well, but that doesn’t mean anyone gets treatment. Why aren’t Bernie and his staff on Obamacare plans, by the way?

6) Family leave and medical leave laws. Will Bernie be pushing to apply these to the general population, or specifically to his staffers on Capitol Hill?

7) Bernie finds income inequality immoral – the idea that one person with greater ability and who works harder makes more money than another person who doesn’t. In a perfectly moral society, we will all make the same amount of money no matter how hard we work and no matter what we do. Now, isn’t that moral?

8) Bernie says income inequality is unsustainable, but the economy is sustainable because people with money invest in businesses that keep the economy going. How else does the economy keep going, if not by capital investment from the wealthy? Eliminate them, and then the economy becomes unsustainable.
9) Where did it say in the Constitution that everyone should have the same income? Are you sure Bernie is reading the right constitution?

Well, that’s nine examples of blatant hypocrisy in four sentences – a new record, even for Bernie.

By the way, thanks to the Wall Street Journal for this fawning piece of journalism. Hard left, corporatists…they are so hard to tell apart anymore.

---

Updated Information – August 17, 2015

Report: Bernie Sanders Used Campaign Donations to Pay Family Members Over $150,000

Bernie Sanders constantly says that he wants big money out of politics, it’s one of the central pillars of his presidential campaign.

While it hasn’t been reported by any major media outlets during this election cycle, the fact is that Sanders has used campaign funds to enrich members of his family in the past.

This report appeared in the Vermont Guardian in 2005:

Nepotism crosses party lines WASHINGTON — The news that House Majority Leader Tom DeLay, R-TX, paid his wife and daughter $473,801 as campaign staff members was just the beginning. At least 38 other members of Congress, including Vermont Rep. Bernie Sanders, have paid spouses, children, or other relatives out of campaign funds, or have hired companies in which a family member had a financial interest, according to news reports.

Since 2000, Sanders has used campaign donations to pay his wife and stepdaughter more than $150,000, according to records filed with the Federal Election Commission.

His wife Jane O’Meara Sanders received $91,020 for “consultation” and to negotiate the purchase of television and radio ads. Approximately $61,000 of that was “pass through” money used to pay for the ads, O’Meara Sanders told the Bennington Banner. She kept about $30,000 as pay for her services.

Her daughter Carina Driscoll, Sanders’ stepdaughter, earned $65,002 from the Sanders campaign between 2000 and 2004, records show.

A similar report appeared in the Times Argus of Vermont:

Sanders campaign paid family members

MONTPELIER — Rep. Bernard Sanders’ wife Jane was paid about $30,000 from 2002 to 2004 for work on his campaigns, while his stepdaughter Carina Driscoll got about $65,000 over a five-year period ending last year, a Sanders aide said Wednesday.

The issue resurfaced in 2006 and was covered by Roll Call:

GOP Hits Sanders on Wife’s Business

Sanders, who is seeking the Senate seat being vacated by Sen. Jim Jeffords (I-Vt.), is fighting back, saying that his likely Republican opponent, millionaire businessman Richard Tarrant, is lying.
The Tarrant campaign has resurrected news stories revealing that Sanders’ wife, Jane O’Meara Sanders — a former professional media buyer — was paid $30,000 for working on Sanders’ 2002 and 2004 House campaigns and his step-daughter, Carina Driscoll, had been paid about $65,000 over a five-year period.

It’s astonishing that someone campaigning on the removal of big money in politics used campaign funds to pay large sums of money to members of his own family.

---

**NANCY PATRICIA D’ALESANandro Pelosi**

Posted January 20, 2015 on Patriot or Traitor

Nancy Patricia D’Alesandro Pelosi is a traitor.

There are so many treasonous acts and complaints about United States Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi, and cries for justice from so many walks of American life, it’s hard to decide where to start.

**POLITICAL BEGINNINGS:**
During her 1987 congressional race, Pelosi described herself as a “rabid, foaming-at-the-mouth person in the Democratic Party.” “I’m a hardened Democrat and have never voted for a Republican in my life,” she added. In some of her campaign literature, Pelosi derided the sitting U.S. President, Ronald Reagan, as a man who “has no compassion” and “has no vision.” “For the past two decades,” she boasted, “I have battled Ronald Reagan whenever he has attempted to disenfranchise people, jeopardize our environment or risk our future…. Now as a candidate for Congress, I want to bring my longtime battle with Ronald Reagan to the floor of the House of Representatives.”

**TIES TO THE DEMOCRATIC SOCIALISTS OF AMERICA:**
On November 11 1995, Pelosi and fellow Democratic Congressman Ron Dellums were the keynote speakers at a public hearing on jobs and economic insecurity in San Francisco. The event was co-sponsored by the San Francisco Democratic Socialists of America (DSA) and the Full Employment Coalition.

In the 1996 Congressional elections, the DSA’s Political Action Committee endorsed Pelosi’s candidacy. “I pride myself in being called a liberal,” Pelosi said that year. “… I don’t consider myself a moderate.”

**OPPOSING WELFARE REFORM:**
That same year, Pelosi strongly opposed the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996—the famously successful welfare-reform legislation which was a cornerstone of the Republican Party’s 1994 “Contract With America.”

**CO-SPONSORING A JOBS BILL FAVORED BY COMMUNIST PARTY MEMBERS:**
In 1997 Pelosi co-sponsored Congressman Matthew Martinez’s Job Creation and Infrastructure Restoration Act, which proposed to use $250 billion in federal funds for the establishment of union-wage jobs rebuilding infrastructure (e.g., schools, hospitals, libraries, public transportation, highways, and parks). Martinez had previously introduced this bill in 1995 at the request of the Los Angeles Labor Coalition for Public Works Jobs, whose leaders were all supporters or members of the Communist Party USA.

On December 16, 1998, Pelosi articulated her personal belief that Iraqi dictator “Saddam Hussein has been engaged in the development of weapons of mass destruction technology which is a threat to countries in the region and he has made a mockery of the weapons inspection process.”

PUBLIC PRAISE FOR HARDLINE COMMUNISTS:
In 2001, on the hundredth anniversary of the birth of the late Harry Bridges (1901-90)—a former leader of the International Longshoremen’s and Warehousemen’s Union who was later (in 1992) exposed as having been a longtime member of the Communist Party USA’s Central Committee—Pelosi honored Bridges as a man who was “beloved by the workers of this Nation, and recognized as one of the most important labor leaders in the world.” As scholar Joshua Muavichik explains, Bridges had been directly approved for his Central Committee post by the Kremlin: “This means, plain and simple, that he had devoted his life to the service of the Soviet Union and its ruler, Joseph Stalin, one of the three greatest mass murderers of all time.”

Pelosi also praised another prominent Bay Area socialist, Vivian Hallinan—whose husband, Vincent Hallinan, had co-founded the National Lawyers Guild’s San Francisco chapter and had run for U.S. president in 1952 on the Progressive Party ticket—as “a role model for many of us” and a “pioneer” in “a wide range of progressive causes.” Accuracy In Media’s Cliff Kincaid notes that “these causes included support for communists in Central America during the 1980s, when Soviet- and Cuban-backed forces were subverting Central America through violence and terrorism and fighting for control of the region.” Indeed, Pelosi’s tribute specifically lauded Mrs. Hallinan for having: (a) “opposed U.S. policy in Central America” under President Reagan; (b) “befriended Daniel Ortega, Nicaragua’s [Communist] Sandinista leader”; and (c) met with Cuban dictator Fidel Castro. The Hallinan family, it should be noted, was at one time under scrutiny by the California Senate Fact-finding Subcommittee on Un-American Activities for its efforts in promoting pro-Soviet propaganda.

MINORITY WHIP, DEMOCRATIC HOUSE LEADER:
In 2001 Pelosi became House Minority Whip. The following year, she was named Democratic Leader of the House of Representatives, thereby becoming the first woman in American history to lead a major party in the U.S. Congress.

ASSERTING THAT TERRORISTS WOULD LEAVE IRAQ IF THE U.S. MILITARY WOULD WITHDRAW:
In a 60 Minutes interview in late 2006, Pelosi suggested that the major reason why so many terrorists were in Iraq, was because of the American military presence there. “The jihadists [are] in Iraq,” she said. “But that doesn’t mean we [the U.S. military should] stay there. They’ll stay there as long as we’re there.”

PELOSI ON GLOBAL WARMING:
After becoming Speaker of the House, Pelosi grew increasingly outspoken on the issue of global warming, a purportedly ominous phenomenon which she attributed to the carbon emissions associated with human industrial activity. Among other things, she predicted that global warming would eventually cause political volatility across the planet as refugees fleeing the effects of climate change moved from nation to nation.

Pelosi wholly discounts the ideas of those who disagree with her regarding either the reality or the genesis of global warming. Indeed, her position on the matter is as non-negotiable as religious dogma. In April 2007, for instance, Pelosi proclaimed that her environmental policies were consistent with the Old Testament scripture: “To minister to the needs of God’s creation is an act of worship. To ignore those needs is to dishonor the God who made us.” “We must move quickly,” she added, “to honor God’s creation by reducing greenhouse gas pollution in the United States and around the world.” The method by which such an effect could best be achieved, in Pelosi’s estimation, was government intervention via extensive regulations and massive taxes on polluters.

In the summer of 2008, Pelosi again gave voice to her impatience with anyone whose view on global warming differed from her own. When Republicans fought to lift the moratorium on offshore oil and gas exploration, the congresswoman declared: “I’m
trying to save the planet; I’m trying to save the planet. I will not have this debate trivialized by [the Republicans’] excuse for their failed policy.”

Notably, Rochelle Schweizer points out that “Pelosi’s drive to hammer Big Oil has a flip side” rooted in her own quest for personal profit. Writes Schweizer: “[Pelosi] has invested in green companies such as Clean Energy Fuels Corporation [owned by oilman T. Boone Pickens], purchasing shares valued at $50,000 to $100,000 in an auction when Pickens publicly launched Clean Energy, in May 2007. In short, the Speaker stands to profit personally from the anti-oil, pro-green energy policies she has pushed as House Speaker.”

PELOSI APPOINTS A SENIOR POLICY ANALYST OF GEORGE SOROS:
On February 8, 2007, Pelosi appointed Joseph Onek, a senior policy analyst for George Soros’s Open Society Institute, to be her senior counsel.

MISEPRESENTING OFFICIAL U.S. AND ISRAELI POLICY IN AN UNAUTHORIZED TRIP TO SYRIA:
In April 2007 Pelosi traveled to Damascus to discuss foreign-policy issues with Syrian President Bashar Assad. She made this trip against the wishes of President Bush, who said that it sent “mixed messages” and undermined U.S. policy vis à vis what he called “a state sponsor of terror.” Pelosi’s purpose for making the trip was to pressure the Bush administration to open up a direct dialogue with the Syrian government. After her meeting with Assad, the congresswoman told reporters: “We came in friendship, hope, and determined that the road to Damascus is a road to peace.”

Former State Department official Robert F. Turner saw Pelosi’s Damascus trip as a felonious violation of the Logan Act of 1798, which calls for a prison sentence of up to three years for any American who, “without authority of the United States,” tries to influence a foreign government’s behavior as regards any “disputes or controversies with the United States.”

After her trip to Syria, Pelosi also told reporters: “[Our] meeting with the president [Assad] enabled us to communicate a message from [Israeli] Prime Minister Olmert that Israel was ready to engage in peace talks as well.” But in fact, Olmert had conveyed no such sentiment. Israel’s position remained what it always had been: its participation in peace talks with Syria was contingent upon the latter ending its support for terrorism.

ABORTION AND PELOSI’S CATHOLIC FAITH:
In 2008, Pelosi sparked controversy by asserting that “doctors of the [Catholic] Church” were in disagreement over when exactly human life begins, and stating that abortion “continues to be an issue of controversy” in the Church. In response to Pelosi’s utterly unfounded claims, a number of U.S. bishops publicly scolded the congresswoman and pointed out that the Catholic Church’s opposition to abortion dated back to the first century.[22]

Pelosi has repeatedly cited the doctrine of free will as a justification for choosing abortion. On January 13, 2010, San Francisco Archbishop George Niederauer stated outright that Pelosi’s claim was “entirely incompatible with Catholic teaching.”[23]

At a June 2013 press conference, a Weekly Standard reporter asked Pelosi to clarify her position on abortion in the wake of the recent trial of Kermit Gosnell, an abortionist who was convicted of killing a number of newborn babies after they had survived attempted abortions. “What is the moral difference between what Dr. Gosnell did to a baby born alive at 23 weeks and aborting her moments before birth?” the reporter asked. Pelosi dismissed the question and equated Gosnell’s actions with pro-life activists’ condemnation of abortion. “What was done in Philadelphia was reprehensible and everybody condemned it,” she said. “For them to decide to disrespect a judgment a woman makes about her reproductive health is reprehensible. Next question.” When pressed for an answer, Pelosi said: “As a practicing and respectful Catholic, this is sacred ground to me when we talk about this. I don’t think it should have anything to do with politics. And that’s where you’re taking it and I’m not going there.”

In response to Pelosi’s comments, Father Frank Pavone, the national director of the pro-life group Priests for Life, wrote Pelosi an open letter saying:

“The public servants are supposed to be able to tell the difference between serving the public and killing the public. Apparently, you can’t. Otherwise, you would have been able to explain the difference between a legal medical procedure that kills a baby inside
the womb and an act of murder…. Abortion is not sacred ground; it is sacrilegious ground. To imagine God giving the slightest approval to an act that dismembers a child he created is offensive to both faith and reason. And to say that a question about the difference between a legal medical procedure and murder should not ‘have anything to do with politics’ reveals a profound failure to understand your own political responsibilities, which start with the duty to secure the God-given right to life of every citizen. Whatever Catholic faith you claim to respect and practice, it is not the faith that the Catholic Church teaches. And I speak for countless Catholics when I say that it’s time for you to stop speaking as if it were.”

PELOSI’S PROFLIGATE SPENDING OF TAXPAYER DOLLARS:
In April 2009, Citizens Against Government Waste (CAGW), a non-partisan government watchdog group, named Pelosi and Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid as its 2008 “Porkers of the Year” because of their consistent record of fiscal irresponsibility.

In her 2010 book She’s the Boss: The Disturbing Truth About Nancy Pelosi, author Rochelle Schweizer writes:

“In 2009, Pelosi dropped $30,610 of taxpayer money on food and beverage, $2,740 on bottled water and, between June and October alone, $2,993 on flowers. She also spent $5,000 on flowers as House minority leader in 2006. Granted, these expenses, though excessive, involve legitimate factors like schmoozing dignitaries and officials, as Pelosi’s congressional offices are apt to remind critics. But what about a $10,000 contract she paid to have former Clinton White House speechwriter Heather Hurlburt write the speech she would deliver before the Israeli Knesset? Or the 51 workers on her payroll during the third quarter of 2006, compared with the 35 people [whom previous House Speaker Dennis] Hastert employed?”

In December 2009 Pelosi led at least 20 members of Congress (and many of their spouses and children) on an all-expenses-paid trip to attend a global-warming summit in Copenhagen, Denmark. The delegation was so large, that three military jets were required to transport its members. A number of senators and staffers also made the trip, courtesy of taxpayer dollars, via commercial airliners, and many of them stayed at 5-star hotels in Copenhagen. Although Pelosi was personally responsible for deciding who went the summit, she subsequently refused to answer any reporters’ questions regarding the cost of the trip.

According to documents obtained under the Freedom of Information Act, during 2008-09 Pelosi inured expenses of some $2.1 million for her use of Air Force jets for travel—including $101,429 for in-flight expenses such as food and alcohol. She regularly used Air Force aircraft to travel back-and-forth between Washington and her home district, at an average cost of $28,210.51 per flight. And, of 103 Pelosi-led congressional delegations during the two-year period, 31 trips included members of her family.

PELOSI’S LIES ABOUT WATERBOARDING:
In the aftermath of the Bush administration, Pelosi repeatedly characterized waterboarding—an enhanced-interrogation technique which the CIA had used on a handful of high-value terrorist suspects during the Bush years—as a form of torture that was wholly incompatible with American values and unacceptable under any circumstances. Moreover, she called for punitive action against those in the Bush administration who had deemed waterboarding appropriate. In response to suggestions that Pelosi herself may actually have been aware of waterboarding’s use for several years, the congresswoman told reporters on April 23, 2009: “We were not—I repeat—were not told that waterboarding or any of these other enhanced interrogation methods were used. What they did tell us is that they had some … Office of [Legal] Counsel opinions, that they could be used, but not that they would.”

Then, in May 2009 it was learned that as early as September 2002, the CIA had actually briefed Pelosi herself about its use of waterboarding in certain circumstances, and that the congresswoman had never subsequently raised any objection. Pelosi responded to these reports by accusing the CIA of “misleading the Congress of the United States.” “They mislead us all the time,” she said.

Then it was learned that in February 2003—six months after Pelosi’s September 2002 briefing—one of Pelosi’s top aides, Michael Sheehy: (a) had attended a CIA briefing in which the actual mechanics of waterboarding were described in detail, and in which it was revealed that waterboarding had been used on al Qaeda operational planner Abu Zubaydah; and (b) had told
Pelosi what had been discussed in the briefing. Pelosi finally acknowledged all this in a tense press conference on May 14, 2009.

THE FIGHT FOR OBAMACARE:

Pelosi has long depicted her political opponents as heartless monsters and/or uninformed fools. Recall, for instance, how she trivialized the views of Republicans who disagreed with her regarding global warming (see above).[27] The congresswoman treats her opponents on the issue of healthcare with the same dismissive contempt. “Listen, I go on the floor of the House every day and deal with people who don’t want to give health care to poor little children in America,” she once said during the effort to pass Obamacare into law. “We’re trying to get a job done.”

Because she holds her adversaries in such low regard, Pelosi is quite comfortable with the process of trying to forcibly ram legislation through the political pipeline. “Nancy really doesn’t care about Republicans, because she doesn’t believe the whole bi-partisan thing exists,” says one of Pelosi’s close associates. “Her attitude is, ‘God bless their souls, but these people … just don’t agree with us.’”[29] This attitude was clearly on display in January 2010, when Pelosi and Senator Harry Reid were leading the rancorous process by which Democrats were seeking to pass healthcare reform. At that time, Pelosi articulated her determination to enact the new legislation: “You go through the gate. If the gate’s closed, you go over the fence. If the fence is too high, we’ll pole-vault in. If that doesn’t work, we’ll parachute in. But we’re going to get health care reform passed for the American people.”

In the summer of 2009, a San Francisco Chronicle reporter asked Pelosi whether she believed that there was “legitimate grassroots opposition” to the Democrats’ healthcare plan. Characterizing that opposition as something contrived by wealthy Republican elites rather than ordinary Americans, Pelosi replied, “I think they are Astroturf”—a term connoting her adversaries’ alleged lack of authenticity. She then likened conservatives who had been heckling pro-Obamacare Democrats at town hall meetings, to Nazis: “They’re carrying swastikas and symbols like that to a town meeting on healthcare.”

On another occasion (in September 2009), Pelosi compared vocal opponents of Obamacare to people who had committed violence in the congresswoman’s hometown many years earlier. In an emotional news conference, with her voice breaking, Pelosi said: “I have some concerns about some of the language being used, because I saw this myself in the late ’70s in San Francisco; this kind of rhetoric was very frightening, and it created a climate where violence took place.”[30] She was referring to the 1978 killings of Harvey Milk (the first openly gay member of the city’s board of supervisors) and progressive mayor George Moscone.

In October 2009, a CNS News reporter asked Pelosi, “Madam Speaker, where specifically does the Constitution grant Congress the authority to enact an individual health insurance mandate?” Unable to answer the question, Pelosi simply dismissed it and said: “Are you serious? Are you serious?” When the reporter replied that he was in fact serious, Pelosi shook her head and proceeded to take a question from another reporter. Pelosi’s press spokesman, Nadeam Elshami, later told CNS News: “You can put this on the record. That is not a serious question. That is not a serious question.”

One of the ways in which Pelosi tried to advance the Democrat healthcare-reform bill was by claiming that much of it could be paid for by raising taxes on the wealthy. As Rochelle Schweizer notes:

“How [Pelosi] developed the income surcharge reveals how passing her agenda expeditiously was more important than any numbers related to the solvency of the plan. Initially the new taxes would strike adjusted gross incomes of $280,000 for individuals and $350,000 or more for families. Pelosi said, ‘I’d like it to go higher than it is.’ She wanted to raise the thresholds to $500,000 for individuals and $1 million for families so she could call it a ‘millionaire’s tax.’ Pelosi explained, ‘When someone hears, two, they think, Oh, I could be there, because they don’t know the $280,000 is for one person. It sounds like you’re in the neighborhood. So I just want to remove all doubt. You hear $500,000 a year, you think, My God, that’s not me.’”

In January 2010, Pelosi did everything in her power to help President Obama violate the pledge he had made during the 2008 campaign, when he had told voters that “we’ll have [health-care reform] negotiations televised on C-SPAN, so the people can see who is making arguments on behalf of their constituents and who is making arguments on behalf of the drug companies or the insurance companies.” In early January 2010, Pelosi announced that the House and Senate would devise the final healthcare bill “behind closed doors, according to an agreement by top Democrats.”
In March 2010, Pelosi stated that she wished to avoid a House vote on healthcare reform because the legislation would surely be defeated in that chamber. “Nobody wants to vote for the Senate bill,” she said. Thus she supported the so-called “Slaughter solution.” Under this plan, the House would vote on a procedural motion—that is, the “rule” that is supposed to govern debate on a matter going before the House. In this case, a “self-executing rule” would be used that would “deem” the Senate version of ObamaCare to have been passed. Thus lawmakers would be able to vote to approve the Senate version of the healthcare legislation—complete with unpopular add-ons such as Senator Ben Nelson’s “Cornhusker Kickback” and Senator Mary Landrieu’s “Louisiana Purchase”—and then be able to tell their constituents that technically all they had done was approve a procedural motion.

Also in March 2010, Pelosi told the National Association of Counties’ annual legislative conference: “You’ve heard about the controversies, the process about the bill … but I don’t know if you’ve heard that it is legislation for the future—not just about health care for America, but about a healthier America. But we have to pass the bill so that you can find out what is in it—away from the fog of the controversy.”

In a March 2010 interview with MSNBC’s Rachel Maddow, Pelosi explained how Obamacare would liberate many Americans to pursue their dreams: “Think of an economy where people could be an artist or a photographer, a writer without worrying about keeping their day job in order to have health insurance. Or that people could start a business and be entrepreneurial and take risks, but not be job-locked because a child has a child has asthma or diabetes or someone in the family is bipolar. You name it, any condition is job-locked.”

**Pelosi would subsequently revisit this theme numerous times:**

- In May 2010 she said that ObamaCare was “an entrepreneurial bill, a bill that says to someone, if you want to be creative and be a musician or whatever, you can leave your work, focus on your talent, your skill, your passion, your aspirations because you will have health care.”

- In February 2014 the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) released a report indicating that ObamaCare would result in a net loss of some 2.3 million jobs nationwide within seven years. The report said that because ObamaCare gives substantial subsidies to people below certain income thresholds—subsidies that are funded by all the people above those thresholds—many Americans would choose to work less, or to not work at all, in order to limit their incomes and thus continue to have their healthcare subsidized. Pelosi depicted the CBO report as something positive, telling reporters: “What we see is that [thanks to ObamaCare] people are leaving their jobs because they are no longer job-locked. They are following their aspirations to be a writer; to be self-employed; to start a business. This is the entrepreneurial piece. So it’s not going to cost jobs. It’s going to shift how people make a living and reach their aspirations…. [T]his was one of the goals. To give people life, a healthy life, liberty to pursue their happiness. And that liberty is to not be job-locked, but to follow their passion.”

- In a February 5, 2014 news release, Pelosi again emphasized: “Yesterday, the CBO projected that by 2021 the Affordable Care Act will enable more than 2 million workers to escape ‘job-lock’—the situation where workers remain tied to employers for access to health insurance benefits.”

In March 2010, Pelosi made reference to the fact that the ObamaCare bill she was seeking to pass was merely the first phase of a larger effort to bring about ever-greater government control over the American medical system: “My biggest fight has been between those who wanted to do something incremental and those who wanted to do something comprehensive. We won that fight, and once we kick through this door, there’ll be more legislation to follow.” Shedding further light on what such additional legislation might entail, Pelosi said: “I have supported—when I say support, [I mean] signs in the street, advocacy in legislatures—I have supported single payer [i.e., a healthcare system run entirely by the federal government] for longer than many of you have been—since you’ve been born, than you’ve lived on the face of the earth. So I think, I have always thought, that was the way to go.”

**IMMIGRATION:**

At the Catholic Community Conference on Capitol Hill on May 6, 2010, Pelosi said that she had told Catholic cardinals, archbishops, and bishops to speak about the importance of comprehensive “immigration reform” from their pulpits, and to tell their parishioners that such reform would serve as “a manifestation of our living the gospels.” At the same event, Pelosi suggested that her religious beliefs regularly influenced her public-policy decisions on a wide range of issues, including immigration: “My favorite word is the Word, is the Word…. And … we have to give voice to what that means in terms of public policy that would be in keeping with the values of the Word.”
In 2013, Pelosi was one of 28 California congressional representatives (all Democrats) who supported the Trust Act, state legislation designed to make it more difficult to deport immigrants residing in the U.S. illegally. The 28 Democrats sent a letter to Governor Jerry Brown urging him to sign the bill if it reached his desk.

In a December 2013 interview with Telemundo regarding immigration law, Pelosi said: “Our view of the law is that … if somebody is here without sufficient documentation, that is not reason for deportation. If somebody has broken the law, committed a felony or something, that’s a different story.” Pelosi’s position was contrary to federal law, which holds that those who are in the U.S. without authorization—either because they illegally crossed the border or they overstayed their visas—are deportable. Drew Hammill, Mrs. Pelosi’s spokesman, called the Speaker’s comments “a restatement of her long-held belief that being an undocumented immigrant is not a basis for deportation.” Hammill also asserted that Pelosi wished to pass a new law legalizing illegal immigrants in order to put to rest all controversy about the matter. Pelosi added: “I think that there is discretion in the law as to the implementation, enforcement of the legislation that is calling for these deportations.”

In an April 2014 interview with Politico, Pelosi likened the deportation of foreigners living illegally in the United States to the forced internment of some 110,000 ethnic-Japanese Americans during World War II. Said Pelosi:

“I’ll be very honest with you: Looking at the numbers at some point, in terms of how people are treated and deported and families separated and the rest, this has a scent of Japanese internment. It’s really a black mark…. I believe that if [immigration] status is the violation, then that should not be even in the scheme of things as to whether somebody would be deported or else you would be deporting 11 million people, which doesn’t make any sense.”

In June 2014—in the midst of a sudden, massive influx across America’s southern border by more than 50,000 unaccompanied, illegal-immigrant minors hailing from Central America—Pelosi visited a holding place (for the border-crossers) in southern Texas and then made the following remarks:

“What we just saw was so stunning. If you believe as we do that every child, every person, has a spark of divinity in them and is therefore worthy of respect, what we saw in those rooms was [a] dazzling, sparkling array of God’s children, worthy of respect. So we have to use … the crisis … as an opportunity to show who we are as Americans, that we do respect people for their divinity and worth….”

Suggesting that America and Mexico were not entities entirely distinct from one another, Pelosi also said: “This is a community with a border going through it.” Moreover, she indicated that she wanted to become personally involved with caring for the children: “I’m a mother of five. I have nine grandchildren. I wish that I could take all those children home with me.”

In a July 2014 appearance on MSNBC’s Morning Joe program, Pelosi again addressed the issue of the young people who were illegally crossing the border into the U.S.: “I reference the Conference of Bishops’ statement in which they say baby Jesus was a refugee from violence. Let us not turn away these children and send them back into a burning building. That’s the bishops, so we have to do this in a way that honors our values but also protects our border and does so in a way that the American people understand more clearly.”

During a House debate on August 2, 2014, Republican lawmakers moved to pass a border-security bill as well as legislation designed to end President Obama’s Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) program, which permitted hundreds of thousands of illegal immigrants under age 30—and who first came to the U.S. as minors—to remain in America without fear of being deported. Pelosi reacted angrily to a floor speech from Rep. Tom Marino (R-Pennsylvania). “You know something that I find quite interesting about the other side?” Marino said. “Under the leadership of the former Speaker [Pelosi], and under the leadership of their former leader [Rep. Steny Hoyer (D-Maryland)]—when in 2009 and 2010, they had the House, the Senate and the White House, and they knew this problem existed. They didn’t have the strength to go after it back then. But now are trying to make a political issue out of it now.” Soon after Marino made those remarks, Pelosi stood up and shouted at him off-microphone, wagging her finger at him and calling him an “insignificant person.” Afterward, Marino said: “If she considers another elected member of Congress insignificant, I can only imagine what she thinks of the millions of hard working Americans who send us to Washington with the simple expectation that we work to secure our borders.”

PELOSI CALLS UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AN ECONOMIC “STIMULUS”:
In July 2010, Pelosi stated that unemployment insurance “is one of the biggest stimuluses to the economy.” “Economists will tell you,” she continued, “this money is spent quickly. It injects demand into the economy and is job-creating. It creates jobs faster than almost any other initiative you can name, because again, it is money that is needed for families to survive, and it is spent. So it has a double benefit. It helps those who’ve lost their jobs, but it also is a job creator.” Pelosi would reiterate these themes in December 2011.

SUPPORTING THE “GROUND ZERO MOSQUE”:
In August 2010, Pelosi spoke out in favor of Faisal Abdul Rauf’s Cordoba Initiative, a proposal to build a 13-story, $100 million Islamic Center just 600 feet from Ground Zero in lower Manhattan. Criticizing opponents of the project, the congresswoman said: “There is no question that there is a concerted effort to make this a political issue by some. And I join those who have called for looking into how is this opposition to the mosque being funded [and] ginned up.”

SUPPORTING BIG GOVERNMENT AND WEALTH REDISTRIBUTION:
In an October 2010 speech to the United Steelworkers union, Pelosi gave voice to her unwavering belief in big government and wealth redistribution: “We’re talking about addressing the disparity in our country of income, where the wealthy people continue to get wealthier and some other people are falling out of the middle class when we want to bring many more people into the middle class, But that disparity is not just about wages alone. That disparity is about ownership and equity. Its all about fairness in our country.”

HYPOCRISY REGARDING THE KILLING OF OSAMA BIN LADEN:
On May 3, 2011, after it was announced that U.S. Navy SEALs had located and killed al Qaeda leader Osama bin Laden, Pelosi said the following: “The death of Osama bin Laden marks the most significant development in our fight against al-Qaeda…. I salute President Obama, his national security team, Director Panetta, our men and women in the intelligence community and military, and other nations who supported this effort for their leadership in achieving this major accomplishment…. [T]he death of Osama bin Laden is historic….”

Those remarks, however, were a stark contrast to what Pelosi had said on September 7, 2006, when she derided President George W. Bush for allegedly having become distracted from the goal of finding bin Laden: “[E]ven if [Osama bin Laden] is caught tomorrow, it is five years too late. He has done more damage the longer he has been out there. But, in fact, the damage that he has done, is done. And even to capture him now I don’t think makes us any safer.”

SUPPORTING OCCUPY WALL STREET:
In October 2011, Pelosi expressed support for the anti-capitalist Occupy Wall Street movement which was spreading to numerous cities across the United States. Said Pelosi: “God bless them for their spontaneity. It’s independent … it’s young, it’s spontaneous, and it’s focused. And it’s going to be effective…. The message of the protesters is a message for the establishment everyplace. No longer will the recklessness of some on Wall Street cause massive joblessness on Main Street.”

PELOSI AND ISLAMISTS:
In May 2012, Pelosi appointed Faiz Shakir, a former member of the Harvard Islamic Society and the longtime editor-in-chief of ThinkProgress, to be her senior advisor and media director.

On May 16, 2012, Pelosi headlined a high-dollar fundraiser that was attended by U.S.-based Islamist groups and individuals affiliated with Hamas and the Muslim Brotherhood. One of the donors at the event was Nihad Awad, co-founder of the Council on American-Islamic Relations.

In January 2013, Pelosi appointed Nadeam Elshami, her longtime communications director, to be her chief of staff. Elshami had formerly worked for Senator Dick Durbin (D-IL) and Representative Jan Schakowsky (D-IL). Elshami’s Egyptian mother, Zainab Elberry, is a Tennessee-based Muslim activist who lobbied for construction of a mega-mosque in Murfreesboro, Tennessee; supported the ouster of Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak; and helped organize the radical Islamic Center of Nashville.

PELOSI ON GOVERNMENT SPENDING AND TAXES:
In a February 10, 2013 television interview, Pelosi said it would be wrong for the federal government to cut any funding for such items as education, science, and food safety. She claimed that America’s budget deficit “isn’t so much a spending problem as it is a priorities [problem]”—i.e., the items on which tax dollars are spent. In the same interview, she said it was “almost a
false argument to say we have a spending problem.” Rather, she explained, there was “a government deficit problem”—the implication being that additional tax revenues were needed. The following day, White House spokesman Jay Carney was asked whether President Obama agreed with Pelosi’s assertion. Carney replied, “Of course, the president believes that we have a spending problem,” adding that the problem was “specifically driven” by health care spending.

In March 2013, Pelosi categorized tax breaks for businesses as forms of “spending” that needed to be cut:

“To cut are spending. Our whole budget is what, $3.5 trillion? So, when we talk about reducing spending, we certainly must, and we certainly have—$1.6 trillion in the previous Congress, $1.2 of it in the Budget Control Act. But spending is subsidies for big oil, subsidies to send jobs overseas, breaks to send jobs overseas, breaks for corporate jets. They are called tax expenditures. Spending money on tax breaks. And that’s the spending that we must curtail as well.”

In a September 22, 2013 interview with CNN, Pelosi stated that Republican-led efforts to rein in government spending were pointless because there was nothing left to cut in the $3.8 trillion federal budget. “The cupboard is bare,” she said. “There’s no more cuts to make. It’s really important that people understand that. We cannot have cuts just for the sake of cuts.”

LYING ABOUT OBAMACARE:

At a June 6, 2013 press conference, Pelosi responded to news reports that, contrary to earlier claims by Barack Obama and Pelosi herself, Obamacare would cause health insurance premiums to rise dramatically for many people purchasing their own insurance in the individual market: “I don’t remember saying that everybody in the country would have a lower premium.” But in fact, during a July 1, 2012 appearance on Meet The Press, Pelosi had stated that because of Obamacare “everybody will have lower rates.”

In November 2013—amid immense public outrage over the fact that Obamacare regulations were, contrary to the repeated assurances of President Obama and the Democrats, forcing insurers to cancel the existing healthcare plans of millions of Americans—Pelosi was asked whether she owed an apology to the formerly insured who had been misled. She replied, “Did I ever tell my constituents that if they liked their plan they could keep it? I would have if I’d ever met anybody who liked his or her plan. But that was not my experience.”

ADVOCATING A U.S. MILITARY ATTACK ON SYRIA:

On September 3, 2013, Pelosi explained to reporters why a U.S. military attack on Syria, where more than 100,000 people had already been killed during a 30-month civil war that continued to rage, was now justified by the fact that the Syrian regime had apparently used chemical weapons to kill some 1,400 people (including perhaps 400 children) on August 21. Said the congresswoman:

“My five-year-old grandson, as I was leaving San Francisco yesterday, he said to me, Mimi, my name, Mimi: War with Syria. Are you yes war with Syria, [or] no war with Syria. Now he’s five years old. And war, he’s saying war. I mean, we’re not talking about war; we’re talking about an action. Yes war with Syria, no with war in Syria? I said, ‘Well, what do you think?’ He said, ‘I think no war.’ I said, ‘Well, I generally agree with that, but you know, they have killed hundreds of children, they’ve killed hundreds of children.’ And he said, five years old, ‘Were these children in the United States?’ And I said, ‘No, but they’re children wherever they are.’

“So I don’t know what news he’s listening to or—but even a five year old child has to—you know, with the wisdom of our interest, how does it affect our interest. Well, it affects our interests because, again, it was outside of the circle of civilized behavior. It was, humanity drew a line decades ago that I think if we ignore, we do so to the peril of many other people who could suffer.”

PELOSI ON HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE FOR ABORTIFACIENTS:

In July 2014, Pelosi reacted angrily to the Supreme Court’s Hobby Lobby decision, which upheld the religious rights of companies not to offer health-insurance coverage for certain forms of birth control (i.e., “emergency contraception” that some people consider to be abortifacients). “That court decision was a frightening one,” said Pelosi, “that five men should get down to specifics of whether a woman should use a diaphragm and [whether] she should pay for it herself, or her boss. It’s not her boss’ business. The business is whatever his business is, but it’s not what contraception she uses.”
Fox News commentator Megyn Kelly subsequently offered her legal analysis of Pelosi’s attempt to “stoke resentment,” noting that in 1973 Roe v. Wade was decided by a majority of men: “Does Ms. Pelosi think those justices were ill-equipped to fairly decide that case? Or is it only when a judge disagrees with Ms. Pelosi that his gender is an issue. If Speaker John Boehner made a similar comment about the female Supreme Court justices, Nancy Pelosi would be crying sexism—and that’s what she is guilty of here.” Calling Pelosi’s claim a “gross misrepresentation,” Kelly added: “News flash: all birth control that was legal before this decision remains legal today. The high court simply found that a religious freedom law which was co-sponsored by none other than—wait for it—Nancy Pelosi, sometimes protects corporations from being forced to violate their religious beliefs.”

PELOSI ON THE ARAB-ISRAELI CONFLICT:
In late July 2014, while the Israeli Defense Forces were engaged in a significant military operation designed to stop the terror group Hamas’s relentless firing of potentially deadly rockets from Gaza into Israel, Pelosi was interviewed by CNN’s Candy Crowley. In the course of the interview, Pelosi said that the U.S. must look to Qatar, a staunch ally and funder of Hamas, for advice in bringing the Palestinian-Israeli conflict to a peaceful end. Said the congresswoman: “[T]his has to be something where we try to have the two-state solution, that we have to support… [Palestinian Authority chairman Mahmoud] Abbas and his role as a leader there. We have to support Iron Dome to protect the Israelis from the missiles. We have to support the Palestinians and what they need. And we have to confer with the Qataris, who have told me over and over again that Hamas is a humanitarian organization, maybe they could use their influence to—” At that point, Crowley interrupted Pelosi to ask: “The U.S. thinks they’re a terrorist organization though, correct? Do you?” Pelosi responded affirmatively: “Mmm hmm.”

When a reporter subsequently asked what she would do if President Obama suddenly decided that a troop deployment was absolutely necessary, Pelosi replied: “Well what would be the purpose? What is the purpose? Our purpose is not to engage in civil war in Syria and our purpose is not to continue the war in Iraq—our purpose is to stop ISIS from its brutality.” She then paraphrased a quote from the late political theorist Hannah Arendt to make her point: “People think that one more act of violence is going to stop violence, but instead it’s like a flywheel that just keeps producing more violence…. The worse it gets there, the less reason I think we should send in troops. Just an endless flywheel.”

PELOSI LIES ABOUT OBAMACARE ARCHITECT JONATHAN GRUBER:
In early November 2014, MIT professor Jonathan Gruber — who, by his own account, “helped write the federal [Obamacare] bill” and “was a paid consultant to the Obama administration to help develop the technical details as well” — became a source of great controversy when video clips of some of his past speeches on Obamacare came to public attention. Most notably, Gruber boasted that he and the Democrats had knowingly and repeatedly lied about key aspects of the legislation so as to deceive American voters, whom the professor characterized as “too stupid” to realize what was happening.

At a November 14, 2014 press conference, Pelosi tried to downplay Gruber’s devastating comments by first saying that they “were a year old, and he has backtracked from most of them.” Shortly thereafter she added: “I don’t know who he [Gruber] is. He didn’t help write our [Obamacare] bill. So, with all due respect to your [the reporter’s] question, you have a person who wasn’t writing our bill, commenting on what was going on when we were writing the bill, who has withdrawn some of the statements that he made. So let’s put him aside.”

But soon after Pelosi issued this statement, the Washington Post reported that in November and December of 2009, Pelosi’s own office had cited Gruber’s analysis of the legislation as an authoritative source substantiating Democrat claims that the bill would lower health insurance premiums for millions of people. In fact, Pelosi herself (in 2009) personally cited Gruber as an authority: “We’re not finished getting all of our reports back from CBO, but we’ll have a side-by-side to compare. But our bill brings down rates. I don’t know if you have seen Jonathan Gruber of MIT’s analysis of what the comparison is to the status quo, versus what will happen in our bill for those who seek insurance within the exchange. And our bill takes down those costs, even from now, and much less preventing the upward spiral.”
PELOSI’S MASSIVE WEALTH, GREED, & HYPOCRISY:
Pelosi’s Wealth
Pelosi is one of the wealthiest members of Congress. She and her husband have a combined net worth of more than $25 million. According to her 2011 financial disclosure statement, Pelosi received between $1 million and $5 million in partnership income from Matthews International Capital Management LLC, a group that touts its “singular focus on investing in Asia.”

Using Her Political Influence to Manipulate Taxpayer Money and Enrich Herself
In August 2014, the Washington Free Beacon revealed how Pelosi for years had used her political influence to steer more than $1 billion in taxpayer funds to a real-estate-development deal that had greatly enriched her and her husband, Paul. The details are as follows:

The Pelosis have long had a close financial relationship with Marc Benioff, the CEO of an enormous cloud-computing corporation called Salesforce. In addition to his work with Salesforce, Benioff is also a Democratic Party mega-donor who not only bundled some $500 million for President Barack Obama’s presidential reelection campaign in 2012, but also donated an additional $300,000 to Democratic candidates, party organs, and interest groups. Nancy Pelosi and her PAC have been among the recipients of Benioff’s many donations.

Paul Pelosi, Nancy’s husband, has been a longtime major shareholder in Salesforce. He first invested in the company in 2000, when he purchased between $15,000 and $50,000 in stock in a private offering four years before Salesforce’s Initial Public Offering. Then, on June 23, 2004, Salesforce debuted on the stock market at a modest $3.75 per share.

Meanwhile, in a 2004 real estate deal, Farallon Capital Management, the San Francisco hedge fund established by billionaire environmentalist and Pelosi supporter Tom Steyer, took ownership of approximately 2 million square feet of commercial space in San Francisco’s Mission Bay neighborhood. Over the next 10 years, Pelosi worked tirelessly to steer well over a billion federal taxpayer dollars—in the form of earmarks, federal funding agreements, and stimulus disbursements—to a project aimed at expanding the city’s Third Street Light Rail line in that same Mission Bay locale. This expanded rail line, which went into operation in early 2007, caused the value of Mission Bay real estate to skyrocket.

Notably, two stops along the extended light rail line were situated approximately three blocks from a four-story office building owned by Paul Pelosi—a property that generated between $100,000 and $1 million in rental income for Pelosi each year. According to the National Association of Realtors (NAR), high-quality mass transit like the Third Street Light Rail line can increase nearby property values by “over 150 percent.” “There’s a sweet spot for obtaining the maximum transit premium: two to four blocks away is ideal,” says NAR.

In 2010, the aforementioned Salesforce—the company in which Paul Pelosi has long been heavily invested—paid $278 million to purchase 14 acres of land in Mission Bay, within 3 or 4 blocks of the expanded Rail line, with the intention of building a new campus there. The seller was Alexandria Real Estate Equities, which had previously purchased the land from FOCIL-MB, a division of Farallon Capital Management.

In the fall of 2012, Pelosi managed to secure an astounding $967 million in federal funding for the Third Street light rail project. Just over a year-and-a-half later—in April 2014—Salesforce, abandoning its original plan to construct a new facility in Mission Bay, sold its Mission Bay land to the Golden State Warriors of the National Basketball Association for a large profit over and above what it had paid in 2010. This meant a massive financial gain for Salesforce and its investors, one of whom was (and still is) Pelosi’s husband. As of mid-August of 2014, Salesforce was trading at almost $53 per share—roughly a sixteen-fold increase over its original price. Paul Pelosi’s stake in the company at that time was worth between $500,000 and $1 million.

Choosing Profit Over the Environment and the Poor
In the early to mid-1990s there was a heated debate in San Francisco and Washington about what would be the fate of the Presidio, the former Bay Area military facility that the Pentagon was closing. Situated on 1,488 acres of spectacularly beautiful natural landscape, the land had become a major burden to taxpayers. One study estimated that closing the Presidio and giving it to the National Park Service (as occurred in 1994) could save taxpayers $74 million per year.[33]
What would ultimately be done with the land, however, remained an open question. Some Bay Area activists wanted to convert some of the Presidio’s barracks and other buildings into affordable housing units. Many environmentalists were wholly agreeable to this, so long as no new structures were built on the land. Developers, by contrast, saw this as a waste of potentially prime real estate (worth some $4 billion) and warned that turning it into low-income housing might depress property values in the surrounding neighborhoods.[34]

Siding with the developers, Pelosi wrote legislation that allowed the Presidio to be privatized and converted into a real estate complex. Notably, the Pelosis owned several real estate investments near the Presidio, meaning that they stood to profit handsomely from any new development.[35]

Meanwhile, the environmentalist groups that traditionally had professed deep concern about excessive development were essentially bought off with highly favorable leases on what would become some of San Francisco’s most desirable and expensive commercial real estate. Peter Schweizer, author of Do As I Say, Not As I Do, writes:

“One big winner was the Tides Foundation, famous for making grants to environmentalist and radical causes. Tides was given a cheap lease on more than seventy thousand square feet at the Presidio and created a for-profit subsidiary to lease space to others at the park. They called it the Thoreau Center, and soon they attracted groups like the Wilderness Society, the Institute for Global Communications, and the Energy Foundation. Some staff members of these groups were even invited to live in renovated apartments in the park. In one instance, the executive director of a local nonprofit got to move into a house on the Presidio. These nonprofit organizations now enjoy long-term, cheap leases on some of the most prime real estate in the world.” The story had a particularly happy outcome for the Pelosis, who in 1997—soon after the opening of the aforementioned Thoreau Center—sold one of their nearby commercial buildings for several million dollars.

**Stock Scandal**

Rochelle Schweizer, author of She’s The Boss: The Disturbing Truth About Nancy Pelosi, writes:

“In the fall of 2005, [Pelosi] abruptly took up the pro-tech banner when she met with her friend and ally John Chambers, Cisco’s president and CEO, and others to develop a plan to expand the tech industry through, among other measures, federal funding for research and education.… Pelosi’s 12-page plan aimed to double the funding for the National Science Foundation and for broadband Internet access over five years, generate 100,000 engineers, mathematicians and scientists over four years, and permanently extend and increase the research and development tax credit.”

By 2006, Cisco was creating two initiatives to combat greenhouse gas emissions. Rochelle Schweizer explains:

“One was an effort to reduce travel-related emissions at Cisco by reducing the need to travel for meetings through the development and use of high-definition virtual meeting technology (Cisco TelePresence). The other initiative was the Connected Urban Development initiative [CUD, of which a founding member was Pelosi’s son, Paul Pelosi Jr.]. The CUD is an effort to ‘reduce carbon emissions by introducing fundamental improvements in the efficiency of the urban infrastructure using information and communications technology.’ This would reduce carbon emissions related to congestion and traffic delays. CUD established a partnership with the cities of Amsterdam, Seoul and San Francisco to pilot these technologies. It was with San Francisco that CUD partnered to create the Connected Bus, a green city bus that features free Wi-Fi and screens that can tell riders their current location, arrival time and the amount of greenhouse gases they are reducing by taking the bus.”

On September 17, 2007, all parties involved in the creation of Connected Bus made their final commitment to the project. Less than two months later—between November 7 and December 31, 2007—Pelosi made 4 purchases of Cisco stock, expanding her Cisco holdings from the $15,000-to-$50,000 range to somewhere between $500,000 and $1 million.
Pelosi subsequently attached a $980,000 earmark to the 2008 Transportation and Housing & Urban Development Bill, to be “used for the rehabilitation of approximately 10 percent” of the San Francisco Transportation Agency’s bus fleet. This would allow for the production of more Cisco Connected Buses, either with earmarked funds or with cash freed up by Pelosi’s earmark.

A Self-Professed Champion of Unions, Pelosi Hires Non-Union Workers

Pelosi has long professed her deep commitment to fair labor practices for workers vulnerable to exploitation. For example, she has supported the AFL-CIO’s Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees union and its efforts to organize across the United States. The congresswoman’s self-identification as a champion of workers has won her much acclaim from some of America’s most influential labor unions. As Peter Schweizer wrote in 2005:

“In early 2003, Nancy Pelosi stepped up to the podium to receive the Cesar Chavez Legacy Award from the Cesar E. Chavez Foundation. Chavez, of course, was the migrant worker and activist who founded the United Farm Workers [UFW]. As a revered icon of the labor movement (and a hero to her many Hispanic constituents), Pelosi never misses an opportunity to praise him or encourage his canonization. Pelosi wants a national holiday honoring Chavez and has nominated him several times to receive the Congressional Gold Medal. She was a grand marshal of a large San Francisco parade marking his seventy-fifth birthday, and every year she issues a statement on that day. She has declared him ‘one of America’s greatest advocates for justice and equality, and a model of service to others.’ She applauds his efforts ‘in achieving fair wages, pension benefits and medical coverage for hundreds of thousands of working families.’”

But Schweizer, citing Pelosi’s failure to hire union workers for her own business ventures, shines a bright light on the congresswoman’s massive hypocrisy:

“Apparently, however, these fundamental rights do not apply to families that may be picking grapes in Pelosi’s own vineyards. Congresswoman Pelosi and her husband own a vineyard in the Napa Valley, on Zinfandel Lane in St. Helena, worth almost $25 million.... This is prime grape-growing soil, and the Pelosis make good money from their harvest, between $200,000 and $2 million a year according to financial disclosures.

“The Pelosi don’t pick the grapes themselves, of course; they hire outside firms to handle it. In recent years they have used several different harvesters, but they all have something in common: None have contracts with the UFW. The Pelosi sell their grapes to the non-union wineries Liparita Cellars and Roche. (Some of these wines made with Pelosi cabernet sauvignon grapes sell for more than $100 a bottle in restaurants.) In recent years the Pelosi have also held stakes in two other wine enterprises—Ravenswood Winery and the Charlole Wine Group (a consortium of smaller growers). Neither of these makes the UFW list as a ‘union-label’ company.

“The Pelosi cannot be ignorant of this. They are very familiar with the wine industry and Pelosi herself is well acquainted with Cesar Chavez’s story. The fact that they don’t insist on UFW labor when making their wine investments or picking their grapes tells us what they really think of him.

“Pelosi has made supporting labor unions a cornerstone of her public career. She says unions are absolutely necessary because ‘collective bargaining efforts ... have been so effective in promoting a balanced, cooperative relationship between labor and management.’ She is a regular fixture at labor meetings and appears onstage at the AFL-CIO meeting every year as
a keynote speaker. In 2004 she made a point of saying, ‘Thank you all for fighting for America's working families. And thank you for fighting to end the union-busting, family-hurting, exporting jobs presidency of George W. Bush.’ Needless to say, organized labor has been the largest source of Pelosi’s campaign funds in the last three elections, offering up a total of $769,000 in PAC contributions.”

Pelosi and her husband also own a chain of restaurants and a luxury hotel in Napa Valley, and all of their employees at these facilities are likewise non-union.

**Pelosi’s Golf Course/Country Club and Its Violations of Environmental Regulations**

In 1996, Pelosi, her husband, and fewer than 10 other partners wanted to build what they said would be a fully public golf course and country club on a 275-acre plot of land outside of San Jose, California, called the CordeValle Country Club.[44] In order to get approval to build on these 275 acres, they would have to comply with some very stringent county environmental regulations. Before long, they learned that two species of animals which were very common on the land in question—the California tiger salamander and the Western pond turtle—were designated as endangered species. Under normal circumstances that did not involve one of the most influential members of the U.S. Congress, this would have meant that any type of development activity on this land was out of the question.

But the Pelosis struck an agreement with local regulators, where, in exchange for permission to build their golf course, they pledged set up some holding ponds to serve as a habitat wherein the aforementioned endangered species could survive. The golf course eventually opened in 2000 and became highly lucrative—$250,000 for private memberships and $400,000 for corporate memberships. But the Pelosis never followed through on their promise to build the holding ponds. In addition, for seven years they also failed to file any of the environmental reports required by the California Fish and Wildlife Commission. A 2004 County Environmental Compliance Report found a host of environmental problems on the Pelosis’ golf course.

Further, the Pelosis also failed to honor their commitment to make the golf course fully public. Indeed, members of the general public were finding it almost impossible to get access. When the San Jose Planning Commission issued a 2003 report suggesting that the Pelosis and Lion’s Gate Limited—their golf-development partner group—had engaged in “fraud” by making promises it had no intention of fulfilling, the Pelosis hired some lobbyists to address the matter. These lobbyists applied strong pressure on the Planning Commission, which ultimately agreed to drop its objections and simply asked the Pelosis to hold a children’s-charity golf tournament at their facility once a year.

**Hypocrisy on Job Outsourcing**

Saying that “all American workers deserve a chance at the American dream,” Rep. Pelosi has long condemned the outsourcing of “manufacturing jobs” to foreign countries by allegedly greedy corporations. But the Pelosis’ investment portfolio contains no investments in any domestic manufacturing companies. Instead it has extensive holdings in dozens of companies—e.g., Cisco, Sun Microsystems, Apogee Networks, and Netclerk—that outsource jobs and have non-unionized workforces.

**Lucrative Earmark**

On April 19, 2007—just three months after Pelosi had become Speaker of the House and had vowed to cleanse Congress of its corruption—the House passed a water resources bill which included a $25 million Pelosi earmark to fund renovations in the Embarcadero port area of San Francisco. These renovations were highly beneficial to anyone who owned land in the vicinity. One such individual was Paul Pelosi, owner of four commercial real-estate properties near the Embarcadero that generate a combined rental income exceeding $3 million per year.

**Hypocrisy on Charter Schools**

Pelosi is one of the National Education Association’s favorite political figures because, like the NEA, she opposes charter schools and vouchers, preferring instead to maximize funding for public schools. But at one time, Pelosi and her husband owned some $100,000 worth of stock in Beacon Education Management, a contractor that managed 25 charter schools in five states as well as Washington, DC. In fact, a 2001 Securities and Exchange Commission filing listed Paul Pelosi as an officer of the company.

**Evading Campaign Finance Laws**

According to Rochelle Schweizer:
“Pelosi has attempted to sidestep federal laws enforced by the Federal Election Commission (FEC). For example, in 2002, she had two political action committees (PACs): the Team Majority and PAC to the Future. Federal law forbids PACs from contributing more than $5,000 per election to a single candidate or receiving more than $5,000 annually from a given donor. Federal law also stipulates that multiple PACs controlled by a single person are affiliated and need to abide by these restrictions as if they were one PAC; in other words, Pelosi’s two PACs could not collectively give more than $5,000 per election to a given candidate or collectively receive more than $5,000 a year from a given donor. One might think that Pelosi, as a leading proponent of campaign finance reform, would use her two PACs correctly in accordance with these rules. But instead, she gave more than two dozen candidates the $5,000 maximum contribution from both Team Majority and PAC to the Future, violating federal law. Team Majority returned more than $100,000 it had collected beyond federal limits, earning her fund-raising committee a $21,000 fine in 2004.”

CONCLUSION:
Nancy Pelosi is most definitely a traitor, and is lucky to be freely walking around. Under normal circumstances this traitor would be locked up in a jail cell awaiting trial for high crimes and treason.

MICHAEL DALE “MIKE” HUCKABEE

Michael Dale “Mike” Huckabee is a traitor.

Mick Huckabee was Governor of Arkansas from July 15, 1996 – January 8, 2007. He enjoyed a meteoric rise in the polls in December 2007, which prompted a more thorough review of his ethics record. According to The Associated Press: “Mick Huckabee’s career has also been colored by 14 ethics complaints and a volley of questions about his integrity, ranging from his management of campaign cash to his use of a nonprofit organization to subsidize his income to his destruction of state computer files on his way out of the governor’s office.” And what was Governor Mike Huckabee’s response to these ethics allegations? Rather than cooperating with investigators, Huckabee sued the state ethics commission twice and attempted to shut the ethics process down.

The ethics commission eventually fined Huckabee $1,000 for failing to report that he paid himself $14,000 from his 1992 U.S. Senate campaign and $43,000 from his 1994 lieutenant governor’s campaign.
The latter payment — for the use of his eight-seat, twin-engine plane — was reported in a cryptic way that didn’t identify Huckabee and his wife as the owners of the plane.

Additional Information

Mike Huckabee has a record of dipping into public funds and accepting improper gifts from supporters, something worrisome for a job like president where you control a trillion dollar budget. Most politicians use money as a means to get more power, even while living lives of near poverty (as Bob Dole has); surprisingly few actually enrich themselves through their work. Mike Huckabee has.

He was investigated 16 times and cited five times by the Arkansas Ethics Commission for violating ethics rules. Two of those citations were for cash that the governor or his wife accepted but did not report. Huckabee’s gifts peaked at $112,000 in 1999, including $23,000 worth of clothing; over half of that was given by one businessman who Huckabee appointed to a state board.

At one point, Huckabee claimed that he personally owned $70,000 in Governor’s Mansion furnishings donated by cotton grower Boe Adams, but was forced to disavow them after Adams said they were for the state, not the governor. After he moved out in December 2006 though, no one could find the furniture even after a state audit. Huckabee’s wife insisted they must be there somewhere.

In 1999, his former administrator at the Governor’s Mansion sued him for abuse of state funds, claiming that Huckabee used state funds for upkeep of the mansion on panty hose, barbecue, a dog house, dry cleaning, boat fuel, and alterations to his clothes. Huckabee settled out of court, by agreeing that legal doubts existed over his use of the fund and that he wouldn’t use it for these purposes in the future.

Huckabee also used state police airplanes as a personal transportation service for him and his family, flying scores of times each year, including trips to other states with early presidential primaries. He claimed this was legitimate for security reasons.

In 1994, when he was lieutenant governor of Arkansas, Huckabee formed a non-profit organization called Action America, which seemed to exist only to deliver money to him without donors having to report or limit their contributions the way they would a normal political contribution. In 2 and a half years, Action America paid Huckabee over $61,000 just for giving speeches at its events.

When he was getting ready to move out of the governor’s mansion, bridal registries were set up at Dillards and Target for the governor and his wife, who had been married for more than 30 years. They registered for nearly $7,000 in housewares, as well as $1,000 gift cards.

State ethics laws prohibited the Huckabees from receiving gifts of more than $100 as a reward for doing his job. But there was an exception for wedding presents.

Maybe it’s just an Arkansas tradition — Bill and Hillary Clinton registered for house gifts when they left the White House. An investigation found that they received over $75,000 worth of gifts but did not violate any federal laws.

Additional Information

Mike Huckabee pressured the Arkansas Parole Board to free a convicted rapist, Wayne Dumond — who then moved to Missouri and raped and killed two more women. Worse yet, he lies to this day about it, denying he had a role.

Worse yet, Huckabee ignored the desperate pleas of the rape victim, a 17-year-old high school student, and several other women who wrote him to say that Dumond also raped them and should not be released. The victim went to the governor’s office, got right in his face, and said “This is how close I was to Wayne Dumond. I will never forget his face. And now I don’t want you ever to forget my face.”
Incredibly, Huckabee was unmoved, and argued that the rapist was innocent, or at least got a “raw deal… He’d been born on the wrong side of the tracks and hadn’t been treated all that fairly.” He even wrote a letter to the rapist saying “My desire is that you be released from prison. I feel that parole is the best way for our reintroduction to society to take place.”

Huckabee had a lot of other reasons to keep Dumond in prison, too. Another woman wrote him that Dumond had raped her mother, when she was 3 years old and sleeping in bed with her — and threatened the mother that he would rape and kill the 3 year old if the mom did not cooperate. A third woman wrote Huckabee that Dumond raped her at knifepoint, and added “I feel that if he is released it is only a matter of time before he commits another crime and fear that he will not leave a witness to testify against him the next time.” One of Huckabee’s chief former aides has confirmed that the then-governor read that letter and spoke with the victim in a follow-up phone call. He also heard about Dumond’s alleged role in a murder while serving in the army.

So why was he so determined that Dumond be released? How could he ignore all of these heartfelt pleas? Well, a preacher friend of his ministered to Dumond in prison, and believed his claim that he was born-again. Huckabee commuted or pardoned over 669 prisoners, including 12 murderers — 10 times as many as Bill Clinton did over 9 years, and more than all of the larger states surrounding Arkansas put together — as long as they claimed to be born-again Christians, or worked at the governor’s mansion, or played in the prison band.

Also, the teenage rape victim was a distant cousin of Bill Clinton, who as governor refused a request for pardon by the rapist. Right-wing circles at the time — including NY Post columnist Steve Dunleavy and radio host Jay Cole — had a conspiracy theory that Clinton railroaded Dumond.

Stranger yet, Dumond called the police one night and said that two men had broken into his trailer and castrated him. (The police thought he had done it himself, to gain sympathy and show that he was safe to release. Huckabee said he felt sorry for the rapist.)

In a bizarre twist, the local sheriff (Coolidge Conlee) put the testicles in a fruit jar on his desk and showed them off. “That’s what happens to bad guys in my county,” he liked to say. Ironically, Dumond sued him for intentional infliction of distress and won over $100,000. Then that sheriff was himself convicted of extortion, and died in jail. (Arkansas is a pretty interesting place, eh?)

Now that he’s in a tight presidential race, Huckabee is denying that he had any role in Dumond’s release, and has refused to release the governor’s office documents on the case. He has blamed Bill Clinton for the release, or Jim Guy Tucker, the governor after Clinton and before Huckabee (who was later convicted himself of fraud in the Whitewater case.) But Huckabee’s story keeps changing, and he doesn’t dispute the letter of support he sent to Dumond. He said he wished he “knew more” about Dumond — before details of the letter sent to him by other victims were made public; then he had no comment. Dumond also had a prior record — a guilty plea for attempting to assault a teenage girl in Tacoma, Washington, and Dumond’s own sworn testimony — under a grant of immunity — that he and two friends beat a man to death with a claw hammer in a public park, because he dated their friend’s ex-wife.

Huckabee now claims that he had no influence over the parole decision. However, that board voted 4 to 1 to NOT release Dumond before Huckabee was governor. Huckabee arranged a meeting with the board, and the board’s secretary — who normally tapes the entire session — was asked to leave the room, violating state law. After that, the board reversed their decision, voting 4 to 1 in favor of parole. Huckabee denies he asked them to release Dumond, but four of the parole board members insist that Huckabee pushed them to release Dumond. (The others are dead, not talking, or forgot what happened.) Huckabee claims that all four parole board members are lying. His office also claimed, at first, that the letters to him from other Dumond victims were not genuine, but now admits receiving at least one of them. Huckabee’s former lawyer — referred to reporters by Huckabee’s own campaign, to support his story — actually said that the governor called Dumond’s sentence “outlandish” and “way out of line”, and that Huckabee pushed for Dumond’s release.

Huckabee refuses to release the documents from his office concerning the case. A former aide has said that Huckabee’s staff discussed how to make sure that documents about the case, especially the letters from other Dumond victims, could be kept secret. When he left the governor’s office, Huckabee spent the entire governor’s emergency budget — set aside for hurricanes, tornadoes and the like — destroying the hard drives of 100 computers in the governor’s office.
Back in 1998, when Huckabee was Arkansas governor, his son David and David’s friend Clayton Frady were fired from jobs at a Boy Scout camp. Why? Because they hung a stray dog by its neck, slit its throat and stoned it to death. (This same son was convicted in 2007 for bringing a loaded .40 Glock handgun and a 9-round clip through airport security. He was also Homecoming King at Arkansas State.)

When word got out about the dog lynching back in 1998, the local prosecutor wrote the head of the State Police asking for help in an investigation. The head of the state police then, John Bailey, told NEWSWEEK that Governor Huckabee’s chief of staff and his personal lawyer both leaned on him to officially deny the local prosecutor’s request. Bailey said he viewed the lawyer’s pressure as improper and cut off the conversation. Seven months later, he was called into Huckabee’s office and fired. “I’ve lost confidence in your ability to do your job,” Bailey says Huckabee told him. “I couldn’t get you to help me with my son when I had that problem.”

I. C. Smith, the former FBI chief in Little Rock, said “Without question, [Huckabee] was making a conscious attempt to keep the state police from investigating his son.” He also says he worked closely with Bailey and called Bailey a “courageous” and “very solid” professional.

Prosecuting Attorney Tim Williamson of Mena, Arkansas said cruelty to animals is a Class A misdemeanor, punishable by up to a $1,000 fine and up to a year in jail.

Mike Huckabee told Newsweek that Bailey’s charges were “untrue”, but his Chief of Staff and lawyer at the time both admit they talked to Bailey about the dog killing.

Mike Huckabee supports Common Core.

Huckabee has now put himself on the list of potential Republican candidates for president in 2016, which explains, perhaps, why he is backing off his once outspoken support of the Common Core State Standards initiative — even while insisting that his original backing made sense.

On his radio show on May 6, 2013, Huckabee criticized the “short-sighted” opponents of Common Core, saying that “parents and people involved in their local schools should let it be known that core standards are valuable, and they’re not something to be afraid of — they are something to embrace.”

On May 23, 2013 – Huckabee issued a “clarification” on Facebook that is not any better.

*My statement on the Common Core has been misconstrued. While I believe such standards make sense for public schools in math and English, I support parents’ freedom of choice to educate their children however they want, including homeschooling, regardless of the standards that are applied in a public school setting.*

Then Huckabee wrote a letter nearly two weeks later dated June 3, 2013, to legislators in Oklahoma that said in part:

Dear Oklahoma Lawmakers:

As a conservative who served as governor for a state that shares the values of the very Oklahomans you represent, I’m writing to encourage you to resist any attempt to delay implementation of the improved standards adopted by your State Board of
Education in 2010. Many of you voted in favor of these standards in 2010. You were right to stand for these improved standards then and you are right to stand for these improved standards still today.

These standards, known as Common Core State Standards, have been near and dear to my heart since I served as Governor of your neighboring state of Arkansas. And it’s disturbing to me there have been criticisms of these standards directed by other conservatives including the RNC. The truth of the matter is, these criticisms are short-sighted.

Like many of you, I’ve heard the argument these standards “threaten local control” of what’s being taught in Oklahoma classrooms. Speaking from one conservative to another, let me assure you this simply is not true. States and local school districts will determine how they want to teach kids, what curriculum to use, and which textbooks to use. Huckabee was so much a part of creating these reforms known as Common Core. Therefore it’s hoped conservatives and homeschoolers finally see him for who he really is, a treasonous huckster out for what is best for himself.

Additional Information

While governor of Arkansas, Huckabee rose taxes a staggering 65 percent. The fiscally conservative Cato Institute gave Mike Huckabee an “F” grade for his economic policies. If you thought Obama was a big spender, you ain’t seen nothin’ yet. Huckabee really shouldn’t even call himself a “Republican.” If anyone is a “RINO” (Republican in name only), Mike Huckabee is perhaps the biggest glaring example. The ultra-liberals and big-spenders should be kept in the Democrat Party. Too many closet liberals have infiltrated the GOP, and the likes of Mike Huckabee should not be tolerated, let alone become the presidential nominee based on a phony media image.

The most disgraceful thing about Huckabee (aside from causing an innocent woman’s death) is his insane quest to make legal citizens out of every illegal alien in America today. If you thought Obama was a traitor and sell out on immigration, Mike Huckabee is worse. Huckabee has repeatedly voiced his support for illegal aliens. One source reports “Huckabee vehemently opposed a 2005 bill sponsored by Arkansas State Senator Jim Holt which would deny state benefits to illegal immigrants, calling it ‘un-Christian.’ ” A similar bill in California, Proposition 187, passed by a landslide vote. Mike Huckabee is to the left of most Americans, even liberal Californian voters.

At a meeting of LULAC (the League of United Latin American Citizens), Huckabee gleefully predicted “Pretty soon, Southern white guys like me may be in the minority” as the crowd roared in laughter.

Huckabee doesn’t consider it “un-Christian” for millions of foreign invaders to break our immigration laws and shove their children into our public schools at great expense to us taxpayers and an even worse cost to our children. Illegals swarm into emergency rooms for any and all medical problems, and this cost winds up in our state and local taxes. Huckabee doesn’t care if the public schools have standing room only. Huckabee doesn’t care if American parents are told that their local public school is “full” and that their children can’t go there. Huckabee doesn’t care if millions of poor illegal aliens from Central America burn up so much federal money (in food stamps and other services) that Medicare and Social Security go broke.

It’s only “un-Christian” in the mind of Mike Huckabee, if we Americans insist that our immigration laws be enforced and that Mexico’s poor aren’t dumped onto our infra-structure at a high cost to us in both taxes and quality of life.

Mike Huckabee is possibly the worst Open Borders lunatic to run for president since Barack Obama. If you don’t want to be biting your nails for another four years wondering if a crazy Republican president is going to give citizenship to 20 million or more illegals, then you DO NOT want Huckabee to be the Republican candidate.
Beryl A. Howell is a traitor.
Beryl A. Howell is a treasonous federal District Court judge for the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.

On Tuesday, December 23, 2014 – Judge Beryl Howell threw out a lawsuit brought against Barack Obama by Maricopa County Sheriff Joe Arpaio who called the U.S. president’s sweeping immigration reforms unconstitutional.

Judge Beryl Howell denied the demand by Sheriff Arpaio for a preliminary injunction to halt the policies, saying the plaintiff lacked legal standing in the case.

Sheriff Arpaio filed the case last month, saying Obama had overstepped his powers by bypassing Congress and ordering the changes himself.

Arpaio’s lawsuit said the reforms, which eased the threat of deportation for about 4.7 million undocumented immigrants, amounted to an amnesty and would encourage more people to cross the border illegally.

Judge Beryl Howell’s 33-page decision said Sheriff Arpaio did not meet the legal requirements to qualify as a person of standing in bringing the case on constitutional grounds.

Judge Beryl Howel’s decision went on to note that Sheriff Arpaio has no authority to enforce national immigration laws — he’s a local sheriff — and that his alleged harm is “largely speculative.” Arpaio argued that the president’s actions could create a “magnet” that draws undocumented immigrants into his county, but Howell dismissed that claim because the actions don’t apply to new immigrants.

Altogether, it is difficult to understand how any entity could be more greatly impacted than the sheriff of a border state who will be inundated with illegal alien criminals as a result of the dictatorial declaration.

While clearly the executive branch has acted unconstitutionally and usurped the powers of the legislative branch, a supposedly equal and separate part of our government that is not under its authority, this judge decided to look the other way and refused to act to impede the lawless misconduct. Simply said, Judge Beryl Howel’s chose to become a facilitator of regime lawbreaking rather than a constitutional impediment to it.
Judge Beryl Howell was nominated by President Barack Obama on July 14, 2010 and confirmed by the U.S. Senate on December 27, 2010.

Judge Beryl Howell previously said protecting our border is racist, and has ruled border protection negatively impacts “indigenous communities” and “lower-income minority communities.”

But how is calling the Border Fence racist the same as calling The Constitution racist?

Let me quote Article 4, Section 4.

Article 4,

Section 4. Republican form of government guaranteed. Each State to be protected.

The United States shall guarantee to every state in this union, a republican form of government, and shall protect each of them against invasion; and on application of the legislature, or of the executive (when the legislature cannot be convened), against domestic violence.
There, “The United States shall guarantee to EVERY STATE …protect[ion] against invasion…”

Judge Beryl Howell says a border fence that secures our border against invasion is racist… yet The Constitution says it is a God-given mandate of a government of Free People, so Judge Beryl Howell says that what The Constitution promises and commands is “racist”.

Further hypocrisy is seen in that Mexico has a border fence and STRICT border enforcement long their southern border. Do Obama and Howell call that fence “racist”.

But for some this may not be enough. The fact that this Marxist moron calls an inanimate object “racist” and by association calls The Constitution and America’s Founders “racists” is not enough.

So has Judge Beryl Howell had other rulings that are equally treasonous and Marxist?

In June 2013, Judge Beryl Howell of the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia dismissed the Pacific Legal Foundation (PLF)’s case that ObamaCare violates the Constitution’s Origination Clause. This clause reads, “All bills for raising revenue shall originate in the House of Representatives; but the Senate may propose or concur with amendments as on other Bills.”
David G. Campbell is a traitor.

David G. Campbell is a traitorous United States District Judge for the District of Arizona.

On December 18, 2014 – Judge David Campbell issued a preliminary injunction barring the state of Arizona from enforcing Governor Jan Brewer’s policy of denying the driver’s licenses to about 20,000 illegal immigrants.

The move in Arizona to deny the licenses was a reaction to steps taken by the Obama administration in June 2012 to shield thousands of immigrants from deportation. Brewer’s move marked the nation’s most visible challenge to the Obama policy.

Governor Brewer issued her executive order in August 2012 directing state agencies to deny driver’s licenses and other public benefits to illegal immigrants who get work authorization under the deferred-action program.

Governor Brewer’s attorneys argued the move grew from liability concerns and the desire to reduce the risk of the licenses being used to improperly access public benefits.

In turn, illegal immigrant rights advocates said the rule change made it difficult or impossible for young illegal immigrants to do essential things such as go to school and stores, and find and hold a job.

In July 2014, the 9th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals concluded that there was no legitimate state interest in treating the immigrants differently from other noncitizens who could apply for driver’s licenses. Instead, the court suggested Governor Brewer’s order was intended to express hostility toward the immigrants, in part because of the federal government’s policy toward them.

In November 2014, Obama issued a broader executive order on immigration that lifts the threat of deportation from millions of illegal immigrants living in the United States.

A group of 24 states, including Arizona, joined in a federal lawsuit alleging Obama overstepped his constitutional powers in a way that will only worsen the humanitarian problems along the southern U.S. border.

In short, Judge David Campbell has violated his oath to defend the Constitution by injecting the Obama administration policies over States rights. But don’t worry, as an American citizen it’s still a ‘PRIVILEGE’ to have a drivers license, but for illegals that broke laws to enter this country a driver license is now a ‘RIGHT’.

Additional Information
Judge David Campbell issued a preliminary injunction Monday, January 5, 2015 blocking Maricopa County Sheriff Joe Arpaio and Maricopa County Attorney Bill Montgomery from enforcing two state laws that make it a felony for undocumented immigrants to use stolen identities to obtain work.

The injunction essentially prevents Sheriff Joe Arpaio from continuing to conduct his worksite raids to arrest undocumented workers and Attorney Bill Montgomery from prosecuting them.

Since 2008, the Maricopa County Sheriff’s Office has conducted more than 80 worksite raids, which have resulted in the arrests of more than 700 undocumented workers.

The workers typically agreed to plead guilty to felony charges after being held behind bars for weeks under another state law that made undocumented immigrants charged with serious crimes ineligible to be released on bond. That law also has been thrown out in federal court.

Many of the arrested immigrants were turned over to federal immigration officials and deported. Their felony convictions virtually destroyed any chance of ever being able to return to the U.S. legally.

In the ruling, U.S. District Court Judge David Campbell said that the two state laws that criminalize the act of identify theft for the purpose of obtaining employment are likely unconstitutional because they are pre-empted by federal law.

In a statement, Montgomery said “this ruling underscores yet again the consequences of federal inaction and the Obama Administration’s indifference to the effects of unlawful immigration practices. While pretending to address the concerns of people admittedly violating the law, the victims of identity theft are deprived of the State of Arizona’s protection.”

The two state laws in question, “taking identity of another person or entity” and “aggravated taking identity of another person or entity,” were frequently cited as the legal backing behind Sheriff Joe Arpaio’s workplace raids.

Sheriff Joe Arpaio’s office said, “The grant money issued to his office from the state of Arizona will be returned to the state, and the deputies assigned to the unit will be reassigned to other duties.”
Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia ruled that “Citizens Have No Protection Against 4th Amendment Violations by Police Ignorant of the Law”.

In a blow to the constitutional rights of citizens, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled 8-1 in Heien v. State of North Carolina that police officers are permitted to violate American citizens’ Fourth Amendment rights if the violation results from a “reasonable” mistake about the law on the part of police. Acting contrary to the venerable principle that “ignorance of the law is no excuse,” the Court ruled that evidence obtained by police during a traffic stop that was not legally justified can be used to prosecute the person if police were reasonably mistaken that the person had violated the law. The Rutherford Institute had asked the U.S. Supreme Court to hold law enforcement officials accountable to knowing and abiding by the rule of law. Justice Sonia Sotomayor, the Court’s lone dissenter, warned that the court’s ruling “means further eroding the Fourth Amendment’s protection of civil liberties in a context where that protection has already been worn down.”

By refusing to hold police accountable to knowing and abiding by the rule of law, the Supreme Court has given government officials a green light to routinely violate the law. This case may have started out with an improper traffic stop, but where it will end—given the turbulence of our age, with its police overreach, military training drills on American soil, domestic surveillance, SWAT team raids, asset forfeiture, wrongful convictions, and corporate corruption is not hard to predict. This ruling is a nonrefundable ticket to the police state.

Additional Information

It’s not 100 percent clear the extent to which Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia meant what it sounded like he meant, but tossing around the word “revolt” in the context of a discussion of the U.S. government is never a smart nor rational idea. And it’s especially a bad idea when it’s invoked during an era when armed revolt against the government is being taken very seriously.

During a speaking engagement in June 2014, at the University of Tennessee College of Law, Scalia discussed how it’s constitutionally permissible for Congress to impose taxes on citizens. Fair enough. However, Scalia added, “if it reaches a certain point, perhaps you should revolt.”

The word “revolt” carries with it a very specific definition: “to break away from or rise against constituted authority, as by open rebellion; cast off allegiance or subjection to those in authority; rebel; mutiny.” Not a lot of gray area there.

The only thing that might cast doubt on whether Scalia meant “revolt” to mean an armed rebellion is that later while answering a question about his decision to uphold the constitutionality of flag-burning, the justice said:
“You’re entitled to criticize the government, and you can use words, you can use symbols, you can use telegraph, you can use Morse code, you can burn a flag.”

While this could, maybe, possibly mitigate the severity of what he said, it’s important to reiterate that he had apparently moved on to a completely different topic. Nevertheless, Scalia isn’t known for soft-pedaling his language or mincing words, so it’s reasonable to assume Scalia was suggesting a literal revolt against the government.

---

Additional Information

Back on February 4, 2014 – Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia was quoted, in a Washington Examiner article, discussing the possible return of World War II style “internment camps”:

Justice Antonin Scalia predicts that the Supreme Court will eventually authorize another wartime abuse of civil rights such as the internment camps for Japanese-Americans during World War II.

“You are kidding yourself if you think the same thing will not happen again,” Scalia told the University of Hawaii law school while discussing Korematsu v. United States, the ruling in which the court gave its imprimatur to the internment camps.

The local Associated Press report quotes Scalia as using a Latin phrase that means “in times of war, the laws fall silent,” to explain why the court erred in that decision and will do so again.

“That’s what was going on — the panic about the war and the invasion of the Pacific and whatnot,” Scalia said. “That’s what happens. It was wrong, but I would not be surprised to see it happen again, in time of war. It’s no justification but it is the reality.”

The discussion of “FEMA Camps” has long been attributed to the over-active minds of conspiracy theorists, but when a Supreme Court Justice speaks on the issue, it would behoove people to listen and learn to read between the lines. For Justice Antonin Scalia to make these statements is a bit risky on his part. He knows the statements will be viewed as controversial and yet he probably does not feel at liberty to reveal as much as he would like. Why? Because revealing too much could jeopardize his career or even his very life.

Whether you personally believe in FEMA Camps or not, the evidence is abundant that plans have been in place for decades to deal with another “internment camp” situation.

Americans need to wake up. Things are not right and Justice Antonin Scalia is trying to warn us.

---

Update: October 29, 2015

United States Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia has started down the road to becoming a patriot, for he is now saying the Supreme Court is causing the Destruction of Our Democratic System.

Justice Antonin Scalia was extra-fiery during a talk at Santa Clara University in California this week, saying in no uncertain terms that the court had been making a lot of bad decisions.

In his speech, Justice Scalia said he believes the “liberal” Supreme Court is heralding the “destruction of our democratic system,” according to an account from the SF Gate.
According to Justice Scalia, the court is giving citizens rights that the Constitution doesn’t specifically guarantee, like gay marriage and federally subsidized health insurance.

Justice Scalia noted that this interpretation of the US Constitution as a “living document” arose in the 1920s, when Supreme Court justices at the time interpreted the “guarantee of due process of law to protect fundamental rights not explicitly mentioned in constitutional text,” according SF Gate.

To Justice Scalia, this was the beginning of a slippery slope that the US will struggle to recover from.

At the bottom of this slippery slope, according to Justice Scalia, is the now famous Obergefell v. Hodges case that legalized gay marriage across the country. In his dissent, his position is crystal clear: “To allow the policy question of same-sex marriage to be considered and resolved by a select, patrician, highly unrepresentative panel of nine is to violate a principle even more fundamental than no taxation without representation: no social transformation without representation.”

In the landmark cases the Supreme Court decided this year, Scalia has found himself in the minority. In King v. Burwell, the decision that upheld the Affordable Care Act — aka Obamacare — Scalia derided his fellow justices’ interpretation of the law as “jiggery-pokery” and called the eventual decision in favor of the ACA “pure applesauce.”

With three current judges over 79 (the average age of retirement for Supreme Court justices is 78), the next president will have a lot of appointments to make. If the Democrats take the White House in 2016, Scalia will certainly have more applesauce to look forward to.

Update: January 4, 2016

Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia said Saturday the idea of religious neutrality is not grounded in the country’s constitutional traditions and that God has been good to the U.S. exactly because Americans honor him.

Scalia was speaking at a Catholic high school in the New Orleans suburb of Metairie, Louisiana. Scalia, who was appointed by President Ronald Reagan in 1986 is the court’s longest serving justice. He has consistently been one of the court’s more conservative members.

He told the audience at Archbishop Rummel High School that there is “no place” in the country’s constitutional traditions for the idea that the state must be neutral between religion and its absence.

“To tell you the truth there is no place for that in our constitutional tradition. Where did that come from?” he said. “To be sure, you can’t favor one denomination over another but can’t favor religion over non-religion?”

He also said there is “nothing wrong” with the idea of presidents and others invoking God in speeches. He said God has been good to America because Americans have honored him.

Scalia said during the Sept. 11 attacks he was in Rome at a conference. The next morning, after a speech by President George W. Bush in which he invoked God and asked for his blessing, Scalia said many of the other judges approached him and said they wished their presidents or prime ministers would do the same.

“God has been very good to us. That we won the revolution was extraordinary. The Battle of Midway was extraordinary. I think one of the reasons God has been good to us is that we have done him honor. Unlike the other countries of the world that do not even invoke his name we do him honor. In presidential addresses, in Thanksgiving proclamations and in many other ways,” Scalia said.

“There is nothing wrong with that and do not let anybody tell you that there is anything wrong with that,” he added.
Scalia’s comments Saturday come as the court prepares to hear arguments later this year in a case that challenges part of President Barack Obama’s health care law and whether it adequately shields faith-based hospitals, colleges and charities from having to offer contraceptive coverage to their employees.

Scalia is often a lightning rod for controversy on the court.

In December 2015 he came under fire for comments he made during an affirmative action case, questioning whether some black students would benefit from going to a “slower-track school” instead of Texas’ flagship campus in Austin.

Update: February 15, 2016

Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia dies at 79.

Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia, the intellectual cornerstone of the court’s modern conservative wing, whose elegant and acidic opinions inspired a movement of legal thinkers and ignited liberal critics, died Feb. 13 on a ranch near Marfa, Tex. He was 79.

The cause of death was not immediately known.

In a statement Saturday, Chief Justice John G. Roberts said: “On behalf of the Court and retired Justices, I am saddened to report that our colleague Justice Antonin Scalia has passed away. He was an extraordinary individual and jurist, admired and treasured by his colleagues. His passing is a great loss to the Court and the country he so loyally served. We extend our deepest condolences to his wife Maureen and his family.”

Justice Scalia, the first Italian American to serve on the court, was nominated by President Ronald Reagan. He took his seat Sept. 26, 1986, and quickly became the kind of champion to the conservative legal world that his benefactor was in the political realm.

Justice Scalia was an outspoken opponent of abortion, affirmative action and what he termed the “so-called homosexual agenda,” and his intellectual rigor, flamboyant style and eagerness to debate his detractors energized conservative law students, professors and intellectuals who felt outnumbered by liberals in their chosen professions.

“He has by the force and clarity of his opinions become a defining figure in American constitutional law,” Northwestern University law professor Steven Calabresi said at a Federalist Society dinner honoring Justice Scalia at the 20-year mark of his service on the Supreme Court.

Justice Scalia was the most prominent advocate of a manner of constitutional interpretation called “originalism,” the idea that judges should look to the meaning of the words of the Constitution at the time they were written.

He mocked the notion of a “living” Constitution, one that evolved with changing times, as simply an excuse for judges to impose their ideological views.

Critics countered that the same could be said for originalism — and that the legal conclusions Justice Scalia said were dictated by that approach meshed neatly with the justice’s views on the death penalty, gay rights and abortion.

It is hard to overstate Justice Scalia’s effect on the modern court. Upon his arrival, staid oral arguments before the justices became jousting matches, with Justice Scalia aggressively questioning counsel with whom he disagreed, challenging his colleagues and often dominating the sessions.

He asked so many questions in his first sitting as a justice that Justice Lewis F. Powell Jr. whispered to Justice Thurgood Marshall: “Do you think he knows the rest of us are here?”
Justice Scalia was just as ready for combat outside the court. He relished debating his critics at law schools and in public appearances, although he sometimes displayed a thin skin.

He tired of questions about his prominent role in the court’s 2000 decision in Bush v. Gore, which ended a recount of the presidential vote in Florida and effectively decided the presidency for Republican George W. Bush. His response to those who raised questions years later: “Get over it.”

Despite his influence on the legal world, Justice Scalia’s views were too far to the right for him to play the pivotal roles on the court that his fellow Reagan nominees — Sandra Day O’Connor and Anthony M. Kennedy — eventually assumed.

Justice Scalia was far better known for fiery dissents than for landmark majority opinions. One exception was the court’s groundbreaking 2008 decision in District of Columbia v. Heller.

An avid hunter and a member of his high school rifle team, Justice Scalia wrote the court’s 5-to-4 ruling that held for the first time that the Second Amendment afforded a right to gun ownership unrelated to military service.

“His views on textualism and originalism, his views on the role of judges in our society, on the practice of judging, have really transformed the terms of legal debate in this country,” Elena Kagan said about Justice Scalia when she was dean of Harvard Law School, alma mater to both. “He is the justice who has had the most important impact over the years on how we think and talk about law.”

After Kagan was nominated to the court by President Obama, she and Justice Scalia became friends and hunting buddies — despite their distinct ideological differences and the fact that Kagan had never fired a gun. They went to Wyoming together in 2012 in the hope of Kagan bagging a big-game trophy like the elk, nicknamed Leroy, whose mounted head dominated Justice Scalia’s Supreme Court chambers.

But she shot only a white-tailed deer, which Justice Scalia later laughingly said that “she could have done in my driveway” in suburban Virginia.

‘You’re not everybody else’
Antonin Gregory Scalia — “Nino” to family, friends and colleagues — was born in Trenton, N.J., on March 11, 1936, and grew up in the New York City borough of Queens. His father, Salvatore, came through Ellis Island at 17; he learned English and became a professor of Romance languages at Brooklyn College.

Justice Scalia’s mother, the former Catherine Panaro, was a second-generation Italian American and an elementary school teacher. Not only was Nino their only child, he was the only child of his generation on either side of the family.

The whole extended clan doted on him, biographer Joan Biskupic reported in her biography “American Original,” and expected achievement. “You’re not everybody else,” Catherine Scalia would say, according to Biskupic. “Your family has standards, and it doesn’t matter what the standards of [others] are.”

In 1953, he graduated first in his class at St. Francis Xavier, a military prep school in Manhattan, and won a naval ROTC scholarship but was turned down by his first choice of college, Princeton.

A devout Catholic, he attended his second choice, Georgetown University, where he was the valedictorian of the Class of 1957. In his graduation speech, he exhorted his fellow students: “If we will not be leaders of a real, a true, a Catholic intellectual life, no one will.”

Justice Scalia then entered Harvard Law School, where he was editor of the Harvard Law Review and graduated magna cum laude in 1960. That same year, he married Maureen McCarthy, a Radcliffe student he had met on a blind date.

She, too, came from a small family, but they made up for it, with five sons, four daughters and dozens of grandchildren.
“We didn’t set out to have nine children,” Justice Scalia told Lesley Stahl on the CBS show “60 Minutes.” “We’re just old-fashioned Catholics, playing what used to be known as ‘Vatican Roulette.’”

He added that when their brother Paul decided to “take one for the team” and become a priest, his four other sons were relieved.

The Scalias moved around. After traveling across Europe for a year while Justice Scalia was a Harvard Sheldon Fellow, the newlyweds moved to Cleveland, where Justice Scalia joined the Jones Day firm in 1961.

On the cusp of becoming partner, he left private practice in 1967 to become a law professor at the University of Virginia in Charlottesville.

In 1971, he became general counsel to the new Office of Telecommunications Policy in the Nixon administration; the agency spurred development of the nascent cable industry. From 1972 to 1974, he was chairman of the Administrative Conference of the United States, followed by three years as assistant attorney general for the Office of Legal Counsel.

After Jimmy Carter, a Democrat, won election to the White House, Justice Scalia returned to academia as a professor at the University of Chicago Law School.

Then Reagan came into office in 1981 and the next year nominated Justice Scalia to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. His name quickly appeared on shortlists of potential Supreme Court nominees.

In 1981, Reagan made good on a campaign promise to appoint the court’s first woman with his choice of O’Connor, then an Arizona state judge and former legislator. His next chance to leave an imprint came five years later, when Chief Justice Warren E. Burger announced that he was stepping down.

The president decided to elevate Justice William H. Rehnquist to the chief’s job, and Justice Scalia and fellow D.C. Circuit Judge Robert H. Bork became the finalists for the opening. Bork was the more experienced jurist and a conservative icon, but the 50-year-old Scalia was almost a decade younger and brought the added political benefit of being Italian American.

Justice Scalia got the nomination. After a testy Senate battle over Rehnquist’s elevation, Justice Scalia sailed through his confirmation hearings and was approved 98 to 0.

Future vice president Joseph R. Biden Jr., then a Democratic senator from Delaware and a stalwart of the Judiciary Committee, later said that his vote for Justice Scalia was the one he most regretted — “because he was so effective.”

**Textualism and originalism**

Justice Scalia set out immediately to make his views known — and became exactly the justice conservatives had hoped for.

He had been an influential early supporter of the Federalist Society, a group that political scientist Steven Teles called “the most vigorous, durable and well-ordered organization to emerge from [the] rethinking of modern conservatism’s political strategy.”

Reliance on legislative history as a key element of interpreting statutes was once common. But Justice Scalia railed against the practice, saying that only the words of the statutes matter — a view known as textualism. He likened judges’ use of secondary sources such as committee reports or statements made by members of Congress during floor debates to “looking over the faces of the crowd at a large cocktail party and picking out your friends.”

Even though most justices continued to think legislative history was valuable in interpreting statutes, lawyers arguing before the court learned that they would be upbraided by Justice Scalia for mentioning it. He refused to join opinions that cited legislative history, even in a footnote.
Similarly, Justice Scalia redefined and popularized originalism. His approach to understanding the Constitution focused not on the framers’ intent but on the meaning of the words to ordinary citizens in 1787. He rejected the notion that the framers wanted the Constitution to be a “living” document designed to accommodate changing circumstances and social values.

“The starting point, in any case, is the text of the document and what it meant to the society that adopted it,” Scalia said at his confirmation hearing. He added that this approach guarded “against the passions of the moment that may cause individual liberties to be disregarded.”

Liberals, he said, should like such an approach, because it constrained conservatives such as him from turning their personal opinions into public policy. To illustrate, he often said that the Constitution doesn’t provide a right for a woman to have an abortion, but it also does not forbid states from making the procedure legal and accessible.

He cited his vote on flag-burning — he agreed with the court’s majority that the guarantee of free speech allows the practice — as one instance when his allegiance to the Constitution outweighed his personal views. “If it was up to me, if I were king,” he said, “I would take scruffy, bearded, sandal-wearing idiots who burn the flag and I would put them in jail.”

But he did not note many other examples of originalism dictating views that contradicted his personal beliefs.

Even when he wasn’t writing for the majority, his opinions provided an influential template for conservative lawyers and politicians. His writing style was certain and clever:

“Frequently an issue of this sort will come before the Court clad, so to speak, in sheep’s clothing,” Justice Scalia wrote in his lone dissent in Morrison v. Olson. “… But this wolf comes as a wolf.”

But he also could be acerbic and dismissive of his opponents.

“No justice in Supreme Court history has consistently written with the sarcasm of Justice Scalia.” Erwin Chemerinsky, now dean of the University of California at Irvine Law School, wrote in a widely noted law review article.

“No doubt, this makes his opinions among the most entertaining to read. … But I think that this sends exactly the wrong message to law students and attorneys about what type of discourse is appropriate in a formal legal setting and how it is acceptable to speak to one another.”

New Yorker writer Margaret Talbot thought that Justice Scalia’s writing made him a rock star, especially among young conservatives. Of all the justices, she wrote, “Scalia is most likely to offer the jurisprudential equivalent of smashing a guitar onstage.”

Justice Scalia’s blunt critiques may have cost him in finding common ground with some of his colleagues. Students of the court think that his sharp-tongued put-downs of O’Connor — he once said her reasoning in a case could “not be taken seriously” — affected their relationship.

He also could be a provocateur outside the courtroom. A reporter once asked him as he was leaving church if he caught flak for his Roman Catholic beliefs, and Justice Scalia responded by flicking his fingers under his chin, a Sicilian insult.

Unlike his colleagues, Justice Scalia eagerly discussed constitutional issues and his personal opinions in public. On several occasions, his out-of-court activities prompted critics to question his impartiality.

He once went duck hunting with Vice President Richard B. Cheney, who at the time was the subject of a lawsuit by the Sierra Club seeking the names of people who participated in the vice president’s energy task force. Scalia refused to recuse himself from the case, which the Supreme Court had agreed to hear.
In 2006, he spoke against giving alleged terrorists jury trials — right before the court was set to hear a case on detainee rights, prompting a group of retired generals and admirals to file a friend-of-the-court brief asking him to recuse himself from hearing the appeal filed by Osama bin Laden’s alleged driver, Salim Ahmed Hamdan.

Justice Scalia participated in that case but had to sit out one that challenged the use of the words “under God” in the Pledge of Allegiance. In remarks to a Knights of Columbus rally before the case arrived at the Supreme Court, he suggested that an appeals court had erred in agreeing with the challenger.

That said, Justice Scalia often played the role of charming rogue.

In less-partisan times, he was a fixture at Georgetown parties; he loved opera and led carol-singing at the court’s annual Christmas parties. Every year when Boston University law professor Jay Wexler compiled the number of times the notation “laughter” appeared in transcripts of the court’s oral arguments, Justice Scalia was the leading instigator.

Nothing illustrated the dynamic so well as his close friendship with Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg, with whom he was in frequent disagreement. The two served together on the D.C. Circuit and respected each other’s intellect. Scalia and his wife, and Ginsburg and her husband, Martin, celebrated most New Year’s Eves together.

Ginsburg said no one made her laugh as much as Justice Scalia did. “I love him. But sometimes I’d like to strangle him,” she once said.

**Outspoken about faith**
Justice Scalia once wrote in a law review article that legal views are “inevitably affected by moral and theological perceptions.”

After donning his black robe, he would insist that his religious faith and personal views did not determine the outcome of cases because his textualist, originalist approach insulated him from bias. He thought that judges should defer to elected officials on matters of social policy.

But Justice Scalia’s faith was integral to his identity. He objected to Vatican II and drove out of his way to find churches that celebrated Mass in Latin.

He was the court’s most outspoken member on the subject of religion. He urged fellow intellectuals to proudly be “fools for Christ” and used an interview in 2013 to underscore his belief in the existence of the devil, whose latest maneuver, he said, was “getting people not to believe in him or in God.”

Justice Scalia wanted to lower the wall of separation between church and state, endorsing school prayer, nativity displays on public property and public money for religious schools.

But he insisted that there was no such thing as a “Catholic justice” and said his views were shaped by an understanding of the Constitution and a belief that a judge’s role is limited.

“Don’t paint me as anti-gay or anti-abortion or anything else,” Justice Scalia said at an appearance in 2015. “All I’m doing on the Supreme Court is opining about who should decide: Is it a matter left to the people, or is it a matter of my responsibility as a justice of the Supreme Court?”

Justice Scalia narrowly read individual rights and disdained policies designed to remedy discrimination against women and minorities. He was the lone dissent in a case challenging the state-run Virginia Military Institute’s right to exclude female applicants.

Justice Scalia believed that discrimination should be judged on an individual basis rather than by treating minorities as an aggrieved group; in his view, policies meant to address discrimination against a group in effect discriminated against individuals. “I owe no man anything, nor he me, because of the blood that flows through our veins,” he wrote in a 1979 essay.
He was part of majorities that made it harder for workers to bring discrimination claims.

He and O’Connor clashed when the court said the University of Michigan Law School could consider race as part of a comprehensive review of an applicant because of the benefits a racially diverse class would bring.

In dissent, he wrote: “This is not, of course, an ‘educational benefit’ on which students will be graded on their Law School transcripts (Works and Plays Well with Others: B+) or tested by the bar examiners (Q: Describe in 500 words or less your cross-racial understanding.)”

**Notable wins, losing battles**

For much of the public, the perception of Justice Scalia was formed by the polarized court’s ruling in Bush v. Gore. Justice Scalia wrote for himself when the court issued an emergency stay to stop the vote-counting in Florida in the 2000 presidential election. “The counting of votes that are of questionable legality does in my view threaten irreparable harm to [Bush], and to the country, by casting a cloud upon what he claims to be the legitimacy of his election,” the justice wrote.

To Gore supporters, that sounded like an attempt not to find out which candidate got the most votes but to protect the integrity of Bush’s win. Moreover, the five-member majority based its ultimate ruling on an expansive reading of the Equal Protection Clause, which in previous cases involving gays, blacks and women Justice Scalia had preferred to read narrowly. The case was also a departure from his reluctance to endorse federal intrusion in state and local affairs.

On gay rights, Justice Scalia fought a losing battle. He warned in his 2003 dissent in Lawrence v. Texas, which struck down a state sodomy law, that the court was paving the way for same-sex marriage. He was not any happier to see his prediction come true.

When the court ruled 5 to 4 in 2015 that the Constitution forbade state laws that prohibited same-sex marriage, Justice Scalia said the court had taken its most drastic step in overruling decisions made by the public.

“A system of government that makes the People subordinate to a committee of nine unelected lawyers does not deserve to be called a democracy,” he wrote in dissent.

His great triumph on the court came in writing the majority decision in District of Columbia v. Heller, the Second Amendment case.

Most lower courts had long interpreted a 1939 Supreme Court case, United States v. Miller, to mean that the Second Amendment guaranteed the right to bear arms only to members of state militias, like the National Guard.

Justice Scalia’s opinion made it unmistakable that the Constitution requires more than that. The Second Amendment, he said, “surely elevates above all other interests the right of law-abiding, responsible citizens to use arms in defense of hearth and home.”

More than just a victory for gun rights, the case was significant for being fought on the originalist grounds that Justice Scalia had long championed. He wrote 64 pages on why the authors of the Second Amendment meant to imply an individual right; Justice John Paul Stevens countered with 46 pages of history arguing only for the militia right.

Another victory for Justice Scalia on the court might seem surprising for a conservative who was such a full-throated defender of the death penalty.

Crawford v. Washington marked a revolutionary change in criminal law. Writing for the majority in 2004, Justice Scalia spelled out a bright-line rule that said “testimonial” statements by unavailable witnesses couldn’t be used as evidence in court unless the defendant had a prior opportunity for cross-examination. Previously, such statements were admissible if deemed sufficiently reliable by a judge.
The decision was a great win for criminal defense lawyers and one in which the Supreme Court majority blurred its usual conservative-liberal dividing lines.

Near the end of his tenure on the court, as Justice Scalia was on the losing side in landmark decisions on issues such as gay rights, he condemned what he called “the practice of constitutional revision by an unelected committee of nine.”

In his dissent to the court’s 2015 decision in Obergfell v. Hodges recognizing a constitutional right for same-sex couples to marry, Justice Scalia summed up his objections.

“Hubris is sometimes defined as o’erweening pride; and pride, we know, goeth before a fall,” he wrote. “. . . With each decision of ours that takes from the People a question properly left to them — with each decision that is unabashedly based not on law, but on the ‘reasoned judgment’ of a bare majority of this Court — we move one step closer to being reminded of our impotence.”

Update: February 16, 2016

Some of the significant opinions Justice Antonin Scalia wrote were not treasonous, as well as the dissents for which he is perhaps even better known:

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA v. HELLER, 2008

Scalia was responsible for the majority opinion in a seminal Second Amendment case, writing for the court in a 5-4 ruling that upheld the right to have guns for self-defense in the home.

Turning aside a District of Columbia ban on handguns, Scalia leaned on English and colonial history in declaring that the individual right to bear arms clearly exists and is supported by the ‘historical narrative.’

In the concluding lines of the opinion, which divided the court’s liberals and conservatives, he acknowledged the views of those who considered the Second Amendment “outmoded” at a time of serious gun violence and when “our standing army is the pride of our nation.”

“That is perhaps debatable,” he wrote, “but what is not debatable is that it is not the role of this court to pronounce the Second Amendment extinct.”

___

BROWN v. ENTERTAINMENT MERCHANTS ASSOCIATION, 2011

In an opinion that name-dropped Hansel and Gretel, Cinderella and Homer’s Ulysses, Scalia rejected attempts by California to restrict the sale or rental of violent video games to children.

A state, he wrote in the majority decision, has the authority to protect children from harm, “but that does not include a free-floating power to restrict the ideas to which children may be exposed.”

California’s argument would make more sense, he added, if there was a longstanding tradition of restricting “children’s access to depictions of violence, but there is none.”

What to make, he wrote, of how Cinderella’s evil stepsisters get their eyes pecked out by doves? Or of Odysseus, a hero of Greek mythology, blinding Polyphemus the Cyclops with a heated stake?
“And Hansel and Gretel (children!) kill their captor by baking her in an oven,” he wrote.

---

**ROPER v. SIMMONS, 2005**

Scalia famously dissented from a 5-4 decision that declared the execution of juvenile criminals to be unconstitutional. He took a similar stance in 1989 when he wrote the opinion, Stanford v. Kentucky, that allowed states to use capital punishment for killers who were 16 or 17 when they committed their crimes.

In his biting Roper v. Simmons dissent, he ridiculed the notion that states that had abandoned capital punishment altogether should be included in a discussion about the juvenile death penalty.

Consulting states that had no death penalty about making an exception for offenders under 18, he wrote, “is rather like including old-order Amishmen in a consumer-preference poll on the electric car. Of course they don’t like it, but that sheds no light whatever on the point at issue.”

And he took particular exception to the majority’s willingness to take guidance from foreign courts and legislatures, saying that the meaning of the Eighth Amendment should not be “determined by the subjective views of five members of this court and like-minded foreigners.”

---

**OBERGEFELL v. HODGES, 2015**

Scalia’s dissent in this landmark 5-4 case, which gave same-sex couples the right to marry nationwide, was in some ways vintage Scalia: mocking, angry and unabashedly sarcastic.

He noted bluntly that the Constitution did not mention a right to same-sex marriage before going on to lampoon the majority’s opinion — written by Justice Anthony Kennedy — as pretentious, egotistic and, at times, “profoundly incoherent.”

Had he joined in an opinion written like Kennedy’s, he observed wryly in one footnote, “I would hide my head in a bag.”

“Today’s decree says that my ruler, and the ruler of 320 million Americans coast-to-coast, is a majority of the nine lawyers on the Supreme Court,” he said at one point.

Elsewhere, he ridiculed the other side’s assertion that a couple, through marriage, can discover freedoms “such as expression, intimacy and spirituality.”

“How?!” he wrote incredulously. “Who ever thought that intimacy and spirituality (whatever that means) were freedoms? And if intimacy is, one would think freedom of intimacy is abridged rather than expanded by marriage. Ask the nearest hippie.”

---

**LAWRENCE v. TEXAS, 2003**

Twelve years before the Obergefell decision, Scalia dissented from a seminal gay rights opinion that struck down a Texas law banning sodomy.
The 6-3 opinion in Lawrence v. Texas reversed an earlier ruling from the court, Bowers v. Hardwick, that upheld the constitutionality of a law banning gay sex acts.

While the majority decision stressed the importance of respect for personal privacy, Scalia, taking the unusual step of reading his dissent from the bench, accused his colleagues of having “taken sides in the culture war” and having largely signed on to the so-called homosexual agenda.

He maintained that even though he had “nothing against homosexuals,” the opinion could open the door to same-sex marriage.

The decision would represent, he warned, “the end of all morals legislation.”

Update: February 19, 2016

Forget the eulogies of Scalia, let’s look at why Scalia was on a free trip to a swanky resort, and why Scalia previously helped ranch owner win age discrimination case.

Senior Associate Justice of the Supreme Court Antonin Scalia died from as yet unknown reasons while on an all-expenses paid boondoggle to a five-star resort ranch in the middle of nowhere in extreme West Texas.

Scalia’s host, John B. Poindexter, the billionaire owner of J. B. Poindexter & Co. Inc. of Houston, had an age discrimination case before the Supreme Court last year.

Scalia was one of the judges who found in favor of Poindexter by refusing to hear the age discrimination case (Hinga, James V. Mic Group) and it appears that Scalia’s “quail hunting” trip to Poindexter’s Cibolo Creek Ranch was a payback for the Supreme Court’s legal largesse.

Poindexter admitted the free trip was a “gift” to Scalia.

Poindexter claims traditionally he does not charge his VIP guests for their stay at a number of the getaways Scalia attended.

However, he was adamant that he did not pay for Scalia’s air travel to the Cibolo Creek airport on a private executive jet.

However, Cibolo Creek Airport is owned by Southwestern Holdings, Inc. of Houston, which is owned by Poindexter and other reports indicated that Scalia’s air travel was also provided gratis by Poindexter.

The Cibolo airport has been served by Cibolo Air’s fleet of two propeller-driven King Air 65-C90s (tail numbers N80TB and N690JP).

Cibolo Air is also owned by Poindexter.

The airport once had a Hughes TH-55 (N2090L) helicopter present but it was de-registered in 2013 with no information available about its final disposition in Oklahoma.

Although there are a number of questions raised by Scalia’s death and the lack of an autopsy and the circuitous over-the-road trip his body took from Cibolo Creek to El Paso, all of which will be addressed in an in-depth WMR report, the public has a right to know about with whom Scalia was vacationing with on the Presidents’ Day/Valentine’s Day long weekend.

The 36 guests, including Scalia, were all staying at the ranch free of charge.
Unlike elected politicians who are bought-and-paid-for by special interests, judges, especially life-serving Supreme Court justices, not only interpret existing law but often make decisions that become rooted in case law. And those decisions can affect every man, woman, and child in the United States.

At the very least, Scalia’s apparent conflict-of-interest in accepting a free trip from a Supreme Court litigant demands a federal law enforcement investigation.

Perhaps it was Scalia’s treasonous violation of ethics and the law that created the kerfuffle surrounding the lid being placed on details concerning his sudden death.

LORETTA A. PRESKA

Loretta A. Preska is a traitor.

Chief U.S. District Judge Loretta Preska is a prime example of a tyrant who’s function of the law is to keep those who hold power, in power.

A prime example of this is the controversial case of Jeremy Hammond, a hero of the people with a long history of being a formidable dissident. As such, he is a political prisoner and a victim of the USA’s [in]justice system.

Jeremy Hammond was accused of gaining unauthorized access to Stratfor’s computer systems, and was denied bail by Judge Preska; who for 19 months had him jailed in another city, denied bail, held in solitary confinement, and denied the right to see and phone his family.

Jeremy Hammond unsuccessfully sought to have Judge Preska recuse herself, claiming information about her husband was released in the leak and that her husband works with Stratfor clients. Therein, her husband is an employee of Cahill Gordon & Reindell LLP, a Stratfor client and associate, and many Hammond supporters claimed that Judge Preska impartiality is harmed by this conflict of interest. Judge Loretta Preska denied the charge, and in turn, Hammond’s lawyers were unsuccessful in their attempt to force her recusal.

An outpouring of support by journalists, activists and other whistleblowers in the run-up to the sentencing hearing has focused on Jeremy Hammond’s actions as civil disobedience, motivated by a desire to protest and expose the secret activities of private intelligence corporations.
Jeremy Hammond’s attorneys submitted a sentencing memorandum on his behalf asking for a sentence of time served, a call supported by 5,000 people in petitions hosted by Change.org and Demand Progress. Additionally, over 250 letters addressed to the Judge from friends, family, journalists, academics, the tech community, and prominent whistleblowers have been included with the memorandum. Among these is a letter cosigned by 17 editors and journalists representing international media outlets in fifteen countries with a combined audience of 500 million people.

Some of the public figures who have spoken in support of Jeremy are Daniel Ellsberg, Yes Men activist Andy Bichlbaum, journalist John Knefel, Pulitzer Prize-winning former New York Times journalist Chris Hedges, Bhopal activist Saif Ansari, Center for Constitutional Rights President Emeritus Michael Ratner, journalist Alexa O’Brien, National Lawyers Guild Executive Director Heidi Boghosian Icelandic parliamentarian Birgitta Jónsdóttir, and past Weather Underground members Bill Ayers Distinguished Professor Emeritus in Education (ret.) at University of Illinois at Chicago, and his wife, Bernardine Dohrn Associate Professor of Law at Northwestern University, as well as Northwestern University philosophy professor Peter Ludlow.

Jeremy Hammond eventually pleaded guilty to leaking information from the private intelligence firm Strategic Forecasting, which revealed that Stratfor had been spying on activists and human rights defenders and selling that information to governments and corporations.

Jeremy Hammond did nothing for personal gain and everything in hopes of making the world a better place. However, on Friday, November 15, 2013, Judge Preska handed down a maximum sentence for Jeremy Hammond under his plea deal – 10 years in prison. After serving his jail term, Hammond will also be subject to three years under supervised release.

In short, Judge Loretta Preska orchestrated this miscarriage of justice in behalf of an ever growing tyrannical government. It not only stands as a warning to persecuting future activists and human rights defenders, but includes anyone that desires a just, limited, federal government.

Additional Information

On November 15, 2013, Loretta A. Preska (born January 7, 1949 in Albany, New York) a Chief Judge of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York and a former nominee to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit handed down an extremely harsh sentence to Mr. Jeremy Hammond for being entrapped by an FBI informant into hacking the Stratfor Global Intelligence e-mails servers, in a case she should have removed herself from due to the fact that her husband works with Stratfor and had some minor personal information revealed (reportedly his e-mail address) in the Stratfor hack.

The blowback from the ruling is just beginning to be felt but it promises to be massive with WikiLeaks being one of the first by releasing the rest of the Stratfor e-mails (over 500,000 documents) for which Mr. Hammond has been charged. Hacktivist groups Anonymous, LULZSEC, ANTISEC and others are promising operations and “payback” which will be massive according to members of Anonymous without giving details saying they had already arrived.

The Stratfor e-mails are damning because they show the almost seamless operations of a private corporate intelligence firm with real intelligence agencies and the government. One e-mail details how an Israeli Intelligence informant was targeted for information on Hugo Chavez.

“You have to take control of him. Control means financial, sexual or psychological control… This is intended to start our conversation on your next phase” Stratfor CEO George Friedman told Stratfor analyst Reva Bhalla on 6 December 2011. He was instructing her how to manipulate an Israeli intelligence informant who was passing Stratfor information on the medical condition of the President of Venezuela, Hugo Chavez.

The Stratfor e-mails contain private information about the US Government’s campaign against Julian Assange and WikiLeaks and even Stratfor’s own private and illegal attempts to subvert WikiLeaks. According to WikiLeaks more than 4,000 e-mails mention WikiLeaks or Julian Assange.
WikiLeaks calls the files “the Global Intelligence Files” which expose a global network of informants paid via Swiss banks accounts and pre-paid credit and include covert and overt informants including government employees, embassy staff and journalists around the world.

The Stratfor e-mails show how a private intelligence agency works, and how they target individuals for their corporate and government clients, including PETA and Bhopal activists such as the “Yes Men” for US Dow Chemical. The targets sought redress for the 1984 Dow Chemical/Union Carbide gas disaster in Bhopal, India which killed thousands and injured more than half a million.

The biggest and most damning fact against the government with regard to the Stratfor hack is that it was completely organized and carried out by the FBI using Hector Monsegur (Sabu) and the files were actually stored on FBI servers for two weeks before they were released to WikiLeaks. Meaning the entire release could have been stopped at anytime during the entire process.

Besides the above, the irregularities in the case are so many that it was believed by many that the case should have been thrown out or that Mr. Hammond would be released with time served. Now maybe Americans are beginning to understand that their government has been taken over completely by corporations and their system of justice has failed.

The first failure in the case against Hammond was that he was entrapped. In an any faire and just system police and law enforcement bodies cannot entrap people and convince them to do things that they would not do on their own. Nor would they use criminals to entrap innocent individuals or use their testimony to build cases against innocent individuals.

The FBI informant in this case, who entrapped Mr. Hammond, was an individual named Hector Xavier Monsegur, known by the hacker name SABU. Mr. Monsegur was arrested for hacking into computer systems and stealing credit card and other personal information to enrich himself and caused millions of dollars of harm. That is if we can believe anything the FBI reports. Mr. Monsegur’s crimes make Mr. Hammond’s pale in comparison. Hammond attempted to expose the illegality of a private corporation which performs intelligence functions out of the realm of government control, in many cases illegal monitoring for the government, that due to its private nature, it was able to get away with as it handed the date to the CIA and other agencies.

Hammond was aware that Stratfor was among its illegal activities: monitoring indigenous populations, human rights groups, political activists, protestors and any other “undesirables” for the US Government and just like Bradley Manning he attempted to expose criminality and the inappropriate and illegal relationship between a private, well-connected corporate power enriching itself off the government coffers while performing illegal activities for that very government.

There can be little question that the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York is one of the most questionable in the world and has handled cases that flaunt international law and norms while continually protecting monied and powerful interests in the United States and those of its “special” friends. It is also one of the most powerful in the United States and one that the record has shown rules as it wishes with little regard for the actual rule of law, public opinion and international and national outcry brought about by its actions.

The court has a history of brutal and questionable ruling and due to its protected nature has had little oversight from any of the bodies that are generally supposed to keep the system in check. The court in particular has handled many cases where the rights of the individual have been violated, proper procedures and rights have been trampled on or ignored and outright illegality by law enforcement and prosecuting bodies and individuals have been documented. However the court has a history of always fulfilling the will of the state and prosecutors no matter how egregious its rulings are or how badly the rights of individuals have been violated.

The court has handled many post 9-11 cases involving questionable charges against foreign and US nationals under the Patriot Act and other Draconian US legislation. Here it is important to note that the court has never heard a case or ruled against any entity with regard to the events of 9-11, despite statements by key figures in 9-11 regarding giving orders to “pull” and the total lack of security which allowed the buildings to be blown up as well as widespread evidence of a cover up and inside involvement. This includes allowing suspected Mossad agents and other suspected perpetrators to leave the country and escape prosecution.
The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York has prosecuted questionable international cases such as the Victor Bout case, where a Russian citizen was illegally kidnapped and taken to the United States as well as being entrapped for the crime of “possibly intending to help someone else commit a crime against the US”.

Other questionable cases include the kidnapping and illegal prosecution of another Russian as well, Constantin Yaroshenko, who was also entrapped by a questionable informant and illegally recorded. Him and Mr. Bout were pilots and there is evidence that they both had some inside knowledge through their professional activities regarding the events of 9-11.

This prosecution of someone with knowledge of 9-11 is completely true in the case of Susan Lindauer, who was a CIA asset and attempted to stop the invasion of Iraq. Her treatment by the court and Judge Preska in particular included Preska attempting to chemically lobotomize Lindauer and calling her mentally incompetent to stand trial. This was due to Lindauer’s inside knowledge of the events of 9-11 gained through her work as a peace negotiator between US targets Yemen, Iraq, Libya and Malaysia and her work with the CIA.

Other Preska prosecutions include that of Abduwali Muse a Somali pirate who was illegally renditioned to the United States and subject to a show trial and to whom she gave 33 years.

She also ruled in the case of Ahmed Khalaf Ghailiani one of those illegally held at Guantanamo in the first civilian case against an illegally held and tortured Guantanamo detainee.

Lastly and most importantly here is the fact that she ruled in the case of Hector Monsegur (Sabu), in effect letting his financial and other crimes be ignored in order to persecute a peace activist (Hammond).

The cases Preska has ruled over, the sentences she has handed down, the fact that she habitually refuses to take into account evidence that should either free or exonerate suspects and in the case of Hammond, the fact that she refused to recuse herself from the case, above all, when there was clearly a conflict of interests (her ruling over Sabu and her husband’s ties to Stratfor) paint a picture of a judge above the law, who is in fact a law unto herself and her interests, and one who operates with complete impunity as she has made herself not only above the law, but the only law.

Given her own track record for ignoring the law it was particularly painful to hear that she stated: “Hammond has shown a total lack of respect for the law,” and also, “...there is a desperate need to promote respect for the law,” she said, as well as a “need for adequate public deterrence.” Had her court any respect for the law they would have let Hammond go. He was entrapped. As for deterrence, yes, they are trying to terrorize anyone who would dare expose illegality, because that is what Hammond was guilty of.

Hammond was able to finally speak out and stated: “Those in power do not want the truth exposed. …the injustice I fought against cannot be cured by reform, but by civil disobedience and direct action. The acts of civil disobedience and direct action that I am being sentenced for today are in line with the principles of community and equality that have guided my life, I hacked into dozens of high profile corporations and government institutions, understanding very clearly that what I was doing was against the law. But I felt that I had an obligation to use my skills to expose and confront injustice and to bring the truth to light. I tried everything from voting, petitions, and peaceful protests to expose the truth. I believe sometimes laws must be broken to exact change.”

Hammond is clearly a prisoner of conscience, and as Martin Luther King, Jr. said, “An individual who breaks a law that conscience tells him is unjust, and who willingly accepts the penalty of imprisonment in order to arouse the conscience of the community over its injustice, is in reality expressing the highest respect for the law.”

As for the traitor Loretta Preska, the words of Julius Caesaris can best describe her, “If you must break the law, do it to seize power.”
John Glover Roberts, Jr. is a traitor.

Move over Benedict Arnold, the pages of history has revealed a new traitor – Chief Justice John Roberts of the United States Supreme Court.

When you consider back in 2012, Chief Justice John Roberts had the opportunity to rule the Obamacare law unconstitutional with the strike of his pen, that logic seemed a little naïve. And now it is clear he never intended to have the law struck down in that way.

Chief Justice Roberts offered no comment on it, he just said, “No. No, we’re not hearing that.”

But wait a minute. Chief Justice Roberts said, “It was a tax.” However, in accordance with the Constitution of the United States all taxes have to begin in the House, but Obamacare wasn’t started there. In other words, by changing it to a tax, all Chief Justice Roberts has done is just sharply defined that yes, we no longer care about the Constitution in Congress. We no longer care about it in the Administration. And the Supreme Court doesn’t care about the Constitution at all. That’s what he’s done. You might as well just put ‘F-you’ on a piece of paper and paste it to the Constitution… Because that’s all this traitor has done.

Additional Information

The bottom line is that Chief Justice Roberts’ vote has put almost 15 percent of the nation’s gross domestic product (GDP) under one of the world’s most bureaucratic, ineffective, incompetent and grossly expensive systems ever devised by man: our out of control federal government.

Chief Justice Roberts squandered the opportunity to restore judicial, financial and legislative sanity to a government that by any sane person’s standards is insane and addicted to centralized federal control of our lives.

Chief Justice Roberts’ opinion was that Obamacare was a tax, not a mandate under the Commerce Clause to purchase a product, and that Congress can levy any tax it wants.

As most may recall, the president and the government’s very own attorney who argued the case before the Supreme Court said that Obamacare was not a tax. Fascinating, Mr. Chief Justice, that you legislated from the bench that Obamacare is a tax.
Following the chief justice’s logic, our professional political punks in Washington can mandate any tax-penalty they choose. For example, if you don’t buy an Obama-approved green energy automobile, our federal government could tax us for refusing to do so. That’s the essence of this ruling.

Obamacare has now joined Social Security, Medicare and Medicaid as another unaffordable, unsustainable, runaway, unaccountable social program.

Our entitlement programs have bankrupted America. We have dug a financial crater so deep that many doubt we can ever climb out. With his vote, Chief Justice Roberts didn’t give government an even bigger shovel, he gave government an earth mover with which to dig bigger financial holes.

Quite possibly, with his vote, Chief Justice John Roberts has engineered the ultimate demise of this great experiment in self government.

UPDATE: June 26, 2015

Americans feel betrayed for the second time in three years, for Supreme Court Chief Justice John Roberts on Thursday (June 25, 2015) again unconstitutionally saved Obamacare. In retaliation, he’s been branded Enemy #1 by conservatives Americans, who have been calling him a traitor – or worse.

Roberts joined the 6-3 majority in deciding federal subsidies for Americans in 34 states that didn’t set up their own health care exchanges were legal, Business Insider reports. The digs started with conservative Justice Antonin Scalia, who said in his dissent that the law should now be called “SCOTUScare.”

Judge Andrew Napolitano, judicial analyst on Fox News, said Roberts undermined his credibility, reaching “bizarre and odd contortions in order to save this statute twice. The court is now in the business of saving a statute in order to save its reputation.”

Media Matters reports that Quinn Hilyer of the National Review called Roberts a “disgrace” in an attack on the justice after the decision was made public. In a brief post to The Corner, Hilyer called Roberts “results-oriented, “ruling on big cases based on what he thinks the policy result should be or what the political stakes are for the court itself.”

Put it all together and this is what we now have:

1. Words in laws passed by congress no longer have any meaning if the unelected SCOTUS deems them ambiguous.

2. The unelected SCOTUS has awarded itself new dictatorial powers. They have now given themselves authority to not only interpret law (their legitimate constitutional role) but also to “change law” and “make law” in outright violation of the very constitution they are sworn to and duty bound to uphold.

3. Laws passed by congress are now valid ONLY if the unelected SCOTUS agrees with them politically even if the law is otherwise constitutional.

4. When the written word no longer has meaning in law as the SCOTUS decision on Obama-care deems, then only the unelected SCOTUS can give meaning to law regardless of the laws constitutionality.

5. We no longer have a balance of power through three branches of government. Power is now limited to the “elected” Executive Branch and the “unelected” Judicial Branch. The largest and most representative “elected” branch – The Legislative Branch, has been rendered by the “unelected” SCOTUS to be little more than a powerless free speech body serving in a purely ceremonial rule. Worse, both Republican and Democrat law makers have surrendered their constitutional power without a fight and will take no actions to restore it. Why bother because any law they pass to restore their power will be overruled by the
“unelected” SCOTUS thus rendering the Legislative Branch forever more to being nothing more then a large collection of voices without power.

6. Of the two remaining branches of government with power, the most powerful of the two is the SCOTUS. This is because the Executive Branch can still be overruled by SCOTUS with no recourse because they can’t legislate, because they are elected and can still be ousted by the electorate, and because they have term limits. The SCOTUS on the other hand is “unelected” and has no term limits. In fact, the members of the SCOTUS have “lifetime” appointments. That, of course, gives the SCOTUS limitless, unbridled, and unchallengeable power.

The SCOTUS decision on Obama-care was not just an overreach or a simple judicial error. Rather, it was a political play and a usurpation of power by the SCOTUS that is monumental, historic, and calamitous to our Republic. It is an abuse of power of such magnitude that it has changed our Republic forever. Because of this decision we will never again have a balance of power in our nation.

Only two far reaching outcomes can result from this decision regardless of who is elected president or any legislation passed by congress to counter it. Evidence today’s legal precedent that is now firmly established.

Here are the only two long-term, and likely short term, outcomes of this far reaching impact of this SCOTUS decision which supersedes any healthcare:

A. Total submission and acceptance by the American people to this lifetime transformational and fundamental change to our constitution, the power of elected office, our constitution and the framework of our Republic

OR-

B. Rebellion followed by full scale revolution- likely violent.

Put it all together, and Chief Justice John Roberts is truly one of Americas biggest traitors. As Dan Gainor of the Media Research Center went on Twitter and said, “Roberts is a scumbag, and he cares more about what journalists and historians write about him than the actual frikkin’ law.”

Additional Information

Not many have the courage and balls to say what many smart people are thinking. “Was Justice Roberts BLACKMAILED?”

It’s time to start asking the question. It’s time to be cynical. It’s time to assume the worst of this government.

Many Americans would put nothing by the Obama administration that lives and rules by the Chicago thug playbook.

Ask yourself this if you doubt it? On the same day that Justice Roberts and the Supremes upheld Obamacare – again – the key IRS watchdog reported to Congress that the IRS purposely destroyed evidence of another crime.
Reggie Barnett Walton is a traitor.

Reggie Barnett Walton is a federal judge on the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. He is the former presiding judge of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court.

The Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC) was established under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) of 1978.

According to the Federal Judicial Center website, FISA “authorized the Chief Justice of the United States to designate seven federal district court judges to review applications for warrants related to national security investigations.” In 2001 the Patriot Act expanded the court to eleven. At least three of the judges must live within 20 miles of the District of Columbia. Further, the judges have terms of up to seven years, and review warrants.

The Electronic Privacy Information Center also notes that the FISC can “hear applications for and grant orders approving electronic surveillance” and “physical searches” for the “purpose of obtaining foreign intelligence information” on foreign citizens in the U.S.

In May 2007, Chief Justice John Roberts tapped Judge Reggie B. Walton for the FISC, and made him presiding judge of this secret court. For the following seven years until his term ended in 2014, Judge Reggie B. Walton has greatly expanded the ability of the federal government to unlawfully spy on U.S. citizens domestically and overseas.

At an FISC hearing, the government is not required to show evidence of criminal activity or of probable cause justifying a search warrant for foreign targets. Rather, the feds only need show the target of the spying is a foreign power or its agent. In the case of an American citizen or resident alien, the Attorney general would certify that the target may be involved in the commission of the crime. And because everything is in secret, the target of the surveillance does not have the opportunity to appear before the hearing or even know it is being targeted.

The court, which approves government spying programs, approved the surveillance operations revealed by Edward Snowden, the former contractor for the National Security Agency. Snowden leaked the NSA programs that have collected massive amounts of data on Americans’ telephone calls and internet activity. The American Civil Liberties Union has asked the court to release its opinions on the phone surveillance program, a request President Obama wants FISC to reject.

Since that time it was learned the NSA even snooped on the European Union.

As for the traitor Judge Reggie B. Walton, due to the secrecy of the FISC, we cannot possibly know the full extent of his work on the court. But at the very least, it is known Reggie Barnett Walton is a traitor for taking on the unconstitutional role of Big Brother.
On October 30, 2014, federal judge Reggie B. Walton of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia entered an order dismissing a lawsuit filed by True the Vote, a Houston, Texas-based non-profit organization focused on “voters’ rights and election integrity” against the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). The order alleged that the IRS had improperly delayed granting their application for 501(c)(3) status and targeted them as a conservative organization.

One of the main issues argued in True the Vote’s lawsuit was that the IRS had improperly delayed granting their 501(c)(3) application, which is the section of the IRS code conferring tax-exempt status on qualifying non-profit organizations. True the Vote filed their application during the summer of 2010, and pursuant to the IRS’s own rules, the agency had a duty to send a response within 270 days. That deadline was not met. Instead, years went by, while True the Vote, founder Catherine Engelbrecht, and King Street Patriots, another tea party group with which Engelbrecht was affiliated, all found themselves subject to invasive requests for records and information from not only the IRS, but also the FBI, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosive and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

In May 2013, news broke that the IRS admitted targeting conservative organizations applying for 501(c)(3) status with “special scrutiny,” and True the Vote filed their lawsuit later that month. They were getting questions about a lot of things other than tax returns. Describing the “strange questions” asked by IRS agents that seemed far beyond the scope of what they had expected, including number of Facebook “likes,” membership lists, and content of internal organizational communications.

The IRS finally granted True the Vote’s 501(c)(3) status in September 2013. Almost a year later, in July 2014, while waiting for the judge to issue a ruling on the parties’ initial briefs, more news broke: that emails from Lois Lerner’s computer had been “lost” in a computer crash. True the Vote filed a new motion seeking to begin discovery, arguing that the news meant that there was a real risk that relevant evidence could be lost or destroyed. The Judge Reggie B. Walton denied that motion and then took no further substantive action until his ruling in October 2014, which dismissed the entire case.

Judge Walton’s opinion stated that because the IRS had finally granted True the Vote their 501(c)(3) status, the case “no longer warranted the Court’s attention and further use of its resources,” and deemed True the Vote’s lawsuit to now be moot. However, True the Vote had argued specific costs that the IRS’ delay had caused them, including fees for attorneys and CPAs, as well as fundraising losses. A number of other non-profit organizations and other donors had either pledged or donated money to True the Vote with the understanding that the group would have official 501(c)(3) status soon. Some of these groups even had requirements in their organizational documents that they could only give money to other approved 501(c)(3) organizations. The IRS’ years-long delay acted as a “functional denial of our application” and True the Vote was forced to return some donations, and other pledges were revoked. The total costs to True the Vote caused by the IRS’ delay to be nearly $90,000.

In short, Judge Reggie B. Walton acknowledges in his opinion that the IRS did in fact target True the Vote for their perceived political beliefs, but then he holds that neither the agency nor the individual IRS agents or officers are responsible for this unconstitutional conduct.

The notion that the IRS can target Americans for years because of their political beliefs is reprehensible. Every patriotic American should be concerned when courts condone abusive and arbitrary administration in any government agency. This would include the court run by this treasonous judge named, Reggie Barnett Walton.
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Annise Danette Parker is a traitor.

Annise Danette Parker is an avower lesbian and mayor of Houston, Texas.

In May 2014, Mayor Annise Parker rammed through the city council a controversial transgender rights measure called the Houston Equal Rights Ordinance (HERO), otherwise known as the “bathroom bill.” It would allow men who self identify as females to use the women’s bathroom and vice versa. Obviously, the ordinance was controversial and five local pastors mounted a successful campaign to gather 50,000 signatures to oppose the measure. This was more than three times the number of signatures necessary to force a voter referendum on the ordinance.

Despite the overwhelming number of signatures gathered, the Houston city attorney dismissed the petitions claiming that there were “irregularities.” Thereafter, a lawsuit was filed by four citizens outraged at such disregard for public sentiment. A court date has been set for January 19, 2015 to decide this matter.

In the meantime, an upset Mayor Annise Parker decided to make these pastors pay for their obstinacy. Her attorneys sent them a subpoena demanding that they turn over any sermons or communications that discussed Mayor Parker, homosexuality, gender identity or related issues.

Such a legal demand is mind boggling, as it clearly disregards the 1st Amendment rights of free speech and places the pulpit in political crosshairs. Politicians have no business intimidating pastors who preach the Word of God. The pastoral message is for the church congregants, not the Mayor of Houston.

After intense nationwide criticism this week, Mayor Annise Parker reduced the scope of the subpoenas to just communications related to the “bathroom bill.” Yet, no subpoena should be recognized as Mayor Annise Parker has no legal authority to mount such a campaign.

Joe La Rue of the Alliance Defending Freedom called the Mayor’s move to limit the subpoena “wholly inadequate.” He noted that the Mayor is still demanding pastoral documents and sermons. La Rue’s group filed a lawsuit in to invalidate the subpoenas since they constitute political “harassment.”

This outrageous episode exposes how liberals like Annise Parker love to use the “separation of church and state” excuse to remove religion from public schools, but ignore the “wall” when they are on a political witch hunt designed to silence religious leaders.

Mayor Annise Parker along with her fellow liberals have a disdain for free speech. Contrary views opposed to issues such as transgender rights are not allowed in this liberal mindset, they should be outlawed. This viewpoint is appropriate for communist Cuba or the old Soviet Union, but not in the United States of America, the “Land of the Free.”
Sadly, political correctness is sweeping the nation from the removal of certain parts of our vocabulary to the desire to institute transgender rights, no matter how many pastors oppose it.

The passage of HERO and the misguided subpoenas indicate the Mayor Annise Parker of Houston has no regard for religious liberty. According to U.S. Senator Ted Cruz (R-TX) the Mayor has “no business asking pastors to turn over their sermons.” He called the subpoenas “un-American” and a “grotesque abuse of power.”

One of the targeted pastors, Hernan Castano, complained that “This is not what America, the nation, is about.” He said the subpoenas amounted to intimidation and that Annise Parker the city mayor has “gone too far.”

Pastor Castano speaks for millions of Americans, who are sick and tired of liberals with their political correctness running amok. Especially the ones like Mayor Annise Parker that are traitors in deliberately refusing to not uphold their oath to protect and defend the Constitution of the United States.

Updated Information – Oct. 17, 2014

On Oct. 17, 2014 – Houston’s mayor Annise Danette Parker said the city withdrawn subpoenas seeking speeches and other information from five pastors who publicly opposed an ordinance banning discrimination of gay and transgender residents. It was done in coordination with the City attorneys that originally subpoenaed the pastors, seeking speeches, presentations and sermons.

Updated Information – January 9, 2015

Houston’s Lesbian Mayor Annise Parker is at it again after standing down on subpoenaing pastor’s sermons. We had not heard from her for a few months after she got blasted by pastors and the public following the City Council’s attempts to push through an unlawful “bathroom bill” and the city attorney’s attempts to manipulate signatures in a petition against their illegal actions. However, that has changed. Now she is claiming that the pastors have no right to a jury trial and is calling for a “special master” to investigate.

Though the city withdrew its subpoenas of the pastor’s sermons, something that the pastors called a “head fake,” it has now begun to submit several motions to the court. Among those motions is a request that the state district court not allow the pastors a jury decision and leave the final say in the hands of a single judge.

The city claims that since the pastors want an “election,” it is, therefore, an “election dispute,” and the state does not grant the right to a jury trial for election disputes.

The plaintiffs pointed out they submitted a timely demand for a jury trial and paid the fee, and therefore are entitled to a jury decision under the Texas Constitution.

“The election contest is a contest of an election which has already taken place. No election has occurred in this case. Calling plaintiffs’ lawsuit an ‘election contest’ does not make it an election contest,” they argued. “An election contest has a very precise and narrow definition in the Texas Election Code, and, as one might anticipate, requires that an actual election has transpired.”

They continued: “If the plaintiffs prevail on their claims, then not only is the mayor’s so-called Equal Rights Ordinance temporarily suspended, but the Houston City Council will be required to immediately convene and reconsider whether to repeal the ERO in its entirety. Council’s failure to do so then triggers a duty on behalf of the city to order an election and allow the registered voters of Houston [to] vote on whether the ERO should be repealed or not.”
The city also told the court it wanted a ruling that a special master would be appointed to hear evidence, keeping it out of the hands of a jury or a judge.

“Given the complex and technical nature of the issues presented in this lawsuit, and the difficulty of applying the various legal requirements to plaintiffs deficient but voluminous referendum petition, defendants ask this court to appoint a special master.”

Alliance Defending Freedom has been representing the pastors in the case and will continue to do so. ADF attorney Andy Taylor said of the city and that mayor that they “ought to be ashamed of themselves.”

“Our clients have a constitutional right of free speech, of freedom of religion, freedom to petition government for redress,” he said. “It’s absolutely unbelievable that the mayor in her zeal to defend a ‘gay-lesbian’ ordinance would trample the voting rights of over a million people in Houston.”

And citizens have the right to a trial by a jury of their peers as the Sixth Amendment guarantees. In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defense.

The motion by the city and the mayor should be dead on arrival.

Timothy Mark “Tim” Burgess is a traitor.

Timothy Mark “Tim” Burgess is currently a judge on the United States District Court for the District of Alaska.

On October 12, 2014 – U.S. District Judge Timothy Burgess, a George W. Bush appointee, declared Alaska’s constitutional marriage amendment unconstitutional. Alaska was the first state to pass a constitutional marriage amendment in 1998 and it was passed with the support of 68% of Alaska voters.

Judge Burgess’s reasoning for overturning the will of the people of Alaska is telling:
“Refusing the rights and responsibilities afforded by legal marriage sends the public a government-sponsored message that same-sex couples and their familial relationships do not warrant the status, benefits and dignity given to couples of the opposite sex.”

Apparently there is nothing wrong in Judge Burgess’s mind in “refusing the rights and responsibilities afforded” to the people of Alaska to decide issues such as these for themselves. We have to wonder if Burgess has ever read the 10th Amendment. The Constitution does not say anything about marriage (and the 14th Amendment doesn’t say anything about it either). This is clearly an example of judicial tyranny, for this is a State issue and no federal judge has the right to declare it unconstitutional.

In other words, this is an act of treason, for Burgess as an unelected appointed judge has deliberately refused to understand the fundamental rights of the people are unalienable and can neither be given nor taken away from anyone without due process of law.

REGINA “GINA” MCCAVERY

Regina “Gina” McCarthy is a traitor.

Regina “Gina” McCarthy is the administrator for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and she is killing the provision of electricity to the nation and, at the same time, is taking control of every drop of water in the United States, as an attack on its agricultural sector.

Like the rest of the Obama administration, Regina “Gina” McCarthy has no regard for real science and continues to reinterpret the Clean Air and Clean Water Acts. Overall, this agenda threatens every aspect of life in the nation.

As Craig Rucker, the Executive Director of the Committee for a Constructive Tomorrow (CFACT) recently warned, “True to her word,” EPA Administrator Gina McCarthy, “is busily grabbing powers for EPA that Congress specifically chose not to grant, and that the Supreme Court has denied on multiple occasions.”

“The federal bureaucracy under the Obama presidency has a voracious appetite for more power. It despises individual liberty and drags down the economy every change it gets,” Rucker warns.

In addition to implementing President Obama’s “war on coal” that is depriving the nation of coal-fired plants that provide electricity, EPA Administrator Gina McCarthy has announced a proposed rule titled “Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’ Under the Clean Water Act”, redefining, as Ron Arnold of the Center for the Defense of Free Enterprise reported in the Washington Examiner “nearly everything wet as ‘waters of the United States or WOTUS’—and potentially subject us all to permits and fines.”
Gina McCarthy has made it clear that the rule of law has no importance to her and this is manifestly demonstrated by the actions of the EPA. “This abomination,” says Arnold, “is equivalent to invasion by hostile troops out to seize the jurisdictions of all 50 states. WOTUS gives untrustworthy federal bureaucrats custody of every watershed, creates crushing new power to coerce all who keep America going and offers no benefit to the victimized and demoralized tax-paying public.”

In response to the EPA’s new power grab, more than 200 House members called on the Obama administration in May to drop its plans to expanded the EPA’s jurisdiction over smaller bodies of water around the nation. A letter was sent to EPA Administrator McCarthy and Department of Army Secretary John M. McHugh (re: Army Corps of Engineers) asking that the proposal be withdrawn.

“Under this plan, there’d be no body of water in America—including mud puddles and canals—that wouldn’t be at risk from job-destroying federal regulation,” said Rep, Doc Hastings (R-Wash), chairman of the House Natural Resources Committee. “This dramatic expansion of federal government control will directly impact the livelihoods and viability of farmers and small businesses in rural America.”

Nearly thirty major trade associations have joined together to create the Waters Advocacy Coalition. They represent the nation’s construction, manufacturing, housing, real estate, mining, agricultural and energy sectors. The coalition supports S. 2245, “Preserve the Waters of the U.S. Act” which would prevent the EPA and Corps of Engineers from issuing their “Final Guidance on Identifying Waters Protected by the Clean Water Act.”

What has this nation come to if the Senate has to try to pass an act intended to prevent the EPA from extending control over the nation’s waters beyond the Clean Waters Act that identifies such control as limited to “navigable waters”? You can’t navigate a water ditch or a puddle!

There are acts that limit agencies such as the EPA from going beyond their designated powers. They are the Regulatory Flexibility Act and the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. The coalition says that the EPA and Corps “should not be allowed to use guidance to implement the largest expansion of Clean Water Act authority since it was enacted. Only Congress has the authority to make such a sweeping change.”

In two Supreme Court decisions, one in 2001 and another in 2006, rejected regulation of “isolated waters” by the EPA.

It does not matter to the EPA or the Obama administration what the Supreme Court has ruled Congress has enacted in the Clean Water Act, nor the Clean Air Act.

We are witnessing the EPA under the administration of Gina McCarthy acting as a criminal enterprise and it must be stopped before it imposes so much damage on the nation that it destroys it.

---

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: April 24, 2014**

Environmental Protection Agency administrator Gina McCarthy has issued a warning to Republicans who continue to question the integrity of the agency’s scientific data: we’re coming for you.

McCarthy told an audience at the National Academy of Sciences on Monday morning the agency will go after a “small but vocal group of critics” arguing the EPA is using “secret science” to push costly clean air regulations.

“Those critics conjure up claims of ‘EPA secret science’— but it’s not really about EPA science or secrets. It’s about challenging the credibility of world renowned scientists and institutions like Harvard University and the American Cancer Society,” McCarthy said, according to Politico.

“It’s about claiming that research is secret if researchers protect confidential personal health data from those who are not qualified to analyze it — and won’t agree to protect it,” she added. “If EPA is being accused of ‘secret science’ because we rely
on real scientists to conduct research, and independent scientists to peer review it, and scientists who’ve spent a lifetime studying the science to reproduce it — then so be it.”

Republicans Sen. David Vitter of Louisiana and Rep. Lamar Smith of Texas have led the charge on pressing the EPA to make publicly available the scientific data behind its clean air regulations. McCarthy promised she would make such data publicly available during her confirmation process last year. Now her refusal to cough up the data has angered Republicans.

“EPA’s leadership is willfully ignoring the big picture and defending EPA’s practices of using science that is, in fact, secret due to the refusal of the Agency to share the underlying data with Congress and the American public,” said Vitter.

“We’re not asking, and we’ve never asked, for personal health information, and it is inexcusable for EPA to justify billions of dollars of economically significant regulations on science that is kept hidden from independent reanalysis and congressional oversight.” Vitter added.

The EPA has used non-public data to justify 85 percent of $2 trillion worth of Clean Air Act regulation benefits from 1990 to 2020. The agency also uses such datasets to assert that Clean Air Act regulation benefits exceed the costs by a 30-to-1 ratio originates from the secret data sets.

House Republicans have backed a bill that would block the EPA from crafting regulations based on “secret” data. Republicans argue that such data was used to craft onerous regulations, like one promulgated in late 2012 to reduce soot levels.

That soot rule is supposed to yield from $4 billion to $9 billion per year, according to the EPA, and costs from $53 million and $350 million.

“For far too long, the EPA has approved regulations that have placed a crippling financial burden on economic growth in this country with no public evidence to justify their actions,” said Arizona Republican Rep. David Schweikert, who introduced the bill.

“Virtually every regulation proposed by the Obama administration has been justified by nontransparent data and unverifiable claims,” said Smith, who cosponsored the bill. “The American people foot the bill for EPA’s costly regulations, and they have a right to see the underlying science. Costly environmental regulations should be based on publicly available data so that independent scientists can verify the EPA’s claims.”

Clarence Saxby Chambliss is a traitor.

Clarence Saxby Chambliss is a traitor.
Senator Saxby Chambliss (R-GA):

Sen. Saxby Chambliss makes the “Ten Worst” list for what he actually did in 2012, but which was finally exposed in 2013. Just as with House Speaker Boehner, Chambliss’s misdeeds were revealed in Peter Schweizer’s book *Extortion: How Politicians Extract Your Money, Buy Votes, and Line Their Own Pockets*. In fact, Chambliss is highlighted as one of the key abusers who used leadership PAC loopholes to convert campaign cash into lavish lifestyle upgrades for themselves and their family members.

As the *New York Times* reported:
The book details the extravagant expenses of Senator Saxby Chambliss, Republican of Georgia, for instance, whose leadership PAC spent $10,000 on golf at Pebble Beach, nearly $27,000 at Ruth’s Chris Steakhouse, and $107,752 at the exclusive Breakers resort in Palm Beach, Fla. The amount Mr. Chambliss spent at the Breakers in the 2012 election cycle, the book reports, is three times what the senator gave to the National Republican Senatorial Committee during the same period.

When Chambliss’s campaign was asked about the flagrantly lavish spending, they responded that all spending was reported according to the law. Though it may be legal, it is a clear abuse. And one has to wonder if the hardworking Georgians who sacrificed their scarce funds to support Chambliss’ re-election would be comfortable knowing their campaign contributions were used to support the “lifestyles of the rich and famous.”
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**JOHN OWEN BRENNAN**

*Posted March 14, 2014 on Patriot or Traitor*

John Owen Brennan is a traitor.

CIA Director John Brennan:

In mid-December 2013, Judicial Watch obtained and released the full transcript of a May 7, 2012, teleconference between then-White House top counterterror adviser (now CIA Director) John Brennan and various TV terrorism consultants in which Brennen revealed that the U.S. and its allies had “inside control over any plot” in its efforts to thwart a May 2012 terrorism bomb plot, thus blowing the cover on undercover agents within al Qaeda.

The Brennan revelation of “inside control” – an intelligence community euphemism for spies within an enemy operation – reportedly helped lead to the disclosure of a previously well-kept secret at the heart of a joint U.S.-British-Saudi undercover terrorism operation inside Yemen-based al Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula (AQAP). According to to a *Reuters* May 18, 2012, report:

The next day’s headlines were filled with news of a U.S. spy planted inside Yemen-based Al Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula (AQAP), who had acquired the latest, non-metallic model of the underwear bomb and handed it over to U.S. authorities.
At stake was an operation that could not have been more sensitive — the successful penetration by Western spies of AQAP, al Qaeda’s most creative and lethal affiliate. As a result of leaks, the undercover operation had to be shut down.

In the transcript obtained by Judicial Watch, Brennan led the teleconference where he addressed the top terror consultants for ABC, NBC, CNN, and CBS including Caitlin Hayden, Frances Townsend, Richard Clarke, Roger Cressey, and Juan Zarate. In an apparent attempt to soft-peddle the thwarted terrorist attack, Brennan twice exposed the covert operation; first at the outset of the call, then as the conference drew to a close:

BRENNAN: The device itself, as I think the FBI statement said quite clearly, never posed a threat to the American public or the public … Well, as we, well know, Al Qaeda has tried to carry out simultaneous types of attacks, and so we were confident that we had inside control over the – any plot that might have been associated with this device.

CLARKE: If it gets asked. There was no active threat because we had insider control.

BRENNAN: I would not disagree with the way you put that, at all.

It should also be noted that records obtained by Judicial Watch in May 2012, through a Freedom of Information lawsuit, indicate that Brennan helped orchestrate the administration’s attempt to influence the storyline of the movie “Zero Dark Thirty.” A transcript of a July 14, 2011, meeting between Defense Department officials, including Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Michael Vickers, and filmmakers Kathryn Bigelow and Mark Boal reveals that Boal met directly with White House officials on at least two occasions regarding the film: “I took your guidance and spoke to the WH and had a good meeting with Brennan and McDonough and I plan to follow up with them; and they were forward leaning and interested in sharing their point of view; command and control; so that was great, thank you,” Boal said according to the transcript. During Brennan’s February 2013 CIA confirmation hearings, he confirmed he had met with Boal “on how White House officials viewed the opportunities and risks associated with a film about the raid that killed bin Laden.”

Brennan, of course, was not the only Obama administration official who attempted to curry favor with “Zero Dark Thirty” filmmakers. In early December Judicial Watch released more than 200 pages of documents from the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), including a previously unreleased CIA internal report, confirming that former CIA Director Leon Panetta revealed classified information at a June 24, 2011, bin Laden assault awards ceremony attended by filmmaker Mark Boal. Significantly, the entire transcript of the Panetta speech provided to Judicial Watch by the CIA was classified “Top Secret.” More than 90 lines are redacted for security reasons, further confirming that significant portions of the speech should not have been made in front of the filmmaker who lacked top security clearance.

John Andrew Boehner is a traitor.

John Andrew Boehner is a traitor.
House Speaker John Boehner has apparently become a master at what Government Accountability Institute President Peter Schweizer calls the “Tollbooth Strategy.” As Schweizer explains in his new book, Extortion: How Politicians Extract Your Money, Buy Votes, and Line Their Own Pockets: “You pay money at a tollbooth in order to use a road or bridge. The methodology in Washington is similar: if someone wants a bill passed, charge them money to allow the bill to move down the legislative highway.” According to Schweizer, Boehner apparently used the Tollbooth Strategy to collect more than $200,000 in political donations from executives just days before holding votes on bills critically important to their industries.

The first bill was the Wireless Tax Fairness Act. Strongly supported by big phone companies like AT&T and Verizon, it sailed through the House Judiciary Committee, and was expected to immediately come to the floor for a full House vote. Instead of scheduling the bill for a vote, however, Boehner allowed it to languish on the calendar for the next three months. What finally prompted Boehner to bring the bill to a vote? As Schweizer explains it: “The day before the vote, Boehner’s campaign collected the toll: thirty-three checks from wireless industry executives, totaling almost $40,000.”

According to Schweizer, two more bills on which Boehner employed the Tollbooth Strategy were the Access to Capital for Job Creators Act and the Small Company Capital Formation Act. Brokers and venture capitalists and investment firms strongly supported the proposed law. Explains Schweizer in Extortion: “The Speaker of the House took in $91,000 in the forty-eight hours of October 30 and 31 from investment banks and private equity firms, two days before the vote. During the same time period, he took in $46,500 from self-described ‘investors’ and another $32,450 from bank holding companies. With the tolls paid, the votes took place on the full House floor. Both passed easily.”

UPDATE: December 10, 2014

There is a truly treasonous form of evil afloat in the Republican controlled House of Representatives, and his name is John Boehner.

We can’t express strongly enough how evil Boehner and his co-conspirators are. The American people spoke LOUDLY in November. They gave Republicans control of the Senate, and an even larger control of the House, with the specific mission of stopping Obama dead in his tracks. Stopping amnesty and killing ObamaCare, once and for all, were the two big issues that saw the GOP take historic wins. The message couldn’t have been clearer.

However, Speaker of the House John Boehner almost immediately signaled he would not fight Obama in any way. This includes Boehner and his cronies wanting to give amnesty to illegal aliens. ALL illegal aliens. Any tough talk coming out of the mouths of these treasonous “progressive” Republican bastards was just theater to fool those who don’t really pay attention. Typically, Boehner and his cohorts would rather fight Conservatives, who wish to save America, rather than Obama and his democrats, who are hell-bent on destroying it.

A prime example of this is, Boehner’s henchmen took a piece of legislation [H.R. 5759] written by Congressman Ted Yoho [R-FL] co-sponsored by Gohmert, and completely changed the wording and intent of the bill in Executive Committee. Boehner actually took a very short and concise piece of legislation that reminded President Obama what he was doing was illegal, and actually changed the wording in a manner that would STRENGTHEN Obama’s position on amnesty, and other unconstitutional power grabs by the president.

Reading how this was done reminds us that liberals [no matter what political party they call home] are pure evil and will do anything and everything to further their agenda. Nothing is out of bounds to these rat-bastards, including lying to members of their own party! The Yoho bill is something Boehner intends to use as the centerpiece of his entire Continuing Resolution that will fund Obama’s agenda, including amnesty, through March of 2015.

At what point does the nation as a whole wake up and say enough is enough? Yes, America is a divided nation. Divided between those brainwashed by the educational system into believing that America is a Marxist Socialist nation and those who have read the United States Constitution and actually understand what that Constitution means.
As Americans we must stand up and demand that this injustice, this act of treason against the United States and her people, be dealt with. Boehner and his cohorts need to be removed from their positions of power. They are evil and corrupt, and at the very least, the entire House Republican leadership needs to be thrown out of power.

John Boehner couldn’t possible care less what the United States Constitution actually says, his only concern is how much money and power he can gain by exploiting the stupidity and ignorance of the American people. Yes, John Boehner is exactly the same as his Democrat counterparts. He has every intention of lying to, deceiving and whatever else is required to ensure he gets his at your expense.

UPDATE: September 26, 2015

Speaker John Boehner will leave Congress, including his speakership, at the end of October.

The New York Times:

*Speaker John A. Boehner, under intense pressure from conservatives in his party, will resign one of the most powerful positions in government and give up his House seat at the end of October, throwing Congress into chaos as it tries to avert a government shutdown.*

*Boehner made the announcement in an emotional meeting with his fellow Republicans on Friday (September 25, 2015) morning.*

Boehner expected to face a challenge to his leadership and may have calculated that he would lose. Rather than face that humiliation, he can gain respect as a unifier protecting party harmony, while lining up lobbying work that will make him very, very rich.

His seat in the Cincinnati area is a safe GOP seat.

The angry GOP base can scratch a notch on its barrel for removing this traitor.
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Mary Margaret McKeown is a traitor.

Mary Margaret McKeown is a traitor that is ripping the heart of our Republic apart.

McKeown, has served as a federal judge on the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit since her confirmation in 1998, and is based in San Diego, California.

After 246 Years, McKeown’s treasonous Court ruling legalizes spying on Americans by the federal government. In other words, the United States federal government can spy on the communications of Americans without warrants and without fear of being sued, thanks to McKeown’s federal appeals court ruling. The decision reversed the one and only case that ever successfully challenged former President George W. Bush’s Terrorist Surveillance Program.

This case effectively brings to an end the plaintiffs’ ongoing attempts to hold the executive branch responsible for intercepting telephone conversations without judicial authorization.

As illegal as it was, it evaded accountability, and the case was the only chance to litigate and hold anybody accountable for the warrantless wiretapping program.

But the appeals court, based in San Francisco, ruled that when Congress wrote the law regulating eavesdropping on Americans, it didn’t waive sovereign immunity, even in the section supposedly protecting Americans from warrantless searches.

That means that Americans cannot sue their own government for illegally spying on them, even if their Constitutional rights have been violated by the U.S. breaking its own laws on wiretapping.

According to the ruling, Americans who have been spied upon by their government can bring a suit for damages against the U.S. for use of the illegally collected information, but cannot sue the government for collecting the information itself, according to the majority opinion penned by Judge M. Margaret McKeown, joined by Judge Michael Daly Hawkins and Judge Harry Pregerson (traitors to the republic, all three of them).

“Although such a structure may seem anomalous and even unfair, the policy judgment is one for Congress, not the courts,” they spinelessly buck-passed.

In 2008, five years after the illegal wiretapping involved in this case, Congress authorized Bush’s domestic spying program. The New York Times had exposed the program in December 2005, revealing that the U.S. government’s National Security Agency eavesdropped on Americans’ phone calls without warrants.
The only other major case challenging the government’s domestic spying program was brought by the Electronic Frontier Foundation, alleging wholesale, warrantless spying by the feds on American citizens’ communications. It was sent back to a district court after it survived an appeals court ruling in December.

God help us all, for McKeown has been mentioned by some as a possible future United States Supreme Court nominee.

William H. Pauley III

William H. Pauley III is a traitorous and corrupt U.S. District Judge that is obviously in the back pocket of the Obama administration.

U.S. District Judge William H. Pauley III ruled that the National Security Agency’s bulk collection of American phone data is lawful resulting in the dismissal of a complaint originally filed by the American Civil Liberties Union. The decision was made by Judge William H. Pauley III shortly after U.S. District Judge Richard Leon in Washington ruled that the NSA program “almost certainly” violated the Fourth Amendment of the Constitution against unreasonable searches. Judge Leon actually didn’t go far enough because it “most certainly” violates the Fourth Amendment. This new ruling by Judge Pauley proves without question that he is a traitor.

Here is the text of the Fourth Amendment in its entirety:

“The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.”

A first grader could understand how clear this is. Based upon the language in the Fourth Amendment, it is unreasonable for the NSA to be collecting phone records from the American people because they have no probable cause supported by any Oath or affirmation for such a broad collection of information. It is the very definition of an unreasonable search and seizure.

In his 50+ page opinion, Judge William H. Pauley III stated the following:

“There is no evidence that the Government has used any of the bulk telephony metadata it collected for any purpose other than investigating and disrupting terrorist attacks.”

This statement proves that this man has his head jammed directly up his ass. The Electronic Frontier Foundation has put together a timeline summary outlining NSA domestic spying in which there is clear evidence that much of their data collection programs have nothing to do with investigating or disrupting terrorist attacks. It is obvious that the NSA is simply collecting as
much data as they can regardless of if it has anything to do with terrorism. If the NSA was truly investigating legitimate terrorist threats, the collection of information would be specifically targeted based off of a warrant obtained through lawful methods. By not targeting their data collection they are actually making their job more difficult because they have to sift through an overabundance of information to find the so-called terrorists. This just proves that the true purpose of these data collection programs including the collection of telephony metadata is to create a Big Brother system of global surveillance. Terrorism is just an excuse used to justify the illegality of the program.

But even this is assuming that the problem of terrorism is real when it is obviously not. The NSA initially claimed that they had stopped over 50 terrorist attacks through their surveillance programs but upon further investigation this number has been reduced to zero. Even Obama couldn’t point to a single instance where the mass collection of phone records has stopped a single terrorist attack. A lot of this has to do with the fact that the problem of international terrorism is a myth and vastly overhyped to facilitate an agenda to centralize power. Major terrorist events like the 9/11 attacks and others have been pulled off by Western intelligence agencies in order to justify wars in foreign lands. The same goes for domestic terrorism here in the United States where the FBI for years has resorted to manufacturing plots and entrapping useful idiots in order to claim that they are stopping terrorism. All this basically means that outside of government entities the NSA has no real terrorists to investigate or terrorist attacks to disrupt hence there is no need for these programs. If anybody they should be collecting data on Western intelligence organizations and government agencies like the FBI because these groups have proven time and time again that they are the only ones involved in major terrorist plots. With all of this said, it should be painfully obvious how absurd and ridiculous this ruling is.

To conclude, U.S. District Judge William H. Pauley III is not fit to be in any position of power after making this ruling. If this world was sane he would be removed from the bench but considering how corrupt the federal government is he’ll probably be rewarded for his criminality.

---

VALERIE BOWMAN JARRETT

Valerie Bowman Jarrett is a traitor. Valerie Bowman Jarrett is not only a traitor, but is an Iranian-born Communist sympathizer. She is the Senior White House Advisor to Barack Obama, and he doesn’t make a move or a statement without consulting Valerie Jarrett first. Valerie was brought into the Obama White House, because both Barack and Michelle owe her, “big time”. Make no mistake about Jarrett’s power over Obama and the rest of the cabinet. Valerie is an A-1 Communist Socialist Progressive, and she isn’t afraid to admit it. Her goals are every bit as lofty as Obama’s goals. However, it is Valerie Jarrett’s evil and diabolical mind that sets the stage, makes the plans and her orders are carried out to the “T”.

To start with, Valerie Jarrett issued prior to the general election a clear threat against the rank and file members of the American public who do not support President Obama. Jarrett said, “After we win this election, it is payback time. For those who supported us, there will be rewards, for the ones who opposed us, they will get what they deserve. There will be hell to pay. Congress won’t be a problem for us this time. With no election to worry about, we have two judges ready to go.”
Like so many Obama appointees, Valerie Jarrett bears the unmistakable imprint of the president’s ideology. She is a leftist to her core, with notable personal ties to the communist movement. Jarrett’s maternal grandfather, for instance, was a Chicagoan named Robert Taylor, who in the 1940s was involved with such communist fronts as the American Peace Mobilization and the Chicago Civil Liberties Committee. Also a member of these groups was Frank Marshall Davis, the communist journalist who in the 1970s would mentor a young Barack Obama.

Furthermore, Valerie Jarrett comes from a family which intermarried with the Bill Ayers family. Yes, that would be the Weathermen Underground terrorist leader, Bill Ayers. That would be the same Bill Ayers who told FBI informant, Larry Grathwohl, “that when we take over the United States, and that we will forcibly detain 50 million Americans in re-education camps in which they will probably have to murder 25 million American citizens who cannot be re-educated.”

Jarrett’s mother (and Robert Taylor’s daughter) is early-childhood-education author Barbara Taylor Bowman, who co-founded a Chicago-based graduate school in child development known as the Erikson Institute, named after the psychoanalyst Erik Erikson; in 1950 Erikson became a hero to the left by choosing to resign from his professorship at the University of California rather than sign an anti-communist loyalty oath as the school required. Indicative of the Erikson Institute’s radical political orientation is the fact that its board of trustees has included, in addition to Bowman, such figures as Tom Ayers (father of the former Weather Underground terrorist and lifelong Marxist Bill Ayers) and Bernardine Dohrn (longtime wife of Bill Ayers).

In 1983 Valerie Jarrett married the son of Vernon Jarrett, a black journalist who formerly wrote for the communist-influenced Chicago Defender. In the 1940s, Mr. Jarrett was a leader of the Chicago chapter of American Youth for Democracy—youth wing of the Communist Party USA. He also served on a publicity committee for the Packinghouse Workers Union, a Chicago-based entity dominated by the CPUSA. In each of these endeavors, Mr. Jarrett had close contact with the aforementioned communist, Frank Marshall Davis.

According to The Washington Post, Vernon Jarrett was “a key influence” in persuading Harold Washington, a politician with powerful socialist ties, to run for mayor of Chicago in 1983. Four years later Mr. Jarrett helped his daughter-in-law, Valerie, enter the political arena as an official in Mayor Washington’s Administration. After Washington’s death in 1987, Ms. Jarrett worked as deputy chief of staff for his successor, Richard Daley.

Valerie Jarrett’s ties to Barack and Michelle Obama were first formed in 1991, when Ms. Jarrett recruited Michelle (who at the time was engaged to Barack) to Chicago’s City Hall. Jarrett quickly became a trusted confidante of both the Obamas. Thus would she play a key role in then-Senator Obama’s 2008 presidential campaign. Following the election, Jarrett was appointed as a senior advisor and assistant to Mr. Obama, who admittedly consults Jarrett on every important political decision he makes. Indeed, the president once told a New York Times reporter that he completely trusts Jarrett “to speak for me, particularly when we’re dealing with delicate issues.” It seems that this trust extends even to Jarrett’s handling of such “delicate issues” as whether Iran, the world’s leading state sponsor of Islamic terrorism, will develop a nuclear weapon and, in its own way, forever “fundamentally transform” life not only in the United States, but throughout the world.

At the very minimum, Valerie Jarrett is a traitor for working to “fundamentally transform” the United States into a socialist paradise.

Update: February 2, 2014

For six years Valeria Jarrett and the Obama administration have flouted the law; treated patriotic, taxpaying Americans as domestic terrorists; targeted conservative groups as enemies of the State; put more people on government welfare; bribed states in order to indoctrinate our kids with anti-American, anti-capitalism propaganda; set up operations to take away our guns; left Americans to die while they watched; and tried to shut down freedom of speech by calling people “racist” and “right wing extremists.”

Jarrett not only speaks as one with Obama, but she drives his agenda as many in the press have stated. In June of 2012, the New York Times reported that Jarrett was at the helm when it came to Obama’s “boldest moves” in the areas of immigration, gay rights, the contraception mandate, Supreme Court appointments, and the war on women.
Jarrett wields a lot of power. She has been called “Mr. Obama’s spine,” “the gatekeeper,” “the night stalker,” and “the other power in the White House” by liberals and the de facto president by the right.

On the other hand, never mind that Jarrett has also gotten rich off low-income housing. She currently owns an 11-percent equity interest in Kingsbury Plaza, a 46-story luxury apartment complex developed by Habitat between 2005 and 2007 at a cost of more than $100 million. She valued the investment at between $1 million and $5 million on her 2011 financial disclosure form, up from $250,000 in 2010. A Jarrett spokesman told the Washington Free Beacon that the investment was “a direct result of her 13 years working for Habitat.”

For all intents and purposes, Valeria Jarrett is traitor and our first female president.

Update: June 24, 2015

President Obama’s senior advisor Valerie Jarrett is related to hardcore communists who were investigated by the government, according to the FBI.

Jarrett’s father, geneticist Dr. James Bowman, had extensive ties to communist groups and was even in contact with a Soviet agent during the Cold War.

“Bowman was also a member of a Communist-sympathizing group called the Association of Internes and Medical Students,” Judicial Watch reported, which obtained FBI files on Jarrett’s family. “According to Bowman’s government file the Association of Internes and Medical Students is an organization that ‘has long been a faithful follower of the Communist Party line’ and engages in un-American activities.”

“Bowman was born in Washington D.C. and had deep ties to Chicago, Ill., where he often collaborated with fellow communists.”

Interestingly, those communists later influenced Obama early in his career as a community organizer and lawyer in Chicago.

“Jarrett’s father-in-law, Vernon Jarrett, was also another big-time Chicago communist, according to separate FBI files obtained by Judicial Watch as part of a probe into the Jarrett family’s communist ties,” Judicial Watch continued. “For a period of time Vernon Jarrett appeared on the FBI’s Security Index and was considered a potential Communist saboteur who was to be arrested in the event of a conflict with the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR).”

“His FBI file reveals that he was assigned to write propaganda for a Communist Party front group in Chicago that would ‘disseminate the Communist Party line among…the middle class.’”

Given Obama’s ties to Marxist ideology which was cultivated in Chicago, it’s no surprise then why Chicago lawyer and native Valerie Jarrett is the president’s highly trusted confidant.

“Jarrett and her family also had strong ties to Frank Marshal Davis, a big Obama mentor and Communist Party member with an extensive FBI file,” Judicial Watch added.

Additional Information

Quote from Valerie Bowman Jarrett at Stanford University in 1977:

I am a Iranian by birth and of my Islamic faith. I am also an American Citizen and I seek to help change America to be a more Islamic country. My faith guides me and I feel like it is going well in the transition of using freedom of religion in America against itself.
VERNON G. “VERN” BUCHANAN

Vernon G. “Vern” Buchanan is a traitor.

In July 2012, the House Ethics Committee, after a haphazard investigation, reported that Rep. Vern Buchanan (R-FL) had omitted information on his financial disclosure forms over four years. However, the ethics committee took no action because once caught, Rep. Buchanan evidently corrected the “errors.” What, exactly, were the errors? In his disclosure statements for 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010, Buchanan failed to report all of his positions or ownership interests in six entities and income received from the entities.

In a separate matter, the committee continues to investigate findings of the Office of Congressional Ethics, Congress’s independent ethics review board, that there is “substantial reason to believe that Representative Buchanan attempted to influence the testimony of a witness in a proceeding before the FEC [Federal Election Commission].”

The alleged violation occurred during an FEC probe of Buchanan’s former business partner, Sam Kazran. According to Kazran, during the FEC probe, Buchanan offered him a $2.9 million settlement in a separate lawsuit if Kazran would lie about his role in a campaign cash laundering scheme involving Buchanan’s Florida car dealerships. CNN reports that the FBI is now conducting its own investigation into possible federal witness tampering.

JOHN FORBES KERRY

Posted September 28, 2013 on Patriot or Traitor
Secretary of State John Kerry signed the United Nations Arms Trade Treaty Wednesday (September 25, 2013). Upon adding his signature, Kerry addressed the world body:

On behalf of President Obama and the United States of America, I am very pleased to have signed this treaty here today. I signed it because President Obama knows that from decades of efforts that at any time that we work with — cooperatively to address the illicit trade in conventional weapons, we make the world a safer place. And this treaty is a significant step in that effort.

Promptly, Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon thanked Kerry and Obama for their complicity in consolidating UN control over weapons and ammunition.

On Monday (September 22, 2013), a source inside the State Department said that Secretary Kerry would commit this act of treason. What’s more, key members of the Senate were informed Tuesday (September 23, 2013) that Kerry intended to sign the treaty and that the reaction from senators was one of disinterest.

In fairness, a few senators have spoken out today (Wednesday, September 24, 2013), warning President Obama not to try to bypass the Senate in his fervor to enforce the terms of this globalist gun grab.

Senator Bob Corker (R-Tenn.), the ranking member of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, sent the president a letter reminding him that:

As you know, Article II, Section 2 of the United States Constitution requires the United States Senate to provide its advice and consent before a treaty becomes binding under United States law. The Senate has not yet provided its advice and consent, and may not provide such consent. As a result, the Executive Branch is not authorized to take any steps to implement the treaty.

President Obama knows this and he also knows that in March, 53 senators voted “to uphold Second Amendment rights and prevent the United States from entering into the United Nations Arms Trade Treaty.”

Americans know something, too. They know that this administration has never failed to use every murderous act of armed violence as a pretext for tyranny. From Newtown to the Navy Yard, President Obama has issued scores of executive orders directly violating the Constitution’s explicit prohibition on the infringement of the right to keep and bear arms.

John Kerry’s signing of the Arms Trade Treaty demonstrates that he and his boss will continue along this treasonous trajectory until control of all weapons and ammunition is consolidated into the UN and its client governments.

There is so much wrong and so much unconstitutional about the Arms Trade Treaty that it is difficult to describe it all. The following summary of the agreement should be sufficient, however, to call to action all constitutionalists, gun owners, and
lovers of liberty. Senators, President Obama, and Secretary of State John Kerry must know that we will not sit idly by while they surrender our sovereignty and plot to confiscate our weapons.

First, the Arms Trade Treaty grants a monopoly over all weaponry in the hands of the very entity (approved regimes) responsible for over 300 million murders in the 20th century.

Furthermore, the treaty leaves private citizens powerless to oppose future slaughters.

An irrefutable fact of armed violence unaddressed by the UN in its gun grab is that all the murders committed by all the serial killers in history don’t amount to a fraction of the brutal killings committed by “authorized state parties” using the very weapons over which they will exercise absolute control under the terms of the Arms Trade Treaty.

Article 2 of the treaty defines the scope of the treaty’s prohibitions. The right to own, buy, sell, trade, or transfer all means of armed resistance, including handguns, is denied to civilians by this section of the Arms Trade Treaty.

Article 3 places the “ammunition/munitions fired, launched or delivered by the conventional arms covered under Article 2” within the scope of the treaty’s prohibitions, as well.

Article 4 rounds out the regulations, also placing all “parts and components” of weapons within the scheme.

Perhaps the most immediate threat to the rights of gun owners in the Arms Trade Treaty is found in Article 5. Under the title of “General Implementation,” Article 5 mandates that all countries participating in the treaty “shall establish and maintain a national control system, including a national control list.”

This list should “apply the provisions of this Treaty to the broadest range of conventional arms.”

Mark it down: If the treaty is ratified by the United States or if its provisions are enforced by executive order, within months the federal government (likely under the management of the Department of Homeland Security) would begin compiling a list of who owns, buys, sells, trades, or transfers any firearm, as well as the ammunition, parts, and components of those weapons.

After creating this database, the federal government would be required under the provisions of Article 5(4) of the Arms Trade Treaty to “provide its national control list to the Secretariat, which shall make it available to other States Parties.”

That’s right. The UN treaty demands that the list of gun and ammunition owners not only be in the hands of our own government, but be sent to foreign regimes, as well. This provision would guarantee that should an American owner of a legally purchased firearm decide to emigrate, he will be on the radar of the ruling regime in his new home.

Americans are right to recognize this registry as the first step toward confiscation. Without such a registry, it would be impossible to monitor weapons transfers effectively because governments can’t track weapons exchanges and transfers unless they know who has them to begin with.

Article 12 adds to the record-keeping requirement, mandating that the list include “the quantity, value, model/type, authorized international transfers of conventional arms,” as well as the identity of the “end users” of these items.

In very clear terms, ratification of the Arms Trade Treaty by the United States would require that the U.S. government force gun owners to add their names to the national registry. Citizens would be required to report the amount and type of all firearms and ammunition they possess.

Section 4 of Article 12 of the treaty requires that the list be kept for at least 10 years.
Finally, the agreement demands that national governments take “appropriate measures” to enforce the terms of the treaty, including civilian disarmament. If these countries can’t get this done on their own, however, Article 16 provides for UN assistance, specifically including help with the enforcement of “stockpile management, disarmament, demobilization and reintegration programmes.”

In fact, a “voluntary trust fund” will be established to assist those countries that need help from UN peacekeepers or other regional forces to disarm their citizens.

Arguably, the Arms Trade Treaty would become the law of the United States if the Senate were to ratify the treaty.

While that is the process that the Constitution establishes for the implementation of treaties, fundamental principles of construction and constitutional law dictate that no treaty that violates the Constitution can become the supreme law of the land.

In the case of the UN’s Arms Trade Treaty, there is no doubt that regardless of presidential signatures or congressional consent, this treaty cannot pass constitutional muster and therefore will never be the valid law of the land.

Unless, of course, Americans once again acquiesce to President Obama’s assumption of illegal authority and relinquish their rights and weapons regardless of the reasons they should not do so.

Finally, citizens must understand a very important nuance of Secretary Kerry’s assurance in his speech that the Arms Trade Treaty isn’t about taking away freedom, “it is about keeping weapons out of the hands of terrorists and rogue actors.” Americans must remember that Kerry, Obama, and the UN consider gun owners to be “terrorists” and “rogue actors,” thus subject to seizure of their firearms in the name of “international peace and global security.”

For John Kerry and Barack Obama, the confiscation of weapons from civilians is an act of, as Kerry said Wednesday, “advancing important humanitarian goals.”

For Americans, however, it is a giant leap toward enslavement.

Americans would be wise at this critical time to remember the words of George Washington, who advised:

A free people ought not only to be armed and disciplined, but they should have sufficient arms and ammunition to maintain a status of independence from any who might attempt to abuse them, which would include their own government.

UPDATE: April 29, 2015

Kerry wishes US had a leader like Ayatollah Khamenei

Sure – exactly with America needs. A theocratic fanatic who wants to wipe Israel off the map and leads the chants of “Death to America” every Friday after prayers.

What could have possessed our secretary of state to tell his Iranian counterpart that he wishes the US had a leader like Iran’s Supreme Leader?

Washington Free Beacon:
Secretary of State John Kerry told his Iranian counterpart that he wished the United States had a leader more like Supreme Leader Ayatollah Khamenei, according to comments made by a senior Iranian cleric and repeated in the country’s state-run media.

Ayatollah Alam al-Hoda claimed during Friday prayer services in Iran that in negotiations over Tehran’s contested nuclear program, Kerry told the country’s foreign minister that he “wished the U.S. had a leader like Iran’s supreme leader,” according to a Persian-language report on the remarks published by the Asriran news site.

“In the negotiations Kerry told [Iranian Foreign Minister Javad] Zarif that he [Kerry] wished U.S. had a leader like Iran’s supreme leader,” according to Alam al-Hoda, who is a senior member of the Iran’s powerful Assembly of Experts.

A senior administration official told the Washington Free Beacon that such a contention is patently absurd.

The remarks are the latest in a string of comments made by Iranian leaders purporting to reveal private details of the talks.

Iranian newspapers have carried multiple reports in recent months suggesting that Iranian Foreign Minister and chief negotiator Javad Zarif was ordered to stop screaming at Kerry behind closed doors.

In his Friday remarks, al-Hoda went on to say that Obama is set on striking a deal with Iran by June.

“Both Republicans and Democrats want these negotiations with Iran, but they fight each other for partisan interests,” he was quoted as saying. “Obama wants the negotiation to succeed so his party can win the next election and Republicans want to stop him.”

Alam al-Hoda also celebrated Iran’s expansion in the region and controversial activities in war-torn countries such as Syria and Yemen.

“Today, the resistance crescent has gone beyond Syria and Lebanon and reached to Yemen,” he said. “Today the resistance front is in control of Strait of Hormuz and Bab-el-Mandeb.”

The “U.S. and Israel have not been so weak anytime before,” he continues. “All these events are being managed by the hidden Imam,” a revered religious figure in Shia Islam. There’s the “hidden Imami” again. But don’t worry. I have it on the best authority – liberal foreign policy experts – that whenever a high ranking Iranian talks about the coming apocalypse and return of the Hidden Imam, they don’t really believe it. It’s for domestic consumption.

I suppose it’s apropos that Kerry would want to switch out Obama for Khamenei. Any man who screams “Death to America” at least once a week is OK in his book.
John Kerry was and is a traitor to the American fighting forces and the basic principles that our country is founded upon.

Let’s start at the beginning. As an officer, John Kerry was put in charge of men, young men of honor and perseverance who were sent to fight and die in a hellhole called Vietnam. Kerry spent his time there taking every step he could for personal and career gain, and the integrity of the agenda he was given was secondary. The main focus for Kerry was to write up as many medals as he could for himself and get out. In the book “About Face” Colonel David Hacksworth, shows while there were many fine officers that served there in all branches of the military, John Kerry stood out and continues to stand out as a poor example of what an officer should be.

There has been much written about his going to Paris to undermine the accords at that time, as a panderer to the Viet Cong. His “Winter Soldier” testimony at the Fulbright Commission was nothing more than an attempt to undermine the war and his fellow soldiers. He was once again, looking out for himself and using the publicity to further his own career. The two treasonous people remember from that time are John Kerry and Hanoi Jane Fonda. Neither of them can ever be forgiven by the brave and loyal men who served in our fighting forces.

As a Senator, John Kerry continued to undermine our country by meeting with the Sandinistas in Nicaragua in an attempt to secure a “peace” in which he sided with the terrorists. Over his entire career he has sabotaged every opportunity that our country has had to turn back those who move forward in the destruction of freedom in vast areas of the world. Kerry is of the type too prevalent in this administration that feel that peace can only come if freedom loving people step back and allow the terrorists to win. Kerry seems to think, like Hillary Clinton, that activity means results. To gain those results you must gain the respect of those you are dealing with. Nothing in Kerry past would cause any discerning adversary to give this man even a modicum of respect. A loudmouth who echoes the talking points of America’s enemies for almost half a century, Kerry is a perfect fit for the progressive Democrat party of today. Failure in dealings with ISIS, Assad, North Korea, Iran, Russia and many other terrorist regimes only further proves that John Kerry is still first and foremost out for himself, to burnish his resume and still considers himself the only smart diplomat that our country can depend on in his own mind.

John Kerry has met with Mahmoud Abbas along with Timochenko the head of the narco-terrorist group FARC. Even knowing that Timochenko has a five million dollar bounty on his head from Kerry’s own State Department, Kerry felt that giving land and security over to these men was the gift that was expected. Abbas then met in the White House with our Muslim in chief for two hours. Kerry did not bring peace, but threats and blackmail from the terror organizations he scurried off so fast to meet. Giving up prisoners for peace, the Israelis counted on Kerry to assist in the transfers and received nothing but more terrorism. Of course, Kerry blamed the Israelis.

John Kerry has been the lackey for these terrorists. Like the little boy that no one wants, he sits at their feet on the floor admiring Assad, FARC, Iran and the PLO in a futile attempt to gain acceptance for his mindset that is anathema to everything our country stands for.

The latest Kerry attempt to throw another democratic country under the bus, much as he did with his fellow soldiers is based and lies and omissions of real facts. His speech was based on an agenda of treating the Israeli people as second class citizens, and to place the blame on them, rather than on the Palestinians.

To this day, following the orders of the Obama administration and the progressives in charge, there is still no admission of the terrorism and attacks in the streets of Israel except in passing. Even then, it is the Jews fault, because they will not back down from the sovereignty of the country they have fought so long and hard for.

John Kerry stated that “Israel can be Jewish or Democratic. It cannot be both. This strikes me as a special kind of stupid. Israel since the inception has been a democracy. In his speech he stated that the Israeli government is the most “right wing in Israeli history”. Arabs and Jews live together is a harmony that Christians are not allowed in Arab States.

Our Muslim in chief, Barack Obama has declared that there can be no peace with a Palestinian terror state alongside Israel. Kerry dutifully sewed this during his speech without understanding that there can be no Palestinian terror state next to Israel because the Palestinians along with the rest of the Arab world want to wipe Israel off the map. Blaming Israel for not making concessions is just ludicrous. They have always given up Gaza, which led to nothing more than an increase in the rocket attacks.
Prime Minister Ehud Olmert offered a good portion of Judea and Samaria, historically Israeli lands to the Palestinians, and land swaps linking this territory to Gaza. The deal was refused by Abba, the same man that Kerry is trying so hard to placate.

The settlements, argued over and causing a great wringing of hands by both Kerry and Obama are not illegal. If they were to be turned over to the Palestinians it would be as before, the Jews would be attacked and murdered in pogroms to make the entire area free of Jews. There are over a million Arabs living and working Israel, how many Jews or Christians for that matter would the Muslims allow to live in their territories?

We must also remember that this is also the same administration that is giving aid to the Palestinian government and attempted to boycott weapons in the outbreak of the Gaza terror war. Not mentioned by Kerry was the forgotten Israeli settlements that were abandoned by force to give to the Palestinians. The Israeli government forcibly removed settlers in Gaza and we rewarded with rocket attacks from the areas that they turned over to the Palestinians.

This debacle, pushed by this administration in the Security Council and passed because of a petty and narcissistic Secretary of State and Muslin in chief being too cowardly to veto it and protect the only democracy in the Middle East will stand as an impediment to peace. Perhaps that is what the Muslim Brotherhood lackeys in this administration were looking for, another fine war to settle the American people into.

It is informative, that even Democrats such as House Democratic Whip Steny Hoyer is telling the White House to stop, to shut up, and let the two sides figure it out. The United States has long maintained that the agreements reached must be between the two sides of the conflict. It must be negotiated between the adversaries, not by the United Nations, not by the United States and definitely not by this administration. In particular, but a Secretary of State that panders to terrorists, or a Muslim President that allows them to flourish.
Teresa Chambers is a traitor.

Teresa Chambers as the chief of the United States Park Police had U.S. Park Police Lt. Pamela Smith and her agency execute a search warrant at Adam Kokesh’s home in Herndon about 7:45 p.m. Tuesday (July 9, 2013), looking for a weapon.

Lt. Smith said, “she did not know if the YouTube video on July 4, (2013) of Kokesh appearing to load a shotgun in violation of D.C. gun laws was the reason for the search warrant.” In other words, Lt. Pamela Smith didn’t exactly know why she was raiding Kokesh’s home, and it’s apparent Teresa Chambers had ordered Lt. Pamela Smith to carry out the raid.

Local Herndon police assisted in the United States Park Police in the armed invasion. The officers used a battering ram to knock in the door after two knocks, and did not announce that they had a warrant. Immediately after breaking down the door, a flash bang grenade was deployed in the foyer.

Numerous police vehicles, including a light armored vehicle and two low-flying helicopters barricaded Adam Kokesh’s street. More than 20 armored SWAT team members surrounded the house, as well as a number of detectives, and plainclothes officers. Assault rifles were aimed on all members of the team as they were handcuffed without being told why they were detained. Masked and armored police in full “Storm Trooper” gear flooded in and ransacked the residence. The team was cordoned in a front room, while Adam was pulled aside for questioning.

Over the course of the next five hours, the police searched every corner of the house with canine units and blueprints to the house obtained prior to the search. All officers refused to speak to the crew while they were detained. They confiscated cell phones and personal items with force. Throughout the ordeal, the police repeatedly showed a volatile desire to initi ate aggressive, forceful conduct with detainees. At one point, Adam Kokesh politely requested to use the restroom and was kicked by the officer forcing him to sit handcuffed on the floor. After hours of determined attempts, the safe was forced open and all items inside were confiscated. Adam Kokesh was arrested and his crew were told he was being brought to the Herndon Police department overnight. Well after midnight, police officers cleared the house.

Now ask yourself, would the government send in a swat team with helicopters and armored vehicles for a regular criminal? The answer is No. The truth behind the raid is Adam Kokesh is an outspoken gun rights activist, and Teresa Chambers working in behalf of Obama and his administration of traitors are after Adam Kokesh for speaking out against an ever increasing tyrannical government. For example, the following was previously written and publicized by Adam Kokesh:

The Final American Revolution Pledge of Resistance

When a government has repeatedly and deliberately failed to follow its own laws, violated the fundamental human rights of its citizens, threatened the sanctity of a free press, created institutions intended to eliminate privacy of communication, waged war at the behest of special interests that threatens the public safety, killed hundreds of children with drone strikes, imprisoned and destroyed the lives of countless individuals for victim-less crimes, stifled economic opportunity to maintain the dominance of the financial elite, stolen from the people through an absurd system of taxation and inflation, sold future generations into debt slavery, and abused its power to suppress
political opposition, it is unfit to exist and it becomes the duty of the people to alter or abolish that government by whatever means necessary to secure liberty and ensure peace. A new American revolution is long overdue.

We who recognize the illegitimacy of this government will withdraw our material support.

We will take every reasonable measure to avoid the theft of taxation.

We refuse to serve the federal government with our labor.

We reject the authority of the federal government and will resist at every opportunity.

We demand an orderly dissolution of the federal government through secession and reclamation of federally held property.

We will provide aid and comfort to victims of the state.

We will mark Independence Day not with a celebration of collectivism, but with direct action to create freedom.

We will recruit others to this cause and share this pledge.

The time to sit idly by has passed, to remain neutral is to be complicit, just doing your job is not an excuse, and the line in the sand has been drawn between we the people, and the criminals in Washington, DC. While some timid souls will say that it is too early, that we can solve this problem through democratic means provided by government, that current levels of taxation are reasonable for the services provided, and that the crimes of this government are merely a tolerable nuisance; it may already be too late. While there is risk in drastic action, the greater danger lies in allowing this government to continue unchallenged. We will not be silent, we will not obey, we will not allow the government to destroy our humanity: we are The Final American Revolution.

Below is the YouTube video taken on July 4, 2013.

Can you count the felonies committed in this video? No you can’t, for Adam Kokesh has never committed a felony. Matter of fact, before becoming a gun rights activist he was a corporal in the United States Marine Corps, and is a veteran of the Iraq War.

On the other hand, Teresa Chambers made headlines in 2003 for being fired as head of the U.S Park Police. The deputy director of the Park Service proposed both her removal and pressing charges against her for releasing sensitive information, insubordination and breaking the chain of command. Chambers was stripped of her gun and badge, walked out by armed agents in the National Park Service, and literally stood on the sidewalk, where she tried to figure out how to get home.

In January 2011 a federal appeals court ordered that Teresa Chambers be reinstated. They found that the evidence against Chambers was not strong at the time action was taken against her, and that her actions were protected under federal whistleblower laws.

On Jan. 31, 2011 Teresa Chambers was sworn in, again, as chief of the U.S. Park Police.

At this point one might say you can’t flush the crap far enough down the toilet in Washington to keep it from coming back up again. But that isn’t the worst of it, for Teresa Chambers as head of the U.S. Park Police tasked with upholding law and order at federal parks throughout D.C. and across the United States had lost track of thousands of firearms, according to a damning report from the Interior Department’s Office of Inspector General. The report, released July 2011, finds that Park Police are missing information on more than 1,400 handguns, rifles, and machine guns, including some models that date back to World War I.

Among the additional weapons are 477 military-style automatic and semiautomatic rifles, as well as several guns that “fulfilled no operational need.” In that latter category are firearms that have not been state-of-the-art in many decades. The report says
that inspectors found the Park Police is holding onto 20 M1 Garand rifles and four Thompson sub-machine guns. The M1 Garand was the standard-issue U.S. Army rifle between World War II and the earliest phases of the American presence in Vietnam, while tommy guns are perhaps best known today as staples of Prohibition-era gangster movies.

The report is quite damning for Teresa Chambers. In a letter to Chambers and National Park Service Director Jonathan B. Jarvis, the report’s author says the Park Police suffer from major structural flaws. This report further underscores the inaction and indifference of USPP leadership and management at all levels,” Mary I. Kendall, the Interior Department’s deputy inspector general, writes. “Basic tenets of property management and supervisory oversight are missing in their simplest forms. Commanders, up to and including the Chief of Police (Teresa Chambers), have a lackadaisical attitude toward firearms management. Historical evidence indicates that this indifference is a product of years of inattention to administrative detail and management principles.”

The report makes several recommendations, perhaps most urgently a thorough review of the Park Police’s weapons inventory using the proper documentation. It also recommends that Teresa Chambers, the police chief, personally oversee all weapons acquisitions.

Without a doubt Teresa Chambers is extremely incompetent in her ability to run the United States Park Police. But what is most troubling is, Teresa Chambers is a traitor that continues to have the ability to inflict great harm on many more American citizens.

UPDATE: December 8, 2013

U.S. Park Police Chief Teresa C. Chambers, who was ousted from her job in 2003 for criticizing staff shortages and battled for eight years to get reinstated, has retired on Dec. 7, 2013.

Chambers, 56, said it was important for her to leave the federal agency on her own terms. She decided to exit and relax with her husband in their house in Southern Maryland’s Calvert County, finish half-read books and decorate for Christmas. She said she might even write a book someday.

MICHAEL J. “MIKE” ROGERS

Michael J. “Mike” Rogers, a Republican Congressman from Michigan, is a traitor and outright liar. One of many examples of this is his claim the NSA is not listening to phone calls.
On Sunday’s (June 16, 2013) “State of the Union” on CNN, Mike Rogers as head of the House Intelligence Committee, insisted that although there have been allegations made to contrary, the National Security Agency isn’t listening in on Americans’ personal communications.

“I can’t tell you how strong we need to make this clear,” Rogers said. “The NSA is not listening to Americans’ phone calls, and it is not monitoring their emails. If it did, it’s illegal. It’s breaking the law.”

Obviously in Mr. Rogers neighborhood, the truth means the opposite. It’s already been revealed that Obama’s NSA has already admitted to listening in on private phone calls of Americans with absolutely no connection to cases being investigated by the NSA. The NSA also probably monitors text and e-mail messages too. But NeoCon liar Mike Rogers lied to bloated Candy Crowley that the NSA doesn’t listen to private conversations.

“I can’t tell you how strong we need to make this clear,” Rogers said. “The NSA is not listening to Americans’ phone calls, and it is not monitoring their emails. If it did, it’s illegal. It’s breaking the law.”

Host Candy Crowley asked Rogers if the intelligence agency still might be recording calls but not listening to them. Rogers replied that this was occurring only in certain circumstances.

“I could go get a warrant on a criminal case, yes, absolutely,” Rogers said. “But that’s very, very different. And I think they think that there’s this mass surveillance of what you’re saying on your phone call and what you’re typing in your emails. That is just not happening. And it’s important, I think, for people to understand because there’s all this misinformation about what these programs are.”

“That’s why I hope coming out and talking about how they’ve disrupted plots in this very narrow, very tight program will show Americans, hey, listen, they protected our privacy,” he continued. “They followed the rule. They have a court order. I mean, they’re doing this right, and it is protecting the United States from terrorist attacks being plotted from overseas. This is an important program to continue.”

Over the past few years, we’ve found that Rep. Mike Rogers has an incredible knack for spewing pure bullshit in defense of whatever he’s supporting, rarely even bothering to make sure his statements are internally consistent. Still, his statements on Meet the Press this weekend take that nonsense to a new high. Rogers goes off on Snowden — who he has already declared “is a traitor” — arguing in favor of the “theft of government property” charges against Snowden by making the following statement:

“He has taken information that does not belong to him — it belongs to the people of the United States.”

Right. This information that “belongs to the people of the United States,” which has been totally hidden from us, was actually finally given to the people of the United States — to whom Rogers admits it belongs — by Snowden. And, for that, he’s a traitor? How, exactly, does that work? By Rogers’ own argument, the information, before Snowden leaked it, was improperly withheld, thanks to people like Rep. Mike Rogers, from the people who own it. Thus, by Rogers’ own logic, isn’t it actually Mike Rogers who is the traitor in that he withheld crucial information that “belongs to the people of the United States”?

Of course, Rogers didn’t stop there. No, no. He continued with his internally inconsistent, and blatantly ridiculous argument by saying that (1) terrorists now know what we’re up to and are changing what they do, and (2) so little information has been revealed that everyone thinks they know what’s happening, but don’t. That makes no sense. If (1) is true, it suggests that the actual details of the program have been revealed and thus wrongdoers now know our methods. But, immediately, he changes course and says that no one really knows what’s going on — in which case he shouldn’t be concerned about terrorists changing what they do, because it shouldn’t stop the successful programs that no one knows about.
We have seen that bad guys overseas — terrorists who are committing and plotting attacks on the United States and our allies — have changed the way they operate. We’ve already seen that. To say that’s not harmful to the national security of the United States, or our safety, is just dead wrong…

[....] This is the problem with having a thousand-piece puzzle, taking three or four pieces, and deciding that you’re now an expert on what that picture looks like. You’re gonna get it wrong. They’re getting it wrong and it’s dangerous.

So, let me get this straight. The revealed information means that reporters only see a few pieces of the puzzle so they’re getting the story “dead wrong.” But… the terrorists, who are reading these stories, are somehow, magically, getting the full picture (the one the reporters are getting dead wrong) and miraculously changing how they act to now avoid NSA surveillance dragnets? How’s that work? Answer: it doesn’t. Rogers is spewing bullshit.

We don’t ask for much from our elected officials, but is it really too much to ask that they make statements that are internally consistent within the brief block of time they open their mouths to yap about some subject on which they’re supposedly “in charge”?

Andrew Lamar Alexander, Jr. is a traitor.

United States Senator Lamar Alexander is considered by most as a RINO (Republican In Name Only).

Senator Alexander wants to double federal spending on energy, and is calling for more Obama-style “green” energy research.

Senator Alexander supported the Obama amnesty bill for millions of illegal immigrants.

Senator Alexander supported TARP and the bailouts.

Senator Alexander tried to SNEAK an unconstitutional National Internet Sales Tax into a Defense Bill.

Senator Alexander voted against food freedom by allowing the FDA to raid farmers, natural food stores, and people who sell/posses raw unprocessed foods at gunpoint.

Senator Alexander voted to prolong the Iraq occupation.
Senator Alexander was one of two Republicans that voted in favor of allowing President Obama to imprison US citizens indefinitely without trial.

Senator Alexander voted to continue giving American tax dollars to the governments of Egypt, Pakistan, and Libya (among others).

On top of his $174,000 salary, Senator Alexander has become extremely rich while serving in public office. It is reported Senator Alexander now has upwards of $19.2 million in assets, and this amount doesn’t include his homes, automobiles or other effects.

Hillary Rodham Clinton is a traitor.

There is much speculation whether or not Hillary Rodham Clinton, will run for president in 2016. The former co-president with her lying, cheating husband, Bill Clinton, received enormous support in 2008, but those who really call the shots decided a bi-racial, constitutionally ineligible candidate, Barry Soetoro aka Barack Hussein Obama, would be the better choice to continue the planned destruction of America. Hillary Rodham Clinton carries a lot of old, dirty baggage. Perhaps wait eight more years to install Comrade Clinton.

Many of Hillary Rodham’s support comes from ignorant women who have no facts other than the carefully polished image built to portray Comrade Clinton as a friend of women. By championing murdering unborn babies using clever propaganda like “protecting women’s reproductive rights”, Comrade Clinton is lauded by women who kill their unborn babies as some sort hero. By championing the filth of sodomy and promoting AIDS/HIV by supporting sexual deviants, Comrade Clinton assured herself a nice fat bankroll for her run for the senate and president.

Bushels of money from sexual deviants in Hollywood.

Tens of millions of ignorant women have been played by the one of the most skilled, consummate liars ever to hold public office. And, quite frankly, they don’t care just as long as Comrade Clinton continues to promise them your paycheck to pay for things like their sexual activities. In February 2011, I wrote a column titled, Get My Wallet Out Of Your Vagina. Oh, how the “feminists” squealed on their web sites because they can’t stand the truth: “How many times have we heard female politicians bleat about “women’s issues” during elections? How many times have we heard the old chant about “empowering women” from female members of Congress? The feminization of Congress and our state legislatures is destroying constitutional government, running America into oceans of unpayable debt and breeding generations of helpless women, whining for mother government to take care of them and their every need...

“The legions of females out there are herded in the desired direction with promises of stealing from the people’s treasury for their wants and needs. All those “independent, strong women” are nothing but whiners demanding the fruits of your labor. Real women take care of the babies they bring into this world. Real women know that family planning means keep your panties on and being a responsible adult. Strong, independent women do not demand mother government steal from taxpayers to fund their personal lives. Strong and independent? Horsecrap. Strong,
independent women do not demand someone else pay their way whether it’s health care, day care or “basic family planning.”

Such concepts are alien to millions of women under the age of 50. They have gone through the government’s indoctrination centers called pubic schools. They “look up to” hard core feminists from the Hollywood crowd. Female political figures are their toxic role models. All who tell those “empowered” women it’s their right to steal your paycheck to pay for their bad choices in life. Her success is why Comrade Clinton became so important to the masters of the game who own Washington, DC.

The nauseating accolades bestowed upon Comrade Clinton for her failed and unlawful stint as Secretary of State is simply more propaganda fed to ignorant sycophants who haven’t a clue about world events. I say unlawful because Hillary Rodham Clinton usurped the office of Secretary of State. Prior to her confirmation, members (both parties) of the joke called the Senate Judiciary Committee were told by Judicial Watch a lawsuit would be filed to stop Comrade Clinton from holding that office because it violated the emolument clause of the U.S. Constitution. What is that?

Article I, section 6 of the U.S. Constitution provides: “No Senator or Representative shall, during the Time for which he was elected, be appointed to any civil Office under the Authority of the United States, which shall have been created, or the Emoluments whereof shall have been increased during such time….This provision, known as the “Emoluments” or “Ineligibility” clause is an absolute prohibition and does not allow for any exceptions. The “Ineligibility Clause” is interpreted by most as designed by our Founding Fathers to protect against corruption and ensure the separation of powers among the three branches of government. On January 29, 2009, Judicial Watch filed a lawsuit against newly confirmed Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton on the ground that she is constitutionally ineligible to serve as Secretary of State under the Ineligibility Clause. The “emoluments” or salary of the U.S. Secretary of State increased at least three times during Mrs. Clinton’s most recent U.S. Senate term. That term, which began on January 4, 2007, does not expire until January 2013, regardless of Mrs. Clinton’s recent resignation.”

And:

“….there is another lawsuit that has run its course, meaning denied for hearing by the U.S. Supreme Court, you might find of interest: Rodearmel v. Clinton. That lawsuit was filed in January 2009 on behalf of a 19-year veteran of the Foreign Service Officer under the State Department, David Rodearmel, a retired Lt. Col. in the U.S. Army Reserve Judge Advocate General Corp. While I support and respect Judicial Watch in their pursuit of making sure no one is above the law, I simply did not understand why they didn’t use the Quo Warranto for Rodearmel’s case.

“The defendants (mother government) moved to dismiss and in their filing, there is an important footnote; number 6 at the bottom of page 25:

“The D.C. Court of Appeals has observed that a plaintiff who seeks to directly attack the appointment of an official (as opposed to attacking an action of that official) will rarely if ever have standing. See Andrade v. Lauer, 729 F.2d 1475, 1496-97 (D.C. Cir. 1984). In the same case, the court suggested that the only proper way to assert such a direct attack is through an action for a writ of quo warranto. See id. at 1497 (citing cases). A quo warranto action may only be brought by the Attorney General of the United States or the United States Attorney or, if these Executive Branch officials decline a request, by a private party who has obtained leave of court. See D.C. Stat. §§ 16-3502-3503; see also Rae v. Johnson, 1993 WL 544295, at *1”

As I said, to this day I don’t understand why Judicial Watch did not file a Quo Warranto to get Comrade Clinton removed. It was a no brainer as far as standing for Rodearmel; he absolutely qualified under Newman v. United States ex Rel. Frizzell. In the end, the case was dismissed. The gutless cowards (both parties) on the Senate Judiciary Committee thumbed their noses at the U.S. Constitution once again with disastrous results. Benghazi comes to mind with Rodman’s now famous outburst revealing the ugliness that has always been Hillary:

“What Difference Does It Make?” whether or not U.S. Ambassador Stevens and three other Americans were slaughtered because of an act of terrorism or some silly Internet video no know ever heard of? video here. If the U.S. Congress would ever seriously press for the truth, Hillary’s finger prints are all over what happened September 11, 2012. Stop the tap dancing. Obama’s enforcer, Eric Holder, has been jerking around congressional committees for two years over ‘Fast and Furious’. It’s now been nine months since the disaster in Benghazi. The families are still waiting for answers. Get a special counsel appointed and ram this down Clinton and Obama’s throats. We the people are sick and tired of traitors walking free of their crimes.

Make no mistake about it: Hillary Rodman Clinton is a valuable asset to those working to destroy this country. She is a dirty traitor right along with that pathetic piece of work she’s been married to for 38 years. A partnership, not a marriage. Millions who support Comrade Clinton are too young to remember Whitewater, Fostergate and the litany of scandals that plagued the co-presidents and destroyed lives forever.

While insiders and the controlled “mainstream” media know the truth about the Clinton’s, few Americans were interested when the duo ran for the presidency both times. It was all just a “right-wing conspiracy” by Republicans.
The Clinton duo played the American people like a fine tuned fiddle. The FBI knows all about the Clinton’s and who they give their money to: The Marxist Influence and the IPS:

1. IPS has firm ties to anti-American terrorists and militant Marxists worldwide; and IPS has firm ties to Bill and Hillary Clinton.
2. IPS is described as a Washington-based ‘Think Factory’ which helped train extremists who incite violence in the United States
3. Clinton’s appointment of Derek Shearer as his top economic advisor was no fluke. Shearer’s sister, Brooke, is Hillary’s traveling companion. As this booklet shall document, Clinton’s close friend Derek Shearer has not only been a member of the subversive IPS, but is also a prolific advocate of Marxist socialism and is ardent in his open praises for life in communist lands. Shearer has long proposed that America’s free enterprise system be dismantled and that businesses be controlled by powerful socialist planners instead.

Millions of her supporters have no idea what happened during the phony impeachment proceedings against Bill Clinton. It was a set up orchestrated by prominent Republicans. One only need read David Schippers book, Sellout: The Inside Story of President Clinton’s Impeachment:

“While no one came out of the Monica Lewinsky scandal looking good, David Schippers, the chief investigative counsel for the Clinton impeachment, wants to be sure Americans know just who contributed to the debacle and how. A trial attorney and a Democrat, Schippers was hired by Republican congressman Henry Hyde to lead an oversight investigation of the Justice Department, then was redirected to handle the impeachment. The quintessential honest man, Schippers was shocked, not so much by Clinton’s actions (which he calls a far-reaching conspiracy to obstruct justice with perjury, lies, and witness tampering), but by Republican and Democratic politicians who sold out the impeachment process.

“If you ever want to vote again, you might not want to know what went on behind the scenes in the Capitol Hill meat grinder leading up to and during the impeachment proceedings against William Jefferson Clinton.... Lies, cowardice, hypocrisy, cynicism, amorality, butt-covering—these were the squalid political body parts that, squeezed through the political processor, combined to make a mockery of the impeachment process.

“Of course, Schippers does want you to know what happened, and he also wants you to vote—against those who made the mess. And so he names names—of Republican senators who refused to allow evidence on the floor, of the five Democratic congressmen who never examined the evidence, of the GOP senator who said, “You’re not going to dump this garbage on us,” and also of the politicians who did an honest job, or at least asked reasonable questions (such as Joseph Lieberman). Schippers also reveals the evidence he was building against the Clinton administration regarding illegal INS actions and Chinagate, but that he was forced to drop. He reviews the successful struggle to get a full hearing in the House and the “flat-out rigged ball game” in the Senate. He discusses the president’s pattern of abuse and intimidation of women, including some highly disturbing information regarding Kathleen Willey, Juanita Broaddrick, and Dolly Kyle Browning.”

I saw Schippers speak at the Ronald Reagan Building and International Trade Center in Washington, DC. I think it was the year 2000. It was an event put on by Judicial Watch; Schippers was the keynote speaker. Instead of going after Bill Clinton for treason (read the Cox Report), the stupid Republicans went after him on a sex charge.

Any one old enough who has followed the Clinton duo surely knows who has always worn the pants in that “marriage”. What self-respecting woman would get in bed with a husband like Bill Clinton who screws anything over legal age with or without their consent? It was reported that during the impeachment process, Democrats went into “the vault” area and viewed documents not available to you and me. Those reports also said a couple of the Democrats (in the House) came out visibly upset because they now believed Bill Clinton raped Juanita Broaddrick. Yet, that didn’t bother Comrade Clinton because her climb to power was not going to get derailed by a serial cheating “husband.”

Comrade Hillary was a Republican until she decided to attach her loyalties to the Democratic/Communist Party USA. It would be her ticket to fortune and fame. Her treachery has been well rewarded. On December 19, 1998, the U.S. House impeached Billy. The gutless U.S. Senate failed to impeach on Feb. 12, 1999. In December 1998, Comrade Hillary visited Switzerland: “On 31 December 1998, Hillary Clinton visited Brunnen. She arrived from the Lake Lucerne and drives with a car to the railway station.”

According to press reports at the time, Comrade Clinton made one unscheduled stop outside Zurich. Funny how you catch a blur on the radio news that perks up your ears. I filed a Freedom of Information Act request at the time with the Secret Service. They refused to release her full itinerary to me citing national security. Bull. I believe she met with the real money masters and power brokers who are above the Bilderberg group.

I wish I had my old research from back then, but I’ve had two major computer crashes since then and it’s gone.

Comrade Hillary moved aside to allow the impostor now squatting in the White House to get the Democratic/Communist Party USA nomination in 2008. How it must have galled her, but make no mistake: Hillary Rodham Clinton is a tough old bird who knows how to play the game of revenge.
One other thing during that time period: September 4, 1998, Bill Clinton speaking in Ireland: “You know, by the time you become the leader of a country, someone else makes all the decisions – you just sign your name.” The only honest thing he said while in office.

The public persona of Comrade Hillary Clinton, much like that of militant Marxist, Michelle Obama, is nothing but lies and illusions. Hillary Rodham is a very dangerous woman who is willing to do ANYTHING in her climb for power.

Rodham was a complete failure as Secretary of State. While the most traveled (one million miles) and pampered in the history of this nation, the world is more dangerous now than when she unlawfully took over; Benghazi the worst example. One need only read this piece: Secretary Clinton on American Leadership at the Council on Foreign Relations, Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton, Washington, D.C., January 31, 2013:

“I’ve come to think of it like this: Truman and Acheson were building the Parthenon with classical geometry and clear lines. The pillars were a handful of big institutions and alliances dominated by major powers.”

I would again remind people Harry Truman worked for the globalists or he would never have become president: “When Franklin Roosevelt died during the closing days of WWII, it fell to Truman to end the war and formulate policies for the new world order.” The Smithsonian Treasury: The Presidents (1991), pg 72. Truman was instrumental in founding the communist controlled UN. He signed the unconstitutional Marshall Plan, stealing $13 BILLION dollars from we the people for the economic and technical assistance recovery of European countries. No where in the U.S. Constitution does it authorize Congress or a president to steal the fruits of our labor to give to any foreign country for any reason. But, it was just another step in building a one world order.

Comrade Clinton goes on to say this in her CFR speech:

“No, of course, American military and economic strength will remain the foundation of our global leadership. As we saw from the intervention to stop a massacre in Libya to the raid that brought bin Ladin to justice, there will always be times when it is necessary and just to use force. America’s ability to project power all over the globe remains essential.”

Our global leadership? More endless wars, more sticking our military in where it doesn’t belong, like Libya. It was not our government’s job to assist in murdering a head of state. Necessary and just to just to use force? Bullying the world is what she really meant. Remember what former Secretary of State, the equally vile, Madeleine Albright said?

“Lesley Stahl on U.S. sanctions against Iraq: We have heard that a half million children have died. I mean, that’s more children than died in Hiroshima. And, you know, is the price worth it? Secretary of State Madeleine Albright: I think this is a very hard choice, but the price—we think the price is worth it.” –60 Minutes (5/12/96). And, people wonder why we are so hated in the Middle East?

Going back to Comrade Clinton’s speech:

“We’re also working more than ever with invigorated regional organizations. Consider the African Union in Somalia and the Arab League in Libya, even sub-regional groups like the Lower Mekong Initiative that we created to help reintegrate Burma into its neighborhood and try to work across national boundaries on issues like whether dams should or should not be built.”

We created? American troops are in Africa; another mission “creep” that will cost precious American blood. YOUR paychecks are going to fund this global world order. Where is your outrage, America? It would not be possible without the cooperation of the Republican controlled U.S. House of Representatives headed up by John Boehner. All of our money spent has to originate in the house. The Republicans have done NOTHING to stop the funding of a one world government where OUR country will be subordinate to others and just another region. I do encourage you to take the time to read all of Clinton’s speech to the CFR. It is a blueprint of what’s planned; we have no say whatsoever because those in Congress no longer represent we the people, only their global masters.

I believe Hillary has serious health problems she’s trying to hide from the world. I don’t know if she will be chosen by the masters of the game to run in 2016, but I do know, we must never let that happen. The only way to stop her and those working to destroy our beloved republic is to expose their real agenda – AND Hillary’s past. Americans need to have a refresher course on that evil woman. I highly recommend the books below. If Amazon doesn’t have a copy left, use a search engine with the exact title and other vendors should pop up:

Hillary Rodham Clinton: What Every American Should Know by Christian Josi

Big Sister Is Watching You: Hillary Clinton and The White House Feminists Who Now Control America – And Tell The President What To Do

Bill and Hillary Clinton have been tied at the hip for 38 years. What he knows, she knows. I also recommend these books because what he knows and did, she knows and approved:

Bill Clinton: Friend or Foe by Ann Wilson

The Clinton Chronicles Book

The Secret Life of Bill Clinton – The Unreported Stories by Ambrose Evans-Pritchard (Among other things: the cover up in the death of Vince Foster and Bill’s connection with the underworld of drugs in Arkansas)

Hillary Rodham Clinton is a blue blood Marxist. Those who go ballistic when they read that either have done zero research on her entire life or they support Marxism. It’s one or the other.

Written by Devvy Kidd.
UPDATE: January 14, 2014


Former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton:

On January 23, 2013, outgoing Secretary of State Hillary Clinton testified to congressional committees regarding the terrorist attacks on the U.S. Consulate in Benghazi, which led to the murder of U.S. Ambassador Chris Stevens and three other American citizens. At times evasive, at other times defensive and aggressive, Clinton delivered her version of events in the days before and after the murders in Benghazi. And, in the end, the Secretary of State pretended to take “responsibility,” but gave a predictable response regarding who is to blame: “…the level of responsibility for the failures…was set at the Assistant Secretary of State level and below,” Clinton said, referring to an investigation of the incident. In other words, this was not my fault.

At one point in her testimony, in what is, perhaps, the epitome of Obama-era contempt for accountability, Clinton yelled “What difference does it make?” in response to a reasonable question about why the attack transpired and why the administration told an obvious lie about an obscure Internet video as the cause of the attack.

If the mere mention of the contrived video scenario triggered Clinton’s emotional outburst, it is certainly understandable. Remember, it was Clinton herself who was instrumental in advancing the false narrative that the video sparked the attacks. For example, at a September 14, 2012, event honoring the victims, Clinton said, “We’ve seen the heavy assault on our post in Benghazi that took the lives of those brave men. We’ve seen the rage and violence directed at American embassies over an awful video that we had nothing to do with.” To this day, she has not set the record straight.

In addition to Hillary Clinton’s apparent cover-up of the role she played in the Benghazi tragedy and its aftermath, she left office in another ethical cloud about conflicts of interest in the activities of her longtime top aide Huma Abedin. Abedin left the State Department in February 2013, and in May 2013, Politico broke the story that, since June 2012, she had been working as a “special government employee” (SGE), a consultant position allowing her to represent outside clients while continuing as a top adviser at State. While working as an SGE, Abedin’s outside clients included Teneo, a strategic consulting firm co-founded by former Bill Clinton counselor Doug Band. According to Fox News, Abedin earned $355,000 as a consultant to Teneo, in addition to her $135,000 SGE compensation.

And compounding the corruption scenario were the potential for conflicts of interest between Hillary Clinton’s role as Secretary of State and Bill Clinton’s international ventures, which grew increasingly controversial in late 2008 when the former president released a list of donors to his library and foundation in what he termed “a deal between” Obama “and Hillary.” According to an Associated Press wire story, “Saudi Arabia gave $10 million to $25 million to the foundation. Other government donors include Norway, Kuwait, Qatar, Brunei, Oman …”

UPDATE: April 1, 2014

Bill Whittle looks at the lawlessness, the arrogance, and the unmasked contempt that Hillary Clinton for the American people.

UPDATE: April 17, 2015

Once one breaks through all the partisan DNC news media propaganda and adoring Hollywood worship continuously shielding the Clintons from any and all actual scrutiny to examine the facts and evidence for themselves, it becomes unmistakably clear that Hillary Clinton is a corrupt and ruthless career-criminal without boundaries, who personally destroys anyone who gets in her way…often while somehow managing to play the victim. She could not be more unfit for public office.

Here are the top ten reasons she should not even be considered for president in 2016:
10) The left’s trademark of ploy of silencing all dissent with diversionary ad hominem smears like “you’re racist” has already taken its Hillary-era form, with liberals frivolously condemning gender-irrelevant controversies as “sexist.”

9) She has accomplished absolutely nothing.

8) In the 1990s, she helped mastermind a fraudulent land deal with Clinton crony James McDougal, who owned the extremely shady bank used in the scam, which was illegally used to pay off Clinton campaign debts…and witnesses in this scandal (Whitewater) were openly intimidated, silenced, and had their careers brutally destroyed when they remained in the way.

7) She illegally seized hundreds of FBI files, suppressed countless incriminating emails, and leaked Privacy Act-protected information to silence and smear Democrat activists sexually harassed by her husband (see here).

6) She blatantly lied under oath to deny inventing bogus criminal charges against seven White House employees to get them out of the way (to replace them with Clinton friends and cronies). From the LA Times: “There is ‘substantial evidence’ that First Lady Hillary Rodham Clinton lied under oath in denying that she played a role in the 1993 White House travel office firings, independent counsel Robert W. Ray reported Thursday.” That would be the same Robert Ray that the bought-and-paid-for Clinton propagandists at Media Matters lyingly misrepresented as having exonerated her.

5) She was caught completely fabricating a story out of thin air about coming under fire in Bosnia, which she was later forced to admit to lying about.

4) She was fired from the “Watergate” investigation by life-long Democrat Jerry Zeifman for being “an unethical, dishonest lawyer” who “conspired to violate the Constitution, the rules of the House, the rules of the Committee and the rules of confidentiality,” as he put it.

3) She took bribes from communist China in the form of illegal campaign contributions, in exchange for influential seats on Commerce Department trade missions.

2) She incompetently enabled a preventable terrorist attack in Benghazi and then silenced witnesses, staged phony “investigations,” and lied through her teeth to cover it up…deliberately and repeatedly misrepresenting it as a “spontaneous demonstration” sparked by Americans having the right to disagree with Islam in public—a position so blatantly dishonest that card-carrying Democrat whistle-blower Gregory Hicks testified: “I’ve never been as embarrassed in my life, in my career, as on that day,” when Democrats began blatantly misinforming the public about why this happened.

1) She is an open and enthusiastic enemy of the Constitution on virtually every issue (i.e., she’s a treasonous liberal).
Steven E. Ibisen is a traitor.

Steven E. Ibisen a top Florida FBI agent repeatedly lied by saying that members of a Saudi family living quietly near Sarasota were questioned after the 9/11 terrorist attacks, but no evidence was found that linked them to the hijackers.

A week after the Broward Bulldog News and The Miami Herald published a story showing ties between the family and some of the 9/11 terrorists, Tampa’s head FBI agent, Steven Ibison, released a statement Thursday (September 15, 2011) saying the FBI investigated “suspicious surrounding” the Sarasota home, but never found evidence tying the family members to the suspects.

“There was no connection found to the 9/11 plot,” said the statement by FBI agent, Steven Ibison, released to the St. Petersburg Times. The prepared statement provided no details.

The agency’s statement by FBI agent, Steven Ibison “to correct the public record” came just days after Democratic Congresswoman Kathy Castor asked for a House investigation into the events surrounding the Sarasota family, which abruptly left the home days before the 9/11 attacks, leaving behind three vehicles, food in the refrigerator and toys in the pool.

The official version by FBI agent, Steven Ibison, the second in a week, conflicts sharply with reports from people who worked at the homeowners’ association and a counter-terrorism officer who joined the investigation.

A senior administrator at the luxury community told reporters that cars used by the 9/11 hijackers — the tag numbers noted by security at the gate — drove to the entrance requesting to visit the family at various times before the terrorist attacks. One of the cars was linked to terrorist leader Mohamed Atta, said administrator Larry Berberich.

In addition, a counterterrorism officer who requested anonymity said agents also linked phone calls between the home and known hijacking suspects in the year before the attacks.

The FBI’s response to the discovery has drawn criticism from U.S. Sen. Bob Graham, who said he was never told of the Sarasota investigation when he was co-chair of the congressional inquiry into the 9/11 attacks. Thursday’s (September 15, 2011) FBI statement said the agency provided all the information to the congressional inquiry.

“Nobody I’ve spoken with from the Joint Inquiry says we got any information on this,” Graham said. “It’s total B.S. It’s the same thing we’ve been getting from the FBI for the past 10 years.”

Graham, who appeared on national television, added that the FBI failed to provide information in the years after 9/11 linking members of the terrorist team to other Saudis in California until congressional investigators discovered it themselves.

“It was not because the FBI gave us the information. We had a very curious and effective investigator who found out,” Graham told MSNBC.
For the most part, Steven E. Ibisen along with several other high ranking FBI agents have committed treason by giving aid to the enemy. They have betrayed their oath to defend the Constitution of the United States, and have singlehandedly turned a large portion of the FBI into a clandestine subversive organization.

Read more about how Steven E. Ibisen in collaboration with James Caruso (FBI Deputy Executive Assistant Director for Counterintelligence and Counterterrorism) lied about the deep ties between this Florida Saudi family – that abruptly fled weeks before the 9/11 terrorist attacks – and the hijackers who carried out the plot: [http://www.patriotortraitor.com/james-t-tim-caruso/](http://www.patriotortraitor.com/james-t-tim-caruso/)

Steven E. Ibisen has since retired from the FBI.

JAMES T. CARUSO

*Posted June 16, 2013 on Patriot or Traitor*

James T. Caruso is a traitor.

James Caruso (as the FBI Deputy Executive Assistant Director for Counterintelligence and Counterterrorism) lied to Congress about the deep ties between a Florida Saudi family—that abruptly fled weeks before the 9/11 terrorist attacks—and the hijackers who carried out the plot.

Tim Caruso outright lied and covered-up the involvement of a Saudi family that lived in a Sarasota (Florida) gated community and suspiciously fled weeks before 9/11, leaving behind three cars, food in the fridge and toys in the pool. Security officials at the gated community revealed that cars used by the 9/11 hijackers visited the family various times before the attacks, including the vehicle linked to plot leader Mohamed Atta.
When local media started asking questions, the head of the area’s FBI office admitted that the agency investigated “suspicion surrounding” the Sarasota home but never found evidence tying the family to the terrorists. Thankfully, the news watchdog kept digging—and litigating to obtain information—and years later uncovered damaging records in which the FBI acknowledges the family with ties to a one-time advisor to a Saudi Prince did in fact have “many connections” to “individuals associated with the terrorist attacks on 9/11/2001.”

The Florida home was owned by Esam Ghazzawi, a former advisor to a senior Saudi Prince, and occupied by Ghazzawi’s daughter, Abdulaziz, and son-in-law, Anoud al-Hijji and their small children. Their hurried departure prompted neighbors to call the FBI. A “secret” document obtained by the watchdog earlier this year ties individual family members to the Venice, Florida flight school where suicide hijackers Mohamed Atta and Marwan al-Shehhi trained. Accomplice Ziad Jarrah took flying lessons at another school a block away.

Atta and al-Shehhi were at the controls of the jetliners that slammed into the New York World Trade Center’s Twin Towers, killing nearly 3,000 people. Jarrah hijacked United Airlines Flight 93, which crashed in a field in rural Pennsylvania. Those records, obtained by the Florida journalism group in April, flatly contradict the FBI’s longtime denials of having no evidence connecting the al-Hijjis to the 9/11 terrorists.

Now the feds are in full cover-up mode, with a senior FBI official asserting in a sworn declaration that disclosing information—that for years the agency denied even existed—about the Florida Saudis with ties to the terrorists “would reveal current specific targets of the FBI’s national security investigations.” The 33-page declaration was filed in support of a Department of Justice (DOJ) court motion seeking to end the news watchdog’s litigation to obtain more information involving the secret probe.

In light of this new evidence, a U.S. Senator who chaired the 9/11 Commission filed court papers on May 31, 2013 accusing James Caruso and the FBI of impeding Congress’s inquiry into the September 2001 attacks by withholding information about the Florida connection to the terrorists who carried them out. The former Florida Senator, Bob Graham, writes that “the FBI’s failure to call (to the Joint Inquiry’s attention) documents finding ‘many connections’ between Saudis living in the United States and individuals associated with the terrorist attack(s) . . . interfered with the Inquiry’s ability to complete its mission.”

James T. Caruso is not only a liar and traitor, but is by definition a terrorist himself.

Remember... On the morning of Tuesday, September 11, 2001, no less than 19 Muslim terrorists from the Islamist militant group al-Qaeda perpetrated four coordinated suicide attacks in the United States, killing nearly 3000 innocent victims and causing immeasurable damage to the U.S. and global economies (thereafter, the “9/11 attacks”).

James T. Caruso left the FBI and became a consultant at the Center for Strategic Management (CSM) in the spring of 2004.

Keith B. Alexander

Keith B. Alexander

Posted June 14, 2013 on Patriot or Traitor
Keith B. Alexander is a traitor.

General Keith B. Alexander, director of the National Security Agency and commander of the U.S. Cyber Command, outright lied to Congress when he claimed the NSA does not intercept Americans’ phone calls or online information?


Following is a transcript of the relevant parts of the exchange, which took place during a hearing of the Emerging Threats and Capabilities Subcommittee of the Armed Services Committee:

    JOHNSON: Does the NSA routinely intercept American citizens’ emails?

    ALEXANDER: No.

    JOHNSON: Does the NSA intercept Americans’ cell phone conversations?

    ALEXANDER: No.

    JOHNSON: Google searches?

    ALEXANDER: No.

    JOHNSON: Text messages?

    ALEXANDER: No.

    JOHNSON: Amazon.com orders?

    ALEXANDER: No.

    JOHNSON: Bank records?

    ALEXANDER: No.
JOHNSON: What judicial consent is required for NSA to intercept communications and information involving American citizens?

ALEXANDER: Within the United States, that would be the FBI lead. If it were a foreign actor in the United States, the FBI would still have to lead. It could work that with NSA or other intelligence agencies as authorized. But to conduct that kind of collection in the United States it would have to go through a court order, and the court would have to authorize it. We’re not authorized to do it, nor do we do it.

It’s amazing that such an enormous and obvious lie was spoken, for it’s apparent Gen. Alexander thinks we are utter fools.

For 200 years our government has been able to keep us safe while staying true to the values in the Constitution. There’s no reason we need to sacrifice those values now to such a liar and traitor as Gen. Alexander.

JAMES ROBERT CLAPPER, JR.

James Robert Clapper, Jr. is a traitor.

During a Senate Intelligence Committee hearing on March 12, 2013, U.S. Senator Ron Wyden asked Director of National Intelligence James Clapper a simple question: “Does the NSA collect any type of data at all on millions or hundreds of millions of Americans?”

“No, sir,” Clapper shot back without a pause. “There are cases where they could inadvertently, perhaps, collect, but not wittingly.” Why so? Because “in the case of NSA and CIA, there are strictures against tracking American citizens in the United States for foreign intelligence purposes — and that’s what those agencies are set up to do.”

This message, an explanation to Congress of what the executive branch was up to, was crystal clear: Don’t worry, the NSA is not allowed to track Americans — and it’s not going to.

The primary problem with this is that it was not true. It is far past “misleading,” “hedging,” and “evading”; or of being “slippery” and “smooth.” Without question, James Clapper deliberately lied in front of our representative body to cover-up the NSA’s unconstitutional collection of information on American citizens.

One needs to call a spade a spade, and James Clapper is an outright liar and traitor.

UPDATE: July 3, 2013
James Clapper the director of National Intelligence apologized and admitted in June to the chairman of the Senate Intelligence Committee he had previously outright lied before the Congressional committee in March.

So what is the penalty for lying to the Congress of the United States?

**TITLE 18 > PART I > CHAPTER 47 > § 1001**

§ 1001. Statements or entries generally (a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of the executive, legislative, or judicial branch of the Government of the United States, knowingly and willfully- (1) falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact; (2) makes any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or representation; or (3) makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry; shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 5 years or, if the offense involves international or domestic terrorism (as defined in section 2331), imprisoned not more than 8 years, or both. -snip- (c) With respect to any matter within the jurisdiction of the legislative branch, subsection (a) shall apply only to- (1) administrative matters, including a claim for payment, a matter related to the procurement of property or services, personnel or employment practices, or support services, or a document required by law, rule, or regulation to be submitted to the Congress or any office or officer within the legislative branch; or (2) any investigation or review, conducted pursuant to the authority of any committee, subcommittee, commission or office of the Congress, consistent with applicable rules of the House or Senate.

So the only truthful thing that can be said is. . . James Clapper admitted he committed a felony by lying under oath, but nothing is going to be done about it by the other traitors within the United States Congress.

Until these liars and traitors are held accountable under the full extent of the law, they will continue to do as they please. Not to mention, others will continue to do the same knowing nothing will be done to them.

---

**UPDATE: December 18, 2013**

Sen. Rand Paul (R-Ky.) on Wednesday said that Director of National Intelligence James Clapper has been as harmful to American intelligence gather capabilities as leaker Edward Snowden.

“That Clapper is lying to Congress is probably more injurious to our intelligent capabilities than anything Snowden did,” Paul told CNN’s Wolf Blitzer. “Clapper has damaged the credibility of the entire intelligence apparatus and I’m not sure what to believe anymore when they come to Congress.”

Paul also said he believes Clapper would need to resign to restore confidence in the intelligence community.

“I don’t know how you can have someone in charge over intelligence who has known to lie in a public forum to Congress, to lie without repercussions,” he added. “If the intelligence community says we’re not spying on Americans and they are, and then they say we’re not collecting any data, it’s hard to have confidence in them.”

When asked about the prospect of raising criminal charges against Clapper, Paul said that both Snowden and Clapper broke the law.

“I think the law is the law; they both broke the law and that one shouldn’t get off scot-free,” Paul said.

---

**UPDATE: April 3, 2014**

---

**UPDATE: February 10, 2016**
James Clapper the treasonous US intelligence chief has acknowledged for the first time that agencies might use a new generation of smart household devices to increase their surveillance capabilities.

As increasing numbers of devices connect to the internet and to one another, the so-called internet of things promises consumers increased convenience – the remotely operated thermostat from Google-owned Nest is a leading example. But as home computing migrates away from the laptop, the tablet and the smartphone, experts warn that the security features on the coming wave of automobiles, dishwashers and alarm systems lag far behind.

James Clapper in testimony submitted to the Senate on Tuesday (February 9, 2016) as part of an assessment of threats facing the United States.

“In the future, intelligence services might use the [internet of things] for identification, surveillance, monitoring, location tracking, and targeting for recruitment, or to gain access to networks or user credentials,” Clapper said.

Clapper did not specifically name any intelligence agency as involved in household-device surveillance. But security experts examining the internet of things take as a given that the US and other surveillance services will intercept the signals the newly networked devices emit, much as they do with those from cellphones. Amateurs are already interested in easily compromised hardware; computer programmer John Matherly’s search engine Shodan indexes thousands of completely unsecured web-connected devices.

Clapper’s admission about the surveillance potential for networked home devices is rare for a US official. But in an overlooked 2012 speech, the then CIA director David Petraeus called the surveillance implications of the internet of things “transformational … particularly to their effect on clandestine tradecraft”.

During testimony to both the Senate armed services committee and the intelligence panel, Clapper cited Russia, China, Iran, North Korea and the Islamic State as bolstering their online espionage, disinformation, theft, propaganda and data-destruction capabilities. He warned that the US’s ability to correctly attribute the culprits of those actions would probably diminish with “improving offensive tradecraft, the use of proxies, and the creation of cover organizations”.

Clapper suggested that US adversaries had overtaken its online capabilities: “Russia and China continue to have the most sophisticated cyber programs.”

The White House’s new cybersecurity initiative, unveiled on Tuesday (February 9, 2016), pledged increased security for nontraditional networked home devices. It tasked the Department of Homeland Security to “test and certify networked devices within the ‘Internet of Things’.” It did not discuss any tension between the US’s twin cybersecurity and surveillance priorities.

Connected household devices are a potential treasure trove to intelligence agencies seeking unobtrusive ways to listen and watch a target, according to a study that Harvard’s Berkman Center for Internet and Society released last week. The study found that the signals explosion represented by the internet of things would overwhelm any privacy benefits by users of commercial encryption – even as Clapper in his testimony again alleged that the growth of encryption was having a “negative effect on intelligence gathering”.

The report’s authors cited a 2001 case in which the FBI had sought to compel a company that makes emergency communications hardware for automobiles – similar by description to OnStar, though the company was not named – to assist agents in Nevada in listening in on conversations in a client’s car.

In February 2015, news reports revealed that microphones on Samsung “smart” televisions were “always on” so as to receive any audio that it could interpret as an instruction.

Now law enforcement and intelligence agencies may start to seek orders compelling Samsung, Google, Mattel, Nest or vendors of other networked devices to push an update or flip a digital switch to intercept the ambient communications of a target.
Good Riddance: James Clapper the traitor Resigns as Director of US Intelligence.

The exit of Clapper, who submitted his resignation Wednesday (November 16, 2016) evening, is not a surprise.

A large part of the job of James Clapper, National Intelligence Director, was to lie to the American people and to continually exaggerate certain ‘threats,’ while trying to conceal real problems – most of which are created by US federal agencies. His actions were a political one as a government propaganda mouth piece for the deep state and all its domestic clandestine designs.

This was the man who presided over the US government spying on its citizens and those throughout the world. This scandal was one whose existence Clapper denied, in spite of plain and clear evidence to the contrary.

Edward Snowed had exposed numerous constitutional violations during Clapper’s reign. This man’s exit from the Bush-created position of Director of National Intelligence should be welcomed by everyone who opposes the surveillance state.

It has been under Clapper that the government has been cut free of any checks and balances on its use of technology to violate the privacy of ordinary individuals, yet individuals of extraordinary conscience who blow the whistle on the illegal covert activities of government are prosecuted, victimized, and threatened with execution. I’m referring specifically to Bradley Manning, Julian Assange and Edward Snowden.

Clapper said that he is happy to resign, and this includes many Americans that share in this happiness. It will be effective in 64 days.
Clyde Vinson is clearly a traitor, for he willfully violated federal and foreign intelligence surveillance laws, along with free speech and search-and-seizure protections as provided by the Constitution of the United States.

SUSAN ELIZABETH RICE

Posted May 31, 2013 on Patriot or Traitor

Susan Elizabeth Rice is a traitor.

UN Ambassador Susan Rice along with President Obama and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton lied about the events surrounding the Benghazi massacre.

One day after the attack, on September 12, 2012, Sec. Clinton said the following: “Some have sought to justify this vicious behavior, along with the protest that took place at our embassy in Cairo yesterday, as a response to inflammatory material posted on the Internet. America’s commitment to religious tolerance goes back to the very beginning of our nation. But let me be clear — there is no justification for this, none.” She then joined President Obama in taping a television ad apologizing to the Muslim world for the obscure video, spending a reported $70,000 in taxpayer funds on the ad buys.

And then Rice repeated the Benghazi lie, over and over again on every major television news network. Rice’s lies about one of the most significant terrorist attacks since 9/11 are, perhaps, the scandal of the year out of this administration. Little wonder that in his October 2012 testimony Eric Nordstrom, a former a top security official in Libya who was criticized for seeking more security in Benghazi, felt compelled to tell the House Oversight Committee that conversations he had with people in Washington led him to believe that it was “abundantly clear we were not going to get resources until the aftermath of an incident. How thin does the ice have to get before someone falls through?”

He said he was so exasperated at one point he told a colleague that “for me the Taliban is on the inside of the building.”

UPDATE: September 9, 2013

Susan Rice: Lies About Benghazi, Gets Promoted, Then Pitches Syria Solutions.

Susan Rice was promoted to “National Security Adviser” after lying about Benghazi. Today, she is Key Note Speaker, on behalf of the White House, at a New America Foundation Public Event.

Steve Hayes, of the Weekly Standard, made the following comments about Rice in December in an article titled “Benghazi Storytelling:
“The White House has had quite enough of the controversy over ambassador to the U.N. Susan Rice, the misleading talking points she used in TV interviews about the jihadist attacks in Benghazi, and the Obama administration’s contradictory narrative about those attacks.”
This is where truth and character matter. The left completely dismissed the lack of truth and character by the White House, during the Benghazi cover-up, and attempt to legitimize the lies by asserting that it doesn’t really matter, or by outright ignoring the situation. Today, it’s more clear than ever that Benghazi truly does matter in many ways, the least of which is the pattern of deception was allowed to run rampant into a serious situation even today.

Now, with the carryover of Benghazi still clouding the White House with the stench of lies and death, our nation faces a threat of war with Syria. The woman, Rice, that was responsible for headlining the deception and lies was not only given a free pass for her unwillingness to speak truth on the situation, but she was promoted to National Security Adviser. With zero credibility among people who call for truth and character as their “leaders”, she will stand before the nation to release a mottled together “strategy.”

UPDATE: January 14, 2014


National Security Adviser Susan Rice:

Last year, Susan Rice shared Ten Worst dishonors with Hillary Clinton for their dual roles in the high-profile campaign to portray the deadly attack on the consulate in Benghazi, Libya, as solely related to a privately produced YouTube video that was offensive to Muslims. On the Sunday following the attack, Rice repeatedly stated on five different network TV news programs that the Benghazi assault had been a spontaneous reaction to an obscure online video mocking Mohammed, rather than a planned terrorist attack.

This year, Rice makes the Ten Worst list all on her own by joining with Barack Obama to add insult to injury by pulling an end-run around the United States Congress. Realizing that after her campaign of deception involving Benghazi, she could not be approved by the Senate for the job of Secretary of State she so clearly coveted, Rice accepted the position of National Security Advisor, which requires no Senate approval. Thus, her duplicity could be rewarded – without the American people having any say whatsoever in the matter.

http://www.patriotortraitor.com/category/traitors/page/11/

MAXINE WATERS

Posted May 31, 2013 on Patriot or Traitor.
Maxine Waters is a traitor.

In early December, Democrats chose the scandal-plagued Rep. Maxine Waters to be the ranking member on the House Financial Services Committee despite her many transgressions over the years. The influential congresswoman has helped family members make more than $1 million through business ventures with companies and causes that she has helped, according to her hometown newspaper.

In August 2010, Waters’ influence peddling earned the attention of a subcommittee of the House Ethics Committee which charged Rep. Waters with three counts of violating House rules and ethics regulations in connection with her use of power and influence on behalf of OneUnited Bank. After a highly controversial investigation, plagued by accusations of impropriety and corruption, on September 12, the committee failed to hold Waters to account for steering a $12 million to OneUnited, in which she and her board member husband held shares.

The Financial Services Committee, among other responsibilities, has jurisdiction over all issues pertaining to; you guessed it, the banking system.

Elizabeth Ann Warren is a traitor.

Elizabeth Ann Warren outright lied in giving statements under oath regarding Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) activities when she served as the agency’s interim director. According to the records, Warren and the CFPB were intimately involved in brokering a 50-state settlement underway with the nation’s largest mortgage lenders related to alleged improper...
foreclosure procedures. This evidence seems to contradict Warren’s statements before Congress suggesting her office responded to requests for advice, but did not seek to push its views.

During a March 16, 2011, hearing of the House Financial Services Subcommittee on Financial Institutions and Consumer Credit, Ms. Warren downplayed her agency’s involvement in the state settlement negotiations: “We have been asked for advice by the Department of Justice, by the Secretary of the Treasury, and by other federal agencies. And when asked for advice, we have given our advice.”

But this does not come close to telling the full story.

Emails from several states suggest her agency’s participation was far more intense and aggressive. Warren called emergency meetings by phone and in person with attorneys general nationwide to contribute unsolicited input on the matter. The documents also indicate that Warren’s office insisted on keeping its contact with the state attorneys general secret. For example, in a February 25, 2011, email to the Executive Committee of the National Association of Attorneys General (NAAG), Iowa Assistant Attorney General Patrick Madigan wrote: “Elizabeth Warren would like to present the CFPB’s view on loan modifications.” Two weeks earlier, a similar email was distributed to NAAG’s Loss Mitigation Subgroup on Warren’s behalf. In an email on February 15, 2011 regarding that meeting, Madigan points out that “The CFPB wanted me to stress the confidential nature of this briefing.”

Slowly but surely, Elizabeth Warren is being exposed as a treasonous lying phony.

Additional Information

Elizabeth Ann Warren has always been a liar, for she lied about being part-Cherokee Indian to get a job at Harvard University. Therein, Harvard took full advantage of Warren’s lie, bragging to The Harvard Crimson about her minority status during one of the near-constant student protests over insufficient “diversity” in the faculty. Furthermore, Elizabeth Warren carried on the lie by listing herself as an Indian in the law school faculty directories with saying, “I am very proud of my Native American heritage.”

In other words, Elizabeth Ann Warren is a habitual liar with no evidence that she’s a Native American, and therefore her Indian name should be, “Lies on Race Box”.

Additional Information

In September 2011, Warren announced her candidacy for the U.S. Senate, challenging Republican incumbent Scott Brown. She won the general election on November 6, 2012, to become the first female Senator from Massachusetts. She was assigned to the Senate Special Committee on Aging; the Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs Committee; and the Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions Committee.

DAVID HOWELL PETRAEUS

Posted May 31, 2013 on Patriot or Traitor
David Howell Petraeus is a traitor.

General Petraeus was forced to resign after news leaked of his long-term extramarital affair with Paula Broadwell, a writer and military analyst who penned a Petraeus biography. Compounding the scandal are questions involving whether Petraeus’ mistress had improper access to classified information from the nation’s top spy. At the University of Denver on July 28, 2012 Broadwell said, “I had access to everything, it was my experience not to leak it, not to violate my mentor, if you will.”

There is also a major question about whether Petraeus misled Congress about the Benghazi attack in his initial congressional testimony. On September 14, 2012, just days after the attack on the consulate, Petraeus briefed congressional intelligence leaders, reportedly telling them he believed the attack was spontaneous and not carefully pre-planned. Yet on November 16, 2012 in private hearings before Senate and House intelligence committees, Petraeus changed his story. According to Fox News: “Petraeus’ testimony both challenges the Obama administration’s repeated claims that the attack was a “spontaneous” protest over an anti-Islam video, and according to [New York Rep. Peter] King conflicts with his own briefing to lawmakers on September 14, 2012. Sources have said Petraeus, in that briefing, also described the attack as a protest that spun out of control.”

Updated Information – January 10, 2015

FBI and Justice Department prosecutors have recommended bringing felony charges against former CIA director David Petraeus, the New York Times reported Friday night January 9, 2015.

The paper, citing “officials,” said the charges related to Petraeus allegedly providing classified information to his former mistress.

Attorney General Eric Holder would then have to decide whether to seek an indictment against Petraeus. Holder originally had been expected to decide about charges by the end of 2014.
Janet Napolitano is a traitor.

Janet Napolitano as Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Secretary allowed the publication of a guide to terrorism that identified American war veterans, anti-abortion activists and constitutionalists as potential terrorists. She made the term “man-caused disaster” synonymous with government stupidity.

According a Gallup Poll, a full 62 per cent of the American people believe that stopping illegal immigration should be a top priority of the U.S. government. Unfortunately for the American people, Secretary of Homeland Security Janet Napolitano is not numbered among that 62%. And she is the person who is supposed to be enforcing the law. Last year, Napolitano opened the floodgates of illegal immigration by having the Department of Homeland Security review all cases then before the immigration courts with an eye towards halting the deportation of many illegal immigrants allegedly with no criminal backgrounds. (JW uncovered records demonstrating this to be an utter lie. Many of the illegals let off the hook were convicted of violent crimes.)

Not satisfied with skirting the law in 2011, Napolitano decided to abandon it altogether in 2012. Accordingly, on June 15, 2012, she announced: “By this memorandum, I am setting forth how, in the exercise of our prosecutorial discretion, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) should enforce the Nation’s immigration laws against certain young people who were brought to this country as children and know only this country as home.”

In short, this amounted to blanket “temporary” amnesty for illegals under the age of 30. With her single statement, she simply declared upwards of one million illegal aliens entirely legal. Just like that. No legislation. No debate. No votes. No court rulings. The Constitution of the United States notwithstanding. And, in so doing, she violated the Oath of Office she had taken when sworn in as secretary of Homeland Security on January 21, 2009: “I, do solemnly swear that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter. So help me God.”

UPDATE: July 12, 2013

Homeland Security Secretary Janet Napolitano, one of the president Obama’s original cabinet appointees, announced her resignation Friday (July 12, 2013) morning, having been nominated to serve as president of the University of California system.

Meanwhile, we can look at her tenure as DHS secretary and breathe a sigh of relief that her incompetence and stupidity didn’t lead to a major terrorist attack. You rarely see anyone ignore the facts in front of their face so assiduously in order to hew to a politically correct line about Islam and terrorism.

Janet Napolitano is just one more “Progressive Socialist” (Communist) leaving the Obama sinking ship. Some of us might feel sorry for California, but a much larger majority of us say good riddance to this traitor.
UPDATE: January 14, 2014


Former DHS Secretary Janet Napolitano:

In August 2013 Department of Homeland Security Secretary Janet Napolitano stepped down from her post expressing both “pride and regret” – the regret stemming from her failure to help push through the so-called Development, Relief, and Education for Alien Minors (DREAM) Act. The truth is, however, that Napolitano actually played a major role in doing an end run around existing immigration law by helping President Obama implement his Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) directive in lieu of DREAM Act passage.

Documents obtained by Judicial Watch in June 2013 revealed that Napolitano’s Department of Homeland Security (DHS) U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services (USCIS) abandoned required background checks in 2012, adopting, instead, costly “lean and lite” procedures in effort to keep up with the flood of amnesty applications resulting from the DACA directive.

The documents also revealed that, contrary to Napolitano’s claim that DACA applied only to minors who came to this country illegally “through no fault of their own,” the directive actually created a new avenue of chain migration, whereby immediate relatives of DACA requesters could be approved for amnesty. As a result, according to an agency memo from District 15 Director David Douglas, “some of the districts closer to the U.S./Mexico border have been inundated.”

The Obama/Napolitano stealth amnesty policy received a setback in July 2013 when the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas left DACA hanging by a string as he dismissed a challenge strictly due to jurisdictional issues. While the court determined that it did not have authority to hear the case, Judge Reed O’Connor agreed that program is likely unconstitutional, saying, “[T]he Court finds that Plaintiffs are likely to succeed on the merits of their claim challenging the Directive and Morton Memorandum as contrary to the provisions of the Immigration and Nationality Act.”

In an earlier ruling handed down in April, Judge O’Connor stated clearly that, “DHS does not have discretion to refuse to initiate removal proceedings when the requirements of Section 1225(b)(2)(A) are satisfied.” That section requires the agents to place aliens who are not “clearly and beyond a doubt entitled to be admitted” to the United States into removal proceedings.

DHS malfeasance did not stop there. And, in fact, according to a court order filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas on December 13, DHS has actually enabled cartel trafficking of minors, delivering those minors to illegals living inside the United States and completing criminal transactions for illegal immigrants. The court document details a guilty plea from Mirtha Veronica Nava-Martinez for being paid to smuggle a 10-year-old El Salvadoran female into the United States. Nava-Martinez was hired by Patricia Elizabeth Salmeron Santos, the mother of the 10-year-old, who was living illegally in Virginia after being denied legal entry into the U.S. in 2001. According to U.S. District Judge Andrew Hanen who wrote the court order: “The DHS officials were notified that Salmeron-Santos instigated this illegal conduct. Yet, instead of arresting Salmeron-Santos for instigating the conspiracy to violate our border security laws, the DHS delivers the child to her – thus successfully completing the mission of the criminal conspiracy. It did not arrest her. It did not prosecute her. It did not even initiate deportation proceedings for her. This DHS policy is a dangerous course of action.”

Napolitano’s legacy is one that has gutted, for political reasons, the very immigration laws she swore to uphold.

KATHLEEN SEBELIUS

Posted May 31, 2013 on Patriot or Traitor.
Kathleen Sebelius is a traitor.

On September 12, 2012, Secretary of Health and Human Services Kathleen Sebelius became the first member of the President’s cabinet in U.S. history to have been found guilty of violating the Hatch Act when she campaigned for the reelection of Barack Obama in her official capacity of Secretary of HHS. According to Politico, “During a speech to the Human Rights Campaign Gala in North Carolina in February, Sebelius . . . outlined the Obama administration’s accomplishments so far and said, ‘One of the imperatives is to make sure that we not only come together here in Charlotte to present the nomination to the president, but we make sure that in November he continues to be president for another four years.’”

After the speech, Sebelius tried to cover her tracks by reclassifying the event from “official” to “political,” and claiming her appearance was in her personal capacity. The scheme didn’t work.

According to the official statement put out by the U.S. Office of Special Counsel: “The Office of Special Counsel (OSC) sent findings to the President today from its investigation of complaints of prohibited political activity by Secretary of Health and Human Services Kathleen Sebelius. OSC concluded that Secretary Sebelius violated the Hatch Act when she made extemporaneous partisan remarks in a speech delivered in her official capacity on February 25, 2012. The Hatch Act prohibits federal employees from using their official authority or influence to affect the outcome of an election.”

Thoroughly unapologetic, Ms. Sebelius justified her transgression by informing the OSC that she simply “got a little caught up in the notion that the gains which had been made would clearly not continue without the president’s reelection.” In other words, her Obamacare agenda took precedence over the law. Normally, when a government official is found violating the Hatch Act, the punishment is termination. How did President Obama respond? There was no punishment whatsoever.

---

**UPDATE: January 14, 2014**


Health and Human Services Secretary Kathleen Sebelius:

It’s a wonder Secretary Sebelius was still around to do damage in 2013 after last year’s fiasco for which she appeared on the Ten Most Wanted list. The Obama administration’s own lawyers determined Sebelius could be fired for violating federal law when reports surfaced that she had campaigned for Obama while acting in her official capacity as an executive branch official during the last presidential campaign. This made Kathleen Sebelius the first member ever of a president’s cabinet to be found guilty of violating the Hatch Act.

In 2013, rather than solicit votes, Sebelius solicited financial support for President Obama’s huge health care disaster. In May, Secretary Sebelius was caught hitting health care companies up for cash to fund Obamacare after Congress rejected all of the administration’s requests.
But, that was just for openers – because in October Sebelius redefined the term “incompetence” when she oversaw the disastrous launch of the Obamacare website. As Mercedes Schlapp wrote in US News:

She refused to listen to the IT experts who expressed serious concerns about the launch as early as March of 2013. Henry Chao, deputy chief information officer said in a meeting that he was “pretty nervous” about the exchanges being ready for October 1. Prior to the launch, one insurance executive also stated, “the extent of the problems was pretty enormous.”

Yet the American people are forced to settle for mediocrity from their leaders who play political games rather than deliver effective products.

Pressed by Congress to explain the disastrous, costly website rollout, Sebelius rolled her eyes, shrugged her shoulders and caustically replied, “Whatever” blithely dismissing the lies and the fraud that have become part and parcel of Obamacare. The fact is, were Sebelius in the private sector, she would probably be prosecuted for fraud.

UPDATE: April 10, 2014

Kathleen Sebelius – who weathered heavy criticism over the flaw-filled launch of the Obamacare website, then saw the program through as it topped a major milestone – is resigning as secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services, a White House official said Thursday, April 10, 2014.

UPDATE: April 14, 2014

The Dark Side of the Sebelius Legacy.

In the wake of Kathleen Sebelius’s ouster from her job as secretary of Health and Human Services, there has emerged a debate about what the Los Angeles Times calls “Obamacare and the Sebelius legacy.”

From a moral perspective, though, that is like debating whether Chairman Mao’s provision of free health care should overshadow the horrors of the Cultural Revolution.

To be fair, the body count Sebelius left behind in Kansas does not nearly match that of Mao, but the body count is real. During Sebelius’s six years as governor, women came from 48 states and points beyond to have late-term abortions in Kansas.

They came not because Kansas had uniquely liberal abortion laws. They came because Sebelius was uniquely hostile to the law’s enforcement. The state’s most efficient practitioner of this dubious art, the late Dr. George Tiller of Wichita, boasted on his website of having “more experience in late abortion services with fetuses over 24 weeks than anywhere else in the Western Hemisphere.”

What Tiller’s website did not say is that during the six years of Sebelius’s reign as governor, he ended the lives of thousands of healthy babies ready to be born, in full violation of state law. Nor did the website tell how Sebelius personally intervened to let the carnage continue. This was no small task. To succeed, she had to destroy her Republican attorney general, Phill Kline, who was hot on Tiller’s trail.

The story could begin in any number of places, but a likely starting place is 2002, the year Sebelius ran for governor and Kline ran for attorney general. As a state representative five years earlier, Kline had helped draft legislation to check the state’s then thriving late-term abortion business. The new law allowed for a late-term abortion on a viable baby only “to preserve the life of the pregnant women” or to prevent her from suffering “substantial and irreversible impairment of a major bodily function.”

The new law and the reporting requirement should have put an end to the late-term business of Dr. Tiller and a handful of others, but by this time Tiller had learned to game the system. His first step was to use his influence to finesse a mental health
exception. But even Kline’s moderate Republican predecessor insisted that mental health problems had to be “permanent and substantial” to justify a late-term abortion.

Undaunted, Tiller made enough strategic donations to enough politicians to assure that no one enforced the law as written or even as interpreted. When Kline chose to run in 2002, Tiller sensed trouble and responded accordingly. Through a variety of PACs and cut-outs, he invested hundreds of thousands in Kline’s obscure Democrat opponent and turned a would-be landslide into a nail-biter.

Given that the numbers coming out of Tiller’s clinic had increased since the tougher law had been written, Kline began to review the required reports to see just how Tiller had been able to circumvent the law. One thing that Kline quickly discovered was that of the 166 abortions performed on girls under fifteen in the years 2002 and 2003, Kansas abortion clinics reported only three cases to the state department of Social and Rehabilitation Services. They should have reported all 166.

Kline could also see that not a single doctor in Kansas had checked the “prevent patient’s death” box as a justification for the abortion. All checked the “impairment” box.

Tiller, Kline saw, offered no medical diagnosis in his reports. He simply reiterated the wording of the law – namely, “to avoid substantial and irreversible impairment of a major bodily function.”

How criminal was this enterprise? Let’s consider the 2003 case of a confused little cowgirl who visited Tiller’s clinic in Wichita. “Horses are my life and having kids would mess that up for barrel racing” – so explained the 15-year-old who hoped to abort the healthy, viable baby whom she had already carried for more than six months.

For the official state record, Tiller interpreted the sadness that might accompany the temporary loss of barrel racing as “substantial and irreversible.” And so the baby was executed with the utmost privacy, and all for only about $6,000.

At Kline’s request, Dr. Paul McHugh of John Hopkins reviewed fifteen case files. McHugh stated publicly that he “saw no file that justified abortion” according to the state’s late-term abortion laws. He openly asked of Tiller’s practice if “any person [is] ever found to be not appropriate on psychological grounds for an abortion[.]”

Tiller spent millions keeping case record statements like “Horses are my life” out of the hands in prosecutors. In the 2006 election alone, he invested close to $2 million. He had to. For the three previous years, Kline had plied an unsympathetic state judiciary to get access to Tiller’s case file, and he was finally poised to succeed.

Tiller and his political patrons, chief among them Sebelius, resisted at every step. To block Kline, Sebelius persuaded popular Republican district attorney Paul Morrison to switch parties and run against Kline on her ticket. The Democrats, Tiller’s paid proxies, and the media then launched a vicious campaign to portray “Snoop Dog Kline” as a “panty-sniffer” with no greater interest than invading the privacy of Kansas women.

So relentless were The Kansas City Star’s attacks on the “anti-choice extremist” Kline that he lost the election, and the Star won Planned Parenthood’s top media honor, the “Maggie Award,” named for its eugenist founder, Margaret Sanger.

Three months after Kline was forced out, Sebelius hosted an elegant but extremely discreet soirée at Cedar Crest, the governor’s mansion, for Tiller and his staff. What made this event newsworthy was that just a few months earlier, Kline had filed 30 counts against Tiller for performing illegal late-term abortions.

Among the more revealing of the photos taken at the event was one of Sebelius holding a t-shirt presented to her by Tiller, which reads “Trifecta 2006: Sebelius, Parkinson, Morrison.” In the photo, Sebelius points at Tiller as if to acknowledge his contribution to that victory.

A month later, Sebelius joined the “conga line around the concert hall” at a big Kansas Planned Parenthood bash celebrating her birthday. At the time, its abortion clinic was also the subject of an ongoing criminal investigation. The partiers “sure know how to have fun!” enthused the Planned Parenthood newsletter.
I cannot imagine that Ms. Sebelius is having much fun this week, but if she is known going forward only for the humiliation of ObamaCare, she will have a better legacy than she deserves as a traitor.

Harry Mason Reid is a traitor.

A July 30, 2012, headline in the Las Vegas Review-Journal alerted Nevadans to Senator Harry Reid’s latest influence-peddling scandal – this one involving ENN Energy Group, a Chinese “green energy” client of the Nevada law firm of which Reid’s son, Rory, is a principal.

As Reuter’s reported on August 31, 2012, “Reid has been one of the project’s most prominent advocates, helping recruit the company during a 2011 trip to China and applying his political muscle on behalf of the project in Nevada. His son, a lawyer with a prominent Las Vegas firm that is representing ENN, helped it locate a 9,000-acre (3,600-hectare) desert site that it is buying well below appraised value from Clark County, where Rory Reid formerly chaired the county commission.”

“Well below appraised value” is a considerable understatement. The deal Rory Reid put together for the firm his dad brought to town saw ENN purchase the site for just $4.5 million – a mere fraction of separate appraisals that valued the property at $29.6 million and $38.6 million. Even with all of that, however, the project has failed to move forward as rapidly as Harry and Rory Reid would like – for the simple reason that there is currently no market in Nevada for the green energy ENN claims it could produce.
But, of course, funneling money to the Reid family is nothing new for the Senate Majority Leader. As the Washington Post reported in a February 7, 2012, story titled “Public projects, private interests:”

In 2004 and 2005, the Senate majority leader secured $21.5 million to build a bridge over the Colorado River, linking the gambling resort town of Laughlin, Nev., with Bullhead City, Ariz. Reid owns 160 acres of undeveloped land in Bullhead City.

And according to Peter Schweizer, writing for Fox News on December 12, 2012, “Sen. Reid has sponsored at least $47 million in earmarks that directly benefitted organizations that one of his sons, Key Reid, [RW1] either lobbies for or is affiliated with.

Needless to say, the well-entrenched Senator Reid has been a repeat offender.

UPDATE: March 14, 2014


Senator Harry Reid (D-NV):

Last year, Harry Reid made the Judicial Watch Ten Worst list for his influence-peddling scandal involving ENN Energy Group, a Chinese “green energy” company for which Reid “applied his political muscle” – and which just happened to be a major client of the Nevada law firm in which Reid’s son, Rory, is a principal.

This year Reid makes the Ten Worst list again. His “friends” list is examined by Frontpage.com:

On Monday, Harry Reid’s close friend and donor, Harvey Whittemore was sentenced to two years in prison for funneling more than $130,000 in illegal campaign funds to Sen. Harry Reid’s re-election committee in 2007 …

According to the Las Vegas Review Journal, Reid and Whittemore go way back; four of Reid’s sons were hired by the law firm in which Harvey Whittemore was a senior partner. Sen. Reid and Whittemore were involved in very big land deals, including federal legislation to help the development of Coyote Springs.

None of which is surprising, since Reid has long-since made funneling money to his family’s enterprises his stock-in-trade. According to Peter Schweizer, writing for Fox News, “Sen. Reid has sponsored at least $47 million in earmarks that directly benefitted organizations that one of his sons, Key Reid, [RW1] either lobbies for or is affiliated with.”

While not teaming up with family members to fleece taxpayers, Reid was teaming up with President Obama to use executive authority to skirt the law. Obama and Reid have long opposed a proposed nuclear waste dump in Yucca Mountain, Nevada, which has already cost U.S. taxpayers an astounding $15 billion, according to various federal audits. So, Obama simply instructed the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to decline to conduct the statutorily mandated Yucca Mountain licensing process, essentially destroying the project.

In mid-August, a federal appellate court ruled that Obama “is simply flouting the law.” According to the court, “It is no overstatement to say that our constitutional system of separation of powers would be significantly altered if we were to allow executive and independent agencies to disregard federal law in the manner asserted in this case by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.”

Topping off the year, on November 21, 2013, – a day which should live in congressional infamy – Reid gutted the long-standing filibuster rules of the U.S. Senate in order to grease the path for Barack Obama’s court appointees. The new Reid rule prevents the minority party from filibustering any nominations other than nods to the Supreme Court. And to effect the change, Reid first triggered the “nuclear option,” which allows a change to Senate rules by majority vote (and which he had adamantly opposed in 2005, calling it “illegal” and “unAmerican”). Minority Leader Mitch McConnell accused Reid of attempting “break the rules of the Senate … in order to change the rules of the Senate.” Not surprisingly, as the Wall Street Journal editorialized,
an ancillary benefit of the rule change is that it will get judges on the DC Court of Appeals who are more friendly to Reid’s agenda.

UPDATE: April 22, 2015

‘I’ve Never Seen Anything So Abhorrent in My Life’: Dana Perino names Harry Reid the most ‘Poisonous Figure’ in D.C.

Fox News host Dana Perino unloaded on Senate Minority Leader Harry Reid (D-Nev.) Tuesday, calling him a “destructive entity” in Washington, D.C.

Perino, who served as press secretary for President George W. Bush, was reacting to a jab Reid took at her former boss Monday.

“I think a lot of the dysfunction in Washington can be traced directly to his doorstep,” she continued. “I think it is very good for the country, for the world, and especially for the Democrats that Harry Reid is retiring. I’ve never seen anything so abhorrent in my life as Harry Reid.”

“He’s an absolutely poisonous figure in Washington, D.C. He’s been a disaster for this country,” Perino told host Hugh Hewitt.

“And he is an equal opportunity basher, right? He goes after everybody,” Perino added. “And I think it is so frankly disgusting, and I’m a pretty level-headed person. But that person, Harry Reid, has been the most destructive entity in Washington when it comes to stability. By far.”

ROBERT “BOB” MENENDEZ

Robert “Bob” Menendez is a traitor.

Senator Robert Menendez (D-NJ) is one of the ten most corrupt politicians for 2012.

As far back as 2007, Sen. Menendez was investigated by a federal grand jury for illegally steering lobbying business to his former chief of staff Kay LiCausi, with whom he was also romantically linked. In just a few years, her firm reported $1.3
million in business with nearly $300,000 coming from a New Jersey medical center that was later awarded government funding thanks to a push from her former boss and lover.

In 2010, Menendez and his colleague in corruption, Sen. Frank Lautenberg (D-NJ), allocated $8 million for a public walkway and park space adjacent to upscale, waterfront condos built by a developer whose executives have donated generously to their political campaigns. The veteran legislators have received about $100,000 in contributions from the developer, according to federal election records. Perhaps not so coincidentally, the developer’s Washington D.C. lobbyist was a longtime senior aide to Menendez.

And to top it all off, in October 2012, The Daily Caller broke the story that two women from the Dominican Republic claimed that the senator had procured their services while on Spring Break at the luxurious Casa de Campo. Then in mid-December, the Associated Press revealed that Menendez employed an illegal immigrant as an unpaid intern in his Senate office who was a registered sex offender.

JESSE JACKSON JR.

Jesse Jackson Jr. is a traitor.

On November 21, 2012, Representative Jesse Jackson resigned from Congress in disgrace, acknowledging in his statement that he had made his “share of mistakes.” This may well be the understatement of year. Jackson has been under federal investigation for alleged campaign finance improprieties, including reportedly using donor dollars to remodel his home and purchase personal gifts, a potential criminal violation. Add to that the fact that Jackson was one of the major figures implicated in the massive scandal involving jailed former Illinois Governor Rod “Blago” Blagojevich, who was brought to justice in 2011 for a number of crimes, including his efforts to “sell” President Obama’s vacant U.S. Senate seat to the highest bidder. The evidence strongly suggests Jackson was one of those bidders.

Because Jackson refused to resign before the November elections, Illinois taxpayers will now be faced with costs of a special election: estimated to cost $5.1 million.

The late great Chicago newspaperman Mike Royko famously said that the official motto of Chicago should be “Ubi Est Mea — Where’s mine?” Clearly, Jackson and his cohorts have taken this motto to heart.

UPDATE: March 26, 2015
Former U.S. Rep. Jesse Jackson Jr. left an Alabama federal prison early Thursday (March 26, 2015) bound for a halfway house, where he begins his transition back into society two years after pleading guilty to spending $750,000 in campaign money on personal items.

The Rev. Jesse Jackson, speaking by phone shortly after picking up his 50-year-old son, described his release from the minimum security federal prison camp at Maxwell Air Force Base in Montgomery, Alabama, as a “joyous reunion.” He added that the younger Jackson was doing “very well.”

It wasn’t immediately clear if Jackson, Illinois Democrat and Inmate No. 32451-016, would live at a halfway house to serve the remainder of his 2 1/2-year term. Another possibility, according to U.S. Bureau of Prison policy, is that he serve out his sentence under home confinement.

A Bureau of Prisons spokesman in Washington, D.C., also later confirmed that Jackson had left the Alabama lockup. But Edmond Ross declined to provide any detail about the next step for Jackson, including which halfway house he might be heading for.

Former U.S. Rep. Patrick Kennedy, who visited Jackson on Monday, said Jackson would go to a facility in Washington, D.C. Jackson began his sentence on Nov. 1, 2013, and his release date is Sept. 20, 2015. After that, Jackson must also spend three years on supervised release under jurisdiction of the U.S. Probation Office and complete 500 hours of community service.

At some point after Jackson is officially no longer a federal prisoner, it will be his wife’s turn to serve out her punishment on a related conviction. Sandra Jackson, a former Chicago alderman, was sentenced to a year in prison for filing false joint federal income tax returns that knowingly understated the income the couple received. In a concession to the couple’s two children, a U.S. judge allowed the Jacksons to stagger their sentences, with the husband going first.

Jackson served in Congress from 1995 until he resigned in November 2012. In June of 2012 he took medical leave for treatment of bipolar disorder and other issues. The Jacksons spent campaign money on fur capes, mounted elk heads, a $43,350, gold-plated men’s Rolex watch and Bruce Lee memorabilia, as well as $9,587.64 on children’s furniture, according to court filings.

During sentencing, the judge scolded Jackson for using campaign funds as a “piggy bank.” Jackson’s resignation ended a once-promising political career that was tarnished by unproven allegations that he was involved in discussions to raise campaign funds for imprisoned former Illinois Gov. Rod Blagojevich in exchange for an appointment to President Barack Obama’s vacated U.S. Senate seat. Jackson has denied the allegations.

Speaking generally about prison policy, Ross said home confinement — even within hours or days of release from prison — is a possibility for some inmates, especially those who have stable home environments to which they can return.
Steven Chu is a traitor.

“The final decisions on Solyndra were mine,” said Secretary of Energy Steven Chu in his testimony before the House Energy and Commerce Oversight Committee on November 17, 2011. And this should be his political epitaph. Chu’s decision to pour $528 million tax dollars into a failing green energy boondoggle that went belly-up in 2011 is indefensible and corrupt, especially in light of the fact that Solyndra’s key investor (Tulsa billionaire George Kaiser) also happens to be a major Obama campaign donor.

On March 12, 2012, Rep. Darrell Issa’s (R-CA) Energy and Oversight Committee exposed the full extent of Chu’s incompetence and corruption in a report citing “numerous examples of dysfunction, negligence and mismanagement by DOE [Department of Energy] officials, raising troubling questions about the leadership at DOE and how it has administered its loan guarantee programs.” The report accused Chu’s DOE of having “turned a blind eye to the risks that have been glaringly apparent since the inception of the program.”

Whether Chu indeed made the “final” decision on Solyndra, or is simply protecting the president and his donor, this is a scandal of a major magnitude. And yet, it is only the tip of the iceberg. As Peter Schweizer, author of the book Throw Them All Out wrote, “According to the Department of Energy’s own numbers … In the 1705 government-backed-loan [green energy] program, $16.4 billion of the $20.5 billion in loans granted … went to companies either run by or primarily owned by Obama financial backers—individuals who were bundlers, members of Obama’s National Finance Committee, or large donors to the Democratic Party.”

ROYCE C. LAMBERTH

Posted May 29, 2013 on Patriot or Traitor
Royce C. Lamberth is a traitor.

In September 2010, Royce C. Lamberth, serving as Chief Judge in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, granted a Department of Justice request to overturn the order of two other federal judges. This decision allowed the Department of Justice to secretly snoop on FOX News reporter James Rosen.

Documents show that two judges separately declared that the Department of Justice was required to notify James Rosen of the search warrant, even if the notification came after a delay. Otherwise: “The subscriber therefore will never know, by being provided a copy of the warrant, for example, that the government secured a warrant and searched the contents of her e-mail account,” Judge John M. Facciola wrote in an opinion rejecting the (Department of Justice) Obama Administration’s argument.

The New Yorker’s Ryan Lizza, a bulldog on the Department of Justice/Fox News secret subpoena story, reports that the effort by the Department of Justice to obtain the controversial court order was arduous, contentious and unsuccessful until finally Royce C. Lamberth the third judge acquiesced.

Judge Royce C. Lamberth is a traitor in violating the U.S. Constitution, for journalists should not be at legal risk for doing their jobs.

Note: Attorney General Eric Holder (another traitor) personally signed off on the warrant itself that allowed the Department of Justice to search Fox News reporter James Rosen’s personal email.

Lois Lerner is a traitor.

Lois G. Lerner is a Traitor.

Lois G. Lerner, the Director of Exempt Organizations for the Internal Revenue Service – who refused to testify before a House committee by invoking the Fifth Amendment – has a paper trail that reveals her direct involvement in sending intrusive and harassing questionnaires to Tea Party groups in 2012.

Lerner signed letters that were sent to targeted groups asking them to turn over an extensive amount of information to the IRS. Earlier this week Lerner refused to testify before the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee, but not before proclaiming that she had “done nothing wrong” or “broken any laws.”
Lie No. 1: Lois Lerner’s apology last Friday was a spontaneous reaction to an unexpected question from an unknown audience member. In fact, the question came from tax lawyer and lobbyist Celia Roady. Ms. Roady has some interesting career highlights: She was part of the 1997 ethics investigation of Newt Gingrich, but, more to the point, she was appointed to the IRS’s Advisory Council on Tax-Exempt and Government Entities by IRS commissioner Douglas Shulman. She is a longtime colleague of Lerner, who is director of tax-exempt organizations. Ms. Roady has declined to comment on whether her question was planted, but it obviously was. The IRS had contacted reporters and encouraged them beforehand to attend the otherwise un-newsworthy event, and it had an entire team of press handlers on hand. So what we have is the staged rollout of what turns out to be — given the rest of this list — a disinformation campaign.

Lie No. 2: Lerner said about 280 organizations were given extra scrutiny, about 75 of them tea-party groups or similar organizations. The actual number of organizations that were targeted is closer to 500.

Lie No. 3: This was the work of low-level grunts in Cincinnati. In truth, very senior people within the IRS, including its top lawyer, were aware of the situation, and had been since at least 2011. The home office in Washington was very much involved in the process.

Lie No. 4: Lerner says that the situation came to her attention through allegations from tea-party groups carried in media reports. In fact, the matter has been under both internal and external investigation for some time.

Lie No. 5: Lerner says she put an end to the practice as soon as she found out about it. In fact, the IRS continued to do precisely the same thing, only monkeying a little bit with the language: Instead of targeting “tea party” groups explicitly, it targeted those groups with an interest in such esoterica as limited government, the Constitution, the Bill of Rights, etc.

Lie No. 6: She says that the commissioner of the IRS didn’t know about the targeting project. While the targeting was going on, Ms. Lerner’s boss was being asked some very pointed questions by Congress on the subject of targeting tea-party groups. He enthusiastically denied that any such thing was going on, in direct contravention of the facts. Ms. Lerner says he didn’t know about the situation, because it was confined to those aforementioned plebs in Cincinnati. But given that this was not the case, her explaining away the commissioner’s untrue statements to Congress is a lie based on another lie — a compound lie, if you will. And acting commissioner Steven Miller was briefed on the situation in May of 2012 — and then declined to share his knowledge of it with Congress when asked about it during a hearing in July.

Lie No. 7: Lerner says she came forward with her apology unprompted by any special consideration. In fact, an inspector general’s report was about to be released, making the matter public.

Lie No. 8: When Congress was investigating complaints from conservative groups, Lerner told them that she could not release information about organizations with pending applications. But her group was in fact releasing such information — to the left-leaning news organization ProPublica, rather than to congressional investigators.

Lie No. 9: Lerner says that there was no political pressure to investigate tea-party groups. In fact, Senator Carl Levin (D., Mich.) repeatedly pressed the agency to investigate conservative groups falling under Lerner’s jurisdiction. What we have, then, is this: Under a Democratic administration, the IRS was under pressure from Democratic elected officials to investigate political enemies of the Democratic party. The agency did so. Its commissioner lied to Congress about its doing so. When the inspector general’s report was about to make these abuses public, the agency staged a classic Washington Friday news rollout at a sleepy American Bar Association tax-law conference, hoping to minimize the bad publicity. Lerner lied to the public about the nature, scope, and extent of the IRS intimidation campaign.

That she has a job today is a scandal in itself. She’ll be receiving an award — for public service! — from the Western New England University School of Law on May 18. An orange jumpsuit would suit her better than academic robes.

Lerner has been placed on administrative leave, reportedly after she refused to resign from the agency.

Only a person lacking a sense of honor and integrity would cling to their job in the face of the horrendous damage caused to the agency they work for, to her superiors and to the welfare of the Republic if her mistakes prompt even more IRS budget cuts.
No one in this century has done more to breed disrespect for our tax system than Lois G. Lerner, undermining the public confidence on which voluntary compliance rests.

Lerner’s resignation should be as forthright as it should be immediate. No excuses. She should make an unqualified apology that states “I failed to do my job. I apologize for all the harm I have done. I will cooperate in every way with those who will, and should, examine every aspect of my indefensible errors in judgment.”

Anything less than that will only add to her disgrace.

Ms. Lerner, you are a traitor, and have you no decency? At long last, have you no shame?

UPDATE: April 2, 2015

The Justice Department will not seek criminal contempt charges against former IRS official Lois Lerner, the central figure in a scandal that erupted over whether the tax agency improperly targeted conservative political groups.

Ronald Machen, the former U.S. attorney for the District of Columbia, told House Speaker John Boehner (R-Ohio) in a seven-page letter this week that he would not bring a criminal case to a grand jury over Lerner’s refusal to testify before the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee in March 2014. The House approved a criminal contempt resolution against Lerner in May 2014, and Machen’s office has been reviewing the issue since then.

“One again, the Obama administration has tried to sweep IRS targeting of taxpayers for their political beliefs under the rug,” said Boehner spokesman Michael Steel.

Rep. Mark Meadows (R-N.C.), one of several House Oversight Committee members says, “Justice has failed to take the IRS matter seriously, and the decision offers little assurance to the American taxpayer that the department is actually investigating this abuse of power.”

Rep. Jim Jordan (R-Ohio), who led the IRS probe in the House, knocked Machen in a statement for “using his power as a political weapon to undermine the rule of law.”

“Mr. Machen … unilaterally decided to ignore the will of the House of Representatives,” Jordan said. “He and the Justice Department have given Lois Lerner cover for her failure to account for her actions at the IRS.”

Nevertheless, Lois Lerner along with other treasonous IRS officials are still under investigation by the FBI for the tea party targeting matter — which is a separate probe entirely.
Dianne Feinstein is a traitor.

Dianne Feinstein has funneled over 1 billion in contracts to her husband Richard Blum’s URS … just one of his companies to which she has funneled money stolen from the people of America. She has also with our money helped him profit from bankster foreclosures.

Feinstein was forced to resign from the military construction appropriations subcommittee because of her criminal acts. She deliberately falsified intelligence and voted, unlike most Democrats, to give the unelected Bush authority to rain fire and death on Iraq.

Feinstein was a tool of the Israeli government in claiming falsely in 2002 that Saddam Hussein had nuclear weapons.

Feinstein opposes assault weapons in the US while voting for 30 billion dollars a year for assault weapons, fighter jets, and other armsmongering for the fraudulently installed Netanyahu government. Her husband Richard Blum was involved in Cosco’s gun running in San Diego. Her husband’s investment in Chinese shipping is one of many factors in the failure of the US government to inspect more than 5% of incoming crates.

Her husband’s role as past chairman and present member of the Univ of Calif. Board of Overseers has helped make that state the most aggressive denier of the 1st amendment for peaceful protesters against UC vivisection. Blum was active in lobbying for AETA and pressuring for its unconstitutional enforcement.

Feinstein has many times violated the sovereign will of the people of California, ignoring their overwhelming support for immediate withdrawal from Iraq and Afghanistan.

The government’s investigations of the Feinstein/Blum corrupt connections to Chinese money have only partially been investigated. Like those of Mitt Romney, Henry Kissinger, Henry Paulson, Warren Buffett and Rupert Murdoch, Feinstein’s Chinese money connections are in conflict with the interests of the people of the US and in conflict with the role of an intelligence chairman.

In 1994, Feinstein ignored human rights issues in China and led the effort to renew most-favored-nation trade status for China at a time when her husband was preparing to invest $150 million of his clients’ money, along with $2 million to $3 million of his own, in China.

Feinstein has been silent about the 911 Israeli govt’s role in the WTC plot.

Feinstein pushed for the leasing of a South California naval base to a Chinese company Cosco with which her husband has financial ties.
UPDATE: March 20, 2015

Dianne Feinstein’s husband wins near-billion dollar California ‘high speed rail’ contract.

To the surprise of absolutely no one familiar with the ways of Corruptifornia, the one-party state completely in the hands of the Democrats, a consortium whose lead firm is controlled by Richard Blum, husband of Sen. Diane Feinstein, was awarded a nearly billion-dollar contract for the construction of the first phase of the so-called high-speed rail line to link San Francisco and Los Angeles. Those paying attention to the project call it the “half-fast” rail line because it will share trackage with conventional commuter rail trains in the sprawling Los Angeles and San Francisco areas, lowering its average speed to levels achieved by American railways a century ago.

Crazifornia.com writes:

The Perini-Zachary-Parsons bid was the lowest received from the five consortia participating in the bidding process, but “low” is a relative term. The firms bid $985,142,530 to build the wildly anticipated first section of high speed rail track that will tie the megopolis of Madera to the global finance center of Fresno. Do the division, and you find that the low bid came in at a mere $35 million per mile.

And that doesn’t include the cost of rolling stock (that’s engines and cars to the normal among us). Nor does it include the cost of electrifying the route. Does it at least include the cost of land acquisition? No, it does not.

As this fiasco progress, remember that this $35 million per mile represents the best California can do on the section of track the High on Crack Speed Rail Authority selected to go first because it will be the cheapest. Tutor-Perini, the lead contractor controlled by Dianne Feinstein’s hubby, has an (ahem) interesting history. From The National Black Chamber of Commerce:

According to the New York US Attorney’s office: “Following a four-week trial, a federal jury in Brooklyn yesterday (March 9, 2011) found Zohrab B. Marashlian, the former president of Perini Corp.’s Civil Division, an international construction services corporation, guilty of fraud and conspiracy to launder money. The charges arose out of Marashlian’s false representation to New York government agencies that Disadvantaged Business Entities (DBE’s) were performing work in connection with major public works contracts, when, in reality, Marashlian had non-disadvantaged businesses favored by Perini Corp. do the work.” Tutor Perini paid Marashlian $14 million in salary while all this was going on. Two days before Marashlian was to receive a multi-year prison sentence he committed suicide. A fellow employee is currently doing a long prison term for the same case.

Perini has been caught doing such things over and over again. They are absolutely ridiculous in California projects. …

According to the Seattle News some of the Perini headlines read: “In February, Tutor-Saliba and Perini agreed to pay $19 million to settle racketeering and fraud allegations in a San Francisco airport project.” … “The companies are embroiled in an 11–year legal battle over $16 million in extra costs on a Los Angeles subway job.”

There is no doubt Dianne Feinstein and her husband are self serving traitors, especially in the world of high-speed rail that is sure to cost at least twice what it is budgeted at (just south of a hundred billion dollars), take five hours for a journey that takes an hour or so by air, and which will have to charge much more than airlines do for the trip.
George Walker Bush, the 43rd President of the United States is a traitor.

1) George W. Bush admitted to ordering the National Security Agency to conduct electronic surveillance of American civilians without seeking warrants from the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court of Review, duly constituted by Congress in 1978, in violation of Title 50 United States Code, Section 1805.

2) George W. Bush intentionally misled the Congress and the public regarding the threat from Iraq in order to justify a war against Iraq, intentionally conspired with others to defraud the United States in connection with the war against Iraq in violation of Title 18 United States Code, Section 371.

In the process, it has been calculated by the Center for Public Integrity and the Fund for Independence in Journalism, two non-profit making journalistic watchdog Organizations, that Bush and the members of his regime lied no more than 532 times, “in an orchestrated campaign to effectively galvanise public opinion, and in so doing, take the nation to war based upon false pretexts”.

3) George W. Bush failed to provide US soldiers with bulletproof vests or appropriately armored vehicles. A recent Pentagon study disclosed that proper bulletproof vests would have saved hundreds of lives. Furthermore, as the Downing Street memo revealed, they had no serious plan for the aftermath of the war. President Bush demonstrated a complete disregard for the welfare of the troops and an utter indifference to the need for proper governance of a country after occupation. The result has been a war that has cost U.S. taxpayers at least $757.8 billion with 6,648 U.S. soldiers killed and over 32,000 wounded.

4) George W. Bush conspired to commit the torture of prisoners in violation of the “Federal Torture Act” Title 18 United States Code, Section 113C, the UN Torture Convention and the Geneva Convention, which under Article VI of the Constitution are part of the “supreme Law of the Land.

In addition, George W. Bush enters history as the President who did his best to flout constitutional, federal and international law; deride the international community, and created the conditions for the catastrophic economic meltdown which cost the livelihoods of millions of American citizens.

It should be further remembered George W. Bush said, “I don’t give a goddamn. I’m the President and the Commander-in-Chief. Do it my way. . . Stop throwing the Constitution in my face. It’s just a goddamned piece of paper!”
The fact that on his farewell speech he could claim that he can look in the mirror and feel great about himself is a telling and revealing statement that here is one callous, arrogant and self-opinionated bigot who served only as the most classic example to highlight all the evils which his enemies had levelled at the United States of America.

ERIC HIMPTON HOLDER, JR.

Eric Himpton Holder, Jr. is a traitor.

The anti-Second Amendment US Attorney General Eric Holder is working toward limiting individuals’ ownership of guns and making ammunition almost impossible to obtain. Holder’s secret “watch lists” ostensibly contain the names of the Obama opposition members and they would be denied legal gun ownership. Holder is also calling for severe restrictions on the sale and tracking of guns and ammunition.

Second Amendment Foundation founder Alan Gottlieb said: “Eric Holder signed an amicus brief in the Heller case that supported the District of Columbia’s handgun ban, and also argued that the Second Amendment does not protect an individual right.” Holder’s actions state loudly that he does not believe private citizens have to right to own guns. Apparently, he believes only governments should own them — a belief held by each and every tyrant and tyrant-supporter on the planet.

In order to establish gun control on the US population, Obama and lackey Eric Holder devised the “Operation Gunwalker (aka “Gunrunner” in 2009) Fast and Furious” which placed guns in the hands of the Mexican drug cartels. As no follow-up of where the guns ended up was planned by the illegal Eric Holder, none occurred. However, the guns did turn up at one crime scene after another in both the USA and Mexico. Agent Brian Terry was murdered by a drug cartel member with an Obama and Holder provided gun.

Obama and Holder had sought to blame everything on gun shop owners — the ones they told would be harmed one way or another if they didn’t play ball and provide the illegal guns to the cartels — but, they were caught red handed. However, they are still — despite also being caught with their pants down — pushing to end US citizens’ gun rights.

If that isn’t enough, Eric Holder is now working to not only create almost unlimited regulations to gun ownership but, to make it so expensive that no one — except the Obama Secret Police — will be able to afford them. Holder is also attempting to force ammunition manufacturers into placing an identification number on each and every bullet. Of course, due to insanely prohibitive costs, this would effectively destroy the industry; for all, that is, except those selected by Obama and Holder.

On another issue, we are already witnessing the coordinated “black flash mob” phenomena occurring in cities across the USA. These mobs are attacking white people, at will. In response Eric Holder’s election “civil rights” division has determined that white people no longer have the protection of US law. Only minorities (if they vote “left”) can now count on the misnamed
“Department of Justice” to dole out anything resembling fair treatment. So, the Fourteenth Amendment’s “equal protection under the law” clause has now been eliminated for white folk whom Holder says ‘haven’t suffered enough’.

You must follow the dictates by Eric Holder that no voter intimidation by black people against white people will be prosecuted and if a protected class of individuals — i.e. the New Black Panthers — are convicted of crimes the convictions were (illegally) overturned. You must remember and be prepared to quote — verbatim — Holder’s words: “When you compare what people endured in the South in the 60s to try to get the right to vote for African Americans, to compare what people subjected to that with what happened in Philadelphia [to white people], which was inappropriate .. .to describe it in those terms I think does a great disservice to people who put their lives on the line for MY people!” and “To compare that kind of courage, that kind of action, to say some Black Panther incident is of greater concern to us, historically, I think just flies in the face of history!” If you’re Caucasian, you must be prepared to sneer at yourself each time you see a mirror and apologize profusely to everyone you pass on the street for your race.

Eric Holder has thrown out the legally obtained conviction of New Black Panthers in the lawsuit that showed some of the worst election intimidation on record. And, this same Eric Holder said of the corrupt and criminal ACORN enterprise — after Congress voted to no longer provide it monies — that the US government would still fund it.

Recently, Obama’s government schools have taken aim at school children (as young as 5 years of age) by suspending or expelling them if they even use a sentence that has the word “gun” in it or point their tiny fingers in the shape of an imaginary gun. And, also recently, Eric Holder’s DOJ argued in court that children do not have the “right” to a Mother. I think we know where this will be going, as Holder has hinted at government ownership of children…not parents. Note: There are so many of these since the beginning of 2013 that it has become difficult to keep up with the incidents.

The most chilling thing Holder has done is tell a US senator there is a circumstance where the federal government could target and kill someone, who is a citizen, on US soil without charge or trial. This refusal by Eric Holder to rule out the possibility of assassinating American citizens and on American soil is more than frightening – it is an affront on the Constitutional due process rights of all Americans.

Eric Holder has proven himself to be an absolute menace to liberty and freedom, and is without doubt a traitor.

---

**UPDATE: January 14, 2014**


**Attorney General Eric Holder:**

Attorney General Holder has become a regular on the Ten Most Wanted Corrupt Politicians list.

In May 2013, Holder may well have committed perjury when he was involved in a back-and-forth with Rep. Hank Johnson (D-GA) about whether the Department of Justice (DOJ) could prosecute reporters under the Espionage Act for publishing classified material. In response to Johnson’s interrogatories Holder made the following statement: “In regard to potential prosecution of the press for the disclosure of material – this is not something I’ve ever been involved in, heard of, or would think would be wise policy.”

Since Holder made that statement, NBC news reported that the attorney general had approved a search warrant for the email account and phone records of Fox News reporter James Rosen. As Hotair.com said at the time: “There is no other way to view this except as a lie. Even if Holder wasn’t under oath, that would constitute a felony punishable by up to five years in prison. It certainly should produce at least a resignation, and almost assuredly would require the appointment of a special prosecutor ….”

Time and again in recent years, Judicial Watch has had to take legal action to prevent Holder’s DOJ from bludgeoning states over taking steps to prevent voter fraud. After a June Supreme Court ruling striking down a Voting Rights Act requirement requiring certain states and local jurisdictions to get permission from the DOJ or a federal judge before enacting voting law
changes, Holder announced his intention to skirt the law. In a speech in September at a convention of the Congressional Black Caucus Foundation, Holder vowed that the DOJ would find ways to try to accomplish the goals of the section of the law that was struck down.

As a result, Judicial Watch went to court in North Carolina in early December to defend the State of North Carolina against a DOJ lawsuit to prevent enforcement of the state’s recently passed law HB 589, which simply requires that voters present a photo ID before casting their ballots. As PJ Media explains it:

Judicial Watch uncovered collusion between radical leftist groups and the administration to attack voter integrity laws around the nation. Indeed, the [Judicial Watch] brief notes:

On July 29, 2013, a group of political activists attended a meeting at the White House with Attorney General Holder, Labor Secretary (and former Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights) Tom Perez, and President Obama. Those attending included representatives from the ACLU, the NAACP, and the Rev. Al Sharpton. Mr. Sharpton told an interviewer for MSNBC that, based on what he heard at that meeting, he expected action regarding North Carolina ‘when this governor signs the bill.’

The DOJ is similarly assaulting Texas in federal court as part of this ideological effort to suppress efforts to protect election integrity.

More than a dozen states—including Kansas, Indiana, Tennessee and Wisconsin—have similar laws that require voters to show government-issued photo identification at the polls, and Obama’s attorney general has launched a campaign to challenge them all.

The Holder DOJ is clearly hostile to the idea of one person, one vote, one time.

Yet, even with all of that, Holder’s malfeasance doesn’t stop there. In August Judicial Watch released DOJ documents highlighting over $4.2 million in accrued travel expenses by Mr. Holder from March 2008 until August 2012; of which $697,525.20 were personal travel expenses. All, of course, at taxpayer expense. Add to this Holder’s continued stonewalling on the “Fast & Furious” gun-running scandal and it is all too obvious that Eric Holder’s corruption knows no limits.

UPDATE: May 15, 2014

The treasonous Attorney General Eric Holder, made infamous by Operation Fast and Furious, is currently arguing before the Supreme Court that United Nations treaties trump the United States Constitution.

That’s right. The sitting Attorney General, charged with upholding and defending the Constitution, is arguing before the highest court that international law is in fact the law of the land.

The case in question, Bond v. United States, is actually pretty ridiculous. The defendant is charged with using a toxic substance to harass a friend who was having an affair with her husband. Under the law, this case would normally be handled at the State-level. But Federal prosecutors instead charged Bond with violating the Chemical Weapons Convention. This would be like taking a perpetrator of a domestic hate crime and instead charging him or her with genocide.

A victory in this case would represent a grave risk to the sovereignty of this great country and the supremacy of the U.S. Constitution. All of which is directly attributed to the growing treasonous acts of Attorney General Eric Himpton Holder, Jr.

NOTE: The case of BOND v. UNITED STATES was completed as of June 2, 2014:

CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT
No. 12–158.
Argued November 5, 2013
Decided June 2, 2014
Bond v. United States, (2014) is a follow-up to the Supreme Court’s 2011 case of the same name. The 2011 case found that individuals as well as states can bring a Tenth Amendment challenge to federal law. The case was remanded from the Supreme Court to the Third Circuit for decision on the merits, and the Third Circuit found against Bond. On appeal, the Supreme Court ruled that the Chemical Warfare Act (CWA) did not reach Bond’s actions, thus she could not be charged.

**UPDATE: September 26, 2014**

Attorney General Eric Holder has announced his resignation, and he will step down as soon as a successor is appointed to replace him.

What took so long? Eric Holder did not deserve to stay in office, let alone six years.

His prior activist antics should have disqualified him from nomination. During his youthful, thug-life college days, he took over any empty ROTC office as part of the Columbia University Student protests.

His take on these acts of aggression:

“I was among a large group of students who felt strongly about the way we thought the world should be, and we weren’t afraid to make our opinions heard. I did not take a final exam until my junior year at Columbia—we were on strike every time finals seemed to roll around—but we ran out of issues by that third year.”

He didn’t respect the rule of law then, and refused to submit for college exams, too?

Then there were comments like these which revealed Holder’s radical and hypocritical agenda:

One thing that is clear with young people and with adults as well is that we have to be repetitive about this [gun control]. We have to brainwash people into thinking about guns in a vastly different way.

In Eric Holder, President Obama found an attorney general who would lie, obstruct investigations, and ignore or simply not enforce the law in order to advance his president’s unbridled will.

Holder was chief attack dog bullying lesser government entities (city or state) to comply with the bankrupt, immoral worldview of progressive liberalism.

During his confirmation hearing on the subject, Holder tried to backtrack from these comments? His excuse? “I was talking about black people.” Well.

Instead of chief legal counsel to hold all branches of government accountable, Holder was chief attack dog bullying lesser government entities (city or state) to comply with the bankrupt, immoral worldview of progressive liberalism: ignoring IRS abuses, seizing the phone records of Associates Press, and nationalizing Obama’s reckless, executive illegality.

When the American People wanted border security, Attorney General Holder responded with Operation Fast and Furious. This felony-stupid gun-running program armed Mexican drug cartels with automatic weapons in an attempt to track down the whereabouts of these notorious criminal organizations. The program backfired, literally, killing American Department of Justice members. Sadly, a complacent and complicit media enabled this Attorney General to wage war on the rule of law, all while claiming to defend it. Only the concerted efforts of conservative political cartoonists and Tea Party advocates maintained aim on the attorney general and the unjust Dept. of Justice.

Holder refused to defend the Defense of Marriage Act and to uphold the proper definition of marriage. Black pastors around the country rallied against this man, circulating a petition to have Holder impeached. While he claimed that investigations into the voting rights abuses at polling stations would “demean his people”, he neglected to honor their staunch support for marriage defined as one man and one woman.
When the United States Supreme Court struck down key portions of the 1965 Civil Rights Act, Holder challenged the ruling, as well as ID laws which have ensure the integrity of the voting process. Still playing the race card and intimidating local governments to comply with the twisted, anti-American vision of the Obama Administration, Holder and the DOJ threatened to sue the little town of Lomita, CA, when the city council voted against the expansion of a Mosque. City leaders and their representatives argued at length their concerns with higher traffic congestion and quality of life issues on Lomita streets, local antagonists charged Islam-phobia. The Department of Justice investigated the proceedings from Lomita planning commissions, then threatened the city to permit the expansion or face a lawsuit. With no resources to sustain a defense in federal court, the city relented.

The latest race-baiting prosecution would focus on the riots in Ferguson, Missouri following the death of Michael Brown, the not-so-gentle giant who had robbed a convenience store and resisted the police. Instead of supporting the peace officers and public safety, Holder announced intentions to investigate the police department. The Attorney General transformed himself into General in the army of Liberalism, culminating in a derogatory, legal smears against the Ferguson police.

While Holder announced his resignation yesterday, calls for his resignation have remained long and uninterrupted.

Freshman Tea Party Congressman Raul Labrador interrogated Holder at length about his routine and repeated habit of ignorance about criminal investigations and unseemly conduct from key investigators, who refused to turn over evidence on Operation Fast and Furious. The full House held the Attorney General in contempt, yet no one has brought him to justice. Later, US Senator John Cornyn denounced the Attorney General in one indictment after another, then called for his resignation.

Holder, his appointees, and his agency have lied repeatedly to Congress and the American people. He has damaged the Justice Department by putting politics, ideology, and race above the rule of law. Disturbingly, Holder refused to enforce the law in a race-neutral manner. And, when it comes to government transparency, Holder’s Justice Department became one of the worst violators of the Freedom of Information Act, manufacturing new legal excuses for government secrecy that would make Richard Nixon blush.

Holder and his agency assaulted Freedom of the Press by collecting the private email correspondence of reporters, seizing their phone records and tracking their movements as part of an investigation of perceived leaks.

When the United States needed law enforcement, Holder played the race card. When the Constitution deserved defense, he offended its fundamental premises. To the very end, Attorney General Eric Holder ia a traitor.

---

**UPDATE: January 15, 2015**

Snopes confirms open letter blasting Holder is from retired FBI agent

There is quite a buzz surrounding an open letter from a retired FBI agent to Attorney General Eric Holder. So much so that Snopes looked into it and confirms that it is indeed from retired FBI Special Agent K. Dee McCown, currently Director, Global Security and Loss Prevention at W.W. Grainger, Inc.

The criticisms of Attorney General Eric Holder will sound familiar to many readers, but coming from someone who rose to the rank of Special Agent, they carry weight.

Here is the letter:

```
K. Dee McCown
College Station, Texas
December 28, 2014
Attorney General Eric Holder
U.S. Department of Justice
```
Dear Attorney General Holder,

It is unlikely that we met while I served in the FBI. That being said, we served at the Department of Justice (DOJ) during the same years and on the same “team” conceptually speaking. During my service in the FBI I worked with a number of U.S. Attorney Offices in the United States to include a tour at FBIHQ where I worked with the Department of Justice (Main) on a daily basis.

I begin my letter with this comment to highlight that I am not a bystander on the topic of law enforcement in the United States. I worked and managed a variety of federal investigations during my 12 years of service in the FBI, to include the management of several Civil Rights cases in the State of Texas. In fact, during my last tour in the Bureau, I was an FBI Supervisor responsible for managing federal investigations in nine (9) Texas counties, many of which were rural; in places where one would suspect racism to flourish given the narrative often pushed by Hollywood and urban progressive elites like yourself. I performed this mission diligently and under the close supervision of two FBI managers; an Assistant Special Agent in Charge (ASAC) and Special Agent in Charge (SAC,) both of which happened to be African American and outstanding law enforcement professionals. I also performed this mission serving side by side with a variety of law enforcement agencies at the Federal, State and local level.

I have observed you closely during your tenure as Attorney General and notably during these last tumultuous years; watching you negotiate a number of controversial public matters to include the ATF Fast and Furious scandal, Black Panther Party intimidation at voting booths, IRS targeting of American citizens (citizen groups opposed to the Obama Administration,) the ignoring of US Immigration laws, DOJ criminal indictments of select news reporters and your management of several high profile criminal investigations involving subjects of race, notably African Americans.

Until today, I chose to hold my tongue. However, with the assassination of two NYPD Lieutenants last weekend in New York City, at the hands of a African American man with a lengthy criminal record, fresh from his participation in anti-police activities; coupled with numerous “don’t shoot, hands up,” and “black lives matter” anti-police protests (some of which are violent) occurring daily around the nation, I am compelled to write you this letter.

To be blunt Mr. Holder, I am appalled at your lack of leadership as the Attorney General of the United States and your blatant politicizing of the Department of Justice. Your actions, both publicly and privately, have done nothing to quell the complex racial issues we face in our country and have done everything to inflame them. As the “top cop” of the United States, you share in the blame for much of the violence and protests we are now witnessing against law enforcement officers honorably serving throughout our nation.

During one of your first public speeches as Attorney General you made it a point to call America “a nation of cowards” concerning race relations. That speech, followed by other public announcements where you emphatically opined that the odds were stacked against African Americans in regard to the enforcement of law, your intention to change the law and permit convicted felons to vote after incarceration, and your changes to federal law ending “racial profiling,” are poignant examples of how detached you remain from the challenges faced by law enforcement officers serving in crime ridden neighborhoods throughout the nation.

These opinions are also indicative of a man that lives and works in the elitist “bubble” of Washington D.C.

Your performance, as the nation’s Attorney General, during the Trayvon Martin case in Sanford, Florida and the Michael Brown case in Ferguson, Missouri clearly highlights your myopic view on this topic. Contrary to your embarrassing prejudgment in the Brown case and evasive post trial remarks on the Martin case, neither Brown nor Martin were targeted and/or killed because of their African American race.
Rather, as non-emotive investigations determined, both teens died as a consequence of their own tragic and egregious behavior; behavior that involved a violent assault on a law abiding citizen in the Trayvon Martin case, and a violent assault on a young police officer in the Michael Brown case. Yet you, as the number one spokesman for law enforcement in the country, blame the deaths of these men on years of institutional racism and the alleged epidemic targeting of African American men by police departments around the country; nothing could be further from the truth. Following the Michael Brown case Grand Jury decision all you could muster was the following comment: ‘The Department of Justice is currently investigating not only the shooting but also the Ferguson police department in what is called a “patterns and practices” inquiry to determine if the police department has engaged in systematic racism.”

So, let’s get this straight. At a decisive moment in history when our nation required a strong and unbiased voice from its’ senior law enforcement official, you Mr. Holder, made it your personal mission to join with other racial antagonist and politicize a tragic event, accusing a young white police officer of a racially motivated killing in what we now know was a justified self-defense shooting of a predatory felon. Your behavior is unbelievable. You sir, have sacrificed your integrity on the altar of political expediency. You, Mr. Holder, are the “coward” and hypocrite you so loudly denounce when speaking of broken race relations in America.

Further to this point Mr. Holder, law enforcement officers around the country remain dismayed and shocked at the counsel you keep; that being your close relationship with none other than Al Sharpton, a racist “shake down artist” who spreads hate, divisiveness and the promotion of anti-law enforcement sentiment throughout the country; a tax evading fraudster who has unbelievably visited the White House over 80 times in recent years. It is simply beyond my comprehension as a former federal law enforcement professional, that you, the Attorney General of the United States, joined arms in common cause with a charlatan like “the Reverend” Al Sharpton; and it speaks volumes to your personal character and lack of professional judgment.

Violent crime, out of wedlock births, drug abuse, rampant unemployment and poverty found in many low-income minority neighborhoods are not a result of racist community policing and racial profiling as you so quickly assert, and frankly most law abiding Americans are exhausted of hearing this false narrative repeated time and again by you and others in the racial grievance industry. While no one, me included, would ever suggest that African Americans have not suffered from institutional racism in the past, I would strongly argue that we no longer live in the Mississippi of 1965, nor do we live in a country that even closely resembles the “Jim Crow” South of yesteryear. Those days, thankfully, are in the past as are the generations of Americans that supported such egregious behavior and endured such suffering.

Rather, Mr. Holder, we live in a day and time where the root cause of many problems faced in our African American communities can be attributed to the breakdown of civil order due to the rejection of institutional and family authority and the practice of counter-culture values; and most notably, from the absence of strong male leadership in fatherless black families. The reason that our local police officers are so often entwined in tragic events in black communities is because it is the police that have filled the void in these communities that should be occupied by moral and strong black men leading family units with Godly values. You, Mr. Holder, especially, should be thanking the police rather than persecuting them for the gap they fill in these communities because if it were not for the intervention of local police many African American neighborhoods would be in a state of total anarchy.

Yet tragically, you and your race-baiting colleague Al Sharpton (a paid media personality under contract with MSNBC news) choose to remain silent because to publicly speak this self-evident truth threatens to not only alienate and offend the most loyal voting constituency of the Democratic Party but diminish your and Al Sharpton’s self-serving power base in these suffering communities. God forbid that you would suggest individual citizens accept responsibility for their own behavior and the collective failure of their communities; it is so much easier for you and others like you to make excuses, play the victim card, and pander rather than address the real root causes that plague many low income neighborhoods.

Mr. Holder, the public is aware of FBI statistics that tell a different story than the one you and Sharpton preach. We know that young African American males, representing a tiny fraction of the U.S. population, are by far the greatest perpetrators of violent crime in America when compared to their peers in other ethnic groups, and, we know that citizens of African American descent overwhelmingly make up the majority of their victims. We also know that
incidents where white police officers shoot and kill black perpetrators are rare and on the decline. We know further that although there are legitimate and bona fide Federal Civil Rights investigations in the United States worthy of pursuing, they are miniscule when compared to the false narrative portrayed by you, President Obama and Sharpton declaring rampant discrimination against African American men by police officers throughout the country. You are just plain wrong.

In closing Mr. Holder I will leave you with this thought; you were given a rare opportunity to lead with integrity during a variety of divisive and controversial issues during your tenure as the 82d Attorney General of the United States and rather than be a man of moral courage you chose instead to cower, further inflame racial tensions, advance false narratives and play progressive political activist.

Time and again you chose to “ politicize” the mission of the Department of Justice rather than pursue justice and now, tragically, we are witnessing the fruits of your irresponsible behavior in the murder of two innocent police officers in New York City, assassinated by a man motivated by the flames of racial hatred that you personally fanned. How many more police officers will be injured or die in the coming days because of the perilous conditions you helped create in this nation. You, President Obama and Al Sharpton own this problem lock, stock and barrel and now it is your legacy.

As thousands of NYPD officers turn their collective back on New York Mayor Bill de Blasio, another dishonest politician and Sharpton disciple, so too do countless Federal law enforcement officers turn our backs on you.

K. Dee McCown
FBI (1997–2008)

CC: Senator Mitch McConnell
Senator John Cornyn
Senator Ted Cruz
Senator Harry Reid
The Honorable Bill Flores
The Honorable John Boehner
The Honorable Nancy Pelosi

---

UPDATE: January 17, 2015

Give the treasonous attorney general, Eric Holder, credit for doing the right thing for once, although he did wait six years to do it.

State and local police in the United States will no longer be able to use federal laws to justify seizing personal property without evidence of a crime, U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder said on Friday, January 16, 2015.

Holder cited “safeguarding civil liberties” as a reason for the change in policy.

The order directs federal agencies who have collected property during such seizures to withdraw their participation, except if the items collected could endanger the public, as in the case of firearms.

Holder said the ban was the first step in a comprehensive review the Justice Department has launched of the program.

---

UPDATE: April 26, 2015

In a just and genuinely transparent society, Eric Holder would leave Washington, D.C. bound in handcuffs and ankle bracelets, and transported via armored truck, or government drone, to a maximum security federal facility for the criminally insane, where he would spend the rest of his days enduring the bitter fruits of his crimes.
His crimes include participation in, and cover up of, the scandalous “Fast and Furious” gunrunning scheme, a ploy designed to embarrass 2nd Amendment advocates, but which backfired miserably into a major exposé of corruption and crime in the Obama administration.

For his criminal behavior, Holder was issued a “Contempt of Congress” from the US House of Representatives, the first and only such pejorative issued to the head of the Department of Justice.

Holder’s “Contempt of Congress” from the US House of Representative

Holder further disgraced his high public office of trust by suborning justice in the case of Lois Lerner, the IRS ‘professional’ who deliberately manipulated IRS resources against conservatives in an unlawful attempt to inject “Nixonian” tactics into the play book of the ‘most transparent’ administration in history.

As history records, Richard Nixon was forced to resign the presidency for his transgressions, whereas Eric Holder has successfully shielded Barack Obama from the hungry jaws of justice.

Among his more hostile acts was Holder’s racially motivated behavior in the Ferguson, Missouri shooting and riots, where DOJ encouraged and supported criminals in the “Hands Up! Don’t Shoot” fraud, crimes that could have wrongly convicted white police officer Darren Wilson of murder.

The fact that black fraud merchants in Ferguson were on a mission to destroy a white police officer seemed to escape the attention of Holder as he pursued his rebel-rousing rhetoric against white law enforcement.

Of course, Holder views his six-year stint at DOJ in a much more accepting light. In fact, as reported at the reference, Holder believes that history will see his term as the “Golden Years” off DOJ:

HOLDER said:

*I think 50 years from now, maybe even sooner than that, people will look at the work you all did and say this was another golden age. There’s a long line of excellence in the United States Department of Justice, but every now and again, at an appropriate time; a group comes along that is worthy of special recognition. And you all are in fact one of those groups. I am proud of you. I am proud of you."

A “golden age,” Mr. Holder?

An age in which the Attorney General was held in contempt of Congress? An age in which the Attorney General refused to prosecute an IRS manager who willfully used the IRS against political opponents of Barack Obama?

An age in which the Department of Justice was more of an advocacy for blacks (Your People) than an independent, unbiased instrument of justice for all Americans?

“Golden Years," for whom, Mr. Holder?

Those who would use government to oppress and prosecute political enemies? Who use government to illegally run guns in order to discredit those who choose to respect the terms of the 2nd Amendment? To those who defy Dr. Martin Luther King’s call for a color-blind system of justice based on the need to atone for a perceived legacy of discrimination?

Golden Years, Mr. Holder?
UPDATE: July 7, 2015

Cronyism Pays – Eric “Too Big to Jail” Holder Triumphantly Returns to His Prior Corporate Law Firm Job.

Trying to determine Barack Obama’s most corrupt, crony appointee presents a virtually impossible task. Every single person he’s appointed to a position of power over the course of his unfathomably shady, violent and unconstitutional presidency, has been little more than a gatekeeper for powerful vested interests. Obama’s job was to talk like a marxist, but act like a robber baron. In this regard, his reign has been an unprecedented success.

All that said, if anyone is a top contender for the worst of the worst of the Obama Administration, it’s Eric Holder. As head of the Department of Justice, he was the one man who could’ve played an enormously positive role in American society, by punishing those responsible for creating the financial crisis that destroyed tens of millions of lives globally. Instead, he chose to actively protect the financial oligarchs and ushered in a tragic new era for these United States. One in which the world suddenly realized that the U.S. is little more than a glorified oligarchy. Essentially an aggressive Banana Republic armed with nuclear weapons and the swagger of a third world dictator.

Holder’s list of failures and evidence shameless cronyism are virtually endless. Here are just a few:

The U.S. Department of Justice Handles Banker Criminals Like Juvenile Offenders…Literally

Eric Holder Announces Task Force to Focus on “Domestic Terrorists”

Eric Holder and the DOJ Have Spent Millions of Taxpayer Dollars on Unreported Personal Travel

Elizabeth Warren Confronts Eric Holder, Ben Bernanke and Mary Jo White on Bankster Immunity

Even Washington D.C. Insiders Admit Eric Holder is a Bankster Puppet

Eric Holder Claims Emails Using Words ‘Fast and Furious’ Don’t Refer to Operation Fast and Furious

For all his hard work protecting and coddling criminal financial oligarchs, Eric Holder was always going to be paid handsomely once he left office. That time has come. From the Intercept:

*After failing to criminally prosecute any of the financial firms responsible for the market collapse in 2008, former Attorney General Eric Holder is returning to Covington & Burling, a corporate law firm known for serving Wall Street clients.*

*The move completes one of the more troubling trips through the revolving door for a cabinet secretary. Holder worked at Covington from 2001 right up to being sworn in as attorney general in February 2009. And Covington literally kept an office empty for him, awaiting his return.*

*The Covington & Burling client list has included four of the largest banks, including Bank of America, Citigroup, JPMorgan Chase and Wells Fargo.*

*Covington was also deeply involved with a company known as MERS, which was later responsible for falsifying mortgage documents on an industrial scale. “Court records show that Covington, in the late 1990s, provided legal opinion letters needed*
to create MERS on behalf of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Bank of America, JPMorgan Chase and several other large banks,” according to an investigation by Reuters.

The Department of Justice under Holder not only failed to pursue criminal prosecutions of the banks responsible for the mortgage meltdown, but in fact de-prioritized investigations of mortgage fraud, making it the “lowest-ranked criminal threat,” according to an inspector general report.

When the firm moved to a new building last year, it kept an 11th-story corner office reserved for Holder. For all intents and purposes, he never really left Covington. He just took a sabbatical to protect the banksters for a few years.

Holder is set to become among the highest-earning partners at the firm, with compensation in the seven or eight figures. Of course, Mr. Holder is not the only shameless crony to join Covington. It seems the firm almost makes a point to hire the most compromised, Washington D.C. parasites they can find. As the New York Times noted:

Covington already employs a number of former Justice Department officials, including Lanny Breuer, the former assistant attorney general for the department’s criminal division under Mr. Holder; Mr. Breuer’s successor, Mythili Raman; and Michael Chertoff, a former assistant attorney general and secretary of Homeland Security. History shows that Breuer wouldn’t challenge bankers if they threw his own mother out on the street. Meanwhile, Chertoff is famous for trying to make a fortune by scaremongering the American taxpayer into buying his worthless Rapiscan naked body scanners.

Moving along, let’s try to look on the bright side. With Holder gone, his replacement couldn’t possibly be worse, right? Wrong.

BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II

Posted April 30, 2013 on Patriot or Traitor

Barack Hussein Obama II is a traitor.
The treasonous acts by Obama start with him and his conspirers hijacking the political process by registering as Democrats when, in fact, they make up a combination of socialists, progressives, communists, Marxists, anarchists, and UN-American detractors. A prime example of this is how Obama originally campaigned with the “Democratic Progressive Party” (DPP) scattered all throughout America, although they are not legally recognized as a valid political party in America to enter campaigns which would gain them enough votes to be seated. Therefore, they deliberately schemed to hijack the Democratic Party. This was led previously in part by Rep. Bernie Sanders (I-VT), Rep. Maxine Waters (D-CA) and others who believed the Democrats were too timid to put forth their agenda, in 1991, they formed the progressive caucus within the halls of the United States Congress and used that status as a means to lend false credibility to their cause and illegitimate platform.

Since becoming president, Obama has circumvented our combined Congress by recruiting and moving his supporters underground to undercut the Constitution. In doing so, Obama had no intentions of obeying the oath he took once he was in office. Long before he was inaugurated on January 20, 2009, he had already recruited his loyal followers to carry out this devious plan, and he continues to draw more of them and their money in to help him.

Obama has illegally and unconstitutionally organized a lobbying force of monumental proportions from out of the White House in order to help him create his “shadow government,” to bypass the legally constitutional legislative process. Many examples of this are included in the below Ninety Seven more reasons why Obama is a traitor:

1. Appointment of a “shadow government” of some 35+ individuals termed “czars” who are not confirmed by the Senate and respond only to the president, yet have overarching regulatory powers – a clear violation of the separation of powers concept. Obama bypassed the Senate with many of his appointments of over 35 “czars.”

2. No congressional support for Libyan action (violation of the War Powers Act). Obama lied to the American people when he said that there were no US troops on the ground in Libya and then later said they were only “logistical troops.” Obama violated the War Powers Act of 1973 by conducting a war against Libya without Congressional authorization.

3. Betrayed our allies Israel and Great Britain. Obama has placed the security of our most trusted ally in the Middle East, Israel, in danger while increasing funding to the Palestinian Authority (Fatah, an Islamic terrorist group enjoined with long-standing terrorist group Hamas). Also, Obama disclosed British nuclear secrets to the Russians in the Start Treaty by providing the missile codes of British Trident missiles to Russia.

4. Backdoor implementation of the DREAM Act which would grant 22 million illegals amnesty. Obama passed the Dream Act through an executive order, bypassing Congress again. DREAM is: Development, Relief and Education for Alien Minors

5. Telegraphing troop reductions to enemies – against the consult of his experienced field commanders – while embracing negotiations with our enemy, the Taliban, and recognizing another, the Muslim Brotherhood.

6. Betrayal of Arizona. Obama brought a federal lawsuit against a sovereign state, Arizona, seeking to protect its citizens from this threat of mass illegal immigration.

7. Obama’s refuses to enforce U.S. law, the Defense of Marriage Act. He’s stripped America of its moral base by his support for homosexuality and the attack on marriage between a man and a women.

8. Support of an inept and incompetent attorney general (Eric Holder) who has failed to prosecute voter intimidation cases (New Black Panther Party), initiated a dangerous gun-smuggling program (Operation Fast and Furious) – which resulted in deaths to one of our own law enforcement agents. Obama allowed Operation Fast and Furious to occur, which allowed hundreds of Mexican nationals and Border Agent Brian Terry to be murdered with illegal arms given out by the ATF and DOJ.

9. Increasing the regulatory burden on American business through bypassing the legislative process with his executive branch agencies such as the Environmental Protection Agency and the Food and Drug Administration.

10. Failure to take the steps necessary to secure our borders and stem the flow of illegal immigration, termed as “repel invasions” in our United States Constitution in Article 1, Section 8 and Article 4, Section 4. Obama has failed to defend US soil
in Arizona as Mexican troops bring illegals and drugs into the USA, crossing the border doing so. This is a direct violation of Article IV, Section 4 of the Constitution.

11. Inappropriately commanding the release of strategic oil reserves and providing Brazil $2 billion for its offshore oil exploration.

12. Illegally soliciting funds from within the White House ($5 dinner video fundraiser). The unalienable rights endowed to us by the Creator; life, liberty, and the pursuit (not guarantee) of happiness – are being threatened by the Obama administration. This current government has abridged the consent of the governed and that whenever any form of government becomes destructive to these ends. It is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it.

13. Taking on the Supreme Court’s power of judicial review with a preemptive striking against justices who might contemplate an unfavorable ruling on ObamaCare.

14. “Open Mic ” gaffe in which he explained Russian President Dimitri Medvedev that he’d have more “flexibility” to sacrifice American security after his re-election

15. Occidental College transcripts reveals Obama claimed foreign citizenship to get scholarship.

16. Obama’s made a secret back channel Nuclear deal with Iran, a sworn enemy of America and our Allies.

17. Obama’s offer of a seat at the table for our avowed enemy the Taliban.

18. Barack Hussein Obama’s ineligibility to be president because he was born in Kenya.

19. Obama and his Administration leaking previously classified information about our intelligence communities’ efforts to slow down Iran’s march to nuclear weaponry.

20. Obama destabilized Western Ally Hosni Mubarak in Egypt, and allowed the Militant and Anti West Muslim Brotherhood to take over the Egyptian Regime, posing a mortal threat to our ally Israel and our own Western assets and interests in the region.

21. Obama has appointed Muslim Brotherhood advisers, enemies of the State, to the White House. Aid and comfort to the Muslim Brotherhood is TREASON per Article 3 Sec III of the US Constitution.

22. Obama bypassing Congress again by Executive Decree to allow Illegal Immigrants to remain and vote in America for partisan electoral purposes and reasons.

23. Obama selling citizenship to criminals in direct opposition to Federal Law.

24. Obama and his administartion assisted Egypt in remilitarizing the Sinai, “something forbidden by the Camp David Accords”.

25. Obama has attempted to compel religious institutions to pay for abortion services — a clear violation of First Amendment rights.

26. Obama apologizing on 9/11 day to our sworn Islamist enemies, the Salafists, the same day these terrorists massacred the American Ambassador and three other American officials in the Benghazi Embassy, Libya. and ransacked and looted the Cairo Embassy in Egypt.

27. Obama spending billions in aid on America’s enemies, while disregarding the needs of the US.
28. Obama is directly responsible for the many wars and murders of Christians in the Middle East.

29. Obama has financially ruined this country, and his actions are leading to the demise of the dollar. President Obama is either an idiot or he is purposely trying to destroy the American economy.

30. Obama is hollowing out our military, and destroying our intelligence gathering capability.

31. Obama, (aka Barry Soetoro) deliberately concealed his true illegal background to be President.

32. Criminal cover up by the White House over BengaziGate, where four Americans, including Ambassador Stevens were murdered by Islamic Extremists.

33. CANDYGATE – Collusion with CNN Moderator Candy Crowley at the 2nd Debate to cover up BengaziGate.

34. Obama’s Illegal Foreign Campaign money.

35. Obama Administration defining the Fort Hood Terrorist Act as a Workplace Accident, which gave succour and comfort to our enemies.

36. The Border-gate arms deal offense that resulted in the death of a border patrol agent as well as numerous innocent Mexican civilians.

37. Suspected organized and widespread election fraud engineered by Agents of the Obama Regime at the November 6th Presidential Election.

38. Obama and unrepentant terrorist William Ayers misappropriated over 300 million dollars in donations meant for the education of Chicago’s minority students. They routed the money to Obama’s community activist buddies who then tried to turn the students in radicals. The program was a total failure.

39. Obama, as an Illinois State Senator, redirected tens of millions in Illinois tax dollars to Valerie Jarrett and Tony Rezko, to provide housing for low income families. They returned the favor with political donations. The housing units were built with cheap materials and labor and are uninhabitable after a mere 10 years of use.

40. Obama accepted millions in illegal campaign contributions from foreign credit cards after the credit card filters used to screen out foreign money, was switched off. This also allowed domestic donors, who were over the legal limit, to contribute more.

41. Obama and Secretary State Clinton’s efforts to bring the US under the UN’s Small Arms Treaty are direct violations of the Second Amendment of the US Constitution.

41. Obama attempted to move control of the Census Bureau from the Commerce Department to the White House, to be managed by then Chief of Staff Rahm Emmanuel.

42. Obama had provided under the radar amnesty to illegal immigrants by allowing ICE Director John Morton to prohibit ICE officers from enforcing US immigration laws.

43. Obama allowed USAG Holder to ignore the violation of US immigration laws in the sanctuary cities.

44. Obama illegally fired the IG Walpin for investigating Obama’s buddy, Mayor Kevin Johnson for the fraud of 850 thousand dollars with AmeriCorps.
45. Obama is in contempt of Federal court for his illegal oil drilling moratorium in the Gulf of Mexico.

46. Obama spent a month as the UN Security Council Chair in 2009, which raises the question of his conflict of interest between the US and the UN. This is also likely a violation of his Oath of Office as the UN conflicts with our Constitution on many levels.

47. Obama signed an executive order in December 2009 that allows Interpol to operate in the US without oversight by Congress, courts, FBI, or local law enforcement.

48. Obama and Secretary State Clinton misappropriated and used $23 million in US taxpayer funds to help Obama’s homeland of Kenya move to a communist nation where the freedom of speech, private property rights, and other rights are subservient to “social justice”. This includes the fact that the Kenyan constitution adopted Sharia Law, which violates the basic human rights of women.

49. Obama was likely involved with then Governor Rod Blagojevich to try and sell his Illinois Senate seat. Jesse Jackson Jr is under investigation for it and it appears that Valerie Jarrett might also have been involved.

50. Obama ran a website that asked Americans to report on other Americans, in the area of ObamaCare, using whitehouse.gov and taxpayer money to do so. He repeated this with AttackWatch.


52. Obama sealed all of his records that would show that he is possibly an illegal president, that he is feloniously using a false SSN, that his draft registration number is false, that his Fulbright award was falsely awarded as Obama claimed foreign student status, and that his student aid was falsely obtained.

53. Obama violated the Constitution by firing the GM CEO.

54. Obama violated bankruptcy laws by forcing GM bondholders to accept millions of dollars in losses of money that they were legally entitled to.

55. Obama violated bankruptcy laws by awarding the UAW with a share of GM and Chrysler during their bankruptcy proceedings.

56. Obama bought votes for ObamaCare with acts like, “Cornhusker Kickback”, “Louisiana Purchase” and the Department of the Interior increasing water allocations to California’s Central Valley. This brought in the votes of Dennis Cardoza and Jim Costa, both Democrat holdouts.

57. Obama lied about Americans being able to keep their healthcare coverage if they wanted to. ObamaCare is already forcing many americans out of their current coverage.

58. Obama attempted to bribe Joe Sestak with a job offer in order to get him to drop out of the Senate race against Arlen Specter.

59. Obama bypassed Congress and told the EPA to set carbon emission standards.

60. Obama forced BP to pony up a $20 billion slush fund to compensate Gulf Coast businesses and residents affected by the BP oil spill. It was administered by one of Obama’s political appointees and there is NO Congressional oversight.

61. Obama did nothing to Holder (abetted a felony) when Holder refused to prosecute two New Black Panther Party members for brandishing weapons in front of a voting location in Philadelphia. A direct violation of the voters Civil Rights.
62. Obama bypassed the Senate with a recess appointment of Donald Berwick as the head of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Violates legal policy.

63. Obama illegally fired Sherry Sherrod from the USDA over remarks she made at an NAACP meeting in March 2010. He violated her due process.

64. Obama violated contractual law when his regime cancelled 77 oil field development contracts previously approved by Interior Secretary Ken Salazar, under Bush 43’s administration. This keeps us from extracting from 2-3 TRILLION barrels of oil.

65. Obama used the DHS to determine the political affiliation of Americans making FOIA requests about the Regime. This led to requests being stalled, lost, etc.

66. Obama acted in April 2009, at the G20 meeting, to expand the Special Drawing Rights, that now gives the IMF more control over the US economy.

67. Obama issued an executive order on July 12, 2011, attempting to restrict the Second Amendment rights of US citizens in Texas, California, New Mexico and Arizona.

68. Obama allowed the FCC to assume authority over the internet, in direct violation of a federal appeals court that DENIED the commission that authority. In December, the FCC voted and passed the first federal regulations on internet traffic.

69. Obama allows the DHS/TSA to routinely violate the 4th/5th Amendment rights of Americans at airports, train stations, and VIPER checkpoints.

70. Obama allows the DOJ in 2009 to stop enforcing federal drug laws in regards to marijuana.

71. Obama attempted to bypass Congress and raise the Debt Ceiling by “reinterpreting” the 14th Amendment.

72. Obama just bypassed the Senate AGAIN by appointing Richard Cordray to a new unconstitutional agency, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. Violates legal policy.

73. Obama deprived the due process of two U.S.citizens, Anwar al-Awlaki and Samir Khan, by assassinating them via a CIA drone attack in Yemen on Sept. 30, 2011. This also raises the question of an act of war against Yemen for firing into a sovereign nation. Remember Obama said in 2008: “No. I reject the Bush Administration’s claim that the President has plenary authority under the U.S. Constitution to detain U.S.citizens without charges as unlawful enemy combatants.”

74. Obama allowed Education Secretary Arne Duncan to grant waivers to No Child Left Behind however, this is a law enacted by Congress and neither Obama nor Duncan have the authority to authorize that.

75. Obama allowed the bailouts to grant money without the authority to do so. “No money shall be drawn from the treasury, but in consequence of appropriations made by law.” Article 1, Section 9, Clause 7, U.S. Constitution.

76. Obama allowed Operation Castaway to occur, which allowed firearms laws to be broken through coercion of legal gun dealers.

77. Obama bypassed the Senate to appoint three people to the National Labor Relations Board. (Naturally, they’ll all be Obomobots) Violates legal policy.

78. Obama issued twenty three illegal Executive Orders to impose a Gun Grab, which is a direct violation of the Second Amendment.
79. Providing aid and comfort to the enemy by announcing the date for unilateral withdrawal from Iraq and Afghanistan. Thereby providing the impetus for the escalation of the green on blue attacks.

80. Obama by announcing the date for unilateral withdrawal from Iraq and Afghanistan, thereby triggered the disintegration of the green respect that had been a goal of the training mission.

81. Obama deliberately interfering in the elections of our chief ally in the Middle East, Israel to try and influence the result.

82. Obama supplying the Muslim Brotherhood and Egypt with F16 Jets and 220 Abram Tanks, sworn enemies of the USA and our Chief Ally Israel.

83. Obama nominating a Muslim John Brennan to be Director of the CIA, when America is at War with Radical Islamic Terrorists.

84. Obama nominating Chuck Hagel, a sworn enemy of our Chief Ally Israel, to be Secretary of Defense.

85. Obama and Eric Holder have violated Constitutional Law, by introducing Drone attacks on Americans.

86. Obama is using his Executive Decree to allow 80,000 Muslims to enter America next year, and 100,000 Muslims for the next five years.

87. The Obama administration failed to enforce a century-old law meant to prevent immigrants from taking root in the U.S. only to live on the government dole.

88. The Obama administration’s release of hundreds and potentially thousands of illegal-alien criminals from U.S. detention centers.

89. The sequester is actually a plot by Obama to cut defense spending and transfer money to “ACORN-like” groups that would help elect Democratic candidates.

90. The Obama administration’s allegedly revealing his political opponents’ private tax information to the media.

91. Obama allowing the third Saudi Bomber in Boston be deported to Saudi Arabia – Arch Terrorist Osama Bin Laden’s son.

92. Obama Will Not Charge Boston Jihad Bombers as Enemy Combatants.

93. Obama and his White House administration are linked to illegal taping of Sen. McConnell.

94. Obama has allowing Islamic Terror Groups of the Taliban to flourish and operate on American soil.

95. Obama and his administration has been caught promoting the delivery of taxpayer-funded welfare benefits to foreigners – “These disclosures further confirm the fact that the Obama administration cannot be trusted to protect our borders or enforce our immigration laws. And the coordination with a foreign government to attack the policies of an American state is contemptible.”

96. Agents of the Obama Regime conspired in 2008 to get Obama’s name illegally put on the Indiana Primary Ballot.

97. Obama Secretary of Defense Chuck Hagel is involved In Massive Vote Fraud Scandal.
It is not fully known how many other violations Obama has committed. However one thing is for sure, Obama and his administration continue to dig into the core of America’s fabric to pull loose every thread in leaving her totally naked and vulnerable before this nation and the world.

**UPDATE: May 31, 2013**

Under President Barack Obama, we have witnessed the greatest expansion of government in modern political history and, consequently, an explosion of government secrecy, scandals, and abuses of power. Among the low-lights:

- Illegal recess appointments: Perhaps former Attorney General Ed Meese and Todd Graziano summed it up best in their January 5, 2012, Washington Post guest commentary: “President Obama’s attempt to unilaterally appoint three people to seats on the National Labor Relations Board and Richard Cordray to head the new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (after the Senate blocked action on his nomination) is more than an unconstitutional attempt to circumvent the Senate’s advice-and-consent role. It is a breathtaking violation of the separation of powers and the duty of comity that the executive owes to Congress.”

- Illegal immigration: In mid-June, Obama announced that by executive decree – and in apparent violation of his oath of office – his administration would stop deporting and begin granting work permits to younger illegal immigrants who came to the U.S. as children. According to The AP, “the policy change … bypasses Congress and partially achieves the goals of the so-called DREAM Act, a long-sought but never enacted plan …” Lest anyone doubt that Obama knew he was overriding the law of the land, in March, 2011, he said, “There are enough laws on the books by Congress that are very clear in terms of how we have to enforce our immigration system that for me to simply, through executive order, ignore those congressional mandates would not conform with my appropriate role as President.”

- Unprecedented secrecy: Judicial Watch has had to file almost 1,000 Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests and nearly 100 lawsuits against the Obama administration on issues ranging from Obamacare to the continued funding of the criminal ACORN network; from tracking Wall Street bailout money to the unconstitutional use of czars; to White House visitor logs; to the attacks on the integrity of our nation’s elections. This president touts transparency but condones law-breaking of open records laws by his administration.

- Unconstitutional czars: As far back as 2009, Reuters reported, “Name a top issue and President Barack Obama has probably got a ‘czar responsible for tackling it.’” By the time the Judicial Watch Special Report President Obama’s Czars was published in October 2011, the number of Obama czars had skyrocketed to 45. Largely unconfirmed by and unaccountable to the Senate, many of Obama’s czars are often outside the reach of FOIA. Some of these czars exercise unprecedented and unconstitutional control over major aspects of government policy and programs. And a number of the czars have been linked to scandals, thefts and kickbacks, flagrant and offensive statements, conflicts of interest, and radical leftist political ideologies and policies.

- Use of Executive Privilege to protect Eric Holder: On June 20, 2012, Barack Obama acquiesced to a plea from Attorney General Eric Holder and asserted “executive privilege” to protect the Attorney General from being prosecuted for failing to provide Congress with Fast and Furious documents. On March 22, 2011, when asked by a Univision TV if he had been informed of the Holder gunrunning program, Obama bluntly stated, “Absolutely not. This is a pretty big government, the United States government. I’ve got a lot of moving parts.” So, as Judge Andrew Napolitano said on Fox News, “They can’t have it both ways. If the President was not personally involved, executive privilege does not apply.” To this day, President Obama refuses to detail the specific documents he is withholding from Congress.

**UPDATE: January 14, 2014**


President Barack Obama:
President Barack Obama actually tops this “Top Ten Most Wanted Corrupt Politicians” list for 2013 as the driving force behind so many of the misdeeds. This is Obama’s seventh straight year on the list, dating back all the way to 2007 (in 2006, he earned a “Dishonorable Mention”). He is a master at catch-me-if-you-can, corrupt politics. This year, he has again acted as a one-man Congress, rewriting entire sections of federal law on his own. Not only is his administration secretive and dishonest; its callous disregard for the rule of law undermines our constitutional republic. Examples include:

• Perhaps Obama’s most outrageous actions over the past year were his continual lies about the ability of Americans to keep their own health insurance under Obamacare. According the Free Beacon, Obama misled the American people a total of 36 times between 2008 and 2013 with his promise, “If you like your health insurance, you can keep it.” And according to NBC News, Obama knew, even as he repeated his lie, that “more than 40 to 67 percent of those in the individual market would not be able to keep their plans, even if they liked them:”

None of this should come as a shock to the Obama administration. The law states that policies in effect as of March 23, 2010 will be “grandfathered,” meaning consumers can keep those policies even though they don’t meet requirements of the new health care law. But the Department of Health and Human Services then wrote regulations that narrowed that provision, by saying that if any part of a policy was significantly changed since that date – the deductible, co-pay, or benefits, for example – the policy would not be grandfathered.

Buried in Obamacare regulations from July 2010 is an estimate that because of normal turnover in the individual insurance market, “40 to 67 percent” of customers will not be able to keep their policy. And because many policies will have been changed since the key date, “the percentage of individual market policies losing grandfather status in a given year exceeds the 40 to 67 percent range.”

That means the administration knew that more than 40 to 67 percent of those in the individual market would not be able to keep their plans, even if they liked them.

• Throughout 2013, the Obama family continued to use the White House as its own personal travel bureau and the taxpayers as their personal expense account.

• Though Obama quickly disavowed any knowledge of the IRS assault on Tea Party and other conservative groups leading up to the 2012 presidential election, the fact is that it was the president himself who fingered the groups for what might be called “special handling.” Consider Obama’s own hostile and aggressive statements, made just as his IRS officials were gearing up their assault:

August 9, 2010: During his weekly radio address, Obama warned of “attack ads run by shadowy groups with harmless-sounding names.” The President said: We don’t know who’s behind these ads and we don’t know who’s paying for them . . . you don’t know if it’s a foreign controlled corporation. … The only people who don’t want to disclose the truth are people with something to hide.”

September 20, 2010: Speaking in Philadelphia, Obama once again warned that “nobody knows” the identities of the individuals who support conservative groups.

September 22, 2010: Speaking in New York, Obama warned against groups opposing his policies “[posing] as non-for-profit social and welfare trade groups” and he claimed such groups were “guided by seasoned Republican political operatives” and potentially supported by some unidentified “foreign controlled entity.”

October 14, 2010: Obama attacked organizations with “benign sounding” names as “a problem for democracy.”

Little wonder that after their boss sounded the call to attack, Obama’s IRS appointees obeyed the command. And even less wonder that, caught red-handed, Obama first claimed total ignorance and, when the ploy failed, simply labeled it all a “phony scandal.”
• According to the Galen Institute, Obama has now unilaterally rewritten the Obamacare law as passed by Congress 14 times by executive fiat, with the majority of those changes coming in 2013. Those changes include such major overhauls as the congressional opt-out, eviscerating the individual mandate, and delaying the employer mandate. The latest Obama fix came on December 20, when he suddenly moved to allow hundreds of thousands of people who have lost their insurance due to Obamacare to sign up for bare-bone “catastrophic” plans. As National Review observed, “Of course, like every other exemption from Obamacare the latest fix is supposed to last only a year, raising the prospect that people will be kicked off their catastrophic coverage as soon as the 2014 election is safely in the political rear-view mirror.”

**UPDATE: November 12, 2014**

President Barack Obama’s agreement with the Chinese on November 12, 2014 — that the two nations will work to cut down on their greenhouse gas emissions borders on treasonous behavior on the part of America’s Commander in Chief.

This unrealistic plan, that President Barack Obama is dumping on his successor, would ensure higher utility rates and far fewer jobs,” said the next leader of the U.S. Senate. “The United States’ goal to cut emissions by up to 28 percent by 2025 is given equal footing with China claiming its emissions would hit a peak by 2030 and not go higher? What the hell kind of deal is that,” said GOP political strategist Michael Baker.

The deal, in essence, is that American businesses and individuals will cut carbon emissions between “26 percent and 28 percent below 2005 levels by 2025,” according to the Obama White House, while the Chinese pledge that its emissions of Earth-warming gases would peak by 2030. “It’s like having a nuclear arms deal in which the U.S. reduces its nuclear arsenal in 11 years while China agrees to only increase its own arsenal of weapons for 15 years,” said Burt LeGrande, a former U.S. intelligence officer who currently lectures on asymmetrical warfare and counterintelligence.

In a joint press conference with China’s President Xi Jinping, Obama used the word “historic” repeatedly as he described only a few of the details of the de facto treaty proposal and what the world can expect as a result of the two nations’ emissions pact. “Of course, as can be expected of this feckless community organizer, Obama made absolutely no reference to the suspected Chinese cyber attacks on the United States, like the recent attack on the U.S. Postal Service,” said former law enforcement commander Paula Sellecia, who now serves as a corporate security chief for a multinational firm.

Obama also said that the U.S. and Chinese will work together to pressure other “major countries to come forth with deep emissions reduction plans.” But during the two leaders’ speeches, not one word about how the U.S. verifies China’s compliance especially since that nation is one of the world’s most secretive countries and it hasn’t kept most of its agreements with other nations.

Even before Obama has returned home from overseas, soon-to-be Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell, R-Kentucky, slammed the deal as a giveaway and reminded Obama and his minions that last week’s elections showed how Obama’s iron-fisted environmental policies are unpopular with most Americans. “Our economy can’t take the president’s ideological war on coal that will increase the squeeze on middle-class families and struggling miners,” he said in a statement.

As an added note, Obama was the one who decided to make this trip to China while we at home celebrated Veterans Day. More importantly, Obama has again made it apparently clear he doesn’t care about our Veterans, including the Presidential tradition of honoring our veterans in the form of laying a wreath at Arlington National cemetery.

**UPDATE: December 1, 2014**

The following is an updated list of nearly all of Obama’s Scandals, Misdeeds, Crimes and Blunders…

• $6 trillion in new national debt under Obama…after he promised to decrease the deficit.

• Obamacare — A massive and incredibly convoluted bill which exponentially increases the federal government’s control over our personal lives…which neither Obama nor a single Democrat even read before passing, and which will likely bankrupt the nation.
• In both the 2008 and 2012 presidential elections, the Obama campaign purposely disabled the credit card verification system for its Web site donations, allowing anyone from any foreign country to donate with no limit and no proof of identity; in both elections it was demonstrated that people overseas and people with obviously false identities were able to donate to Obama campaign, in direct violation of several laws. To this day it is not known what percentage of Obama’s campaign funds are illegally obtained, since there is no documentation.

• Billions of taxpayer dollars gambled on “green” companies like Solyndra, NextEra, Ener1, Solar Trust and many others — all of which went bankrupt.

• An intentional refusal to enforce federal immigration laws.

• Unemployment at or above 8% for almost his entire term in office (which was actually closer to 15% actual unemployment).

• Operation Fast & Furious — a government-sponsored illegal gun-running scheme designed to purposely go awry so as to induce public outcry for gun control.

• Spent 20 years listening to a racist anti-American pastor (Rev. Jeremiah Wright), whom Obama described as a mentor. On March 18, 2008, Obama gave a speech in which he said “I could no more disown Jeremiah Wright than I could disown my own grandmother” and “[Wright's church, Trinity United] embodies the black community in its entirety.” Now Obama tries to pretend that Jeremiah Wright doesn’t exist, and that his extremist anti-white philosophy didn’t influence Obama’s worldview.

• Increased the percentage of Americans dependent on food stamps to unprecedented levels (now over 15% of the nation’s population).

• Militarily intervened in Libya in 2011 without the Congressional approval required by the War Powers Act — technically an impeachable offense.

• Before he entered politics, Obama worked as a lawyer suing banks in landmark cases, forcing them to give home loans to unqualified minority borrowers — a practice now understood as one of the primary initial causes of the eventual housing bubble and market collapse.

• Handed out over 1,200 waivers to politically connected donors exempting them from the onerous requirements of Obamacare.

• Greatly expanding the number of unaccountable “czars,” which essentially amounts to unilaterally adding new federal departments with no congressional oversight — leading to a true “bureaucracy” in the original sense (rule by unelected bureaucrats).

• Using taxpayer dollars to bail out the private pension funds of autoworkers’ unions at GM & Chrysler.

• Illegally ending the welfare-to-work requirements passed by Congress.

• Doling out $800 billion in stimulus cash for “shovel-ready” jobs that didn’t exist — the money just evaporated with no measurable economic benefit.

• Alienating and isolating Israel, our strongest ally in the Middle East.

• Apologizing to Islamists and terrorists for offending them.

• Under Obama’s watch, for the first time in history America’s credit rating was downgraded, due to his poor economic policies.
• Stopped American oil drilling in the Gulf of Mexico, allowing other nations with worse environmental records to drill instead — thereby managing to both weaken our economy and damage the environment simultaneously.

• Proposed in 2008 to intentionally bankrupt the coal industry — and now lies to voters and workers in coal-producing regions about his true intent.

• Pretends to be concerned about skyrocketing energy prices, when in fact he vowed to increase them on purpose (so as to make alternative energy schemes more competitive.)

• In a primary debate against Hillary Clinton in 2008, Obama said he would never force people to buy health insurance, since those without it couldn’t afford to buy it. Less than two years later, he rammed through Obamacare, which does indeed force people to buy health insurance.

• During the 2008 campaign, Obama repeatedly promised that if he was elected “No family making less than $250,000 will see any form of tax increase.” This promise was broken over and over again once he was elected.

• In 2008 Obama vowed if elected to increase security along the U.S.-Mexican border — and then in 2010 stopped construction of a “virtual fence” on the border and re-routed money earmarked for border security to other projects.

• Cash for Clunkers, which doled out taxpayer money to anyone who wanted to replace their old cars, but which mostly only ended up subsidizing the purchase of foreign-made cars by people who could have afforded them on their own anyway; meanwhile, the traded-in cars were all destroyed, creating a shortage and thereby increasing the cost of used cars, hurting the pocketbooks of poor people.

• Violated the U.S. Constitution by authorizing assassinations and drone strikes to kill American citizens abroad — without due process.

• Was caught on a “hot mike” promising the President of Russia that he would cave in to their demands for a weaker missile shield — after he was re-elected and no longer had to keep up the pretense that he sought to defend America.

• Appointed Van Jones, a former avowed communist who supported a “9/11 Truth” petition, to be “Green Jobs Czar.”

• Appointed Anita Dunn, who said Chairman Mao was her “favorite philosopher,” to be White House Communications Director.

• Appointed John Holdren, who perviously entertained the notion of forced mass sterilization to stop overpopulation, to be Science Czar.

• Appointed Steven Chu, who openly advocated an artificial increase in gasoline prices to $10/gallon (and similar increases in other energy prices) to be Secretary of Energy.

• Appointed Kevin Jennings, who led a group that promoted X-rated “sex-positive” textbooks for 13-year-olds, instructed teenagers at a conference how to perform “fisting” (anal penetration by fists), who refused to report instances of statutory rape, and who expressed admiration for a member of NAMBLA, to be America’s “Safe School’s Czar.”

• When states voted to enforce the federal immigration laws which the federal government refused to enforced, Obama sued to stop them.

• Blocked continued construction of the Keystone Pipeline, thereby intentionally reducing energy resources for the US and forcing Canada to sell more of its oil to China.
• Repeatedly changed his position on gay marriage over the years, depending on what was politically expedient at the moment and which intended audience he was addressing.

• Before he was president, Obama staunchly opposed raising the national debt limit — but once elected, he insisted that it be raised.

• When giving a 2009 speech in France that he must have assumed Americans would never hear, he described the United States as “arrogant” and “dismissive” (while also criticizing Europe elsewhere in the speech).

• When states tried to stop election fraud with voter ID laws, Obama sued to prevent them from doing so.

• Instructed Attorney General Eric Holder to stonewall any investigation into the voter intimidation case against the New Black Panthers.

• Promised in 2008 that once elected he would officially recognize the Armenian Genocide; but to this date he has still failed to do so, caving in to threats and pressure from Turkey.

• Vowed to end the influence of lobbyists in Washington, but under Obama’s watch their influence has only increased.

• When he was first running for President, Obama promised, “I will not sign any non-emergency bill without giving the American public an opportunity to review and comment on the White House website for five days.” Needless to say, he has broken that promise with almost every bill he has signed since.

• Aided and abetted the “Arab Spring” which deposed existing secular governments across North Africa, leading to a regional power vacuum which was filled by Al Qaeda, the Muslim Brotherhood, and other hardline Islamists.

• Refused to provide assistance or support the the earlier secular Iranian democracy uprising, allowing them to be crushed by the Islamic fundamentalist Iranian regime.

• In his first months in office, Obama bowed to the king of Saudi Arabia, the president of China, the Emperor of Japan, and President of Mexico, among others.

• Ordered NASA to make one of its top priorities not space exploration or scientific research but “Muslim outreach.”

• Used Obamacare as a pretext to greatly increase the size and power of the IRS (which was given 16,000 new agents and additional mandates to penalize taxpayers for noncompliance).

• Used the IRS to harass conservative businesses.

• In the GM bailout, he illegally shortchanged investors who according to bankruptcy laws were first in line to be recompensed; instead, he gave their share to the unions.

• Shut down oil drilling in the US, but then turned around and lent $2 billion to Brazil to support their government-controlled oil-drilling effort — and then promised the US would become one of Brazil’s biggest oil customers.

• Sued Boeing in an effort to control where businesses could locate, kowtow to the unions, and block the creation of 1,000 jobs in South Carolina, a right-to-work (i.e. no forced unionization) state.

• Adopted a longstanding Socialist political slogan, “Forward!”, as his 2012 campaign motto.
• Sent insulting form letters to the families of dead SEALs.

• Neither disavowed nor distanced himself from anti-Romney campaign ads which threatened or implied violence against Republicans.

• Shortly after the recent eruption of anti-American violence in North Africa, Obama went to Las Vegas to do his debate preparation in a hotel…with a romanticized North Africa theme.

• Said in an interview that the Muslim call to prayer was “one of the prettiest sounds on earth at sunset.”

• Union boss and far-left activist Andy Stern has visited Obama in the White House at least 53 times, at last count — greater access than any other private citizen.

• Hosted at the White House many Islamist members of groups associated with Hamas, the Muslim Brotherhood, etc.

• Sponsored performances by and attended fundraisers with radical rappers like Michael Franti and Common, both of whose lyrics have in some cases been anti-police, anti-white, and anti-America.

• Hired Timothy Geithner, who is documented as cheating on his own personal taxes, to be Secretary of the Treasury.

• Told Joe “the Plumber” Wurzelbacher that it was good to “spread the wealth around.”

• Told small business owners nationwide in a 2012 speech that “you didn’t build that” — implying that they should not claim credit for their own success, since taxpayers (i.e. themselves and other business owners) had funded the national infrastructure.

• Insulted the British people by rudely returning to them a bust of Churchill which previously had been a ceremonial gift on display at the White House; when later confronted on this inexplicable action, he lied about it.

• Canceled plans to complete a missile defense shield in Poland, a move which was highly praised by Russia — the very nation whose missile threat would have been neutralized if the shield had been completed.

• By loudly publicizing (for personal political gain) the identity of exact SEAL teams who had killed Osama bin Laden, Obama made them a target for reprisals by Islamists; a short time later 22 SEALS were shot down and killed in Afghanistan, their worst loss of life ever.

• Established an extra-Constitutional top secret “kill list” of people (including Americans) Obama claims the right to kill on sight, and then bragged about it publicly.

• Set up a special email account, “Flag@Whitehouse.gov,” to which Americans were supposed to inform the government of anyone they heard spreading “disinformation” about Obama’s health care overhaul. (This was the first of three different “spy on your friends and neighbors” programs.)

• “Attack Watch” was Obama’s second Big Brother attempt, a Web site on which you would report “attacks” on Obama’s proposals and ideology; it was quickly shut down due to outrage and mockery.

• Finally, Obama set up the “Truth Team” program which encouraged citizens to report to the government any friends or relatives they hear repeating “lies” about Obama. The Truth Team site still exists.

• Twisted the arms of defense contractors to not issue layoff notices in early November, so as to avoid causing bad news for Obama right before the election — even though federal law (the “WARN Act”) requires such notices.
• After the attacks on American interests in North Africa on September 11, 2012, Obama gave a speech to the U.N. and declared “The future must not belong to those who slander The Prophet of Islam” — transferring the blame from the attackers onto the makers of a film trailer that supposedly “offended” the Islamists.

• Repeatedly snubbed and got into public tiffs with Benjamin Netanyahu, who as the leader of Israel is supposed to be Obama’s closest colleague in international affairs.

• On May 19, 2011, Obama told Israel they must return to the 1967 borders as a pre-condition for continuing talks with the Palestinians — even though the status of the borders was the main issue the talks were supposed to address.

• Encouraged politically biased hiring practices in the Justice Department.

• Soon after taking office, Obama rescinded the “Mexico City Policy,” which previously had banned NGOs which are supported by American taxpayer funds from using those funds to perform abortions in foreign countries.

• Attempted to force returning veterans to pay huge increases for their health coverage…as a way to generate more funding for his Obamacare provisions.

• In June of 2011, he anointed his own underage daughters as “senior staff members” so that their vacation to Africa would be paid for with taxpayer money.

• Interviews with high school classmates revealed that Obama was a heavy and frequent user of marijuana in his teenage years (not to mention his self-admitted cocaine use in college).

• Played over 100 rounds of golf during his first three years in office, meaning that he was on the links close to 10% of the days he has been president.

• Voted to allow post-birth abortions (i.e. facilitating the deaths of babies who survive late-term abortions) not just once but three times in a row as an Illinois state senator.

• The pastor whom Obama selected to give the national benediction at his 2009 inauguration recently declared that “all white people are going to Hell.”

• Sided with Hugo Chavez and the Castro regime regarding the Honduran Constitutional Crisis of 2009, the first time ever that the U.S. formed a political alliance with socialist governments in Latin America.

• Obama Administration OKed the nomination of Chas Freeman to chair the National Intelligence Council (which coordinates intelligence reports from all government agencies), despite the fact that he was openly hostile to Israel and strongly favored Arabist goals. (He later withdrew under pressure.)

• Chinese pianist Lang Lang played an offensively anti-American song at a 2011 White House dinner where Obama hosted Chinese president Hu Jintao, to the delight and amazement of the communist Chinese visitors, while Obama just sat there and smiled (the song’s Chinese lyrics describe Americans as “warmongering jackals”).

• There was a great deal of (still unverified) suspicion that the company LightSquared received favorable treatment from the Obama administration for approval of its broadband technology which interfered with the GPS system; an Air Force general claimed he was pressured by the Obama administration to downplay his criticism of LightSquared’s technology in sworn testimony.

• Obama’s Department of Energy awarded $529 million to Fisker Automotive to build their Karma hybrid electric cars — even though they are manufactured in Finland, cost over $100,000 each, and tend to explode.
• When Inspector General Gerald Walpin discovered during a 2009 investigation that one of Obama’s political allies (the mayor of Sacramento) was misusing government funds for personal gain, Obama unceremoniously fired Walpin — even though rooting out fraud was the job description of the Inspector General.

• More than once Obama made so-called “recess appointments” when the Senate was not actually in recess, which directly violates Constitutional rules about how appointments must be made; in each case it was his way of getting his political allies into certain key positions without them being vetted or approved by the Senate, as required.

• After Nidal Malik Hasan massacred 13 people at Fort Hood while shouting “Allahu Akbar” so as to become a martyr for Islam, the Obama administration refused to classify his action as “terrorism” and instead deemed it merely “workplace violence.”

• Despite the fact that the Falkland Islands have been part of Great Britain since 1833 and that Great Britain is supposed to be our strongest ally, Obama essentially sided with Argentina in its new claim on the Falklands, not only by adopting the Argentine position that their status is open to negotiation, but even by (attempting to) refer to the islands by their Spanish name (Malvinas).

• Convened the National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform (a.k.a. the Simpson-Bowles commission) in order to address a major economic crisis…and then summarily dismissed and ignored their recommendations.

• Criticized the Supreme Court’s legal reasoning (about the Citizen’s United decision) during a State of the Union address, which many considered a dangerous precedent as it appeared to place political pressure on the court, violating the Constitution’s separation of powers; but his bullying seems to have later paid off, when the Supreme Court apparently altered its Obamacare decision so as to not ruffle any political feathers.

• On April 27, 2009, Obama’s staff (possibly at his direction) ordered Air Force One to make an unnecessary very low flight over part of Manhattan and the Statue of Liberty, which many residents mistakenly assumed must be a second 9/11 attack in progress; turned out that it was just a stunt to get a photo op of Obama’s plane next to the Statue of Liberty.

• Obama somehow managed to insert mentions of himself into the official biographies of earlier presidents on the White House Web site — even presidents from the 19th century. After public outcry, the narcissistic Obama mentions were quietly removed without comment.

• Intentionally misquotes the Declaration of Independence in speeches, often leaving out the words “by their Creator” in the famous passage “…are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights,” which Obama instead has recited as “…are endowed with certain unalienable rights.”

• In June of 2009, Obama’s Solicitor General (and now Supreme Court Justice) Elena Kagan filed a legal brief to prevent the families of 9/11 victims from appealing their lawsuits against the Saudi royal family for financing the 9/11 attacks.

• The 2009 National Christmas Tree in the White House was decorated with ornaments depicting Chairman Mao, a drag queen, and a picture of Mount Rushmore that included Obama’s own head next to George Washington’s.

• Compelled Catholic and other religious organizations to provide health plans with free contraception, even though such requirements violate their rights of religious freedom and conscience.

• It was revealed only after Obama became president that during the 1990s he was a leading member of the “New Party,” a socialist-aligned far-left radical group in Chicago.

• Lied about his close associations with former Weather Underground terrorist Bill Ayers; pretended the two barely knew each other, when multiple sources document they were friends and close colleagues for years.
• When Obama was inaugurated as President on January 20, 2009, he at first flubbed the oath of office, so he had to re-take it behind closed doors for his inauguration to be valid. He did so, but during this second, true inauguration in private, he purposely failed to place his hand on a Bible, as is traditional — the first president ever to not swear the oath of office on the Bible.

• Obama’s own author bio in his literary agent’s catalog and on their Web site stated for 17 consecutive years that he was born in Kenya; this claim remained intact despite other portions of the bio being altered and updated repeatedly. It was only in 2007 after he decided to run for the presidency that the “born in Kenya” claim was taken down. The original info must necessarily have come from Obama himself; some theorize he likely falsely claimed foreign birth in order to gain admission or scholarships in college, and never bothered to fix his lie.

• After winning the 2008 election but before being sworn in, Obama bombastically concocted the official-seeming “Office of the President-Elect” as if it was some kind of real government department; in fact, it was just a self-congratulatory title he made up to look important before he actually became president.

• Obama administration came up with the bizarre euphemism “man-caused disasters” to describe acts of terrorism — because he wants to downplay terrorism as a significant political issue.

• For three years in a row his official budget proposals to Congress received exactly zero votes — not even a single vote from Democrats.

• Relied on an Islamic fundamentalist militia group called “The Martyrs of the February the 17th Revolution Brigade” to provide security at the American mission in Benghazi — and they not only failed to prevent the attack but perhaps even joined in on it.

• During the debate over Obamacare in 2009, Obama bluntly stated that doctors like to perform amputations rather than practice preventive medicine for no other reason than that they make a greater profit from amputations. The American College of Surgeons demanded an apology, which never arrived.

• Since 2008 the Los Angeles Times has been in possession of a videotape showing Obama honoring and praising anti-Western anti-Israel academic Edward Said, but they have steadfastly refused to release it to this day, for no discernible reason other than their belief it would damage Obama’s reputation.

• When the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe recently held a conference on human rights, Obama sent an American representative — Salam al-Marayati, a Truther who blamed Israel for the 9/11 attacks and who also praises Hezbollah and Hamas. To the nations of Europe, al-Marayati spoke for all Americans on the topic of human rights.

• Obama’s Department of Homeland Security specifically warned that Americans who are “dedicated to a single issue, such as opposition to abortion or immigration” are potential terrorists, as are libertarian-minded voters who “favor of state or local authority” over centralized power. These “rightwing extremists” (who hold political beliefs shared by a majority of Americans) are deemed a greater threat to the nation than actual revolutionaries or jihadists.

• While campaigning in 2008 Obama declared that it was “unpatriotic” that Bush had increased the national debt at a rate of half a trillion dollars per year; but under Obama the rate of national debt increase has accelerated to almost three times the Bush rate ($6 trillion in new debt in under four years) — yet Obama has never apologized nor declared himself unpatriotic.

• For decades, every president has attended a “daily intelligence briefing” which updates him on critical world events each morning. Obama has skipped 60% of his daily intelligence briefings, including the ones leading up to the attack in Benghazi.

__________________________

UPDATE: August 8, 2015
Since the U.S. Congress has abdicated its duty to declare war when the country is actually at war, it may be technically impossible to convict someone of treason, even if he actively “aids and abets” our enemies. But murder is still murder, even if the courts, in their wisdom, decide there is no such thing.

Historically, treason is not unusual. Benedict Arnold committed treason in the Revolutionary War. Lincoln’s assassin committed treason in the Civil War. Tokyo Rose committed treason in World War II. The Rosenbergs were convicted of treason for smuggling nuclear bomb secrets to Stalin’s Soviet Union.

After the Civil War, the Democrats were called “the party of rum, Romanism, and rebellion.” “Rebellion” referred to the Democrats’ collusion with the secessionist South and, in the aftermath, their ongoing aid to murderous rebels like the KKK.

Charles Krauthammer just wrote a column in the Washington Post asking the question, “Who is helping Iran’s hardliners?”

Krauthammer raised that question after Obama effectively accused Republicans of treason – defined as opposing Obama’s “arrangement” with the deceptive mullahs, including two secret side agreements that have never been revealed to the U.S. Senate. Obama is in direct violation of the U.S. Constitution, and nobody dares to say it.

Krauthammer’s headline hints that Obama is in bed with the worst hardliners in the Iran regime. Millions of Americans – those who actually pay attention to politics – have quietly come to the same conclusion. The abandonment of our personnel during the Benghazi fiasco on 9/11/12 seems to reveal collusion with al-Qaeda in the Maghreb. Al-Qaeda killed more than 3,000 civilians in assaulting the Twin Towers and the Pentagon on 9/11/01. The Iranians have killed American troops and civilians since 1979.

No nation can survive without defending itself against such assaults.

Public evidence now shows that Obama has actively aided and abetted America’s sworn enemies – the mullahs, the Muslim Brotherhood, and al-Qaeda. We may also be colluding with ISIS, because we are allied with their biggest supporters: Turkey, Qatar, and the Muslim Brotherhood.

No American wants to believe this. But it is true. It has been publicly said by first-rate military and foreign policy experts, including Admiral James (“Ace”) Lyons (USN, ret.) and Clare Lopez (former CIA analyst, and one of the most heroic truth-tellers in this perverted age).

Obama is rigidly stuck in anti-American ideologies, both the Marxist and Islamist kind. Marxists don’t recognize the legitimacy of electoral governments and the nation-state. They are internationalists, wedded to a fantasy of benevolent world government that would solve all conflicts by centralized dictatorship. The fact that Marxist regimes led to endless mass murders in the last hundred years never seems to change their minds. They are totalitarians.

Radical Muslims also aim for a world government in the name of Allah – empowering Muslim priests from the Wahhabis of Arabia to the mullahs of Iran. More than a thousand years of war between Shi’ite and Sunni Muslims has not made a dent in the mass delusion of peace on Earth under the rule of Allah’s priests.

Marxism and jihadism see national treason as a positive good. Their fanatical followers do not believe in electoral democracy or nationhood, so the concept of “treason” has no meaning. If you doubt that, ask your leftist friends if there is such a thing as “treason.”

But just as murder would be murder even if we called it something else, the same is true for attacks, sabotage, propaganda war, and mass deception aimed against a nation’s very existence.

Obama has internalized left-Islamist values. So has Valerie Jarrett, and plausibly Hillary Clinton, along with her “personal aide” Huma Abedin.
When Obama was asked how the United States (in the guise of NATO) could bomb Libya and destroy its fragile government without congressional “use of force” authority, he just laughed.

And yet, no country can survive if it can be attacked and undermined with impunity.

A thousand termites are gnawing away at our ship of state, and our political class has forbidden Terminex. You know where that story ends.

And there we are today.

http://www.patriotortraitor.com/category/traitors/page/14/

WILLIAM JEFFERSON “BILL” CLINTON

Bill Clinton, to adapt a line from Dr. Johnson, was not only corrupt, he was the cause of corruption in others. Yet seldom in America have so many come to excuse so much mendacity and malfeasance as during the Clinton years. Here are some of the facts that have been buried.

RECORDS SET – The only president ever impeached on grounds of personal malfeasance – Most number of convictions and guilty pleas by friends and associates* – Most number of cabinet officials to come under criminal investigation – Most number of witnesses to flee country or refuse to testify – Most number of witnesses to die suddenly – First president sued for sexual harassment. – Second president accused of rape** – First first lady to come under criminal investigation – Largest criminal plea agreement in an illegal campaign contribution case – First president to establish a legal defense fund. – First president to be held in contempt of court – Greatest amount of illegal campaign contributions – Greatest amount of illegal campaign contributions from abroad – First president disbarred from the US Supreme Court and a state court.

According to our best information, 40 government officials were indicted or convicted in the wake of Watergate. A reader computes that there was a total of 31 Reagan era convictions, including 14 because of Iran-Contra and 16 in the Department of Housing & Urban Development scandal. 47 individuals and businesses associated with the Clinton machine were convicted of or pleaded guilty to crimes with 33 of these occurring during the Clinton administration itself. There were in addition 61
indictments or misdemeanor charges. 14 persons were imprisoned. A key difference between the Clinton story and earlier ones was the number of criminals with whom he was associated before entering the White House.

Using a far looser standard that included resignations, David R. Simon and D. Stanley Eitzen in Elite Deviance, say that 138 appointees of the Reagan administration either resigned under an ethical cloud or were criminally indicted. Curiously Haynes Johnson uses the same figure but with a different standard in “Sleep-Walking Through History: America in the Reagan Years: “By the end of his term, 138 administration officials had been convicted, had been indicted, or had been the subject of official investigations for official misconduct and/or criminal violations. In terms of number of officials involved, the record of his administration was the worst ever.”

Selene Walter accused Ronald Reagan of rape 39 years after the alleged assault in the 1950s. No further information is available on this case. The Juanita Broaddrick case involving Bill Clinton was investigated by the congressional impeachment counsel. According to counsel David Shippers those conducting the interview “have assured me that she is the most credible witness that either one of them have ever talked to”

STARR-RAY INVESTIGATION

Number of Starr-Ray investigation convictions or guilty pleas (including one governor, one associate attorney general and two Clinton business partners): 14 – Number of Clinton Cabinet members who came under criminal investigation: 5 – Number of Reagan cabinet members who came under criminal investigation: 4 – Number of top officials jailed in the Teapot Dome Scandal: 3

CRIME STATS

Number of individuals and businesses associated with the Clinton machine who have been convicted of or pleaded guilty to crimes: 47 – Number of these convictions during Clinton’s presidency: 33 – Number of indictments/misdemeanor charges: 61 – Number of congressional witnesses who have pleaded the Fifth Amendment, fled the country to avoid testifying, or (in the case of foreign witnesses) refused to be interviewed: 122

SMALTZ INVESTIGATION

Guilty pleas and convictions obtained by Donald Smaltz in cases involving charges of bribery and fraud against former Agriculture Secretary Mike Espy and associated individuals and businesses: 15 – Acquitted or overturned cases (including Espy): 6 – Fines and penalties assessed: $11.5 million – Amount Tyson Food paid in fines and court costs: $6 million

CAMPAIGN FINANCE INVESTIGATION

As of June 2000, the Justice Department listed 25 people indicted and 19 convicted because of the 1996 Clinton-Gore fundraising scandals. – According to the House Committee on Government Reform in September 2000, 79 House and Senate witnesses asserted the Fifth Amendment in the course of investigations into Gore’s last fundraising campaign. -James Riady entered a plea agreement to pay an $8.5 million fine for campaign finance crimes. This was a record under campaign finance laws.

CLINTON MACHINE CRIMES FOR WHICH CONVICTIONS WERE OBTAINED


HISTORICAL CONTEXT

Number of independent counsel inquiries since the 1978 law was passed: 19 – Number that have produced indictments: 7 – Number that produced more convictions than the Starr investigation: 1 – Median length of investigations that led to convictions:
44 months – Length of Starr-Ray investigation: 69 months. – Total cost of the Starr investigation (3/00) $52 million – Total cost of the Iran-Contra investigation: $48.5 million – Total cost to taxpayers of the Madison Guarantee failure: $73 million

OTHER MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY SPECIAL PROSECUTORS AND CONGRESS, OR REPORTED IN THE MEDIA

Bank and mail fraud, violations of campaign finance laws, illegal foreign campaign funding, improper exports of sensitive technology, physical violence and threats of violence, solicitation of perjury, intimidation of witnesses, bribery of witnesses, attempted intimidation of prosecutors, perjury before congressional committees, lying in statements to federal investigators and regulatory officials, flight of witnesses, obstruction of justice, bribery of cabinet members, real estate fraud, tax fraud, drug trafficking, failure to investigate drug trafficking, bribery of state officials, use of state police for personal purposes, exchange of promotions or benefits for sexual favors, using state police to provide false court testimony, laundering of drug money through a state agency, false reports by medical examiners and others investigating suspicious deaths, the firing of the RTC and FBI director when these agencies were investigating Clinton and his associates, failure to conduct autopsies in suspicious deaths, providing jobs in return for silence by witnesses, drug abuse, improper acquisition and use of 900 FBI files, improper futures trading, murder, sexual abuse of employees, false testimony before a federal judge, shredding of documents, withholding and concealment of subpoenaed documents, fabricated charges against (and improper firing of) White House employees, inviting drug traffickers, foreign agents and participants in organized crime to the White House.

ARKANSAS ALZHEIMER’S

Number of times that Clinton figures who testified in court or before Congress said that they didn’t remember, didn’t know, or something similar.


FROM THE WASHINGTON TIMES: In the portions of President Clinton’s Jan. 17 deposition that have been made public in the Paula Jones case, his memory failed him 267 times. This is a list of his answers and how many times he gave each one.

I don’t remember – 71 I don’t know – 62 I’m not sure – 17 I have no idea – 10 I don’t believe so – 9 I don’t recall – 8 I don’t think so – 8 I don’t have any specific recollection – 6 I have no recollection – 4 Not to my knowledge – 4 I just don’t remember – 4 I don’t believe – 4 I have no specific recollection – 3 I might have – 3 I don’t have any recollection of that – 2 I don’t have a specific memory – 2 I don’t have any memory of that – 2 I just can’t say – 2 I have no direct knowledge of that – 2 I don’t have any idea – 2 Not that I recall – 2 I don’t believe I did – 2 I can’t remember – 2 I can’t say – 2 I do not remember doing so – 2 Not that I remember – 2 I’m not aware – 1 I honestly don’t know – 1 I don’t believe that I did – 1 I’m fairly sure – 1 I have no other recollection – 1 I’m not positive – 1 I certainly don’t think so – 1 I don’t really remember – 1 I would have no way of remembering that – 1 That’s what I believe happened – 1 To my knowledge, no – 1 To the best of my knowledge – 1 To the best of my memory – 1 I honestly don’t recall – 1 I honestly don’t remember – 1 That’s all I know – 1 I don’t have an independent recollection of that – 1 I don’t actually have an independent memory of that – 1 As far as I know – 1 I don’t believe I ever did that – 1 That’s all I know about that – 1 I’m just not sure – 1 Nothing that I remember – 1 I simply don’t know – 1 I would have no idea – 1 I don’t know anything about that – 1 I don’t have any direct knowledge of that – 1 I just don’t know – 1 I really don’t know – 1 I can’t deny that, I just — I have no memory of that at all – 1

ARKANSAS SUDDEN DEATH SYNDROME

Number of persons in the Clinton machine orbit who are alleged to have committed suicide: 9 – Number known to have been murdered: 12 – Number who died in plane crashes: 6 – Number who died in single car automobile accidents: 3 – Number of one-person skiing fatalities: 1 – Number of key witnesses who have died of heart attacks while in federal custody under questionable circumstances: 1 – Number of unexplained deaths: 4 – Number of northern Mafia killings during peak years of 1968-78: 30 – Number of Dixie Mafia killings during same period: 156

It is important in considering these fatal incidents to bear in mind the following:
• The fact that anomalies need to be investigated further carries no presumption of how a death actually occurred, only that there remain serious questions that require answers.

• The possibility of foul play must be taken seriously in a major criminal conspiracy in which over two score individuals and firms have been convicted and over 100 witnesses have pled the Fifth Amendment or fled the country.

• If foul play did occur in any of these cases, that fact by itself does not carry the presumption that the the Clinton machine was involved. Given the footprints of organized crime, drug trade, foreign espionage, and intelligence agencies on the trail of the Clinton story, such a assumption would not be warranted. It is also well to keep in mind the classic prohibition era movie in which the corrupt politician’s job was not to engage in illegal acts but to avoid noticing them.

ARKANSAS MONEY MANAGEMENT

Amount of an alleged electronic transfer from the Arkansas Development Financial Authority to a bank in the Cayman Islands during 1980s: $50 million – Grand Cayman’s population: 18,000 – Number of commercial banks: 570 – Number of bank regulators: 1 – Amount Arkansas state pension fund invested in high-risk repos in the mid-80s in one purchase in April 1985: $52 million through the Worthen Bank. – Number of days thereafter that the state’s brokerage firm went belly up: 3 – Amount Arkansas pension fund dropped overnight as a result: 15% – Percent of Worthen bank that Mochtar Riady bought over the next four months to bail out the bank and the then governor, Bill Clinton: 40%. – Percent of purchasers from the Clintons and McDougals of resort lots who lost the land because of the sleazy financing provisions: over 50%

THE MEDIA

Number of journalists covering Whitewater who have been fired, transferred off the beat, resigned or otherwise gotten into trouble because of their work on the scandals (Doug Frantz, Jim Wooten, Richard Behar, Christopher Ruddy, Michael Isikoff, David Eisenstadt, Yinh Chan, Jonathan Broder, James R. Norman, Zoh Hieronimus): 10

FRIENDS OF BILL

Number of times John Huang took the 5th Amendment in answer to questions during a Judicial Watch deposition: 1,000 – Visits made to the White House by investigation subjects Johnny Chung, James Riady, John Huang, and Charlie Trie. 160 – Number of campaign contributors who got overnights at the White House in the two years before the 1996 election: 577 – Number of members of Thomas Boggs’s law firm who have held top positions in the Clinton administration. 18 – Number of times John Huang was briefed by CIA: 37 – Number of calls Huang made from Commerce Department to Lippo banks: 261 – Number of intelligence reports Huang read while at Commerce Department: 500

UNEXPLAINED PHENOMENA

FBI files misappropriated by the White House: c. 900 – Estimated number of witnesses quoted in FBI files misappropriated by the White House: 18,000 – Number of witnesses who developed medical problems at critical points in Clinton scandals investigation (Tucker, Hale, both McDougals, Lindsey): 5 – Problem areas listed in a memo by Clinton’s own lawyer in preparation for the president’s defense: 40 – Number of witnesses and critics of Clinton subjected to IRS audit: 45 – Number of names placed in a White House secret database without the knowledge of those named: c. 200,000 – Number of women involved with Clinton who claim to have been physically threatened (Sally Perdue, Gennifer Flowers, Kathleen Willey, Linda Tripp, Elizabeth Ward Gracen, Juanita Broaddrick): 6 – Number of men involved in the Clinton scandals who have been beaten up or claimed to have been intimidated: 10

THE HIDDEN ELECTION

USA Today calls it “the hidden election,” in which nearly 7,000 state legislative seats are decided with only minimal media and public attention. But there was an important national story here: evidence of the disaster that Bill Clinton was for the Democratic Party. According to the National Conference of State Legislatures, Democrats held a 1,542 seat lead in the state
bodies in 1990. As of 1998 that lead had shrunk to 288. That’s a loss of over 1,200 state legislative seats, nearly all of them under Clinton. Across the US, the Democrats controlled only 65 more state senate seats than the Republicans.

Further, in 1992, the Democrats controlled 17 more state legislatures than the Republicans. After 1998, the Republicans controlled one more than the Democrats. Not only was this a loss of 9 legislatures under Clinton, but it was the first time since 1954 that the GOP had controlled more state legislatures than the Democrats (they tied in 1968).

Here’s what happened to the Democrats under Clinton, based on our latest figures:

- GOP seats gained in House since Clinton became president: 45
- GOP seats gained in Senate since Clinton became president: 7
- GOP governorships gained since Clinton became president: 11
- GOP state legislative seats gained since Clinton became president: 1,254 as of 1998
- State legislatures taken over by GOP since Clinton became president: 9
- Democrat officeholders who have become Republicans since Clinton became president: 439 as of 1998
- Republican officeholders who have become Democrats since Clinton became president: 3

THE CLINTON LEGACY: LONELY VOICES

Here are some of the all too rare public officials, reporters, and others who spoke truth to the dismally corrupt power of Bill and Hill Clinton’s political machine — some at risk to their careers, others at risk to their lives. A few points to note:

Those corporatist media reporters who attempted to report the story often found themselves muzzled; some even lost their jobs. The only major dailies that consistently handled the story well were the Wall Street Journal and the Washington Times.

Nobody on this list has gotten rich and many you may not have even heard of. Taking on the Clintons typically has not been a happy or rewarding experience. At least ten reporters were fired, transferred off their beats, resigned, or otherwise got into trouble because of their work on the scandals.

Contrary to the popular impression, the politics of those listed ranges from the left to the right, and from the ideological to the independent.

PUBLIC OFFICIALS

MIGUEL RODRIGUEZ was a prosecutor on the staff of Kenneth Starr. His attempts to uncover the truth in the Vincent Foster death case were repeatedly foiled and he was the subject of planted stories undermining his credibility and implying that he was unstable. Rodriguez eventually resigned.

JEAN DUFFEY: Head of a joint federal-county drug task force in Arkansas. Her first instructions from her boss: “Jean, you are not to use the drug task force to investigate any public official.” Duffey’s work, however, led deep into the heart of the Dixie Mafia, including members of the Clinton machine and the investigation of the so-called “train deaths.” Ambrose Evans-Pritchard reports that when she produced a star witness who could testify to Clinton’s involvement with cocaine, the local prosecuting attorney, Dan Harmon issued a subpoena for all the task force records, including “the incriminating files on his own activities. If Duffey had complied it would have exposed 30 witnesses and her confidential informants to violent retributions. She refused.” Harmon issued a warrant for her arrest and friendly cops told her that there was a $50,000 price on her head. She eventually fled to Texas. The once-untouchable Harmon was later convicted of racketeering, extortion and drug dealing.

BILL DUNCAN: An IRS investigator in Arkansas who drafted some 30 federal indictments of Arkansas figures on money laundering and other charges. Clinton biographer Roger Morris quotes a source who reviewed the evidence: “Those indictments were a real slam dunk if there ever was one.” The cases were suppressed, many in the name of “national security.” Duncan was never called to testify. Other IRS agents and state police disavowed Duncan and turned on him. Said one source, “Somebody outside ordered it shut down and the walls went up.”

RUSSELL WELCH: An Arkansas state police detective working with Duncan. Welch developed a 35-volume, 3,000 page archive on drug and money laundering operations at Mena. His investigation was so compromised that a high state police
official even let one of the targets of the probe look through the file. At one point, Welch was sprayed in the face with poison, later identified by the Center for Disease Control as anthrax. He would write in his diary, “I feel like I live in Russia, waiting for the secret police to pounce down. A government has gotten out of control. Men find themselves in positions of power and suddenly crimes become legal.” Welch is no longer with the state police.

DAN SMALTZ: Smaltz did an outstanding job investigating and prosecuting charges involving illegal payoffs to Agriculture Secretary Mike Espy, yet was treated with disparaging and highly inaccurate reporting by the likes of the David Broder and the NY Times. Espy was acquitted under a law that made it necessary to not only prove that he accepted gratuities but that he did something specific in return. On the other hand, Tyson Foods copped a plea in the same case, paying $6 million in fines and serving four years’ probation. The charge: that Tyson had illegally offered Espy $12,000 in airplane rides, football tickets and other payoffs. In the Espy investigation, Smaltz obtained 15 convictions and collected over $11 million in fines and civil penalties. Offenses for which convictions were obtained included false statements, concealing money from prohibited sources, illegal gratuities, illegal contributions, falsifying records, interstate transportation of stolen property, money laundering, and illegal receipt of USDA subsidies. In addition, Janet Reno blocked Smaltz from pursuing leads aimed at allegations of major drug trafficking in Arkansas and payoffs to the then governor of the state, WJ Clinton. Espy had become Ag secretary only after being flown to Arkansas to get the approval of chicken king Don Tyson.

DAVID SCHIPPERS was House impeachment counsel and a Chicago Democrat. He did a highly creditable job but since he didn’t fit the right-wing conspiracy theory, the Clintonista media downplayed his work. Thus most Americans don’t know that he told Newsmax, “Let me tell you, if we had a chance to put on a case, I would have put live witnesses before the committee. But the House leadership, and I’m not talking about Henry Hyde, they just killed us as far as time was concerned. I begged them to let me take it into this year. Then I screamed for witnesses before the Senate. But there was nothing anybody could do to get those Senators to show any courage. They told us essentially, you’re not going to get 67 votes so why are you wasting our time.” Schippers also said that while a number of representatives had looked at additional evidence kept under seal in a nearby House building, not a single senator did.

JOHN CLARKE: When Patrick Knowlton stopped to relieve himself in Ft. Marcy Park 70 minutes before the discovery of Vince Foster’s body, he saw things that got him into deep trouble. His interview statements were falsified and prior to testifying he claims he was overtly harassed by more than a score of men in a classic witness intimidation technique. In some cases there were witnesses. John Clarke was his dogged lawyer in the witness intimidation case that was largely ignored by the media, even when the three-judge panel overseeing the Starr investigation permitted Knowlton to append a 20 page addendum to the Starr Report.

OTHER

THE ARKANSAS COMMITTEE: What would later be known as the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy actually began on the left—as a group of progressive students at the University of Arkansas had formed the Arkansas Committee to look into Mena, drugs, money laundering, and Arkansas politics. This committee was the source of some of the important early Clinton stories including those published in the Progressive Review.

CLINTON ADMINISTRATION SCANDALS E-LIST: Moderated by Ray Heizer, this list was subject to all the idiosyncrasies of Internet bulletin boards, but nonetheless proved invaluable to researchers and journalists.

JOURNALISTS

JERRY SEPER of the Washington Times was far and away the best beat reporter of the story, handling it week after week in the best tradition of investigative journalism. If other reporters had followed Seper’s lead, the history of the Clintons’ machine might have been quite different.

AMBROSE EVANS-PRITCHARD of the London Telegraph did a remarkable job of digging into some of the seamiest tales from Arkansas and the Clinton past. Other early arrivals on the scene were Alexander Cockburn and Jeff Gerth.

CHRISTOPHER RUDDY, among other fine reports on the Clinton scandals, did the best job laying out the facts in the Vince Foster death case.
ROGER MORRIS AND SALLY DENTON wrote a major expose of events at Mena, but at the last moment the Washington Post’s brass ordered the story killed. It was published by Penthouse and later included in Morris’ “Partners in Power,” the best biography of the Clintons.

OTHERS who helped get parts of the story out included reporters Philip Weiss, Carl Limbacher, Wes Phelan, David Bresnahan, William Sammon, Liza Myers, Mara Leveritt, Matt Drudge, Jim Ridgeway, Nat Hentoff, Michael Isikoff, Christopher Hitchens and Michael Kelly. Also independent investigator Hugh Sprunt and former White House FBI agent Gary Aldrich.

SAM SMITH of the Progressive Review wrote the first book (Shadows of Hope, University of Indiana Press, 1994) deconstructing the Clinton myth. The Review provided extensive coverage of the topic.

UPDATE: July 13, 2016

An Act Of Treason – How Bill Clinton Sold Our Missile Targeting Technology To China For Campaign Cash.

In 1996 President Bill Clinton, at a fundraising dinner in New York City said this: “There are no more nuclear missiles pointed at any children in the United States. I’m proud of that.” But by 1998, the CIA’s National Intelligence Daily stated that “thirteen of China’s 18 long-range intercontinental ballistic missiles are targeted on the United States.” How could such a discrepancy occur? When did Clinton know and what did he do to prevent this dangerous situation? These are valid questions and in light of Clinton’s involvement in Russia’s nuclear weapons advancements, I shudder to think that this too was a result of Clinton’s business dealings.

CNN reported how China has been stealing our most sensitive nuclear secrets in an article dated May 25, 1999, and posted on their website. The article was called: China stole U.S. nuke secrets to ‘fulfill international agenda.’ The article reveals that China has been stealing the most sensitive nuclear secrets for several decades and despite high-level knowledge of the thefts, security at U.S. nuclear labs still “does not meet even minimal standards.” The CNN article goes on to state that President Clinton had known since 1995 and yet little was done about it. In April 1998 Congressman Dana Rohrabacher (R-California) disclosed detailed information that U.S. aerospace companies had helped China improve its strategic nuclear missiles as part of a major ICBM modernization effort. The named companies were listed as Loral Space & Communications Ltd., Hughes Electronics, and Motorola as supplying the Chinese with space launch technology which China used to improve its nuclear missiles.

Congressman Rohrabacher went on to say: “There is ample evidence that American technology was transferred to this hostile potential enemy of the United States… (providing) the Communist Chinese the guidance needed to upgrade and perfect highly sophisticated weapons systems, increasing the reliability and capability of Communist Chinese rockets… This has given, what anyone has to admit is at least a potential enemy of the United States, a better ability to deliver nuclear warheads to our country, to American cities, to incinerate millions of our people.” Was there a connection between Bill Clinton and any of the three corporations named as supplying China with materials that improved the lethality of their missiles? I’m glad I asked that question.

The chairman of Loral Space & Communications was a heavy financial donor to Bill Clinton and the Democratic Party in general. His name is Bernard Schwartz and in a six-year period between 1992 and 1998, he donated over $1.1 million to Clinton and the party. To show his appreciation, President Clinton allowed Schwartz to travel to China with U.S. Commerce Secretary Ron Brown. Clinton loosened export controls which enabled Schwartz to purchase Chinese booster rockets for use in launching Loral’s satellites. The relaxing of controls was a two-way street and gave the Chinese an avenue with which to import hi-tech materials from Loral and other U.S. corporations that dealt in sophisticated electronics.

Hughes Electronics was also named in Rohrabacher’s report. Its CEO, C. Michael Armstrong lobbied Clinton to relax the export controls of sensitive technology. An internal White House memo dated December 8, 1993, and originating from the National Security Council, detailed how Armstrong pressured the administration into easing the trade restrictions with China. Armstrong had threatened to launch a major publicity campaign against the administration’s sanctions if the controls were not relaxed. In 1996, a Chinese rocket (missile) carrying a $200 million Loral satellite exploded on its launch pad. Loral and Hughes put together a team of scientists to investigate the problem. The problem(s) were identified and the information was
given to the Chinese consortium Great Wall Industry, a subsidiary of China Aerospace Corporation. Armed with the information supplied by Hughes and Loral, the Chinese were able to upgrade their nuclear ICBM’s.

Why did President Clinton allow this? Well, it was determined that the Chinese were secretly funneling large donations to the Clinton campaign. Federal investigators found that China Aerospace Corporation had given $300,000 to Democratic fundraiser Johnny Chung for Clinton’s election. In 1993, it was discovered that China was selling missile technology to Pakistan. Under tremendous pressure from Congress, Clinton banned U.S. space industry from using Chinese rockets to launch their satellites. The ban didn’t last long and in October 1994, Clinton lifted the ban. Despite reports that China had continued to sell nuclear technology to Pakistan and missiles to Iran, Clinton signed waivers for four U.S. satellites to be launched by Chinese rockets. Clinton did this over strong objections from the State and Defense Departments. Johnny Chung and Loral’s Schwartz donated another $100,000 each to Clinton. The fact that Clinton personally issued the waivers to allow shipments of U.S. technology that greatly improved the accuracy and reliability of Communist China’s missiles is grounds for impeachment, regardless of whether or not there was any quid pro quo for those decisions.

President Bill Clinton did more to damage U.S. national security than anyone else in American history. He is a traitor to our interests and he is guilty of espionage. He should have been arrested, impeached and convicted. The question is, how did this story escape mass media attention?

---

**CANDICE MILLER**

Posted April 29, 2013 on Patriot or Traitor

Candice Miller is a traitor.

Candice Miller is a Congresswoman in the United States House of Representatives for the state of Michigan, District 10.

On November 30, 2010, Candice Miller (MI-10) made the following statements regarding the recent release of classified U.S. State Department documents by WikiLeaks:

“The recent release of classified State Department communications by WikiLeaks is a dangerous threat to our national security and those of our allies in the Global War on Terror. There is absolutely no justification for the release of these documents as the prime beneficiaries of this release are the al Qaeda terrorist organization and the terror supporting regime in Iran. I fully support the effort of the incoming Chairman of the House Homeland Security Committee Peter King (R-NY) to have WikiLeaks declared a Foreign Terrorist Organization by the United States Government. In addition, I fully support Attorney General Eric Holder’s plan to prosecute the founder of WikiLeaks, Julian Assange, under the Espionage Act. Mr. Assange has unnecessarily placed our nation and our military in harms way and he needs to be held to the fullest extent of the law.
“WikiLeaks’ actions make the world a more dangerous place and put the lives of innocent Americans and many of our courageous foreign allies at great risk. The further release of additional national secrets cannot be allowed and the Obama Administration must move swiftly to take decisive action against WikiLeaks and any person within our government who has aided or abetted their efforts to undermine our national security.”

Everything Congresswoman Candice Miller said about Wilileaks is a lie, for she is nothing more then a corrupt politician trying to cover up her corrupt deeds. The documents need to be exposed and Candice Miller, along with the rest of the corrupt politicians it exposes need to rot in jail. They are the real terrorists.

Furthermore, Candice Miller knows the New York Times put much of the same information online, and the first amendment to our United States Constitution says, “Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.”

In other words, Congresswoman Candice Miller is a traitorous and deceitful outright liar, for Wilileaks told us nothing tactical or strategic that would gave up the positions of our operatives. It simply told us what we know already and embarrassed some people that can certainly stand to be embarrassed. Calling it ‘terrorist’ over and over, when it is nothing more than a pool of trivial info and things we already know implicitly (like Saudis hate Iran, China hacked google, etc) only serves to cry wolf and diminish the label of ‘terrorist’ further.

Bradley Manning, Julian Assange and Wiki Leaks are Heros for free speech of the press by fans of open government the world over. Isn’t this one of the principles America once stood for before we elected politicians like Candice Miller?

ROBERT SWAN MUELLER III

Posted April 26, 2013 on Patriot or Traitor
Robert Swan Mueller III is a traitor.

In March of 2004, FBI Director Robert Mueller, along with Acting Attorney General James B. Comey, offered to resign from office if the White House overruled a Department of Justice finding that domestic wiretapping without a court warrant was unconstitutional. Attorney General John D. Ashcroft denied his consent to attempts by White House Chief of Staff Andrew Card and White House Counsel Alberto R. Gonzales to waive the Justice Department ruling and permit the domestic warrantless eavesdropping program to proceed. On March 12, 2004, President George W. Bush gave his support to changes in the program sufficient to satisfy the concerns of Mueller, Ashcroft and Comey. The extent of the National Security Agency’s domestic warrantless eavesdropping under the President’s Surveillance Program is still largely unknown.

In March of 2012, FBI Director Robert Mueller said he was not sure if it was illegal or unconstitutional to kill American’s without arrest or trial. He went on to say he would have to go back and check with the Department of Justice whether Attorney General Eric Holder’s “three criteria” for the targeted killing of Americans also applied to Americans inside the U.S.

Pressed by House lawmakers about a recent speech in which Holder described the legal justification for assassination, Mueller, who was attending a hearing on his agency’s budget, did not say without qualification that the three criteria could not be applied inside the U.S.

“I have to go back. Uh, I’m not certain whether that was addressed or not,” Mueller said when asked by Rep. Tom Graves, R-Ga., about a distinction between domestic and foreign targeting. Graves followed up asking whether “from a historical perspective,” the federal government has “the ability to kill a U.S. citizen on United States soil or just overseas.”

“I’m going to defer that to others in the Department of Justice,” Mueller replied.

In other words, Robert Mueller in his official capacity as Director of the FBI wouldn’t exclude assassinating American citizens within the United States of America. This clearly makes him a traitor, for he must know the so called legal framework Eric
Holder laid out for doing so is unconstitutional.

UPDATE: June 16, 2013

To Hell With Justice.

FBI Director Robert Mueller is in no hurry to get to the bottom of the IRS’s multi-year abuse of conservative groups, despite Obama and his administration’s promise to investigate.

Attorney General Eric Holder promised in mid-May 2013 that the FBI would get to the bottom of the IRS’s behavior by opening a criminal investigation.

“I can assure you and the American people that we will take a dispassionate view of this,” Holder told congressional investigators on May 15 2013. “This will not be about parties, this will not be about ideological persuasions. Anybody who has broken the law will be held accountable.”

But in separate testimony before congressional investigators June 13, 2013, FBI Director Robert Mueller seemed completely unaware of the progress of any such investigation.


“This is the most important issue in front of the country in the last six weeks, and you don’t know who the lead investigator is?” Jordan asked, sounding shocked.

“At this juncture, no I do not,” Mueller responded.

“Do you know if you’ve talked to any of the victims?” Jordan went on. “Have you talked to any of the groups that were targeted by their government? Have you met with any of the tea party groups since May 14, 2013?”

“I don’t know what the status of the interviews are by the team that’s on it,” Mueller said.
To put it simply, FBI Director Robert Mueller and the Obama cult have again lied and refused to abide and enforce Constitutional Law.

Kelly A. Ayotte is a traitor.

Kelly A. Ayotte is a traitor.

Kelly A. Ayotte is an American politician and the junior United States senator from New Hampshire, serving since 2011. She is a member of the Republican Party and as of 2013 is the only Republican in New Hampshire’s four-member Congressional Delegation.

Ayotte voted to advance gun legislation within the United States Senate. As such, one must wonder which part of the 2nd amendment is hard for her to understand. . .”the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed.” Ayotte voted against Senate Amendment 3018: Right to Due Process. Which means she voted to preserve the indefinite detention clause in the NDAA (National Defense Authorization Act) bill.

Along with nearly voting for the L.O.S.T. treaty, she did vote for the Treaty on the Rights of Children with Disabilities considered a A Danger to Homeschool Families by HLSDA.

Before you go off wondering how we could be so “anti-children with disabilities”, please investigate this deceptively named sham and find out how it removes rights from the parents. (As do most UN treaties that concern children)

Kelly A. Ayotte is a clearly a traitor, for true american patriots do not vote for UN treaties under ANY circumstances. They are not our government and by doing so, she is simply reinforcing their dream to become ‘a global one world government’ to take precedence over our US Constitution.