
1. The Corona Scandal - The case against the promoters of the corona panic

Corona Crisis or Corona Scandal? Renown European lawyer is suing the promoters of the "corona panic" for Crimes Against Humanity!

October 2020

THE CORONA FLU SCANDAL - The 3rd of October 2020, the renown lawyer Reiner Fuellmich presents his case in his Youtube channel, mr. Fuellmich is suing the promoters of the "corona panic" for "Crimes Against Humanity" - according the experts from the Corona Investigation Committee: the danger and spread of the corona virus are greatly exaggerated, and the restriction measures extremely disproportionate

Are you aware that lawyer Reiner Fuellmich suing the promoters of the "corona panic" for "Crimes Against Humanity"? The 11 of October 2020, I came across Reiner Fuellmich video "Crimes Against Humanity" which he uploaded to his channel the 3rd of October 2020. I was shocked! This is of highest importance, for everyone in the world, this is possibly the biggest scandal in modern history. Reiner Fuellmich is suing the leader of WHO for fraud in regards to exaggerating the danger and spread of corona (covid 19), to be exact he is suing the three main promoters of the "Corona Panic" - The reason this transcript is important, is that it may be the best resume of factual information on what lawyer Fuellmich calls "The Corona Scandal".

Who is Reiner Fuellmich? Reiner Fuellmich a renown trial lawyer against fraudulent corporations such as Deutsche bank, VW, and Cunard and Niagara. Also one of four members of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Since July 10, 2020, this committee has been listening to a large number of international scientists and experts' testimony. He came to the conclusion that the danger and spread of the corona virus are being greatly exaggerated, and the measures based on this are the greatest restriction on our fundamental human rights since World War II. Calling it "The Corona Scandal", just the "Swine Flue Scandal" of 2009, though this time much more serious social damages are taking place, he is now suing the promoters of the "corona panic" for "Crimes Against Humanity".

These are the three main promoters of the "corona panic" that are being sued for "Crimes Against Humanity" by lawyer Fuellmich - and these people and their networks, are the people that our governments are basing their lockdown decisions on! (note: all text is taken from the transcript of his video)

- - Dr Christian Drosten, the co-developer of the PCR test (corona test) -- PCR tests are not approved for diagnostic purposes, as is correctly noted on leaflets coming with these tests. Even the United States CDC agrees with this. Even Drosten himself declared in an interview in 2014, that these PCR tests are so highly sensitive that even very healthy and non-infectious people may test positive. Dr Yeadon in his piece: 'Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics - the Deadly Danger of False Positives' explains the complete unsuitability of the test for the detection of infectious diseases. Covid 19 has tested positive in goats, sheep, papayas and even chicken wings -- Also note that previously, during the swine flu in 2009 Drosten was one of those who stirred up panic in the population; repeating over and over again that the swine flu would claim many hundreds of thousands, even millions of deaths, all over the world. This panic-inducing prognosis proved to be catastrophically false.
- - Mr Tedros Adhanom, head of WHO or World Health Organisation -- Drosten used the PCR test, to test in Wuhan China, it came positive, this was enough for WHO to sound the pandemic alarm and to recommend the worldwide use of the Drosten PCR test for the detection of infections -- Note also that previously, 12 years earlier the WHO changed the definition of "pandemic" (to "just a worldwide disease", which not necessarily led to many serious illnesses and deaths) and that due to this change was able to declare the swine flu pandemic in 2009, with the result that vaccines were produced and sold worldwide. The panic prognosis of WHO proved to be catastrophically false.
- - Mr Lothar Wieler, head of the RKI (German Center for Disease Control and Prevention) and author of "the "panic paper" -- "the "panic paper" that was leaked which was written by the German Department of the Interior. Its classified content shows beyond a shadow of a doubt that in fact the population was deliberately driven to panic by politicians and mainstream media. The accompanying irresponsible statements of the head of the RKI, Mr Wieler who repeatedly and excitedly announced that the corona measures must be followed unconditionally by the population, without them asking any question shows that he followed the script verbatim. In his public statements he kept announcing that the situation was very grave and threatening although the figures compiled by his own institute proved the exact opposite.

According to the independent Investigative Corona Committee, the danger and spread of corona are being exaggerated. What many people don't seem to understand is that the flu also kills people each year, and the argument of lawyer Fuellmich is that corona is not more dangerous than a normal flu. Also note that there is a difference of "dying with the corona virus" and "dying of corona virus", just as there is a difference between "dying in car accident with the flu" and "dying of the flu, conclusively", sadly this differentiation does not seem to be made by politicians and media. And if you look at detailed corona death reports in your country, it should name other possible causes of death and serious diseases the person had - you will find that most of the people who died with corona, had other serious health problems, and that most deaths are in the ages of 70 and up. The real numbers are comparable to what seasonal flu does each year. According to Fuellmich, the pictures of Bergamo (Italy) and New York (USA) are misleading, these pictures were deliberately used to cause panic.

There are many of scientists and experts that disagree with WHO. This is a huge law case! However my country, Denmark, seems to suppress this case, this case seems to be suppressed in most countries, it does not run on mainstream news - the fact that one of Europe's most famous lawyers is suing WHO's leader, the co-developer of the PCR test (the most popular corona test), and the author of the panic paper - this fact has not even been mentioned in our media, not tv, not newspapers or their websites. It is also very difficult to find through Google or Youtube, unless a friend tells you. Youtube deleted Fuellmich's video the 21 October (however now another video can be found on his channel). With such an important possible world corruption case going on, how is this possible! Some people are going through a lot of effort to hide this case and its important details from the general population.

Scientists and experts that disagree with WHO are ignored or made fun of by colleagues and media, and an honest scientific discussion can not take place. Scientists and experts that disagree with WHO must be allowed to speak, and tell us of their observations and interpretations. This is urgent! The experts in our laws, and the judges in our courts must shake of this "corona panic", clear their minds and get to work -- I believe we have been scammed, lawyer Fuellmich puts a lot of facts on the table, and has renowned scientists in the Investigative Corona Committee, Mr Fuellmich explains his case well in his video. Remember the WHO has lied before, exaggerating the danger of the swine flu and the need to buy vaccines in 2009 - if we are being conned, this is an incredible encroachment on our human rights, and all based on false grounds, if this is the case we have a huge problem here!

I should add lawyer Fuellmich is not the only one suing for unwarranted lockdowns, other lawyers that disagree with the government's response to the corona flu, among them the Canadian constitutional lawyer Rocco Galati has filed lawsuit against Prime Minister Justin Trudeau and Canada's Chief Public Health Officer Theresa Tam among others for their response to the corona flu. The lawsuit seeks several official declarations from the courts that pandemic measures are neither scientific nor medically-based, that they are extreme, irrational, and unwarranted, and that they breach multiple sections of our Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

Just as the general population is panic-stricken, so is the media, they are not able to think clearly. Even the judiciary system, has been so panic-stricken that it is no longer able to administer justice properly. We are currently experiencing the most serious encroachment on their constitutional rights since the end of Second World War. Governments have intervened massively and in part threatening the very existence of our countries, as it is guaranteed by the constitutional rights of the people.

Please listen to what Lawyer Fuellmich has to say, I know it's difficult, but what if the "Corona Investigative Committee" and lawyer Reiner Fuellmich are right? We must find the whole truth, and scientists that disagree with WHO must be allowed to come forth. If the court is the only place they can do that today, then that's the way to go. We live in a world with corruption, it is difficult to confront it in the face, but we can not allow our human rights we have to be taken away from us. If we are lied to, we must find out!

NOTE: REMEMBER THE SWINE FLU SCANDAL IN 2009 - Do you remember the "swine flu scandal" of 2009 and WHO's panic prognosis at that time? The definition of "pandemic" was changed by WHO 12 years ago to "just a worldwide disease", many serious illnesses and many deaths were not required anymore, to announce a pandemic". Due to this change the WHO, was able to declare the swine flu pandemic in 2009, with the result that vaccines were produced and sold worldwide, on the basis of contracts that have been kept secret until today. During the swine flu in 2009 Drosten (inventor of PCR Test) was one of those who stirred up panic in the population; repeating over and over again that the swine flu would claim many hundreds of thousands, even millions of deaths, all over the world. Thanks to Dr Wolfgang Wodarg this hoax was brought to an end, before it would lead to even more serious consequences --- Answer to charges from the Council of Europe on whether they had mishandled an outbreak of influenza: the WHO turned up for the first hearing and then didn't come again. "It didn't have to, it wasn't obliged to supply us with any information; and the European Vaccine Manufacturers responded: "the vaccine industry did what was asked of them by policymakers"

Also remember that the WHO has lied before, in 2009 they said the swine flu was dangerous and millions would die, based on this most governments bought many vaccines. It turned out that the swine flu was as the normal flu, and what is even more worrying the vaccines the governments had bought had to be destroyed, because of negative side effects, many individuals suffered brain damage and many children became handicapped because of the swine flu vaccines. There is a conflict of interests in WHO because they are sponsored by pharmaceutical industries. The swine flu scandal is documented. I do not want this to repeat again.

It is important to note at this point that the definition of a pandemic was changed 12 years earlier. Until then, a pandemic was considered to be a disease that spread worldwide and which led to many serious illnesses and deaths. Suddenly, and for reasons never explained, it was supposed to be a worldwide disease, only, many serious illnesses and many deaths were not required anymore, to announce a pandemic. Due to this change the WHO, which is closely intertwined with the global pharmaceutical industry, was able to declare the swine flu pandemic in 2009, with the result that vaccines were produced and sold worldwide, on the basis of contracts that have been kept secret until today.

WHO changed the definition of a pandemic 12 years earlier.

- Old definition of pandemic -- a pandemic was considered to be a disease that spread worldwide and which led to many serious illnesses and deaths.
- WHO's new definition of pandemic -- "a worldwide disease only", many serious illnesses and many deaths were not required anymore, to announce a pandemic. WHO changed its criteria for a level 6 pandemic, deleting "severity of illness" from the requirement of a pandemic phase.

During the false swine flu pandemic of 2009 vaccines proved to be completely unnecessary because the swine flu eventually turned out to be a mild flu and never became the horrific plague that the pharmaceutical industry and its affiliated universities kept announcing it would turn into, with millions of deaths certain to happen, if people didn't get vaccinated. Already then, Drosten (inventor of PCR Test) was one of those who stirred up panic in the population; repeating over and over again that the swine flu would claim many hundreds of thousands, even millions of deaths, all over the world. Today Drosten is the person the German politicians listened to for the advice on

lockdown, that is, the very person whose horrific panic-inducing prognosis had proved to be catastrophically false 12 years earlier.

Note that vaccine development is a long, complex process, often lasting 10-15 years, to make sure it really works and does not have serious side effects. But our governments have made special urgency laws for pandemic situations, where vaccine companies can not be sued for injuries if there are serious side effects, this allows the vaccine companies to make vaccines in a shorter time, however that increases the risk of serious side effects. First of all, millions of people worldwide were vaccinated for no good reason, the swine flue, was a mild flu. And because the vaccines were rushed and not fully tested for safety, many individuals suffered brain damage. According to the American Medical Association, the swine flu vaccine was associated with Guillian-Barre syndrome, a neurological disorder wherein a body's immune system attack the nerves often resulting in paralysis. Others suffer forms of brain damage such as narcolepsy and cataplexy. About 700 children in Europe fell incurably ill with narcolepsy and are now forever severely disabled.

It was mainly thanks to Dr Wolfgang Wodarg and his efforts as a member of the German Bundestag and also a member of PACE (Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe), that this hoax was brought to an end, before it would lead to even more serious consequences. He uncovered the Swine Flu Scandal of 2009. WHO changed its criteria for a level 6 pandemic, deleting "severity of illness" from the requirement of a pandemic phase. It was thus made easier to enter the world into a serious global pandemic. "Millions of people worldwide were vaccinated for no good reason." The WHO's classification of the swine flu as a pandemic have earned the pharmaceutical companies \$18 billion in additional revenues. Annual sales of Tamiflu alone jumped 435 percent, to €2.2 billion.

Answer to charges from Dr Wodarg & PACE (Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe) against WHO & European Vaccine Manufacturers, on whether they had mishandled an outbreak of influenza:

- The WHO turned up for the first hearing and then didn't come again. "It didn't have to, it wasn't obliged to supply us with any information;
- The European Vaccine Manufacturers responded: "the vaccine industry did what was asked of them by policymakers"

8 OCTOBER 2020, WHO PLEADS GOVERNMENTS TO STOP USING LOCKDOWNS: Dr David Nabarro from WHO pleads world leaders to stop using lockdowns as their 'primary' means of tackling virus because it is 'doubling' global poverty - Governments continue to have lockdowns, not listening to WHO's advice.

Dr David Nabarro from WHO pleads with world leaders to stop using lockdowns as their 'primary' means of tackling virus because it is 'doubling' global poverty. Dr David Nabarro blasted the use of lockdowns as a 'primary means of controlling this virus' and said they are only justified 'to buy you time to reorganise, regroup rebalance your resources' and 'protect your health workers'. Speaking to Andrew Neil for The Spectator magazine, the WHO scientist bemoaned the collapse of the tourism industry and claimed there would be a 'doubling' in the levels of world poverty and child malnutrition by next year as he warned that lockdowns make 'poor people an awful lot poorer'. 'I want to say it again: We in the World Health Organisation do not advocate lockdowns as a primary means of controlling this virus,' Dr Nabarro said (10 October 2020, Dailymail article). Governments continue to have lockdowns, not listening to WHO's advice.

- 8. October 2020 -- SpectatorTV -- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x8oH7cBxgWE&feature=emb_logo
- 10 October 2020, Dailymail article - <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8825949/Coronavir-UK-Britains-envoy-tells-government-stop-locking-down.html>

DAMAGES -- Bankruptcies of small and medium-sized businesses, Children are traumatized en masse, what do you think people in third countries will eat, when they can't go to work? Note: Dr David Nabarro from WHO envoy pleads with world leaders to stop using lockdowns as their 'primary' means of tackling virus because it is 'doubling' global poverty, governments continue to have lockdowns, not listening to WHO's advice. The danger of democracy being replaced by fascist totalitarian government models

- Bankruptcies of small and medium-sized businesses -- In Germany alone, 500 000 to 800 000 bankruptcies are expected in the fall to strike small and medium-sized businesses which form the backbone of the economy. This will result in incalculable tax losses and incalculably high and long-term social security money transfers for among other things unemployment benefits.
- Children are traumatized en masse -- According to psychologists and psychotherapists who testified before the corona committee, children are traumatized en masse. The head of the RKI (German Center for Disease Control and Prevention) wrote "the "panic paper", which among other things, the panic paper calls for children to be made to feel responsible and, I quote, for the painful tortured death of their parents and grandparents if they do not follow the corona rules; that is, if they do not wash their hands constantly and don't stay away from their grandparents.
- Doubling global poverty -- Probably more deaths are caused by lack of food, what do you think people in third countries will eat, when they can't go to work? -- Dr David Nabarro from WHO envoy pleads with world leaders to stop using lockdowns as their 'primary' means of tackling virus because it is 'doubling' global poverty. Dr David Nabarro blasted the use of lockdowns as a 'primary means of controlling this virus' and said they are only justified 'to buy you time to reorganise, regroup rebalance your resources' and 'protect your health workers'. Speaking to Andrew Neil for The Spectator magazine, the WHO scientist bemoaned the collapse of the tourism industry and claimed there would be a 'doubling' in the levels of world poverty and child malnutrition by next year as he warned that lockdowns make 'poor people an awful lot poorer'. 'I want to say it again: We in the World Health Organization do not advocate lockdowns as a primary means of controlling this virus,' Dr Nabarro said (10 October 2020, Dailymail article). Governments continue to have lockdowns, not listening to WHO's advice.
- Fascist totalitarian government models -- More and more scientists but also lawyers recognize that as a result of the deliberate panic-mongering and the corona measures enabled by this panic, democracy is in great danger of being replaced by fascist totalitarian models. Lockdowns and human rights restrictions. In Australia people who do not wear the masks, which more and more studies show are hazardous to health, or who allegedly do not wear them correctly, are arrested, handcuffed and thrown into jail. In the Philippines they run the risk of getting shot, but even in Germany and in other previously, civilized countries, children are taken away from their parents if they do not comply with quarantine regulations, distance regulations and mask wearing -

regulations.

Please investigate the facts for yourself, the situation is serious.

How this PDF is organized:

Because of the denseness of the material I have organized it as follows:

1. Resume of transcript: Lawyer Reiner Fuellmich presents his case against the promoters of the "corona panic" for "Crimes Against Humanity"
 2. The Full transcript: Lawyer Fuellmich presents his case (indexed with resumes in bold).
 3. Experts talk - Is Corona More Dangerous Than Normal Flu?
 4. Commentary on the flow of information in lawyer Fuellmich's case. Why hasn't the Media been interested in the facts of the case yet?
 5. Important News! Where to find the facts! - Reiner Fuellmich's & Investigative Corona Committee, as well as International collaboration of doctors, scientists, and experts
-

1. Resumed Transcript - "Crimes Against Humanity"

Resume of transcript - "Crimes Against Humanity"

The Corona Scandal - the renown lawyer Reiner Fuellmich is suing the promoters of the "corona panic" for "Crimes Against Humanity"

These are the three main promoters of the "corona panic" that are being sued for "Crimes Against Humanity" by lawyer Fuellmich

- - Dr Christian Drosten, the co-developer of the PCR test (corona test) -- PCR tests are not approved for diagnostic purposes, as is correctly noted on leaflets coming with these tests. Even the United States CDC agrees with this. Even Drosten himself declared in an interview in 2014, that these PCR tests are so highly sensitive that even very healthy and non-infectious people may test positive. Dr Yeadon in his piece: 'Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics - the Deadly Danger of False Positives' explains the complete unsuitability of the test for the detection of infectious diseases, covid 19 has tested positive in goats, sheep, papayas and even chicken wings -- Also note that previously, during the swine flu in 2009 Drosten was one of those who stirred up panic in the population; repeating over and over again that the swine flu would claim many hundreds of thousands, even millions of deaths, all over the world. This panic-inducing prognosis proved to be catastrophically false.
- - Mr Tedros Adhanom, head of WHO or World Health Organisation -- Drosten used the PCR test, to test in Wuhan China, it came positive, this was enough for WHO to sound the pandemic alarm and to recommend the worldwide use of the Drosten PCR test for the detection of infections -- Note also that previously, 12 years earlier the WHO changed the definition of "pandemic" (to "just a worldwide disease", which not necessarily led to many serious illnesses and deaths) and that due to this change was able to declare the swine flu pandemic in 2009, with the result that vaccines were produced and sold worldwide. The panic prognosis of WHO proved to be catastrophically false.
- - Mr Lothar Wieler, head of the RKI (German Center for Disease Control and Prevention) and author of "the "panic paper" -- "the "panic paper" that was leaked which was written by the German Department of the Interior. Its classified content shows beyond a shadow of a doubt that in fact the population was deliberately driven to panic by politicians and mainstream media. The accompanying irresponsible statements of the head of the RKI, remember the CDC, Mr Wieler who repeatedly and excitedly announced that the corona measures must be followed unconditionally by the population, without them asking any question shows that he followed the script verbatim. In his public statements he kept announcing that the situation was very grave and threatening although the figures compiled by his own institute proved the exact opposite.

The Corona Investigative Committee & Lawyer Reiner Fuellmich

Reiner Fuellmich a trial lawyer against fraudulent corporations such as Deutsche bank, VW, and Cunard and Niagara. Also one of four members of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Since July 10, 2020, this committee has been listening to a large number of international scientists and experts' testimony.

The Corona Investigative Committee was founded on July 10th by four lawyers in order to determine through hearing expert testimony of international scientists and other experts:

1. How dangerous is the virus really?
2. What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test?
3. What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures both with respect to the world's population's health, and with respect to the world's economy?

These scientists include:

- Professor John Ioannidis of Stanford University in California, a specialist in statistics and epidemiology as well as public health, and at the same time the most quoted scientists in the world;

- Professor Michael Levitt, Nobel prize winner for chemistry, and also a biophysicist at Stanford University,
- the German Professors Karin Mulling, Sucharit Bhakdi, Klud Wittkowski Stefan Homburg
- Dr Mike Yeadon is the former vice president and scientific director of Pfizer
- many, many, more scientists and doctors worldwide

After hearing these scientists Reiner Fuellmich says "This corona crisis, according to all we know today, must be renamed a corona scandal; and those responsible for it must be criminally prosecuted and sued for civil damages" - which has meanwhile unfolded into probably the greatest crime against humanity ever committed.

Who decided the lockdown and on what grounds?

The people who called the shots in the proclamation of the worldwide corona pandemic - What happened in May 2019: the CDU (German party), held a congress on global health, other people whom one would not necessarily expect to be present at such a gathering were also there: Drosten, Wieler, and Mr Tedros Adhanom, head of WHO. Also present and giving speeches were the chief lobbyists of the world's two largest health funds, namely, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Wellcome Trust.

Less than a year later these very people called the shots in the proclamation of the worldwide corona pandemic, made sure that mass PCR tests were used to prove mass infections with COVID-19 all over the world, and are now pushing for vaccines to be invented and sold worldwide. In March of 2020 Germany decision for lockdown based only on Drosten's doomsday prophecies, the only one known to have disagreed in the process David Sieber (member of the Green Party) was called a conspiracy theorist and stripped of his mandates.

The current state of affairs: 1. How dangerous is the virus really? 2. What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test? 3. What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures?

- 1. How dangerous is the virus really? To clarify, covid-19, is a dangerous disease, just like the seasonal flu, and just like the seasonal flu it may sometimes take a severe clinical course and will sometimes kill patients. However, as autopsies have shown, almost all been caused by serious pre-existing conditions and almost all of the people who had died, had died at a very old age, just like in Italy, meaning they had lived beyond their average life expectancy. Health care systems were never in danger of becoming overwhelmed by covid-19. Studies carried out by Professor Ioannidis and others have shown that the mortality of corona is equivalent to that of the seasonal flu. The pictures from Bergamo and New York are misleading, they were used to demonstrate to the world that panic was in order.
- 2. What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test? The PCR test for the detection of an infection was invented by the now infamous Professor Drosten -- We have learned that PCR tests, contrary to the assertions of Messrs. Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, do not give any indication of an infection with any virus. PCR tests expressly not approved for diagnostic purposes, as is correctly noted on leaflets coming with these tests. Even the United States CDC agrees with this. Even Drosten himself declared in an interview in 2014, that these PCR tests are so highly sensitive that even very healthy and non-infectious people may test positive. And if you increase the number of cycles at which the PCR is set (which is what Drosten, the codeveloper recommended) it will test even more false positives. A number of highly respected scientists worldwide assume that there has never been a corona pandemic but only a PCR test pandemic. There was a real wave of disease in March and April but since then everything has gone back to normal ... it is sufficient for the so-called herd immunity that 15 to 25 percent of the population are infected with the allegedly new coronavirus to stop the further spread of the virus, and this has long been the case. Dr Yeadon in his piece: 'Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics - the Deadly Danger of False Positives' explains the complete unsuitability of the test for the detection of infectious diseases, covid 19 has tested positive in goats, sheep, papayas and even chicken wings
- 3. Collateral damages & legal consequences - What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures both with respect to the world's population's health, and with respect to the world's economy? More and more scientists but also lawyers recognise that as a result of the deliberate panic-mongering and the corona measures enabled by this panic, democracy is in great danger of being replaced by fascist totalitarian models. In Australia people who do not wear the masks, which more and more studies show are hazardous to health, or who allegedly do not wear them correctly, are arrested, handcuffed and thrown into jail. In the Philippines they run the risk of getting shot. But even in Germany and in other previously, civilised countries, children are taken away from their parents if they do not comply with quarantine regulations, distance regulations and mask wearing -regulations. According to psychologists and psychotherapists who testified before the corona committee, children are traumatised en masse. The German judiciary, just like the general public has been so panic-stricken that it was no longer able to administer justice properly. Germans are currently experiencing the most serious encroachment on their constitutional rights since the founding of the Federal Republic of Germany in 1949. In order to contain the corona pandemic federal and state governments have intervened, he says, massively and in part threatening the very existence of the country, as it is guaranteed by the constitutional rights of the people.

What can we do? the class action is the best route to compensatory damages and to political consequences

How can we do something? What can we do? Well, the class action is the best route to compensatory damages and to political consequences. class action enables a court of law to allow complaint for damages to be tried as a class action lawsuit at the request of a plaintiff, if: as a result of a damage inducing event, and a large number of people, suffer the same type of damage. A judge can allow a class-action lawsuit to go forward if common questions of law and fact make up the vital component of the lawsuit.

Through an international lawyers' network, which is growing larger by the day, the German group of attorneys provides to all of their colleagues, in all other countries, free of charge, all relevant information, including expert opinions and testimonies of experts showing that the PCR tests cannot detect infections and they also provide them with all relevant information as to how they can prepare and bundle the claims for damages of their clients so that they too can assert their clients claims for damages either in their home countries, courts of law, or within the framework of the class action as explained above.

These scandalous corona facts gathered mostly by the corona committee and summarised above are the very same facts that will soon be

proven to be true, either in one court of law or in many courts of law, all over the world. These are the facts that will pull the masks off the faces of all those responsible for these crimes.

To the politicians, start the long overdue public scientific discussion and not go down with those charlatans and criminals

2. Full transcript - "Crimes Against Humanity"

The Corona Scandal - Reiner Fuellmich is suing the promoters of the "corona panic" for "Crimes Against Humanity"

I am Reiner Fuellmich - a trial lawyer against fraudulent corporations such as Deutsche bank, VW, and Cunard and Niagara. Also one of four members of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Since July 10, 2020, this committee has been listening to a large number of international scientists and experts' testimony. This corona crisis, according to all we know today, must be renamed a corona scandal; and those responsible for it must be criminally prosecuted and sued for civil damages. I will now explain to you how and where an international network of lawyers will argue this biggest tort case ever - the corona fraud scandal - which has meanwhile unfolded into probably the greatest crime against humanity ever committed.

Hello. I am Reiner Fuellmich and I have been admitted to the bar in Germany and in California for 26 years. I have been practicing law primarily as a trial lawyer against fraudulent corporations such as Deutsche bank, formerly one of the world's largest and most respected banks, today one of the most toxic criminal organisations in the world; VW, one of the world's largest and most respected car manufacturers, today notorious for its giant diesel fraud; and Cunard and Niagara the world's largest shipping company. We're suing them in a multi-million-dollar bribery case.

I'm also one of four members of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Since July 10, 2020, this committee has been listening to a large number of international scientists and experts' testimony - to find answers to questions about the corona crisis which more and more people worldwide are asking. All the above mentioned cases of corruption and fraud committed by the German corporations pale in comparison in view of the extent of the damage that the corona crisis has caused and continues to cause. This corona crisis, according to all we know today, must be renamed a corona scandal; and those responsible for it must be criminally prosecuted and sued for civil damages.

On a political level, everything must be done to make sure that no one will ever again be in a position of such power as to be able to defraud humanity, or to attempt to manipulate us with their corrupt agendas. And, for this reason, I will now explain to you how and where an international network of lawyers will argue this biggest tort case ever - the corona fraud scandal - which has meanwhile unfolded into probably the greatest crime against humanity ever committed.

Crimes against humanity were first defined in connection with the Nuremberg trials after World War II, that is when they dealt with the main war criminals of the Third Reich. Crimes against humanity are today regulated in section 7 of the International Criminal Code.

The three major questions: 1. Is there a corona pandemic or is there only a test pandemic, 2. Do the so-called anti-corona measures serve to protect or make people panic, 3. Is it true that the German government was massively lobbied by the chief protagonists of this so-called corona pandemic (Drosten, Wieler, and Mr Tedros Adhanom, head of WHO)? Answers to these three questions are urgently needed the anti-corona measures whose only basis are the PCR test results have in the meantime caused the loss of innumerable human lives, and have destroyed the economic existence of countless companies and individuals worldwide. In Australia, for example, people are thrown into prison if they do not wear a mask or do not wear it properly as deemed by the authorities. In the Philippines, people who do not wear a mask, or do not wear it properly in this sense, are getting shot in the head.

The three major questions to be answered in the context of a judicial approach to the corona scandal are:

1. Is there a corona pandemic or is there only a Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) test pandemic, specifically does a positive PCR test result mean that the person tested is infected with COVID-19, or does it mean absolutely nothing in connection with the COVID-19 infection?
2. Do the so-called anti-corona measures such as the lockdown, mandatory face masks, social distancing and quarantine regulations serve to protect the world's population from corona, or do these measures serve only to make people panic - so that they believe without asking any questions that their lives are in danger, so that in the end the pharmaceutical and tech industries can generate huge profits from the sale of PCR tests, antigen and antibody tests and vaccines, as well as the harvesting of our genetic fingerprints?
3. Is it true that the German government was massively lobbied more, so than any other country, by the chief protagonists of this so-called corona pandemic? Dr Christian Drosten, virologist and Director of the Institute at Charité Hospital in Berlin; Mr Lothar Wieler, veterinarian and head of the German equivalent of the CDC, the RKI; and Mr Tedros Adhanom, head of the World Health Organisation or WHO, because Germany is known as a particularly disciplined country and was therefore to become a role model for the rest of the world - for its strict and of course successful adherence to the corona measures?

Answers to these three questions are urgently needed because the allegedly new and highly dangerous coronavirus has not caused any excess mortality anywhere in the world and certainly not here in Germany; but the anti-corona measures whose only basis are the PCR

test results (which are in turn all based on the German Drosten test) have in the meantime caused the loss of innumerable human lives, and have destroyed the economic existence of countless companies and individuals worldwide. In Australia, for example, people are thrown into prison if they do not wear a mask or do not wear it properly as deemed by the authorities. In the Philippines, people who do not wear a mask, or do not wear it properly in this sense, are getting shot in the head.

Summary of the facts as result of the work of the corona investigative committee. This committee was founded on July 10th by four lawyers in order to determine through hearing expert testimony of international scientists and other experts: How dangerous is the virus really? What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test? What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures both with respect to the world's population's health, and with respect to the world's economy?

Let me first give you a summary of the facts that they present, as they present themselves. Today the most important thing in a lawsuit is to establish the facts; that is, to find out what actually happened. This is because the application of the law always depends on the facts at issue. If I want to prosecute someone for fraud, I cannot do that by presenting the facts of a car accident. So, what happened here regarding the alleged corona pandemic?

The facts laid out below are to a large extent the result of the work of the corona investigative committee. This committee was founded on July 10th by four lawyers in order to determine through hearing expert testimony of international scientists and other experts:

- How dangerous is the virus really?
- What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test?
- What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures both with respect to the world's population's health, and with respect to the world's economy?

Who decided the lockdown and on what grounds?

What happened in May 2019: the CDU (German Party), held a congress on global health, with people that less than a year later called the shots in the proclamation of the worldwide corona pandemic, use PCR tests to prove mass infections, and are now pushing for vaccines to be invented and sold worldwide

What happened in May 2019: the CDU (German party), held a congress on global health, apparently at the instigation of important players from the pharmaceutical industry and in the tech industry -- other people whom one would not necessarily expect to be present at such a gathering were also there: Drosten, Wieler, and Mr Tedros Adhanom, head of WHO. Also present and giving speeches were the chief lobbyists of the world's two largest health funds, namely, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Welcome Trust

Let me start with a little bit of background information: what happened in May 2019 and then in early 2020, and what happened 12 years earlier with the swine flu, which many of you may have forgotten about. In May of 2019, the stronger of the two parties which govern Germany in a grand coalition, the CDU, held a congress on global health, apparently at the instigation of important players from the pharmaceutical industry and in the tech industry. At this congress the usual suspects (you might say) gave their speeches. Angela Merkel was there and the German secretary of health, Jens Spahn, but some other people whom one would not necessarily expect to be present at such a gathering were also there. Professor Drosten, virologist, from the Charite hospital in Berlin; Professor Wieler, veterinarian, and head of the RKI (the German equivalent of the CDC) as well as Mr Tadros Adhanom, philosopher, and head of the world health organisation (WHO). They all gave speeches there. Also present and giving speeches were the chief lobbyists of the world's two largest health funds, namely, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Welcome Trust.

Note: These would be the three main promoters of the "corona panic":

- Dr Christian Drosten, virologist and Director of the Institute at Charité Hospital in Berlin;
- Mr Lothar Wieler, veterinarian and head of the German equivalent of the CDC, the RKI;
- Mr Tedros Adhanom, head of the World Health Organisation or WHO

Note: In this congress ALSO participated the chief lobbyists of the world's two largest health funds

- The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation
- The Welcome Trust

Less than a year later these very people called the shots in the proclamation of the worldwide corona pandemic, made sure that mass PCR tests were used to prove mass infections with COVID-19 all over the world, and are now pushing for vaccines to be invented and sold worldwide.

Less than a year later these very people called the shots in the proclamation of the worldwide corona pandemic, made sure that mass PCR tests were used to prove mass infections with COVID-19 all over the world, and are now pushing for vaccines to be invented and sold worldwide. These infections, or rather the positive test results that the PCR tests delivered, in turn became the justification for worldwide lockdowns, social distancing and mandatory face masks.

Note: The definition of "pandemic" was changed 12 years earlier to "a worldwide disease, many serious illnesses and many deaths were not required anymore, to announce a pandemic". Due to this change the WHO, was able to declare the swine flu pandemic in 2009, with the result that vaccines were produced and sold worldwide, on the basis of contracts that have been kept

secret until today. During the swine flu in 2009 Drosten was one of those who stirred up panic in the population; repeating over and over again that the swine flu would claim many hundreds of thousands, even millions of deaths, all over the world. Thanks to Dr Wolfgang Wodarg this hoax was brought to an end, before it would lead to even more serious consequences

It is important to note at this point that the definition of a pandemic was changed 12 years earlier. Until then, a pandemic was considered to be a disease that spread worldwide and which led to many serious illnesses and deaths. Suddenly, and for reasons never explained, it was supposed to be a worldwide disease, only, many serious illnesses and many deaths were not required anymore, to announce a pandemic. Due to this change the WHO, which is closely intertwined with the global pharmaceutical industry, was able to declare the swine flu pandemic in 2009, with the result that vaccines were produced and sold worldwide, on the basis of contracts that have been kept secret until today.

These vaccines proved to be completely unnecessary because the swine flu eventually turned out to be a mild flu and never became the horrific plague that the pharmaceutical industry and its affiliated universities kept announcing it would turn into, with millions of deaths certain to happen, if people didn't get vaccinated. These vaccines also led to serious health problems: about 700 children in Europe fell incurably ill with narcolepsy and are now forever severely disabled. The vaccines bought with millions of taxpayers' money had to be destroyed, with even more taxpayers' money.

Already then, during the swine flu, the German virologist Drosten was one of those who stirred up panic in the population; repeating over and over again that the swine flu would claim many hundreds of thousands, even millions of deaths, all over the world. In the end, it was mainly thanks to Dr Wolfgang Wodarg and his efforts as a member of the German Bundestag and also a member of the Council of Europe, that this hoax was brought to an end, before it would lead to even more serious consequences.

March of 2020 -- Germany decision for lockdown based only on Drosten's doomsday prophecies, the only one who disagree Sieber was called a conspiracy theorist and stripped of his mandates

March of 2020 -- There was only one single opinion on which the federal government in Germany based its' decision for lockdown, Mr Drosten, the very person whose horrific panic-inducing prognosis had proved to be catastrophically false 12 years earlier - In an outrageous violation of the universally accepted principle auditor at ultra parse, which means that one must also hear the other side. We know this because a whistle-blower named David Sieber, a member of the Green Party

Fast forward to March of 2020, when the German Bundestag announced an epidemic situation of national importance which is the German equivalent of a pandemic, in March of 2020, and based on this, the lockdown - with the suspension of all essential constitutional rights for an unforeseeable time. There was only one single opinion on which the federal government in Germany based its' decision. In an outrageous violation of the universally accepted principle auditor at ultra parse, which means that one must also hear the other side. The only person they listened to was Mr Drosten, that is, the very person whose horrific panic-inducing prognosis had proved to be catastrophically false 12 years earlier.

We know this because a whistle-blower named David Sieber, a member of the Green Party, told us about it. He did so first on 29 August, 2020 in Berlin in the context of an event at which Robert F Kennedy Junior also took part, and at which both men gave speeches; and he did so afterwards in one of the sessions of our corona committee.

David Sieber (member of the Green Party) had become increasingly sceptical about the official narrative propagated by politicians and the mainstream media. David Sieber found highly renowned scientists on the internet who held a completely different opinion, that there was no disease that went beyond the gravity of the seasonal flu; that the population had already acquired cross or T-cell immunity against this allegedly new virus; and that there was therefore no reason for any special measures and certainly not for vaccinations

The reason he (David Sieber) did this is that he had become increasingly sceptical about the official narrative propagated by politicians and the mainstream media. He had therefore undertaken an effort to find out about other scientists' opinions and had found them on the internet. There he realised that there were a number of highly renowned scientists who held a completely different opinion which contradicted the horrific prognosis of Mr Drosten. They assumed, and still do assume, that there was no disease that went beyond the gravity of the seasonal flu; that the population had already acquired cross or T-cell immunity against this allegedly new virus; and that there was therefore no reason for any special measures and certainly not for vaccinations.

These scientists include Professor John Ioannidis of Stanford University in California, a specialist in statistics and epidemiology as well as public health, and at the same time the most quoted scientists in the world; Professor Michael Levitt, Nobel prize winner for chemistry, and also a biophysicist at Stanford University, the German Professors Karin Mulling, Sucharit Bhakdi, Klud Wittkowski as well as Stefan Homburg and now many, many, more scientists and doctors worldwide; including Dr Mike Yeadon. Dr Mike Yeadon is the former vice president and scientific director of Pfizer, one of the largest pharmaceutical companies in the world. I will talk some more about him a little later.

- Professor John Ioannidis of Stanford University in California, a specialist in statistics and epidemiology as well as public health, and at the same time the most quoted scientists in the world;
- Professor Michael Levitt, Nobel prize winner for chemistry, and also a biophysicist at Stanford University,
- the German Professors Karin Mulling,
- Sucharit Bhakdi,
- Klud Wittkowski
- Stefan Homburg
- Dr Mike Yeadon is the former vice president and scientific director of Pfizer
- many, many, more scientists and doctors worldwide;

Mr Sieber turned to the leadership of his green party with the knowledge he had accumulated and suggested that they present these other scientific opinions to the public and explain that contrary to Mr Drosten's doomsday prophecies there was no reason for the public to panic -- Mr Drosten's panic messages were good enough for the German party. David Sieber was called a conspiracy theorist, without ever having considered the content of his information and then stripped him of his mandates

At the end of March, beginning of April, of 2020 Mr Sieber turned to the leadership of his green party with the knowledge he had accumulated and suggested that they present these other scientific opinions to the public and explain that contrary to Mr Drosten's doomsday prophecies there was no reason for the public to panic. Incidentally, Lord Sumption, who served as a judge at the British Supreme Court from 2012 to 2018, had done the very same thing, at the very same time, it had come to the very same conclusion, that there was no factual basis for panic and no legal basis for the corona measures.

Likewise, the former President of the German Federal Constitutional Court expressed, albeit more cautiously, serious doubts that the corona measures were constitutional. But instead of taking note of these other opinions and discussing them with David Sieber, the green party leadership declared that Mr Drosten's panic messages were good enough for the German party. For the green party, remember, they're not a member of the ruling coalition; they're the opposition. Still that was enough for them, just as it had been good enough for the federal government as a basis for its lockdown decision, they said.

Subsequently, the green party leadership called David Sieber a conspiracy theorist, without ever having considered the content of his information and then stripped him of his mandates.

The current state of affairs: 1. How dangerous is the virus really? 2. What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test? 3. What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures?

1. How dangerous is the virus really?

The current, actual situation regarding the virus's danger; the complete uselessness of PCR tests for the detection of infections; and the lockdowns based on non-existent infections ... health care systems were never in danger of becoming overwhelmed by COVID-19 ... Studies carried out by Professor Ioannidis and others have shown that the mortality of corona is equivalent to that of the seasonal flu; even the pictures from Bergamo and New York that were used to demonstrate to the world that panic was in order proved to be deliberately misleading

Now, let's take a look at the current, actual situation regarding the virus's danger; the complete uselessness of PCR tests for the detection of infections; and the lockdowns based on non-existent infections.

In the meantime, we know that the health care systems were never in danger of becoming overwhelmed by COVID-19. On the contrary, many hospitals remain empty to this day and some are now facing bankruptcy. The hospital ship Comfort which anchored in New York at the time, and could have accommodated a thousand patients, never accommodated more than some 20 patients. Nowhere was there any excess mortality.

Studies carried out by Professor Ioannidis and others have shown that the mortality of corona is equivalent to that of the seasonal flu; even the pictures from Bergamo and New York that were used to demonstrate to the world that panic was in order proved to be deliberately misleading.

The "panic paper" -- Mr Wieler who repeatedly and excitedly announced that the corona measures must be followed unconditionally by the population, without them asking any question ... Among other things, the panic paper calls for children to be made to feel responsible and, I quote, for the painful tortured death of their parents and grandparents if they do not follow the corona rules; that is, if they do not wash their hands constantly and don't stay away from their grandparents

Then, the so-called 'panic paper' was leaked which was written by the German Department of the Interior. Its classified content shows beyond a shadow of a doubt that in fact the population was deliberately driven to panic by politicians and mainstream media. The accompanying irresponsible statements of the head of the RKI, remember the CDC, Mr Wieler who repeatedly and excitedly announced that the corona measures must be followed unconditionally by the population, without them asking any question shows that he followed the script verbatim. In his public statements he kept announcing that the situation was very grave and threatening although the figures compiled by his own institute proved the exact opposite.

Among other things, the panic paper calls for children to be made to feel responsible and, I quote, for the painful tortured death of their parents and grandparents if they do not follow the corona rules; that is, if they do not wash their hands constantly and don't stay away from their grandparents.

The pictures from Bergamo and New York were used to demonstrate to the world that panic was in order proved to be deliberately misleading

A word of clarification: in Bergamo, the vast majority of deaths, 94 percent to be exact, turned out to be the result, not of COVID-19, but rather the consequence of the government deciding to transfer sick patients, sick with probably the cold or seasonal flu, from hospitals to nursing homes - in order to make room at the hospitals for all the COVID-19 patients, who ultimately never arrived. There at the nursing homes, they then infected old people with a severely weakened immune system, usually as a result of pre-existing medical conditions, in addition, a flu vaccination which had previously been administered had further weakened the immune systems of the people in the

nursing homes.

In New York only some, but by far not all, hospitals were overwhelmed. Many people, most of whom were again elderly and had serious pre-existing medical conditions and most of whom had it not been for the panic mongering would have just stayed at home to recover, raced to the hospitals. There many of them fell victim to healthcare-associated infections, or nosocomial infections on the one hand and incidents of malpractice on the other hand, for example: by being put on a respirator rather than receiving oxygen through an oxygen mask.

To clarify, COVID-19, is a dangerous disease, just like the seasonal flu may sometimes take a severe clinical course and will sometimes kill patients. However, as autopsies have shown, almost all been caused by serious pre-existing conditions and almost all of the people who had died, had died at a very old age, just like in Italy, meaning they had lived beyond their average life expectancy

Again to clarify, COVID-19, this is the current state of affairs, is a dangerous disease, just like the seasonal flu is a dangerous disease and of course COVID-19, just like the seasonal flu may sometimes take a severe clinical course and will sometimes kill patients. However, as autopsies have shown, which were carried out in Germany, in particular by the forensic scientist Professor Klaus Püschel, in Hamburg, the fatalities he examined had almost all been caused by serious pre-existing conditions and almost all of the people who had died, had died at a very old age, just like in Italy, meaning they had lived beyond their average life expectancy.

In this context the following should also be mentioned: the German RKI, that is again the equivalent of the CDC, had initially, strangely enough, recommended that no autopsies be performed and there are numerous credible reports that doctors and hospitals worldwide had been paid money for declaring a deceased person a victim of COVID-19 rather than writing down the true cause of death on the death certificate, for example a heart attack or a gunshot wound. Without the autopsies we would never know that the overwhelming majority of the alleged COVID-19 victims had died of completely different diseases but not of COVID-19.

The assertion that the lockdown was necessary: a) the lockdown was imposed when the virus was already retreating, & b) There's already protection from the virus because of cross or t-cell immunity

The assertion that the lockdown was necessary because there were so many different infections with SARS-CoV-2 and because the healthcare systems would be overwhelmed is wrong, for three reasons, as we have learned from the hearings we conducted with the Corona Committee and from other data that has become available in the meantime.

a) the lockdown was imposed when the virus was already retreating. By the time the lockdown was imposed the alleged infection rates were already dropping again.

b) There's already protection from the virus because of cross or t-cell immunity, apart from the above mentioned lockdown being imposed, when the infection rates were already dropping there is also cross or t-cell immunity in the general population against the coronaviruses contained in every flu or influenza wave. This is true, even if this time around a slightly different strain of the coronavirus was at work, and that is because the body's own immune system remembers every virus it has ever battled in the past, and from this experience it also recognises a supposedly new but still similar strain of the virus from the corona family.

2. What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test?

THE PCR TEST -- the PCR test for the detection of an infection was invented by the now infamous Professor Drosten ... Then he sent it to China to determine whether the victims of the alleged new coronavirus tested positive. They did, and that was enough for the WHO to sound the pandemic alarm and to recommend the worldwide use of the Drosten PCR test -- we have learned that these PCR tests, contrary to the assertions of Messrs. Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, do not give any indication of an infection with any virus

Incidentally that's how the PCR test for the detection of an infection was invented by the now infamous Professor Drosten. At the beginning of January of 2020, based on this very basic knowledge, Mr Drosten developed his PCR test which supposedly detects an infection with SARS-CoV-2. Without ever having seen the real Wuhan virus from China, only having learned from social media reports that there was something going on in Wuhan, he started tinkering on his computer with what would become his corona PCR test.

For this he used an old SARS [severe respiratory syndrome] virus hoping it would be sufficiently similar to the allegedly new strain of the coronavirus found in Wuhan. Then he sent the result of his computer tinkering to China to determine whether the victims of the alleged new coronavirus tested positive. They did, and that was enough for the WHO to sound the pandemic alarm and to recommend the worldwide use of the Drosten PCR test for the detection of infections with the virus now called SARS-CoV-2.

Drosten's opinion and advice was, this must be emphasised, once again the only source for the German Government when it announced the lockdown, as well as the rules for social distancing and the mandatory wearing of masks; and this must also be emphasised once again, Germany apparently became the centre of, especially, massive lobbying by the pharmaceutical and tech. industry because the world, was referenced to the allegedly disciplined Germans, should do as the Germans do in order to survive the pandemic.

c) And this is the most important part of our fact-finding. The PCR test is being used on the basis of false statements, not based on scientific facts with respect to infections. In the meantime, we have learned that these PCR tests, contrary to the assertions of Messrs. Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, do not give any indication of an infection with any virus let alone an infection with SARS-CoV-2.

PCR tests expressly not approved for diagnostic purposes, as is correctly noted on leaflets coming with these tests .. contrary to

the assertions of Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, which they have been making since the proclamation of the pandemic, a positive PCR test result does not mean that an infection is present. Even the United States CDC, even this institution, agrees with this and I quote directly from page 38 of one of its publications on the coronavirus and the PCR tests dated July 13 2020

Note: not only are PCR tests expressly not approved for diagnostic purposes, as is correctly noted on leaflets coming with these tests, and as the inventor of the PCR test, Kary Mullis, has repeatedly emphasised. Instead they're simply incapable of diagnosing any disease. That is, contrary to the assertions of Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, which they have been making since the proclamation of the pandemic, a positive PCR test result does not mean that an infection is present. If someone tests positive, it does not mean that they're infected with anything let alone with the contagious SARS-CoV-2 virus.

Even the United States CDC, even this institution, agrees with this and I quote directly from page 38 of one of its publications on the coronavirus and the PCR tests dated July 13 2020.

- First bullet point says: detection of viral RNA may not indicate the presence of infectious virus or that 2019 nCoV [novel coronavirus] is the causative agent for clinical symptoms
- Second bullet point says: the performance of this test has not been established for monitoring treatment of two threat 2019 nCoV infection
- Third bullet point says: this test cannot rule out diseases caused by other bacterial or viral pathogens

PCR CYCLES -- Everything over 35 cycles is, as reported by the New York Times and others, considered completely unreliable and scientifically unjustifiable. Drosten test as well as the WHO recommended tests that followed his example are set to 45 cycles. Can that be because of the desire to produce as many positive results as possible and thereby provide the basis for the false assumption that a large number of infections have been detected?

It is still not clear whether there has ever been a scientifically correct isolation of the Wuhan virus so that nobody knows exactly what we're looking for when we test; especially, since this virus, just like the flu viruses mutates quickly. The PCR swabs take one or two sequences of a molecule that are invisible to the human eye and therefore need to be amplified in many cycles to make it visible. Everything over 35 cycles is, as reported by the New York Times and others, considered completely unreliable and scientifically unjustifiable. However, the Drosten test as well as the WHO recommended tests that followed his example are set to 45 cycles. Can that be because of the desire to produce as many positive results as possible and thereby provide the basis for the false assumption that a large number of infections have been detected?

The test cannot distinguish inactive and reproductive matter: that means that a positive result may happen because the test detects, for example, a piece of debris, a fragment of a molecule, which may signal nothing else more than the immune system of the person tested won a battle with a common cold in the past.

Even Drosten himself declared in an interview with a German business magazine in 2014, at that time concerning the alleged detection of an infection with the MERS virus allegedly with the help of the PCR test, that these PCR tests are so highly sensitive that even very healthy and non-infectious people may test positive ... Once again, this means that positive test results, contrary to all other claims by Drosten, Wieler or the WHO mean nothing, with respect to infections, as even the CDC knows as quoted above.

Even Drosten himself declared in an interview with a German business magazine in 2014, at that time concerning the alleged detection of an infection with the MERS virus allegedly with the help of the PCR test, that these PCR tests are so highly sensitive that even very healthy and non-infectious people may test positive. At that time, he also became very much aware of the powerful role of a panic and fear-mongering media, as you'll see at the end of the following quote, he said then in this interview: "If for example such a pathogen scurries over the nasal mucosa of a nurse for a day or so without her getting sick, or noticing anything, then she's suddenly a MERS case."

This could also explain the explosion of case numbers in Saudi Arabia. In addition, the media there have made this into an incredible sensation. Has he forgotten this or is he deliberately concealing this in the corona context because corona is a very lucrative business opportunity for the pharmaceutical industry as a whole, and for Mr Alford Lund, his co-author in many studies, and also a PCR test producer.

In my view, it is completely implausible that he forgot in 2020 what he knew about the PCR tests and told the business magazine in 2014. In short this test cannot detect any infection, contrary to all false claims stating that it can, an infection, a so-called hot infection requires that the virus or rather a fragment of a molecule which may be a virus is not just found somewhere, for example, in the throat of a person without causing any damage that would be a cold infection, rather a hot infection requires that the virus penetrates into the cells, replicates there and causes symptoms such as headaches or a sore throat. Only then is a person really infected; in the sense of a hot infection; because only then is a person contagious, that is able to infect others, until then it is completely harmless for both the host and all other people that the host comes into contact with.

Once again, this means that positive test results, contrary to all other claims by Drosten, Wieler or the WHO mean nothing, with respect to infections, as even the CDC knows as quoted above.

NOT A CORONA PANDEMIC, BUT A PCR PANDEMIC - A number of highly respected scientists worldwide assume that there has never been a corona pandemic but only a PCR test pandemic - "We're basing our government policy, our economic policy and the policy of restricting fundamental rights presumably on completely wrong data and assumptions about the coronavirus. If it weren't for the test results that are constantly reported in the media, the pandemic would be over, because nothing really happened."

Meanwhile, a number of highly respected scientists worldwide assume that there has never been a corona pandemic but only a PCR test pandemic. This is the conclusion reached by many German scientists such as Professors Bhakdi, Rice, Mölling, Hockerts, Wallach and many others including the above mentioned Professor John Ioannidis and the Nobel laureate, Professor Michael Levitt, from Stanford University. The most recent such opinion is that of the aforementioned Dr Mike Yeadon, a former vice president and chief science officer at Pfizer, who held this position for 16 years. He and his co-authors, all well-known scientists, published a scientific paper in September of 2020 and he wrote a corresponding magazine article on September 20th 2020.

Among other things, he and they state, and I quote: "We're basing our government policy, our economic policy and the policy of restricting fundamental rights presumably on completely wrong data and assumptions about the coronavirus. If it weren't for the test results that are constantly reported in the media, the pandemic would be over, because nothing really happened."

There was a real wave of disease in March and April but since then everything has gone back to normal ... it is sufficient for the so-called herd immunity that 15 to 25 percent of the population are infected with the allegedly new coronavirus to stop the further spread of the virus, and this has long been the case

Of course, there are some serious individual cases of illness but there are also some in every flu epidemic. There was a real wave of disease in March and April but since then everything has gone back to normal. Only the positive results rise and sink wildly again and again, depending on how many tests are carried out, but the real cases of illnesses are over. There can be no talk of a second wave. The allegedly new strain of the coronavirus is, Dr Yeadon continues, only new in that it is a new type of the long known coronavirus; there are at least four coronaviruses that are endemic and cause some of the common colds we experience, especially in winter. They all have a striking sequence similarity to the coronavirus and because the human immune system recognises the similarity to the virus that has now, allegedly, been newly discovered, a t-cell immunity has long existed in this respect, 30 percent of the population had this before the, allegedly, new virus even appeared. Therefore, it is sufficient for the so-called herd immunity that 15 to 25 percent of the population are infected with the allegedly new coronavirus to stop the further spread of the virus, and this has long been the case.

FALSE POSITIVES - Dr Yeadon in his piece: 'Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics - the Deadly Danger of False Positives' - explains that what the PCR test actually measures is: "Simply the presence of partial RNA sequences present in the intact virus which could be a piece of dead virus, which cannot make the subject sick, and cannot be transmitted and cannot make anyone else sick - because of the complete unsuitability of the test for the detection of infectious diseases - tested positive in goats, sheep, papayas and even chicken wings" -- Carl Heneghan writes that the COVID-19 virus would never disappear, if this test practice were to be continued, but would always be falsely detected, in much of what is tested. Lockdowns as Yeadon and his colleagues found out, do not work. Sweden with its laissez-faire approach and Great Britain with its strict lockdown, for example, have completely comparable disease and mortality statistics

Regarding the all-important PCR tests, Yeadon writes in a piece called: 'Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics - the Deadly Danger of False Positives', dated September 20th 2020 and I quote: "...The likelihood of an apparently positive case being a false positive is between 89 to 94 percent or near certainty...". Dr Yeadon, in agreement with the professors of immunology, Camera from Germany, Capel from the Netherlands and Cahill from Ireland as well as a microbiologist, Dr Harvey from Austria, all of whom testified before the German corona committee, explicitly points out that a positive test does not mean that an intact virus has been found.

The authors explain that what the PCR test actually measures is, and I quote: "Simply the presence of partial RNA sequences present in the intact virus which could be a piece of dead virus, which cannot make the subject sick, and cannot be transmitted and cannot make anyone else sick - because of the complete unsuitability of the test for the detection of infectious diseases - tested positive in goats, sheep, papayas and even chicken wings.

Oxford Professor Carl Heneghan, director of the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine writes that the COVID-19 virus would never disappear, if this test practice were to be continued, but would always be falsely detected, in much of what is tested. Lockdowns as Yeadon and his colleagues found out, do not work.

Sweden with its laissez-faire approach and Great Britain with its strict lockdown, for example, have completely comparable disease and mortality statistics. The same was found by US scientists concerning the different US states; it makes no difference to the incidence of disease whether a state implements a lockdown or not.

FERGUSONS COMPUTER MODEL & HIS PREDICTIONS - Professor Neil Ferguson and his completely false computer model; models warning of millions of deaths. Yeadon says that, and I quote: "No serious scientist gives any validity to Ferguson's model." .. but the government is still wedded to the model. "This test is fatally flawed and must immediately be withdrawn and never used again in this setting, unless shown to be fixed."

With regard to the now infamous, Imperial College of London's, Professor Neil Ferguson and his completely false computer model; models warning of millions of deaths; he [Yeadon] says that, and I quote: "No serious scientist gives any validity to Ferguson's model." He points out, with thinly veiled contempt, again I quote: "It's important that you know most scientists don't accept that it, that is Ferguson's model, was even faintly right but the government is still wedded to the model."

Ferguson predicted 40 000 corona deaths in Sweden by May and a hundred thousand by June but it remained at five thousand eight hundred which, according to the Swedish authorities, is equivalent to a mild flu. If the PCR tests had not been used as a diagnostic tool for corona infections there would not be a pandemic and there would be no lockdowns but everything would have been perceived as just a medium or light wave of influenza these scientists conclude. Dr Yeadon in his piece: 'Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics - the Deadly Danger of False Positives' writes: "This test is fatally flawed and must immediately be withdrawn and never used again in this setting, unless shown to be fixed." And towards the end of that article: "I have explained how a hopelessly performing diagnostic test has been, and continues to be used, not for diagnosis of disease but it seems solely to create fear."

3. Collateral damages & legal consequences - What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures both with respect to the world's population's health, and with respect to the world's economy?

"The False Alarm paper" (leaked recently) -- "The False Alarm paper" written by a German official in the Department of the Interior, who is responsible for risk assessment and the protection of the population against risks, was leaked recently. It is now called the False Alarm paper. This paper comes to the conclusion that there was, and is, no sufficient evidence for serious health risks for the population, and very much evidence of the corona measures causing gigantic health and economic damage to the population which he then describes in detail in this paper. This he concludes will lead to very high claims for damages, which the government will be held responsible for. This has now become reality but the paper's author was suspended. More and more scientists but also lawyers recognise that as a result of the deliberate panic-mongering and the corona measures enabled by this panic, democracy is in great danger of being replaced by fascist totalitarian models. In Australia people who do not wear the masks, which more and more studies show are hazardous to health, or who allegedly do not wear them correctly, are arrested, handcuffed and thrown into jail. In the Philippines they run the risk of getting shot, but even in Germany and in other previously, civilised countries, children are taken away from their parents if they do not comply with quarantine regulations, distance regulations and mask wearing -regulations. According to psychologists and psychotherapists who testified before the corona committee, children are traumatised en masse.

Now, let's take a look at the current actual situation regarding the severe damage caused by the lockdowns and other measures. Another detailed paper written by a German official in the Department of the Interior, who is responsible for risk assessment and the protection of the population against risks, was leaked recently. It is now called the False Alarm paper. This paper comes to the conclusion that there was, and is, no sufficient evidence for serious health risks for the population, as claimed by Drosten, Wieler, and the WHO. But the author says there's very much evidence of the corona measures causing gigantic health and economic damage to the population which he then describes in detail in this paper. This he concludes will lead to very high claims for damages, which the government will be held responsible for. This has now become reality but the paper's author was suspended.

More and more scientists but also lawyers recognise that as a result of the deliberate panic-mongering and the corona measures enabled by this panic, democracy is in great danger of being replaced by fascist totalitarian models. As I already mentioned above, in Australia people who do not wear the masks, which more and more studies show are hazardous to health, or who allegedly do not wear them correctly, are arrested, handcuffed and thrown into jail. In the Philippines they run the risk of getting shot, but even in Germany and in other previously, civilised countries, children are taken away from their parents if they do not comply with quarantine regulations, distance regulations and mask wearing -regulations.

According to psychologists and psychotherapists who testified before the corona committee, children are traumatised en masse, with the worst psychological consequences yet to be expected in the medium and long term. In Germany alone, 500 000 to 800 000 bankruptcies are expected in the fall to strike small and medium-sized businesses which form the backbone of the economy. This will result in incalculable tax losses and incalculably high and long-term social security money transfers for among other things unemployment benefits. Since in the meantime, pretty much everybody is beginning to understand the full devastating impact of the completely unfounded corona measures, I will refrain from detailing this any further.

Summary of the legal consequences - A court of law can only decide a legal dispute correctly if it has previously determined the facts correctly, which is not possible without looking at all the evidence and that's why the law of evidence is so important. On the basis of the facts summarised above, in particular, those established with the help of the work of the German corona committee, the legal evaluation is actually simple

Let me now give you a summary of the legal consequences; the most difficult part of a lawyer's work is always to establish the true facts. Not the application of the legal rules to these facts. Unfortunately, a German lawyer does not learn this at law school but his Anglo-American counterparts do get the necessary training for this, at their law schools, and probably for this reason, but also because of the much more pronounced independence of the American, Anglo-American judiciary, the Anglo-American law of evidence is much more effective in practice than the German one.

A court of law can only decide a legal dispute correctly if it has previously determined the facts correctly, which is not possible without looking at all the evidence and that's why the law of evidence is so important. On the basis of the facts summarised above, in particular, those established with the help of the work of the German corona committee, the legal evaluation is actually simple. It is simple for all civilised legal systems regardless of whether these legal systems are based on civil law, which follows the Roman law more closely, or whether they are based on Anglo-American common law which is only loosely connected to Roman law.

German law professors including Professors Kingreen, Morswig, Youngblood and Foskarau have stated serious doubts -- the corona measures, are without a sufficient factual basis and also without a sufficient legal basis and are therefore unconstitutional and must be repealed immediately

Let's first take a look at the unconstitutionality of the measures; a number of German law professors including Professors Kingreen, Morswig, Youngblood and Foskarau have stated either in written expert opinions or in interviews in line with the serious doubts expressed by the former president of the federal constitutional court with respect to the constitutionality of the corona measures, that these measures, the corona measures, are without a sufficient factual basis and also without a sufficient legal basis and are therefore unconstitutional and must be repealed immediately.

Torsten Schleife is his name, declared publicly that the German judiciary, just like the general public has been so panic-stricken that it was no longer able to administer justice properly -- Are currently experiencing the most serious encroachment on their constitutional rights since the founding of the Federal Republic of Germany in 1949. In order to contain the corona pandemic

federal and state governments have intervened, he says, massively and in part threatening the very existence of the country, as it is guaranteed by the constitutional rights of the people

Very recently, a judge, Torsten Schleife is his name, declared publicly that the German judiciary, just like the general public has been so panic-stricken that it was no longer able to administer justice properly. He says that the courts of law, and I quote: "Have all too quickly waved through coercive measures which for millions of people all over Germany represent massive suspensions of their constitutional rights." He points out that German citizens, again I quote: "Are currently experiencing the most serious encroachment on their constitutional rights since the founding of the Federal Republic of Germany in 1949. In order to contain the corona pandemic federal and state governments have intervened, he says, massively and in part threatening the very existence of the country, as it is guaranteed by the constitutional rights of the people.

What about fraud, intentional infliction of damage and crimes against humanity? Based on the rules of criminal law asserting false facts concerning the PCR tests or intentional risk misrepresentation, as it was committed by Messrs Drosten and Wieler as well as the WHO, can only be assessed as fraud. Based on the rules of civil tort law, this translates into intentional infliction of damage

What about fraud, intentional infliction of damage and crimes against humanity? Based on the rules of criminal law asserting false facts concerning the PCR tests or intentional risk misrepresentation, as it was committed by Messrs Drosten and Wieler as well as the WHO, can only be assessed as fraud. Based on the rules of civil tort law, this translates into intentional infliction of damage. The German Professor of civil law, Martin Schwab, supports this finding in public interviews in a comprehensive legal opinion of around 180 pages. He has familiarised himself with the subject matter like no other legal scholar has done thus far and in particular has provided a detailed account of the complete failure of the mainstream media to report on the true facts of this so-called pandemic.

Messrs Drosten, Wieler and Tedros of the WHO all knew, based on their own expertise, or the expertise of their institutions, that the PCR tests cannot provide any information about infections but asserted over and over again to the general public that they can -- on the basis of their recommendations the governments of the world would decide on lockdowns

Messrs Drosten, Wieler and Tedros of the WHO all knew, based on their own expertise, or the expertise of their institutions, that the PCR tests cannot provide any information about infections but asserted over and over again to the general public that they can; with their counterparts all over the world repeating this. And they all knew, and accepted, that on the basis of their recommendations the governments of the world would decide on lockdowns, the rules for social distancing and mandatory wearing of masks. The latter, representing a very serious health hazard as more and more independent studies and expert statements show.

Under the rules of civil tort law, all those who have been harmed by these PCR tests, PCR tests induced lockdowns are entitled to receive full compensation for their losses

Under the rules of civil tort law, all those who have been harmed by these PCR tests, PCR tests induced lockdowns are entitled to receive full compensation for their losses. In particular, there is a duty to compensate, that is, a duty to pay damages, for the loss of profits suffered by companies and self-employed persons as a result of the lockdown, and other measures.

In the meantime, however, the anti-corona measures have caused and continue to cause such devastating damage to the world's population's health and economy that the crimes committed by Messrs Drosten, Wieler and the WHO must be legally qualified as actual crimes against humanity, as defined in Section 7 of the International Criminal Code.

What can we do? The class action is the best route to compensatory damages and to political consequences

How can we do something? What can we do? -- the class action is the best route to compensatory damages and to political consequences. class action enables a court of law to allow complaint for damages to be tried as a class action lawsuit at the request of a plaintiff, if: as a result of a damage inducing event, and a large number of people, suffer the same type of damage. A judge can allow a class-action lawsuit to go forward if common questions of law and fact make up the vital component of the lawsuit

How can we do something? What can we do? Well, the class action is the best route to compensatory damages and to political consequences. The so-called class action lawsuit is based on English law and exists today in the USA and in Canada. It enables a court of law to allow complaint for damages to be tried as a class action lawsuit at the request of a plaintiff, if:

as a result of a damage inducing event
a large number of people, suffer the same type of damage

Phrased differently, a judge can allow a class-action lawsuit to go forward if common questions of law and fact make up the vital component of the lawsuit. Here, the common questions of law in fact revolve around the worldwide PCR test based lockdowns and its consequences; just like the VW diesel passenger cars were functioning products but they were defective due to a so-called defeat device because they didn't comply with the emissions standards, so too, the PCR tests, which are perfectly good products in other settings, are defective products when it comes to the diagnosis of infections.

The advantage of the class action

Now if an American or Canadian company or an American or Canadian individual decides to sue these persons in the United States or Canada for damages, then the court called upon to resolve this dispute may, upon request, allow this complaint to be tried as a class action lawsuit. If this happens all affected parties worldwide will be informed about this through publications in the mainstream media

and will thus have the opportunity to join this class action within a certain period of time to be determined by the court. It should be emphasised that nobody must join the class action, but every injured party can join the class action. The advantage of the class action is that only one trial is needed, namely, to try the complaint of a representative plaintiff who is affected in a manner typical of everyone else in the class.

This is firstly cheaper, and secondly faster, than hundreds of thousands or more individual lawsuits and thirdly it imposes less of a burden on the courts, fourthly as a rule, it allows a much more precise examination of the accusations than would be possible in the context of hundreds of thousands or, more likely in this corona setting, even millions of individual lawsuits.

In particular, the well-established and proven Anglo-American law of evidence, with its pre-trial discovery, is applicable. This requires that all evidence relevant for the determination of the lawsuit is put on the table. In contrast to the typical situation in German lawsuits with structural imbalance, that is, lawsuits involving on the one hand a consumer and on the other hand a powerful corporation; the withholding or even destruction of evidence is not without consequence, rather the party withholding or even destroying evidence loses the case under these evidence rules.

Here in Germany, a group of tort lawyers have banded together to help their clients with recovery of damages. They have provided all relevant information and forms for German plaintiffs to both estimate how much damage they have suffered and join the group or class of plaintiffs who will later join the class action when it goes forward either in Canada or the US.

Initially, this group of lawyers had considered to also collect and manage the claims for damages of other non-German plaintiffs but this proved to be unmanageable.

An international lawyers' network, provides all relevant information free of charge

Through an international lawyers' network, which is growing larger by the day, the German group of attorneys provides to all of their colleagues, in all other countries, free of charge, all relevant information, including expert opinions and testimonies of experts. These scandalous corona facts gathered mostly by the corona committee and summarised above are the very same facts that will soon be proven to be true, either in one court of law or in many courts of law, all over the world. These are the facts that will pull the masks off the faces of all those responsible for these crimes.

However, through an international lawyers' network, which is growing larger by the day, the German group of attorneys provides to all of their colleagues, in all other countries, free of charge, all relevant information, including expert opinions and testimonies of experts showing that the PCR tests cannot detect infections and they also provide them with all relevant information as to how they can prepare and bundle the claims for damages of their clients so that they too can assert their clients claims for damages either in their home countries, courts of law, or within the framework of the class action as explained above.

These scandalous corona facts gathered mostly by the corona committee and summarised above are the very same facts that will soon be proven to be true, either in one court of law or in many courts of law, all over the world. These are the facts that will pull the masks off the faces of all those responsible for these crimes.

To the politicians, start the long overdue public scientific discussion and not go down with those charlatans and criminals

To the politicians, who believe those corrupt people, these facts are hereby offered as a lifeline, that can help you readjust your course of action and start the long overdue public scientific discussion and not go down with those charlatans and criminals.

Thank you

END OF TRANSCRIPT

Video & First Transcript

- The video of Reiner Fuellmich can be found here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B2juC1LB-OE>
- The first transcript can be found here: <https://www.ageofautism.com/2020/10/reiner-fuellmich-crimes-against-humanitytranscript.html>

3. Is Corona More Dangerous Than Normal Flu?

Independent doctors and experts find the Corona mortality rate is 0.01%, and not 3.4% as the WHO says - that is in line with the common flu. Even the WHO accidentally confirmed this in a press conference the 5th october 2020 giving a fatality rate of 0.14%

WHO (Accidentally) Confirms Covid is No More Dangerous Than Flu, "best estimates" put infection fatality

rate at 0.14%

Off-Guardian.org -- Important Article that lawyer Fuellmich mentions in one of his video updates - WHO (Accidentally) Confirms Covid is No More Dangerous Than Flu Head of Health Emergencies Program "best estimates" put infection fatality rate at 0.14%

Oct 8, 2020 - WHO (Accidentally) Confirms Covid is No More Dangerous Than Flu Head of Health Emergencies Program "best estimates" put IFR (infection fatality rate) at 0.14% - Kit Knightly

The World Health Organization has finally confirmed what we (and many experts and studies) have been saying for months – the coronavirus is no more deadly or dangerous than seasonal flu. The WHO's top brass made this announcement during a special session of the WHO's 34-member executive board on Monday October 5th, it's just nobody seemed to really understand it. In fact, they didn't seem to completely understand it themselves.

At the session, Dr Michael Ryan, the WHO's Head of Emergencies revealed that they believe roughly 10% of the world has been infected with Sars-Cov-2. This is their "best estimate", and a huge increase over the number of officially recognised cases (around 35 million). Dr. Margaret Harris, a WHO spokeswoman, later confirmed the figure, stating it was based on the average results of all the broad seroprevalence studies done around the world. As much as the WHO were attempting to spin this as a bad thing – Dr Ryan even said it means "the vast majority of the world remains at risk." – it's actually good news. And confirms, once more, that the virus is nothing like as deadly as everyone predicted.

The global population is roughly 7.8 billion people, if 10% have been infected that is 780 million cases. The global death toll currently attributed to Sars-Cov-2 infections is 1,061,539.

That's an infection fatality rate of roughly or 0.14%. Right in line with seasonal flu and the predictions of many experts from all around the world. 0.14% is over 24 times LOWER than the WHO's "provisional figure" of 3.4% back in March. This figure was used in the models which were used to justify lockdowns and other draconian policies. In fact, given the over-reporting of alleged Covid deaths, the IFR is likely even lower than 0.14%, and could show Covid to be much less dangerous than flu.

None of the mainstream press picked up on this. Though many outlets reported Dr Ryan's words, they all attempted to make it a scary headline and spread more panic. Apparently neither they, nor the WHO, were capable of doing the simple maths that shows us this is good news. And that the Covid sceptics have been right all along.

UPDATE 9/10/20: In the interest of thoroughness, a desire to rely on primary sources, and not depending purely on mainstream sources (which may remove or amend articles), I decided to find the actual video of Dr Ryan's remarks. For some reason, although this was an important WHO meeting during an allegedly hyper-serious pandemic, the video is hard to find. The only place you are able to see it is the WHO's own website, and even then you have to scrub through almost 6 hours of footage. Well, I did that, and you are welcome.

You can't embed the WHO's stream, but I can tell you to go to this page, click "Session 1" and skip to 1:01:33 to hear the exact quote: Our current best estimates tell us that about ten percent of the global population may have been infected by this virus. This varies depending on country, it varies from urban to rural, it varies between different groups."

<https://off-guardian.org/2020/10/08/who-accidentally-confirms-covid-is-no-more-dangerous-than-flu/>

Corona Whistle Blowers - doctors and experts find the Corona mortality rate is 0.01%, and not 3.4% as the WHO says

Source: A Tribute to the Corona Whistle Blowers -- Tribute to "the most important finding is that the mortality rate is 0.01%, and not 3.4% as the World Health Organization (WHO) says". Has a list of 46 doctors and experts who during the 2019-2020 pandemic publicly have expressed, that they find the Corona COVID-19 mortality rate to be very low, around 0.01%

A Tribute to the Corona Virus (COVID 19, SARS CoV 2) Whistle Blowers - written by Dr. Ventegodt from OOC.one (organisation for information on corona). Also hosts CoronaWhistleblower.org which encourages whistleblowers to come forth, and gathers all this info in one place. From WhistleBlower.org: "Scientific information about Corona virus COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2) from independent experts; the most important finding is that the mortality rate is 0.01%, and not 3.4% as the World Health Organization (WHO) says". We want to acknowledge the following 46 doctors and experts who during the 2019-2020 pandemic publicly have expressed, that they find the Corona COVID-19 mortality rate to be very low, around 0.01%, and much lower than the WHO's (World Health Organization) claimed mortality rate of 3.4%

We wish to express our deepest gratitude for their courage to speak openly against the authorities that have chosen to follow the WHO instead of the scientific experts, in a time where many who know do not dare to speak".

Dr. Bodo Schiffmann
Dr. David Katz
Dr. Else Smith
Dr. Gérard Krause
Dr. Heiko Schöning
Dr. Jaroslav Belsky
Dr. Jenö Ebert

Dr. Joel Kettner
Dr. Karl J Probs,
Dr. Leonard Coldwell
Dr. Mark Fiddike
Dr. med. Claus Köhnlein
Dr. Michael T Osterholm
Dr. MUDr. Martin Balík, Ph.D.
Dr. Peer Eifler
Dr. Shiva Ayyadurai
Dr. Vibeke Manniche
Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg
Dr. Yanis Roussel
MUDr. Jaroslav Svoboda
MUDr. Zdenek Kalvach, CSc.
Prof. DDR. Martin Haditsch
Prof. Dr. Carsten Scheller
Prof. Dr. Jochen A Werner
Prof. Dr. John Ionannidis
Prof. Dr. Matteo Bassetti
Prof. Dr. Stefan Hockertz
Prof. Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi
Prof. Dr. Yoram Lass
Prof. Erich Bendavid
Prof. Hendrik Streeck
Prof. Jay Bhattacharya
Prof. Karin Mölling
Prof. Klaus Püschel
Prof. Maria Rita Gismondo
Prof. MUDr. Cyril Höschl, DrSc.
Prof. MUDr. Jan Pirk, DrSc.
Prof. MUDr. Jiri Neuwirth, CSc., MBA
Prof. MUDr. Jirina Bartunková, DrSc., MBA
Prof. MUDr. Julius Špicák, CSc.
Prof. MUDr. Robert Lischke, PhD.
Prof. MUDr. Tomáš Zima, DrSc., MBA
Prof. PaedDr. Pavel Kolár, Ph.D.
Prof. Peter C Gøtzsche
Dr. Andrew Kaufman MD

This list of Corona Whistle-blowers is far from complete. We wish to express our deepest gratitude for their courage to speak openly against the authorities that have chosen to follow the WHO instead of the scientific experts, in a time where many who know do not dare to speak".

Dr Wolfgang Wodarg -- uncovered the Swine Flu Scandal of 2009. He says: Covid-19 is only one of many similar viruses which usually go undetected as part of an ordinary seasonal period of respiratory infections, and that the worldwide activities to stop the pandemic are "hype" caused by the selective perception of researchers

About Dr Wolfgang Wodarg -- specialising in Pulmonology, former chairman of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE) - through his efforts as a member of the German Bundestag and also a member of the Council of Europe, the hoax of Swine Flu Scandal of 2009 was brought to an end, before it would lead to even more serious consequences

Dr Wolfgang Wodarg is a German physician specialising in Pulmonology, politician and former chairman of the The Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE). Already during the swine flu, the German virologist and co-developer of the PCR test (corona test) Dr Christian Drosten was one of those who stirred up panic in the population; repeating over and over again that the swine flu would claim many hundreds of thousands, even millions of deaths, all over the world. In the end, it was mainly thanks to Dr Wolfgang Wodarg and his efforts as a member of the German Bundestag and also a member of the Council of Europe, that this hoax was brought to an end, before it would lead to even more serious consequences.

SWINE FLU (2009) -- Dr Wodarg uncovered the Swine Flu Scandal of 2009 -- WHO changed its criteria for a level 6 pandemic, deleting "severity of illness" from the requirement of a pandemic phase. It was thus made easier to enter the world into a serious global pandemic -- "Millions of people worldwide were vaccinated for no good reason." The WHO's classification of the swine flu as a pandemic have earned the pharmaceutical companies \$18 billion in additional revenues. Annual sales of Tamiflu alone jumped 435 percent, to €2.2 billion – Answer to charges from the Council of Europe on whether they had mishandled an outbreak of influenza: the WHO turned up for the first hearing and then didn't come again. "It didn't have to, it wasn't obliged to supply us with any information; and the European Vaccine Manufacturers responded: "the vaccine industry did what was asked of them by policymakers"

In 2009 Dr Wodarg called for an inquiry into alleged conflicts of interest surrounding the EU response to the Swine Flu pandemic. As chair of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe Health Committee Wodarg co-signed a proposed resolution on 18 December 2009, which was briefly discussed in January 2010 in an emergency debate and he has called for an inquiry into alleged undue influence exerted by pharmaceutical companies on the World Health Organization's global H1N1 flu (swine flu) campaign.

The resolution inquiry read: Faked Pandemics - a threat for health - Motion for a recommendation of immediate investigations -- The Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE), Doc. 12110 18 December 2009 This motion has not been discussed in the Assembly and commits only the members who have signed it In order to promote their patented drugs and vaccines against flu, pharmaceutical companies have influenced scientists and official agencies, responsible for public health standards, to alarm governments worldwide. They have made them squander tight health care resources for inefficient vaccine strategies and needlessly exposed millions of healthy people to the risk of unknown side-effects of insufficiently tested vaccines. The "birds-flu"-campaign (2005/06) combined with the "swine-flu"-campaign seem to have caused a great deal of damage not only to some vaccinated patients and to public health budgets, but also to the credibility and accountability of important international health agencies. The definition of an alarming pandemic must not be under the influence of drug-sellers. The member states of the Council of Europe should ask for immediate investigations on the consequences at national as well as European level.

Signed: WODARG Wolfgang, Germany - SOC ABURTO BASELGA Fátima, Spain - SOC AYVA Lokman, Turkey - EPP/CD CONDE BAJÉN Agustín, Spain - EPP/CD CZINEGE Imre, Hungary - SOC FLYNN Paul, United Kingdom - SOC GROZDANOVA Dzhema, Bulgaria - EPP/CD HANCOCK Michael, United Kingdom - ALDE HUSS Jean, Luxembourg - SOC MARQUET Bernard, Monaco - ALDE McCAFFERTY Christine, United Kingdom - SOC OHLSSON Carina, Sweden - SOC ÜNAL Mustafa, Turkey - EPP/CD VOLONTE' Luca, Italy, EPP/CD

Dr Wodarg, told the Daily Mail: "The governments have sealed contracts with vaccine producers where they secure orders in advance and take upon themselves almost all the responsibility. "In this way, the producers of vaccines are sure of enormous gains without having any financial risks. So they just wait, until WHO says 'pandemic' and activate the contracts". He added that their influence could have led the WHO to soften its definition of a pandemic - leading to the declaration of a worldwide outbreak last June. Dr Wodarg said: 'In order to promote their patented drugs and vaccines against flu, pharmaceutical companies have influenced scientists and official agencies, responsible for public health standards, to alarm governments worldwide. They have made them squander tight healthcare resources for inefficient vaccine strategies and needlessly exposed millions of healthy people to the risk of unknown side-effects of insufficiently tested vaccines.' Wodarg, added that H1N1 virus (swine flu) was "a mild flu and a false pandemic". "Millions of people worldwide were vaccinated for no good reason." According to Wodarg, the WHO's classification of the swine flu as a pandemic have earned the pharmaceutical companies \$18 billion in additional revenues. Annual sales of Tamiflu alone have jumped 435 percent, to €2.2 billion.

Answering to the charges -- Representatives of the World Health Organization and the European pharmaceutical industry answered charges from the Council of Europe and the press on whether they had mishandled an outbreak of influenza that summer.

Response from the WHO -- Keiji Fukuda, the WHO's special adviser on pandemic influenza, counters that the WHO's definition of influenza pandemics has always been based on transmissibility and has never had anything to do with the lethality of a virus; it was no different with H1N1. In response to accusations of overreaction to what has amounted to a mild disease, Fukuda says that once the 2009 H1N1 pandemic had been declared, "WHO consistently made it clear that it could not predict the future course of the pandemic but consistently provided sober, balanced and scientifically supported information and guidance." Fukuda says also that claims that H1N1 is a mild pandemic are wrongheaded. "There have been over 14,000 deaths that have been laboratory-confirmed, many in young, previously healthy people. Who is going to tell their families that the virus is mild?"

During the 2018 documentary "TrustWHO", filmmaker Lillian Franck unearthed footage that showed WHO delegates six weeks before the level 6 pandemic was issued as having described Swine Flu as a "moderate" situation. This description was given six weeks before the WHO changed its criteria for a level 6 pandemic, deleting "severity of illness" from the requirement of a pandemic phase. It was thus made easier to enter the world into a serious global pandemic.

Ms Franck spoke to German Velasquez, a former WHO Director in the Public Health Department, who served during the Swine Flu pandemic. He said: "It was publicised around the world, that the criteria for declaring a pandemic were changed and at the same time the old guidelines vanished from the WHO website." Ms Franck asked: "Could they have declared the pandemic level 6 also with the old definition?" Mr Velasquez: "No, because the severity, the number of deaths, would have been a factor. "Since that was no longer one of the criteria, it made it easier to declare a pandemic." As a result of the pandemic entering a level 6 phase, the European Council later concluded that: "According to analysts, the WHO initiated spending on health measures around \$18 billion (£14 billion) worldwide." Ms Franck also spoke to Mr Wodarg and he revealed how the WHO had grown into a culture of secrecy and "clandestine" operations.

"The situation was evaluated correspondingly by the Council of Europe. "Reprimand was issued. "The lack of transparency, the role of the experts who were being paid by the pharmaceutical industry. "Then changes were demanded but the WHO didn't respond to the Council of Europe -- the WHO turned up for the first hearing and then didn't come again. "It didn't have to, it wasn't obliged to supply us with any information. "We can't demand to confiscate the files, look through them; it is impossible, there isn't anybody who can do those things. "And there's no investigating commission like in Parliament where the MPs can go and say something has to stop and then everybody has to turn up and show their files, there's nothing like that. "The WHO can operate in a very clandestine fashion."

Response from the pharmaceutical industry -- Motion "Inappropriate" - "In our view the terms of this motion are inappropriate for those who understand how" vaccine manufacture works, said Luc Hessel, a representative of European Vaccine Manufacturers. The vaccine industry did what was asked of them by policymakers, said Hessel. This included major investments on their part to create the vaccines, as well as the donation of 160 million doses for use in developing countries. As to profit motive, Hessel said it was still too early to determine what kind of profit had been made by industry. And most purchasing agreements were made in the third quarter of 2009, after

the declaration of the pandemic, so ex ante motivation is not there. Also, he said, quick vaccine production does not mean insufficient testing. The industry had 60 years of experience and 10 years of preparation to make a pandemic vaccine, and it was from this technology base they were working.

Vaccine damages - Because the vaccines were rushed and not fully tested for safety, many individuals also suffered brain damage. According to the American Medical Association, the swine flu (H1N1) vaccine was associated with Guillian-Barre syndrome, a neurological disorder wherein a body's immune system attack the nerves often resulting in paralysis. Others suffer forms of brain damage such as narcolepsy and cataplexy, and the British government ended up paying \$100 million compensation for vaccine injured individuals. - Note: Vaccines were bought by governments with taxpayers money, and compensation for vaccine injured individuals was paid with taxpayers money.

Reconstruction of Swine Flu Scandal of 2009 -- Article at Der Spiegel - <https://www.spiegel.de/international/world/reconstruction-of-a-mass-hysteria-the-swine-flu-panic-of-2009-a-682613.html>

CORONA FLU (2020) - How Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg sees the current Corona pandemic - COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2 was only one of many similar viruses which usually go undetected as part of an ordinary seasonal period of respiratory infections, and that the worldwide activities to stop the pandemic were "hype" caused by the selective perception of researchers -- Now it's going to be very hard for critics to say "Stop. There is nothing going on." And this reminds me of this fairytale about the king without clothes on. And just a small child was able to say "Hey, he is naked!". All the others on the courtyard - surrounding the government and asking the government for advice because they can't know themselves - they all played along and joined the hype. And like this, politicians are being courted by many scientists. Scientists who want to be important in politics because they need money for their institutions. Scientists who just swim along in this mainstream and also want their part... "We can help too!", "We made an app!", "We have a program for this!" So many people saying "Hey, we want to help too!", because they want to earn money with it and become important.

Wodarg argues that corona virus, COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2 was only one of many similar viruses which usually go undetected as part of an ordinary seasonal period of respiratory infections, and that the worldwide activities to stop the pandemic were "hype" caused by the selective perception of researchers. He says: The corona hype is not based on any extraordinary public health danger. However, it causes considerable damage to our freedom and personal rights through frivolous and unjustified quarantine measures and restrictions. The images in the media are frightening and the traffic in China's cities seems to be regulated by the clinical thermometer.

Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg, leader of Germany's flu control many years, also leader of PACE, it was him that uncovered the Swine Flu Scandal of 2009. Please listen to how Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg sees the current corona flu - Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg - The King has No Clothes -- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p_AyuhbnPOI

Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg - The King has No Clothes [Transcript]

I would like to tell you something about the coronavirus epidemic that we allegedly are having right now. First, I thought this hype is going to end again, but it has increased so much, and we finally have to start taking a closer look.

I worked as a doctor and conducted a health department. I had my own sentinel, my own monitoring system for flu diseases. And every year I observed how many people became sick in an area of 150,000 inhabitants. Every new year - worldwide - we have new types of viruses because the viruses have to change themselves. If the same viruses just came again in the next year, our immune system would recognize them so they would not be able to make us sick or to multiply - which is what they want. So they regularly have to change a bit and that's why we have new variants of these viruses every year. There are about 100 different types of viruses that are changing constantly. Up to now, we didn't really care which viruses caused this flu...or disease or however you want to call it. But there have been investigations over several years in Glasgow. There they tried to use the available tests - that means they didn't examine all 100 types, but just the ones they had tests for. So they looked at maybe 8 or 10 different viruses and coronaviruses have always been a part of them. These are the figures from Glasgow. Starting from 2005 to 2013 they checked which viruses occur among respiratory diseases. And these colored columns are the viruses. The green parts refer to the coronavirus that was always in the mix. The coronavirus normally makes up 7% to 15%, maybe 5% to 14%, it always fluctuates a bit. Hence, it's just normal that a big part of viruses are coronaviruses.

So, the following happens: In Wuhan, there is the biggest safety laboratory for viruses in the whole of China. So, there are a lot of experts on the topic, dealing with it all day long. Wuhan is a big city, 11 million inhabitants, big hospitals, big intensive care units, always people being ventilated, people with pneumonia... hundreds of them and they did tests with a few patients, less than 50, looked for the viruses they had and examined their RNA in the laboratory and they found a new type. This attracted their attention. When a virologist finds something like this he puts it in a global database. And this database is accessible for scientists all over the world, in Berlin for example. In Berlin, they checked and compared this new entry and tried to create a test to measure this new variant of coronavirus.

Then Dr. Drosten submitted a protocol to the WHO, and it got admitted really quickly. Then Mr. Drosten submitted a protocol to the WHO, and it got admitted really quickly. Usually, a test is considered a product of medicine, it has to be validated. That means it has to be checked very precisely. What does the test actually say? What does it measure? The mentioned test is an inhouse-test developed in the Charite-clinic. But because there weren't any validated tests and the great panic arose, it was decided to just use this test everywhere. Then Mr. Drosten provided the test. Of course, the virologist can say if the virus is dangerous or not. He can only say: "This one is different," or "We have a test for this". But is the virus dangerous, Mr. Drosten? How is he supposed to know? He would need further epidemiological data based on observation of how sick the people are. How fast do they get healthy again? Are there fewer victims than before?

That's why it is important to look at the data from previous years to compare them. To look at the mortality rates to see how many people

died of the virus. So while looking for a specific virus, for example, the coronavirus, you can examine the total population. What you will find is that presumably 8% or 10% of the population will have some kind of virus, that makes them sick. But if you examine medical practice, do your tests there, to determine who is sick, then, of course, you would find a lot more positive cases. And if you examine hospitals and take samples there then you would find even more corona-infected people. That is to say, depending on which proportions of the population you examine - whether it is the whole population, patients in the waiting room, people in a clinic, or when you examine very ill patients in the intensive care unit that are about to die - you will expectedly find these 7% -15% coronaviruses every time you do a test. However, if they die of the coronavirus or of other viruses while just having also corona can't be determined for sure with this.

So, when you look at the death rates in Italy, you want to know where the tests have been taken. Where and how have these few available tests been used? If they were used in a hospital on serious or terminally ill cases then obviously the corona death rate rises. Just because it looked like it, because of the specific group that was examined. Mortality, disease-specific mortality, refers to the percentage of dead among the people infected with this disease. And concerning the seasonal acute respiratory disease - commonly known as the flu - there is a mortality of 0.1%, which is already the maximum. That means that one in a thousand flu-infected inhabitants die - every winter. So now we will have to see whether this number increased because of coronaviruses. The assumption for Germany is that there are 20,000 or 30,000 more deaths than without the flu. This is called excessive mortality. So now that coronaviruses always make up 5% to 14% of all flu viruses - let's say 10%. Let us assume that in the previous years we tested all seriously ill patients in the hospital on the coronavirus - which of course didn't happen - we would have expected to find 2,000 to 3,000 people dying of the flu each year, that also had the coronavirus. And we still are far away from these numbers.

Apparently, it is the case that virologists created something very sensational here, and with their creation, they really impressed the Chinese government as well. The Chinese government made something really big out of it, suddenly this was very important politically - completely exceeding the virological frame. All of a sudden, face recognition was installed everywhere at the airports, fever was being measured. The clinical thermometer controlled the traffic on Chinese streets. And all this was so significant that it led to international consequences, politicians had to deal with it, had to take a stand. Then the virologist came into play again. The government asked their own virologists and they confirmed that this virus is a thing to worry about and proposed to develop tests to help measure the virus-like in China. Something was woven around this. A network of information and opinions has been developed in certain expert groups. And the politicians turned to these expert groups, who initially started all this. And they really absorbed this network, moved within it. This led to politicians who now are just resting on these arguments, while using these arguments to evaluate who has to be helped, to determine safety measures or what has to be permitted.

All these decisions have just been derived from these arguments. This means that now it's going to be very hard for critics to say "Stop. There is nothing going on." And this reminds me of this fairytale about the king without clothes on. And just a small child was able to say "Hey, he is naked!". All the others on the courtyard - surrounding the government and asking the government for advice because they can't know themselves - they all played along and joined the hype. And like this, politicians are being courted by many scientists. Scientists who want to be important in politics because they need money for their institutions. Scientists who just swim along in this mainstream and also want their part... "We can help too!", "We made an app!", "We have a program for this!" So many people saying "Hey, we want to help too!", because they want to earn money with it and become important. And what is missing at the moment is a rational way of looking at things. We should ask questions like "How have you found out that the virus is dangerous?" "Didn't we have the same thing last year?" "Is it even something new?" That's missing. And, the King is naked.

[End of Transcript]

Visit his site at www.wodarg.com

My explanation is not good enough, please see his short video, it is in German, but with subtitles in English. Video and Link:

- How Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg sees the current Corona pandemic (video) --- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p_AyuhbnPOI
- Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg -- www.wodarg.com

4. On the flow of information in lawyer Fuellmichs case

Opposing views to WHO or government are ignored or blocked by the Media. The WHO is being sued for promotion of corona panic on false grounds, Lawyer Fuellmich presented his case on video already the 3rd of October. Why hasn't the Media been interested in the facts of the case yet?

The citizens have the right to know if there are real grounds for taking away their freedoms!

After listening to Lawyer Fuellmichs video, "Crimes Against Humanity", I tried discussing this with my friends, and noticed that they had difficulty understanding and accepting the case against the WHO's panic promotion. So I started to summarize the transcript because I wanted to understand it better, I had to summarize, I had to summarize it to explain this to my friends clearly, and had to do it fast. I hope someone with more resources can improve and expand on the presentation of facts.

I found the transcript at www.ageofautism.com (probably the first transcript available online) a web newspaper focusing on autism and its issues, it had been sent to them by JF in the UK, thanks for taking the time :-). The reason such an indexed resume is important, is because this fraud case seems to be deliberately suppressed by the media, most people have never heard about it, and the general population must be able to know this case is going on, and follow along with the evidence and witnesses presented in the case. The citizens have the right to know if there are real grounds for taking away their freedoms!

IMPORTANT OPPOSING VIEWS (TO THE NATIONAL CENTER FOR DISEASE CONTROL & THE WHO) ARE BEING BLOCKED BY THE MEDIA - There have been scientists that have disagreed from the beginning, there have been demonstrations in many countries. Now lawyer Fuellmich is suing the promoters of the "corona panic" for "Crimes Against Humanity", the video presentation of his case has been online since 3 October 2020 - but politicians and mainstream media still follows the "National Center for Disease Control and Prevention", which as it says "bases its authority on WHO's dangerous pandemic prognosis" - The WHO, which as lawyer Fuellmich has stated in his video is being sued for promoting "the corona panic" on false grounds, and is sued for no less than "Crimes Against Humanity" - yet mainstream media has not mentioned the case, and is calling experts that disagree "conspiracy theorists" - most people don't even know of the existence of the case. This Case is Really Happening!

This is shocking News! The flow of information is being blocked, some people even don't believe this case is true. The spread of this information is going very slow, most people in the world don't even know this case is going on. Others don't want to believe we have been lied to, it's just to uncomfortable to accept. Still some others want to know where they can get more information, they want to see the proves and witnesses, to be 100% sure that this is the case.

The mainstream media has not mentioned the case, and is calling experts that disagree "conspiracy theorists", Google's algorithms are underprioritizing anything that goes against that the promoters of the corona panic say - their adjustment in algorithm seem to be based on this chain of authority, the National Center for Disease Control and Prevention, which as it says bases its authority of WHO. Note that Google, Youtube, Facebook, and other social media platforms are aware that this case is going on. Google and Youtube are still using priority messages with clear directions to the National Center for Disease Control and Prevention (in my case www.sst.dk), which as it says bases its authority of WHO - the WHO, which as lawyer Fuellmich has stated is being sued for promoting "the corona panic" on false grounds, and is being sued for "Crimes Against Humanity". Google's algorithms are underprioritizing anything that goes against that the promoters of the corona panic say. Youtube is hindering the process of justice by deleting his videos, as is Facebook with those sharing his video, by saying that the information in his video is only "partially true", they all have "strong restrictions as to what opinion you are able to have on the subject", "even quoting part of the videos transcription". Their "priority link about Covid-19" (which you find under videos critical of the corona danger and spread) should lead to a page where both the views of WHO and the views of scientists that disagree with WHO are presented, and not to the National Center for Disease Control and Prevention, which still bases its scientific authority on WHO. This is possible now, Fuellmich has released the information to the public - I hope you do, please be rational and civilized! That is what you said you were all about in the beginning, what has happened!

THE USE OF "THE CONSPIRACY THEORIST LABELING " IS MANIPULATIVE, IT TRIES TO DENY PEOPLE THE RIGHT OF OPINION & INFLUENCE IN THIS IMPORTANT PROCESS OF CLARIFICATION - Many Doctors, Scientists, Experts, Layers, disagree with WHO, the danger and spread of corona are greatly exaggerated, the lockdown is disproportionate - they are called "conspiracy theorists" by the media. Even Politicians that disagree are called "conspiracy theorists" - as lawyer Fuellmich explains, David Sieber (member of the German Green Party) who suggested they should listen to these other scientist that disagreed with WHO - was called a conspiracy theorist, without ever having considered the content of his information and then Sieber was stripped of his mandates!

Finding more information has been difficult, because the platforms of information we use are underprioritizing, blocking, and categorizing such news as "conspiracy theory", that seems to be the way of getting rid of experts and scientists, calling them "conspiracy theorists". Even politicians that disagree with this decision process are called conspiracy theorists. Note that lawyer Fuellmich explains in the video that in Germany's decision for lock down the only person who disagreed, David Sieber (member of the Green Party), was called a conspiracy theorist, without ever having considered the content of his information and then stripped of his mandates.

David Sieber from green party, had become increasingly skeptical about the official narrative propagated by politicians and the mainstream media. David Sieber found highly renowned scientists on the internet who held a completely different opinion, that there was no disease that went beyond the gravity of the seasonal flu; that the population had already acquired cross or T-cell immunity against this allegedly new virus; and that there was therefore no reason for any special measures and certainly not for vaccinations.

David Sieber turned to the leadership of his green party with the knowledge he had accumulated and suggested that they present these other scientific opinions to the public and explain that contrary to Mr Drosten's doomsday prophecies there was no reason for the public to panic. Mr Drosten's panic messages were good enough for the German party. David Sieber was called a conspiracy theorist, without ever having considered the content of his information and then stripped him of his mandates!

THE INFLUENCE OF YOUTUBES CENSORSHIP - On Mr. Fuellmich's Youtube Channel, youtube has deleted his English video "Crimes Against Humanity" once, then it has been reuploaded with the title "crímenes contra la humanidad – en español" (in english with subtitles in spanish), and now also in French. Why censor, specially when this is an international corruption case of the what you might call "Legendary Level", this will be written about in history books. This comes as shocking news for many!

Lawyer Fuellmich's video was deleted by Youtube from his channel. The video "Crimes Against Humanity" (which he uploaded to his channel the 3rd of October 2020) was deleted 21 October. It used to be in Fuellmich's channel here <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kr04gHbP5MQ>. That means that now many people that have used this video, have a blank, and can't hear what he has to say. However, now another video is up on his channel the link is below called "crímenes contra la humanidad – en español" (in english with

subtitles in Spanish). You can keep yourself updated at Fuellmichs youtube channel here <https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCJB8ANhWVhgQf9Rw-KJo26Q> -- Should Youtube, and other censoring platforms continue to censor this important case you might want to try "Censor-Free Video Sites" like: D.tube, BitChute.com, Brighteon.com, lbry.tv

So now there are videos in German, English with subtitles in Spanish, and French. Why censor, specially when this is an international corruption case of the what you might call "Legendary Level", this will be written about in history books. This comes as shocking news for many!

THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS CASE - Observe the facts, before you come to interpretations, because if your facts are wrong, then your interpretations will be wrong

When I try discussing this with people I often get a negative reaction, they won't listen to the facts. People will say: how can you say it is not more dangerous than the normal flu? Haven't you heard the numbers of deaths? - I have heard, but how do you know if the numbers presented are true and not exaggerated? If you check the detailed death reports you will see that most people who "tested positive with the corona flu" had other serious pre-existing conditions and almost all of the people who had died, had died at a very old age, meaning they had lived beyond their average life expectancy. In the western world life expectancy is between 74 and 89 years depending on the country.

People will say haven't you heard in the media the number of people that have corona? - I have heard, but those numbers are calculated from the assumption that the PCR Test (corona test) is reliable. What we are hearing from independent doctors and experts is that it is not reliable, it is oversensitive, it tests far more positives than is the case. And if you increase the number of cycles of the test (which is what the co-developer, Drosten, suggested) it will test even more false positives.

It appears to me that even intelligent people are having trouble accepting this, not because they don't understand the facts or the logic, it's just difficult to believe it possible that the whole world has been conned by a few individuals and their networks, and it is also difficult to digest emotionally, it is shocking! Two of my friends, that are normally quite intelligent, don't want to accept it, they keep insisting that the WHO would not lie, and that if that was the case others would have found out. But note that the WHO has lied in a similar way before, in "the 2009 Swine Flu Scandal", and part of the strategy seems to be to not allow others to question their authority, which seems to work, mainstream-media so far ignores or even blocks opinions opposed to WHO. They don't know that there really are many renowned scientists which have disagreed with WHO from the beginning, these scientists do want to tell the results of their investigations, but are not being allowed to, are ignored, and are even called conspiracy theorists. That is why it is necessary to take the case to court, because the court has to check the facts thoroughly, this seems to be the only option available at the moment to bring the facts to the table and break free of this situation.

Don't just believe something because most people do, that will not get you near the facts. Don't believe something just because an authority says so, you must understand why they say so, check their facts and their interpretations. Observe the facts, before you come to interpretations, because if your facts are wrong, then your interpretations will be wrong. Please check the facts, before making an opinion! The WHO has said that this is a dangerous pandemic, and that we should use the PCR test to measure it. But let me ask you, what evidence has the WHO presented for the danger of the corona flu and the reliability of the PCR test? What scientific studies have been made for coming to these conclusions?

Let me give you a short resume of what these doctors and experts which disagree with WHO say about the danger of the corona flu, and the reliability of the PCR Test (corona test)

- How dangerous is the corona flu really? To clarify, covid-19, is a dangerous disease, just like the seasonal flu, and just like the seasonal flu it may sometimes take a severe clinical course and will sometimes kill patients. However, as autopsies have shown, almost all have been caused by serious pre-existing conditions and almost all of the people who had died, had died at a very old age, just like in Italy, meaning they had lived beyond their average life expectancy. There was a real wave of disease in March and April but since then everything has gone back to normal. In the free ebook "A Tribute to the Corona Whistle Blowers" 46 doctors and experts show that the mortality rate is 0.01%, and not 3.4% as the World Health Organization (WHO) says. The mortality of corona is equivalent to that of the seasonal flu. -- And what is more, hear this, the WHO accidentally confirmed this in a press conference the 5th October 2020, by the numbers they presented, but few made the maths, the maths shows that the infection fatality rate is 0.14%. That is over 24 times lower than the WHO's "provisional figure of 3.4%" back in March.
- What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test (corona test)? We have learned that PCR tests, contrary to the assertions of Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, do not give any indication of an infection with any virus. PCR tests expressly not approved for diagnostic purposes, as is correctly noted on leaflets coming with these tests. Even the United States CDC agrees with this. Even Drosten himself declared in an interview in 2014, that these PCR tests are so highly sensitive that even very healthy and non-infectious people may test positive. And if you increase the number of cycles at which the PCR is set (which is what Drosten, the codeveloper recommended) it will test even more false positives. A number of highly respected scientists worldwide assume that there has never been a corona pandemic but only a PCR test pandemic. Dr Yeadon in his piece: 'Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics - the Deadly Danger of False Positives' explains the complete unsuitability of the test for the detection of infectious diseases. Covid 19 has tested positive in goats, sheep, papayas and even chicken wings.

I don't have to remind you of all the damage that has been produced as a result of believing WHO's exaggerated danger of corona and its spread, and governments locking down our countries for so long

- Bankruptcies of small and medium-sized businesses, which form the backbone of the economy. In Germany alone, 500 000 to 800 000 bankruptcies are expected in the fall.
- Children are traumatized en masse, made to feel responsible for death of their parents and grandparents if they do not follow the corona rules

- The doubling of global poverty, what do you think people in third world countries will eat, when they can not go to work?
- The danger of democracy being replaced by fascist totalitarian government models

What will happen if we don't intervene?

1. Extension of this situation - our countries will continue on lockdowns, increasing damages that have already been caused.
2. Until a vaccine comes - then our governments will recommend it, use group pressure to shame those who will not take that vaccine, and might even pressure the population by law to take the vaccine.

And what then?

1. Possible side effects of the vaccine - note that vaccine development is a long, complex process, often lasting 10-15 years, to make sure it really works and does not have serious side effects. But our governments have made special urgency laws for pandemic situations, where vaccine companies can not be sued for injuries if there are serious side effects, this allows the vaccine companies to make vaccines in a shorter time, however that increases the risk of serious side effects. So what might be the side effects? - Lets look at what happened last time, during the false swine flue pandemic of 2009. First of all, millions of people worldwide were vaccinated for no good reason, the swine flue, was a mild flu. And because the vaccines were rushed and not fully tested for safety, many individuals suffered brain damage. According to the American Medical Association, the swine flu vaccine was associated with Guillian-Barre syndrome, a neurological disorder wherein a body's immune system attack the nerves often resulting in paralysis. Others suffer forms of brain damage such as narcolepsy and cataplexy. About 700 children in Europe fell incurably ill with narcolepsy and are now forever severely disabled. - This time the side effects might be even worse.
2. So who exactly suffers under the restriction of corona measures, and who pays the PCR tests, the vaccines, suffers the risks of its side effects, and pays compensation for injuries. - What happened during the false swine flue pandemic of 2009. First vaccines were bought by governments with taxpayers money, then because many were injured by these swine flu vaccines, the compensation was also paid with taxpayers money. Notice how it is always the population that pays the PCR tests, vaccines, suffers the side effects, and pays the compensation for injuries. The WHO's classification of the swine flu as a pandemic earned the pharmaceutical companies \$18 billion in additional revenues.
3. And what will happen if the WHO, PCR test producers, and vaccine companies are investigated for mishandling an outbreak of flu: First of all remember that the WHO changed the definition of pandemic 12 years ago. WHO changed its criteria for a level 6 pandemic, deleting "severity of illness" from the requirement of a pandemic phase, which makes it easier to enter the world into a serious global pandemic. -- Now, let's look at what we have learned from what happened in 2009 swine flu scandal, as Dr Wolfgang Wodarg who was the chairman PACE (Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe) carrying the investigation at the time has told us: The WHO turned up for the first hearing and then didn't come again. "It didn't have to, it wasn't obliged to supply us with any information. We can't demand to confiscate the files, look through them; it is impossible, there isn't anybody who can do those things. And there's no investigating commission like in Parliament where the MPs can go and say something has to stop and then everybody has to turn up and show their files, there's nothing like that. The WHO can operate in a very clandestine fashion". As for the vaccine companies, a representative of Vaccine Manufacturers will claim the motion is inappropriate, and that the vaccine industry did what was asked of them by policymakers. That's what happened last time under the false swine flu pandemic of 2009.

Can you better understand the situation? Please make your investigation thoroughly, before you accept such a deal!

TO THE MAINSTREAM MEDIA, ARE YOU "THE FOURTH PILLAR OF DEMOCRACY"? -- On a more personal level from me, to "You behind The Media" - You used to be called the Fourth Pillar of Democracy! What has happened! -- Truth & Justice, and the people that fought for them in our history, they brought us our wisdom and our human rights! Justice is the axis of human civilization, it is what made it possible for us to survive as a group from the beginning of time

From me, to "You, all individuals behind The Media" (this includes social media platforms) have you been blinded by this "corona panic" too? or have you become lazy, or even corrupted? "You The Media" used to be called the "Fourth Pillar of Democracy"! What has happened! -- Lawyer Fuellmich is suing the promoters of the "corona panic" for "Crimes Against Humanity" it is "not a joke", and it is "not a conspiracy theory"! Seriously, wake up from your stupor! Our countries are in danger! This video has been on Mr. Fuellmich's channel since the 3 October 2020 by now you must have heard of this, and should be familiar with his case!

Is our democracy really working? It seems each time there is a crisis, big industries get more money out of it, and governments get more power out of it (restricting citizen rights and thereby securing more power for themselves). Please listen "You, all individuals behind The Media", The Public trust you to tell them the truth, to tell them if they are possibly being scammed by the WHO and company! If the citizens Fundamental Human Rights are being restricted without grounds, will you tell them? To hold in check people in power positions, for in the world population you are in one of the groups that should have understood the consequences of misuse of power. Have you heard that "Power Corrupts and the Absolute Power Corrupts Absolutely!"? Do you think this is a myth? The Citizen trusts You, they trust that you would tell them if they are being conned like drunk tourists on a whore street! The People want to hear if there is the possibility that are being scammed! You, The Media, you used to be called the Fourth Pillar of Democracy! What has happened! -- Wake up journalists! Shake off this "corona panic", clear your minds, we have got a huge problem here - what kind of cases have you covering while this "case against the promoters of the corona panic" has been going on? Do you see a dissonance in priorities? -- Self-orientation is important, get your self together: remember, what happened? where are we? what are the future consequences of this mess? Please wake up, have you forgotten the roots of our civilization, it all started with the wise ones and the storytellers! Rise up oh wise hero of old, your country needs you, free this country of its stench of corruption, help to bring back Our Democracy and Our Rights! Wake up and rise up oh wise hero of old, where is your dignity? have you forgotten where you came from? have you forgotten who and where you are? have you forgotten where you are going? Rise Up!

Please think wisely, "You behind The Media", are you still worthy of being called the "The Fourth Pillar of Democracy"? or do we have to

change that definition, like some speculative snobs do today ... or maybe even forget the whole idea, delete it from Wikipedia and our history books? Tell me, where do you think we are in this story? Please make sure that that is what you really believe when you are alone and no one is watching, no authorities as excuse and no group pressure to disturb you -- What do you really think about all of this? I'm not joking, when I say, our decisions now, will change our social life forever!

WE HAVE PASSED "THE TECHNOLOGICAL SINGULARITY POINT" -- We have passed "The Technological Singularity Point" predicted by futurologists. The people that fought for Truth & Justice in our history, these people brought us our human rights, these people are our real Heroes! Our sense of Truth and Justice needs an urgent update from within!

The complexity of the situation is difficult to comprehend, that's why I recommend watching "The Social Dilemma (premiered 26 January 2020 on Netflix)" gives some insight into the influence of social media in our lives and society. As digital platforms increasingly become a lifeline to stay connected, Silicon Valley insiders reveal how social media is reprogramming civilization by exposing what's hiding on the other side of your screen". The film explores the rise of social media and the damage it has caused to society, focusing on its exploitation of its users for financial gain through surveillance capitalism and data mining, how its design is meant to nurture an addiction, its use in politics, its effect on mental health (including the mental health of adolescents and rising teen suicide rates), and its role in spreading conspiracy theories such as Pizzagate and aiding groups such as flat-earthers. Social media prioritizes certain things, downgrades others, even censoring. For example Lawyer Fuellmich's first video was deleted, thereby showing a blank image in the pages that had placed his video, not allowing others to know what the case is really about. Mr. Fuellmich is suing the promoters of the "corona panic" for "Crimes Against Humanity". This should be important for people to know. Also I heard Facebook classifies it as only "partially true", do they really have the qualifications to determine that on behalf of the public?

We have now passed "The Technological Singularity Point" as some of the people in the documentary "The Social Dilemma" became clearly aware of. This is not easy to explain, the "Technological Singularity Point" was predicted by futurologists, as a point in time at which technological growth becomes uncontrollable and irreversible, resulting in unforeseeable changes to human civilization. This situation has never happened before, we have passed the "Technological Singularity Point".

To deal with this we need to stay together in a bond of Truth & Justice, or we will suffer Collective Confusion, or what is worse Collective Insanity, we could even end up killing each other. Humans can become tempted and corrupted, but our ideal of Justice, keeps us oriented, if we miss the sense of justice, we will succumb to our animal instincts, and end destroying ourselves in a Social Catastrophe. Truth & Justice made it possible for the great civilizations of Summer, Egypt, Greece and Rome to stay together and evolve. Truth & Justice, and the people that fought for them in our history, these people brought us our wisdom and our human rights, those are our real Heroes! Truth & Justice, is the axis of human civilization, that is what made it possible for us to survive from the beginning of time, and to solve problems together. The modern system emphasizes competition, but in reality it is collaboration that has helped us survive as a group, The Human Race Group. The ideas of Truth & Justice are the Keys of our Civilization, we must not lose these keys - We have passed "The Technological Singularity Point" and our sense of Truth & Justice needs an urgent update from within! There is a lot of work to do!

Thanks for your attention! - Peter Retson

NOTE: All of the writing above plus relevant documentation was sent to Danish and Swedish mainstream media, as well as USA mainstream media, the 28-29 October, no mention yet!

The WHO has said that this is a dangerous pandemic, and that we should use the PCR test to measure it. But there is no evidence that the corona flu is more dangerous than the common flu, 46 doctors and experts show that the mortality rate is 0.01%, and not 3.4% as the World Health Organization (WHO) says". And there is no documentation for the reliability of the PCR test that is used to measure people with corona flu, PCR tests have never been recognized for diagnosis of virus, and these tests are unreliable, they show far more positives than is the case. Our democracy is in danger of being replaced by fascist totalitarian government models.

There are many experts that have disagreed with WHO from the beginning, they have not been able to come forth, it seems the media is deliberately ignoring this case and its important facts, the video has been one month online, and still no news about it in mainstream media that I can see. Just to make sure I decided to make them aware of the case. All of the writing above plus other relevant documentation was sent to Danish and Swedish mainstream media, as well as USA mainstream media, the 28-29 October. There is still no mention!

References and documentation sent with this PDF

Besides the many references and documentation that are listed from the experts that disagree with WHO and its network, as are listed above. I send the mainstream media the following documentation:

- Swiss Policy Research - Facts about Covid -- Swiss Policy Research (SPR), founded in 2016, is an independent, nonpartisan and nonprofit research group investigating geopolitical propaganda in Swiss and international media. SPR is composed of independent academics and receives no external funding. - <https://swprs.org/facts-about-covid-19/>
- Mortality of corona is equivalent to that of the seasonal flu -- Professor John Ioannidis of Stanford University in California, a specialist in statistics and epidemiology as well as public health, and at the same time the most quoted scientist in the world - Studies carried out by Professor Ioannidis have shown that the mortality of corona is equivalent to that of the seasonal flu -- Infection Fatality Rate of COVID-19 Inferred from Seroprevalence Data - <https://www.globalresearch.ca/infection-fatality-rate-covid-19-inferred-seroprevalence-data/5727143>
- "The mortality rate is 0.01%, and not 3.4% as the World Health Organization (WHO) says" -- A Tribute to the Corona Whistle

- Blowers: Tribute to "the most important finding is that the mortality rate is 0.01%, and not 3.4% as the World Health Organization (WHO) says". Has a list of 46 doctors and experts who during the 2019-2020 pandemic publicly have expressed, that they find the Corona COVID-19 mortality rate to be very low, around 0.01%, no more dangerous than flu - <http://coronawhistleblower.org/>
- WHO accidentally confirms covid is no more dangerous than flu - The WHO accidentally confirmed this in a press conference the 5th October 2020 giving a fatality rate of 0.14% - <https://off-guardian.org/2020/10/08/who-accidentally-confirms-covid-is-no-more-dangerous-than-flu/>
 - Studies on COVID-19 Lethality -- 1) Antibody studies ? 2) Immunological studies ? 3) Median age of death ? 4) Hospitalizations ? 5) Nursing homes ? 6) Overall mortality ? 7) Seroprevalence ? IFR: Infection fatality rate - Swiss Policy Research (SPR), founded in 2016, is an independent, nonpartisan and nonprofit research group investigating geopolitical propaganda in Swiss and international media. SPR is composed of independent academics and receives no external funding. - <https://swprs.org/studies-on-covid-19-lethality/>
 - The unsuitability of the PCR test for the detection of infectious diseases -- Dr Mike Yeadon is the former vice president and scientific director of Pfizer - Dr Yeadon in his piece: 'Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics - the Deadly Danger of False Positives' explains the complete unsuitability of the test for the detection of infectious diseases, COVID-19 has tested positive in goats, sheep, papayas and even chicken wings - <https://lockdownsceptics.org/lies-damned-lies-and-health-statistics-the-deadly-danger-of-false-positives/>

Also, note these too are included in this PDF:

- Reconstruction of Swine Flu Scandal of 2009 -- Article at Der Spiegel - <https://www.spiegel.de/international/world/reconstruction-of-a-mass-hysteria-the-swine-flu-panic-of-2009-a-682613.html>
- Dr. Wodarg, was leader of Germany's flu control many years, also leader of PACE, it was him that uncovered the Swineflu Scandal of 2009. He is one of Europe's foremost experts in flu epidemics. COVID-19 is only one of many similar viruses which usually go undetected as part of an ordinary seasonal period of respiratory infections, and the worldwide activities to stop the pandemic were "hype" are caused by the selective perception of researchers. - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p_AyuhbnPOI - Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg website: www.wodarg.com
- Lawyer Reiner Fuellmich (one of Europe's most respected fraud lawyers) suing the promoters of the Corona panic public presentation of his case: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B2juC1LB-OE> - Lawyer Reiner Fuellmich's website: www.fuellmich.com - Corona Investigative Committee: www.corona-ausschuss.de, Corona Claim for Damages page: www.corona-schadensersatzklage.de - Oval Media follows lawyer Fuellmich & the Corona Investigative Committee and records every session in the investigation, videos are online for all to watch and follow - <https://bitchute.com/ovalmedia/>
- 8. October 2020 - Dr David Nabarro from WHO pleads with world leaders to stop using lockdowns as their 'primary' means of tackling virus because it is 'doubling' global poverty. Speaking to Andrew Neil for The Spectator magazine, the WHO scientist bemoaned the collapse of the tourism industry and claimed there would be a 'doubling' in the levels of world poverty and child malnutrition by next year as he warned that lockdowns make 'poor people an awful lot poorer'. Governments continue to have lockdowns, not listening to WHO's advice. -- SpectatorTV -- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x8oH7cBxgwE&feature=emb_logo - Daily Mail article - <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8825949/Coronaviruses-UK-Britains-envoy-tells-government-stop-locking-down.html>

More references and documentation will come.

5. Important News! Where to find the facts!

Follow the Investigation sessions of the Corona Investigative Committee

Follow the Investigation sessions of the Corona Investigative Committee & Lawyer Reiner Fuellmich -- A number of highly respected scientists worldwide assume that there has never been a Corona pandemic but only a PCR test pandemic - "We're basing our government policy, our economic policy and the policy of restricting fundamental rights presumably on completely wrong data and assumptions about the coronavirus. If it weren't for the test results that are constantly reported in the media, the pandemic would be over."

Reiner Fuellmich a trial lawyer against fraudulent corporations such as Deutsche bank, VW, and Cunard and Niagara. Also one of four members of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Since July 10, 2020, this committee has been listening to a large number of international scientists and experts' testimony.

A number of highly respected scientists worldwide assume that there has never been a Corona pandemic but only a PCR test pandemic. This is the conclusion reached by

- Many German Professors Karin Mulling, Sucharit Bhakdi, Klud Wittkowski, Rice, Hockerts, Wallach and many others
- Professor John Ioannidis of Stanford University in California, a specialist in statistics and epidemiology as well as public health, and at the same time the most quoted scientists in the world;
- Professor Michael Levitt, Nobel prize winner for chemistry, and also a biophysicist at Stanford University,
- Dr Mike Yeadon - The most recent such opinion is that of the aforementioned Dr Mike Yeadon, a former vice president and chief science officer at Pfizer, who held this position for 16 years. He and his co-authors, all well-known scientists, published a scientific paper in September of 2020 and he wrote a corresponding magazine article on September 20th 2020. Among other things, he and they state, and I quote: "We're basing our government policy, our economic policy and the policy of restricting

fundamental rights presumably on completely wrong data and assumptions about the coronavirus. If it weren't for the test results that are constantly reported in the media, the pandemic would be over, because nothing really happened.”

Oval Media - Follows lawyer Fuellmich's corona investigation and records every session -- This message was on their website. Dear Community, we were blocked again on YouTube. The reason is: “No content is allowed on YouTube that expressly questions the benefits of social distancing or self-isolation recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) or local health authorities”. For us, that means that we are not allowed to use the “OVALmedia” channel on YouTube for 2 weeks, as we are now blocked. We are currently working on a solution to send you our material in an alternative way.

You can also find us on the following platforms:

<https://oval.media> and <https://live.oval.media>
<https://www.youtube.com/OVALmediaDeutschland>
<https://www.youtube.com/StiftungCoronaAusschuss>

ALTERNATIVE PLATFORMS:

<https://bitchute.com/ovalmedia/>
<https://vimeo.com/ovalmedia>
<https://t.me/ovalMedia> (Telegram)
shorturl.at/fmKMY (DailyMotion)

You are also welcome to support us with donations in our work to stream scientific debates with your contribution. Check the details to help here! -> <https://live.oval.media/> THANK YOU SO MUCH!

Information from the German Corona Investigative Committee & lawyer Reiner Fuellmich

- Lawyer Reiner Fuellmich's website -- www.fuellmich.com (in German)
- Corona Investigative Committee - www.corona-ausschuss.de
- Class Action - "The Corona Claim for Damages page" - www.corona-schadensersatzklage.de (in German) - Through an international lawyers' network, which is growing larger by the day, the German group of attorneys provides to all of their colleagues, in all other countries, free of charge, all relevant information, including expert opinions and testimonies of experts. These scandalous corona facts gathered mostly by the corona committee and summarized above are the very same facts that will soon be proven to be true, either in one court of law or in many courts of law, all over the world. These are the facts that will pull the masks off the faces of all those responsible for these crimes.

Documents and references from the video presentation

INFECTION FATALITY RATE IN LINE WITH THE FLU - The META-ANALYSIS of Professor John Ioannidis, peer reviewed and published at WHO the 14 October 2020, shows IFR 0.23%, meaning the mortality of corona is equivalent to that of the seasonal flu.

19 March 2020 - Professor John P. A. Ioannidis, "We are making decisions without reliable data, ... the threat of covid-19 dangerously exaggerated" -- John P. A. Ioannidis is a professor of medicine at Stanford University and both a professor of epidemiology and population health. When these lockdowns first started kicking in, Dr. Ioannidis published an op-ed titled: A fiasco in the making? As the coronavirus pandemic takes hold, we are making decisions without reliable data. Again in sharp contrast to Fauci, Dr. Ioannidis broke down his reasons for thinking the threat of covid-19 was dangerously exaggerated. Since then, Dr. Ioannidis has researched the prevalence of the covid-19 virus, which indicates that its fatality rate is likely comparable to the flu's. - Proper communication and optimal decision-making are an ongoing challenge, as data evolve. The challenge is compounded, however, by exaggerated information. This can lead to inappropriate actions. It is important to differentiate promptly the true epidemic from an epidemic of false claims and potentially harmful actions. There are exaggerated pandemic estimates and exaggerated case fatality rates, these extreme measures cause harm, economic and social disruption - Coronavirus: The harms of exaggerated information and non-evidence-based measures. 19 March 2020 - <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/eci.13222>

11 April 2020 - IFR 0.025% and 0.65% (Professor Ioannidis)- The WHO figure, for example, of 3.4% is based only on those patients who were treated in hospital. The countries that have done the broadest population studies – ie. testing random sections of the population who have no symptoms – are Germany, South Korea and Iceland. They return death rates of 0.37% 0.6% and 0.4% respectively. Dr John Ioannidis from Stanford University estimates a death rate between 0.025% and 0.65%.

20 April 2020 - IFR 0.01%, and not 3.4% as WHO says, covid-19 is no more dangerous than flu (46 doctors and experts) - A Tribute to the Corona Whistle Blowers: Tribute to "the most important finding is that the mortality rate is 0.01%, and not 3.4% as the World Health Organization (WHO) says". Has a list of 46 doctors and experts who during the 2019-2020 pandemic publicly have expressed, that they find the Corona covid-19 mortality rate to be very low, around 0.01%, no more dangerous than flu - <http://coronawhistleblower.org/>

13 May 2020 - META-ANALYSIS IFR 0.23%, Professor John Ioannidis, the mortality of corona is equivalent to that of the seasonal flu - The inferred infection fatality rates tended to be much lower than estimates made earlier in the pandemic. I included 61 studies (74 estimates) and eight preliminary national estimates. Seroprevalence estimates ranged from 0.02% to 53.40%. Infection fatality rates ranged from 0.00% to 1.63%, corrected values from 0.00% to 1.54%. Across 51 locations, the median COVID-19 infection fatality rate was 0.27% (corrected 0.23%); the rate was 0.09% in locations with COVID-19 population mortality rates less than the global average

(<?118 deaths/million), 0.20% in locations with 118–500 COVID-19 deaths/million people and 0.57% in locations with >?500 COVID-19 deaths/million people. In people <?70 years, infection fatality rates ranged from 0.00% to 0.31% with crude and corrected medians of 0.05%. -- Professor John Ioannidis of Stanford University in California, a specialist in statistics and epidemiology as well as public health, and at the same time the most quoted scientists in the world. Ioannidis has made many contributions to evidence-based medicine, epidemiology, and clinical research. Ioannidis' paper on "Why Most Published Research Findings are False" has been the most-accessed article in the history of Public Library of Science (over 3 million views in 2020). - The studies carried out by Professor Ioannidis have shown that the mortality of corona is equivalent to that of the seasonal flu -- Infection Fatality Rate of covid-19 Inferred from Seroprevalence Data - <https://www.globalresearch.ca/infection-fatality-rate-covid-19-inferred-seroprevalence-data/5727143> - <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.05.13.20101253v3>

14 October 2020 - META-ANALYSIS IFR 0.23%, Professor John Ioannidis studies published at WHO - Infection fatality rate of COVID-19 inferred from seroprevalence data, John P A Ioannidis - The Infection Fatality rate of COVID-19 is a) roughly 0.23% for the population and b) dramatically different depending on age, dropping to 1 in 33,000 for those aged 0-19 - On October 14, 2020, the World Health Organization published a peer-reviewed study by Stanford's John Ioannidis that reviewed 61 separate studies that estimated the Infection Fatality Rate of COVID-19. What's the answer? 0.23% for everyone, and dramatically lower for anyone under the age of 70. How much lower? - Peer-reviewed meta-analysis - https://www.who.int/bulletin/online_first/BLT.20.265892.pdf

PCR TEST ARE UNSUITABLE TO DETECT INFECTIOUS DISEASES - September Study by Jaafar found that up to 97% of positive results could be false positives

3 May 2020 - Tests were found to be faulty after goat, sheep and pawpaw samples test positive for covid-19 - President Magufuli says tests were found to be faulty after goat, sheep and pawpaw samples test positive for COVID-19. They had randomly obtained several non-human samples, including from a pawpaw, a goat and a sheep, but had assigned them human names and ages. These samples were then submitted to Tanzania's laboratory to test for the coronavirus, with the lab technicians left deliberately unaware of their origins. Samples from the pawpaw and the goat tested positive for covid-19, the president said, adding this meant it was likely that some people were being tested positive when, in fact, they were not infected by the coronavirus. - <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/africa/coronavirus-tanzania-test-kits-suspicion-goat-pawpaw-positive-a9501291.html> - <https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2020/05/03/tanzania-president-questions-coronavirus-kits-after-animal-test/>

16 July 2020 - "Why no one can ever recover from Covid-19 in England – a statistical anomaly" - Yoon K Loke and Carl Heneghan of the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine at Oxford University, titled "Why no one can ever recover from Covid-19 in England – a statistical anomaly" -- Statistical flaw in the way that PHE compiles 'out of hospital' deaths data, rather than any genuine difference between the regions of the UK: "Linking data on confirmed positive cases (identified through testing by NHS and PHE laboratories and commercial partners) to the NHS Demographic Batch Service: when a patient dies, the NHS central register of patients is notified (this is not limited to deaths in hospitals). The list of all lab-confirmed cases is checked against the NHS central register each day, to check if any of the patients have died." Here, it seems that PHE regularly looks for people on the NHS database who have ever tested positive, and simply checks to see if they are still alive or not. PHE does not appear to consider how long ago the COVID test result was, nor whether the person has been successfully treated in hospital and discharged to the community. Anyone who has tested COVID positive but subsequently died at a later date of any cause will be included on the PHE COVID death figures. By this PHE definition, no one with COVID in England is allowed to ever recover from their illness. A patient who has tested positive, but successfully treated and discharged from hospital, will still be counted as a COVID death even if they had a heart attack or were run over by a bus three months later. - <https://www.cebm.net/covid-19/why-no-one-can-ever-recover-from-covid-19-in-england-a-statistical-anomaly/>

17 Jul 2020 - Statistics may include people who died of other causes - Matt Hancock orders urgent review of PHE Covid-19 death figures - Move comes after it emerges statistics may include people who died of other causes - The UK health secretary, Matt Hancock, is ordering an urgent review of the daily Covid-19 death statistics produced by Public Health England, after it emerged that they may include recovered former sufferers who could have died of other causes. The oddity was revealed in a paper by Yoon K Loke and Carl Heneghan of the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine at Oxford University, titled "Why no one can ever recover from Covid-19 in England – a statistical anomaly". Their analysis suggests that PHE cross-checks the latest notifications of deaths against a database of positive test results – so that anyone who has ever tested positive is recorded in the Covid-19 death statistics. A Department of Health and Social Care source said: 'You could have been tested positive in February, have no symptoms, then hit by a bus in July and you'd be recorded as a COVID death.' "It seems that PHE regularly looks for people on the NHS database who have ever tested positive, and simply checks to see if they are still alive or not. PHE does not appear to consider how long ago the Covid test result was, nor whether the person has been successfully treated in hospital and discharged to the community," the authors said. Only days after Hancock called for the review of PHE data, the UK government put an immediate halt to its daily update of death numbers from COVID-19. - <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/jul/17/matt-hancock-calls-urgent-inquiry-phe-covid-19-death-figures>

3 September 2020 - Covid-19: the problems with case counting -- From 10 to 16 August 2020, covid-19 case detections in England rose to 6418, up from 5763 the week before, Public Health England's surveillance report shows.¹ This fits in with the wider trend of a steady increase in cases since the beginning of July.² So, is this the much feared second wave? Experts are increasingly concerned that these headline figures don't provide a clear picture of what's really happening in the pandemic—or how we should respond, such as with local lockdowns. - <https://www.bmj.com/content/370/bmj.m3374>

5 September 2020 - PCR test poses the potential for false positives when testing for COVID-19 - In the first weeks of September, a number of important revelations regarding the PCR test have come to light. First, new research from the University of Oxford's Center for Evidence-Based Medicine and the University of the West of England found that the PCR test poses the potential for false positives when testing for COVID-19. Professor Carl Heneghan, one of the authors of the study, Viral cultures for COVID-19 infectivity assessment – a systematic review, said there was a risk that an increase in testing in the UK will lead to an increase in the risk of "sample contamination" and thus an increase in COVID-19 cases. Professor Carl Heneghan, writes that the COVID-19 virus would never

disappear, if this test practice were to be continued, but would always be falsely detected, in much of what is tested. - <https://www.bbc.com/news/health-54000629> Research paper - <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.08.04.20167932v3.full.pdf>

8 September 2020 -- Limitations of the PCR test - On September 8, Carl Heneghan of the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine at Oxford University tweeted out another study on the limitations of the PCR test. The study, "SARS-CoV-2 Testing: The Limit of Detection Matters," examines the limit of detection (LoD) for RNA. The researchers note similar problems with the PCR test and the cycle threshold, concluding, "the ultimate lesson from these studies bears repetition: LoD matters and directly impacts efforts to identify, control, and contain outbreaks during this pandemic." - <https://twitter.com/carlheneghan/status/1303229813826760711> --- <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7302192/>

9 September 2020 - All laboratories should determine the threshold for a positive result at the limit of detection - The UK's leading health agency, Public Health England, released an update on the testing methods used to detect COVID-19 and appeared to agree with Professor Heneghan regarding the concerns on the cycle threshold. On September 9, PHE released an update which concluded, "all laboratories should determine the threshold for a positive result at the limit of detection." <https://twitter.com/carlheneghan/status/1303220734689501184> -- <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/sars-cov-2-rna-testing-assurance-of-positive-results-during-periods-of-low-prevalence/assurance-of-sars-cov-2-rna-positive-results-during-periods-of-low-prevalence>

20 September 2020 - The unsuitability of the PCR test for the detection of infectious diseases -- Dr Mike Yeadon is the former vice president and scientific director of Pfizer - Dr Yeadon in his piece: 'Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics - the Deadly Danger of False Positives' explains the complete unsuitability of the test for the detection of infectious diseases, covid 19 has tested positive in goats, sheep, papayas and even chicken wings - <https://lockdownsceptics.org/lies-damned-lies-and-health-statistics-the-deadly-danger-of-false-positives/>

28 September 2020 - Up to 97% of positive results could be false positives -- Study by Jaafar found that – when running PCR tests with 35 cycles or more – the accuracy dropped to 3%, meaning up to 97% of positive results could be false positives. Correlation Between 3790 Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction–Positives Samples and Positive Cell Cultures, Including 1941 Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Isolates - <https://academic.oup.com/cid/advance-article/doi/10.1093/cid/ciaa1491/5912603>

THE IMPERIAL COLLEGE MODEL WITH ITS DOOM PREDICTIONS PROVED TOTALLY UNRELIABLE -- The Imperial Model, wich the UK, US, and many other countries based their doom predictions on, is Totally Unreliable, The Modeler Resigns in Disgrace

27 March 2020 - Dr Deborah Birx on the Imperial College model vs. the Oxford model: "The predictions of the models don't match the reality on the ground" - <https://hotair.com/archives/allahpundit/2020/03/27/birx-imperial-college-model-vs-oxford-model-predictions-models-dont-match-reality-ground/>

28 March 2020 - Neil Ferguson, the scientist who convinced Boris Johnson of UK coronavirus lockdown, criticised in past for flawed research - Professor Neil Ferguson predicted Britain was on course to lose 250,000 lives during the coronavirus epidemic- <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2020/03/28/neil-ferguson-scientist-convicted-boris-johnson-uk-coronavirus-lockdown-criticised/>

28 March 2020 - Johan Giesecke, the former chief scientist for the European Center for Disease Control and Prevention, has called Ferguson's model "the most influential scientific paper" in memory. He also says it was, sadly, "one of the most wrong." - <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2020/03/28/neil-ferguson-scientist-convicted-boris-johnson-uk-coronavirus-lockdown-criticised/>

29 March 2020 - Professor who predicted 500,000 Britons could die from coronavirus and prompted Boris Johnson to order lockdown accused of having 'patchy record of modelling pandemics' - Professor Neil Ferguson, director at Imperial College, London, authored report. He said even plans to slow the virus would result in around 250,000 deaths. Rival academic Professor Michael Thrusfield accused his work of being 'patchy' Coronavirus symptoms: what are they and should you see a doctor? <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8164121/Professor-predicted-500-000-Britons-die-coronavirus-accused-having-patchy-record.html>

4 May 2020 - Ferguson's Imperial College model has been proven wildly inaccurate. To cite just one example, it saw Sweden paying a huge price for no lockdown, with 40,000 COVID deaths by May 1, and 100,000 by June. Sweden now has 2,854 deaths and peaked two weeks ago. As Fraser Nelson, editor of Britain's Spectator, notes: "Imperial College's model is wrong by an order of magnitude." - <https://johnhcochrane.blogspot.com/2020/05/an-sir-model-with-behavior.html>

5 May 2020 - With all of his influence, it's not surprising British media are making a great deal about Ferguson being forced to resign from the government's virus advisory board yesterday after revelations he had violated lockdown rules he had championed in order to conduct an affair with a married woman. Ferguson admits he made an "error of judgement and took the wrong course of action." - <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2020/05/05/exclusive-government-scientist-neil-ferguson-resigns-breaking/>

6 May 2020 - 'Professor Lockdown' Modeler Resigns in Disgrace - Neil Ferguson is the British academic who created the infamous Imperial College model that warned Boris Johnson that, without an immediate lockdown, the coronavirus would cause 500,000 deaths and swamp the National Health Service. - <https://www.nationalreview.com/corner/professor-lockdown-modeler-resigns-in-disgrace/>

6 May 2020 - Elon Musk calls Ferguson an "utter tool" who does "absurdly fake science." - Elon Musk called one of the world's top lockdown advocates an 'utter tool' who does 'absurdly fake science' after he was caught breaking his own rules to meet his lover - <https://www.businessinsider.com/elon-musk-prof-neil-ferguson-resigned-moron-absurdly-fake-science-2020-5?r=US&IR=T>

6 May 2020 - Code Review of Ferguson's Model - Source code of Ferguson's epidemiological model: <https://lockdownsceptics.org/code->

review-of-fergusons-model/

6 May 2020 - 'Professor Lockdown' Modeler Resigns in Disgrace - <https://www.nationalreview.com/corner/professor-lockdown-modeler-resigns-in-disgrace/>

9 May 2020 - Second Analysis of Ferguson's Model - <https://lockdownsceptics.org/second-analysis-of-fergusons-model/>

16 May 2020 - Neil Ferguson's Imperial model could be the most devastating software mistake of all time: <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/technology/2020/05/16/neil-fergusons-imperial-model-could-devastating-software-mistake/>

16 May 2020 - Imperial College model Britain used to justify lockdown a 'buggy mess', 'totally unreliable', experts claim - The heralded model United Kingdom experts have largely used to guide their coronavirus policies is "totally unreliable," according to experts. The criticisms follow a series of policy turnabouts, including Prime Minister Boris Johnson's decision to extend the national lockdown. The United States also used the model, which predicted upwards of 2.2 million deaths in the US without proper action. The prediction helped influence the White House to adopt a more serious approach to the pandemic. - <https://www.foxnews.com/world/imperial-college-britain-coronavirus-lockdown-buggy-mess-unreliable>

17 May 2020 - Experts Finally Declare Imperial College Coronavirus Model That Predicted 2.2M Dead In U.S. 'Totally Unreliable' - <https://www.dailywire.com/news/experts-finally-declare-imperial-college-coronavirus-model-that-predicted-2-2m-dead-in-u-s-totally-unreliable>

11 June 2020 - How Replicable is the Imperial College Model? <https://lockdownsceptics.org/how-replicable-is-the-imperial-college-model/>

LOCKDOWNS DONT WORK - Lockdown and Social Distancing Doesn't Work. The government's anti-COVID19 measures are grotesque, absurd and very dangerous. All these measures are leading to self-destruction and collective suicide caused by fear.

March 2020 - All these measures are leading to self-destruction and collective suicide caused by fear. The life expectancy of millions is now being shortened because of this -- An alarming interview with Germany's forefront virus expert in microbiology, Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi sends a chillingly apocalyptic message: That the Western government shut downs is a completely wrong and extremely dangerous response to the Covid-19 virus. The virus expert who is one of the most cited research scientists in German history asserts that self-isolating the whole population is "grotesque, absurd and very dangerous". All these measures are leading to self-destruction and collective suicide caused by fear. "This is the incredible tragedy, because all these adopted measures are actually senseless and meaningless." "The life expectancy of millions is now being shortened because of this. The horrifying impact on world economy threatens the existence of countless people." "The consequences on medical care are profound. Already services to patients who are in need are reduced, operations cancelled, medical practices empty, hospital personnel dwindling. All this will impact profoundly on our whole society." Dr Sucharit Bhakdi is a specialist in microbiology. He was a professor at the Johannes Gutenberg University in Mainz and head of the Institute for Medical Microbiology and Hygiene and one of the most cited research scientists in German history. Deleted by youtube <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JBB9bA-gXL4> - <https://www.bitchute.com/video/Rwb5QzJY2-s/>

March 2020 - The government's anti-COVID19 measures are grotesque, absurd and very dangerous - Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi is a specialist in microbiology. He was a professor at the Johannes Gutenberg University in Mainz and head of the Institute for Medical Microbiology and Hygiene and one of the most cited research scientists in German history. What he says: "We are afraid that 1 million infections with the new virus will lead to 30 deaths per day over the next 100 days. But we do not realise that 20, 30, 40 or 100 patients positive for normal coronaviruses are already dying every day. The government's anti-COVID19 measures are grotesque, absurd and very dangerous. The life expectancy of millions is being shortened. The horrifying impact on the world economy threatens the existence of countless people. The consequences on medical care are profound. Already services to patients in need are reduced, operations cancelled, practices empty, hospital personnel dwindling. All this will impact profoundly on our whole society. All these measures are leading to self-destruction and collective suicide based on nothing but a spook." - <https://www.bitchute.com/video/QMFD9fFqW10p/>

26 Mar 2020 - Open Letter from Prof Sucharit Bhakdi to Chancellor Merkel. Professor Bhakdi calls for an urgent reassessment of the response to Covid-19 - An Open Letter from Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi, Professor Emeritus of Medical Microbiology at the Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, to the German Chancellor Dr. Angela Merkel. Professor Bhakdi calls for an urgent reassessment of the response to Covid-19 and asks the Chancellor five crucial questions. - <https://off-guardian.org/2020/03/31/open-letter-from-prof-sucharit-bhakdi-to-chancellor-merkel/>

1 April 2020 - Lockdown and Social Distancing Doesn't Work -- Herd Immunity: Expert Explains Why COVID-19 Lockdown and Social Distancing Doesn't Work -- With all respiratory diseases, the only thing that stops the disease is herd immunity. About 80% of the people need to have had contact with the virus, and the majority of them won't even have recognized that they were infected, or they had very, very mild symptoms, especially if they are children. So, it's very important to keep the schools open and kids mingling to spread the virus to get herd immunity as fast as possible, and then the elderly people, who should be separated, and the nursing homes should be closed during that time, can come back and meet their children and grandchildren after about 4 weeks when the virus has been exterminated. - Some doctors are saying, "This is more contagious than any seasonal flu or the H1N1, and this is why we have to take it so seriously because it's so much more contagious." But the data that we have speaks against it, you can download the data from the European CDC every day, the data, all over the world, and you can analyze it. And that's what I have done: All data were downloaded on 2020-04-04 from the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) Web site at <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/download-todays-data-geographic-distribution-covid-19-cases-worldwide>, where data are collected daily between 6:00 and 10:00 CET. - Dr. Wittkowski received his PhD in computer science from the University of Stuttgart and his ScD (Habilitation) in Medical Biometry from the Eberhard-Karls-University Tübingen, both Germany. Worked for 15 years with

Klaus Dietz, one of the leading epidemiologists in the world. 20 years the Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology, and Research Design at The Rockefeller University, New York. He is currently the CEO of ASDERA LLC, a company discovering novel treatments for complex diseases from data of genome-wide association studies. --- Updates were collected from the Johns Hopkins online tracker available at <https://systems.jhu.edu/research/public-health/ncov/>. - New York City data was downloaded from <https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/doh/downloads/pdf/imm/covid-19-daily-data-summary.pdf>. - Population data were accessed from <https://www.worldometers.info/world-population/population-by-country/> on 2020-03-12. Data on ages by country were accessed from <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.65UP.TO.ZS>.

25 September 2020 - WARNING: Against New Hastily Created Gene-Altering Coronavirus Vaccine -- Renowned Virologist Sucharit Bhakdi Warns Against Hastily Created Gene-Altering Coronavirus Vaccine - WARNING: Renowned Virologist Sucharit Bhakdi Warns Against Hastily Created Gene-Altering Coronavirus Vaccine - German virologist Doctor Sucharit Bhakdi expresses deep suspicion of the vaccination development process in place for the Chinese coronavirus, which violates well-established scientific norms. The Doctor makes several very powerful points that should be considered by those touting a potential vaccine. The Coronavirus Mortality Rate Obscured, A 'completely new kind of vaccine' - <https://rairfoundation.com/warning-renowned-virologist-sucharit-bhakdi-warns-against-hastily-created-gene-altering-coronavirus-vaccine-video/>

THE LEAKED "GLOBAL FALSE ALARM REPORT" AND WHISTLEBLOWERS - Whistleblower David Sieber (member of the Green Party) suggested that we hear the other side, renown scientists who held a different opinion. Sieber was called a conspiracy theorist, without ever having considered the content of his information and then stripped him of his mandates. -- Leaked "Global False Alarm" report: The dangerousness of Covid-19 was overestimated: probably at no point did the danger posed by the new virus go beyond the normal level. The people who die from Corona are essentially those who would statistically die this year, because they have reached the end of their lives and their weakened bodies can no longer cope with any random everyday stress. - The whistleblower was suspended from duty, advised to obtain a lawyer, and his work laptop was confiscated.

Whistleblower David Sieber (member of the Green Party) - David Sieber (member of the Green Party) suggested that we hear the other side, renown scientists who held a different opinion. Sieber was called a conspiracy theorist, without ever having considered the content of his information and then stripped him of his mandates -- There was only one single opinion on which the federal government in Germany based its' decision for lockdown, Mr Drosten, the very person whose horrific panic-inducing prognosis had proved to be catastrophically false 12 years earlier. In an outrageous violation of the universally accepted principle auditor at ultra parse, which means that one must also hear the other side. - We know this because a whistle-blower named David Sieber, a member of the Green Party, told us about it. David Sieber had become increasingly sceptical about the official narrative propagated by politicians and the mainstream media. David Sieber found highly renowned scientists on the internet who held a completely different opinion, that there was no disease that went beyond the gravity of the seasonal flu; that the population had already acquired cross or T-cell immunity against this allegedly new virus; and that there was therefore no reason for any special measures and certainly not for vaccinations. Mr Sieber turned to the leadership of his green party with the knowledge he had accumulated and suggested that they present these other scientific opinions to the public and explain that contrary to Mr Drosten's doomsday prophecies there was no reason for the public to panic - Mr Drosten's panic messages were good enough for the German party. David Sieber was called a conspiracy theorist, without ever having considered the content of his information and then stripped him of his mandates. -- Lawyer Reiner Fuellmich: "We know this because a whistle-blower named David Sieber, a member of the Green Party, told us about it. He did so first on 29 August, 2020 in Berlin in the context of an event at which Robert F Kennedy Junior also took part, and at which both men gave speeches; and he did so afterwards in one of the sessions of our corona committee" - Lawyer Fuellmich presents the case: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B2juC1LB-OE> - David Sieber (member of the Green Party) : <https://www.bitchute.com/video/WkLxR2rUZKKg/>

9 May 2020 - Leaked "Global False Alarm" report - Whistleblower leaks "The False Alarm paper" from interior ministry -- "The False Alarm paper" ("Analysis of the Crisis Management") and the whistleblower who leaked it - Whistleblower leaks report from interior ministry denouncing corona as 'A Global False Alarm', whistleblower is then suspended from duty, advised to obtain a lawyer, and his work laptop was confiscated -- Some of the report key passages are: "The dangerousness of Covid-19 was overestimated: probably at no point did the danger posed by the new virus go beyond the normal level. The people who die from Corona are essentially those who would statistically die this year, because they have reached the end of their lives and their weakened bodies can no longer cope with any random everyday stress (including the approximately 150 viruses currently in circulation). The danger is obviously no greater than that of many other viruses. There is no evidence that this was more than a false alarm". The report also focuses on the "manifold and heavy consequences of the Corona measures" and warns that these are "grave". More people are dying because of state-imposed Corona-measures than they are being killed by the virus. The reason is a scandal in the making: A Corona-focused German healthcare system is postponing life-saving surgery and delaying or reducing treatment for non-Corona patients. - The report was the initiative of a department of the interior ministry called Unit KM4 and in charge with the "Protection of critical infrastructures", this is also where the German official turned whistleblower, Stephen Kohn, work(ed), and from where he leaked it to the media. - Kohn had originally leaked the report on May 9th to the liberal-conservative magazine Tichys Einblick one of Germany's most popular alternative media outlets. - <https://www.tichyseinblick.de/daili-es-sentials/exklusiv-auf-te-ein-vorwurf-koennte-lauten-der-staat-hat-sich-in-der-coronakrise-als-einer-der-groessten-fake-news-produzenten-erwiesen/> Report (German): <https://ichbinanderermeinung.de/Dokument93.pdf>

11 May 2020 - Leaked "Global False Alarm" report - The authors of the report denouncing corona as 'A Global False Alarm' issued a joint press release, asking for the interior minister to officially comment upon the experts joint statement -- "The False Alarm paper", scientific panel responds - The scientific panel of German medical experts that drafted "Analysis of the Crisis Management" (The False Alarm paper) issued a joint press release berating the government for ignoring expert advice, and asking for the interior minister to officially comment upon the experts joint statement, the German government had yet to react - The 93-pages report titled "Analysis of the Crisis Management" has been drafted by a scientific panel appointed by the interior ministry and composed by external medical experts from several German universities. The report was the initiative of a department of the interior ministry called Unit KM4 and in charge with the "Protection of critical infrastructures". The authors of the report denouncing corona as 'A Global False Alarm' issued a joint press release already on May 11th, berating the government for ignoring expert advice, and asking for the interior minister to

officially comment upon the experts joint statement. At the time of writing, the German government had yet to react. - Press release (German): <https://deutsch.rt.com/inland/102396-umstrittene-bmi-analyse-wissenschaftler-kritisieren/> (German)

15 May 2020 - Leaked "Global False Alarm" report - Whistleblower was suspended from duty, advised to obtain a lawyer, and his work laptop was confiscated -- Der Spiegel reported on May 15th: "Stephen Kohn [the whistleblower] has since been suspended from duty. He was advised to obtain a lawyer and his work laptop was confiscated." - Full article: <https://www.strategic-culture.org/news/2020/05/29/german-official-leaks-report-denouncing-corona-as-global-false-alarm/>

Important books

BOOK ON CORONA FACTS BY EXPERTS - Corona, False Alarm?: Facts and Figures. By award-winning researchers Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi and Dr. Karina Reiss (2 October 2020)

German researchers, Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi and Dr. Karina Reiss, credited with 360+ publications on Immunology, Virology, Inflammation, & Pathology, have now written a book detailing staggering concerns over Public Health measures taken during the pandemic. Researchers Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi and Dr. Karina Reiss give clarity to these confusing and stressful times. They offer analysis of whether radical protective measures? including lockdown, social distancing, and mandatory masking? have been justified, and what the ramifications have been for society, the economy, and public health.

Dr. Bhakdi and Dr. Reiss provide dates, facts, and background information, including:

- How Covid-19 compares with previous coronaviruses and the flu virus
- What infection numbers and the death rate really tell us
- The challenges around lockdown: Were the protective measures justified?
- Mandatory mask-wearing: Does the science support it?
- Does the race for vaccine development make sense? What are the chances of success? Will the vaccine be safe? Will people accept it?

Corona, False Alarm? provides you with sound information and substantiated facts? and encourages you to form your own opinion on the corona crisis.

- Karina Reiss was born in Germany and studied biology at the University of Kiel where she received her PhD in 2001. She became assistant professor in 2006 and associate professor in 2008 at the University of Kiel. She has published over sixty articles in the fields of cell biology, biochemistry, inflammation, and infection, which have gained international recognition and received prestigious honors and awards.
- Sucharit Bhakdi studied medicine at the University of Bonn in Germany, where he received his MD in 1970. He was a post-doctoral researcher at the Max Planck Institute of Immunobiology and Epigenetics in Freiburg from 1972 to 1976, and at The Protein Laboratory in Copenhagen from 1976 to 1977. He joined the Institute of Medical Microbiology at Giessen University in 1977 and was appointed associate professor in 1982. He was named chair of Medical Microbiology at the University of Mainz in 1990, where he remained until his retirement in 2012. Dr. Bhakdi has published over three hundred articles in the fields of immunology, bacteriology, virology, and parasitology, for which he has received numerous awards and the Order of Merit of Rhineland-Palatinate. Sucharit Bhakdi and his wife, Karina Reiss, live with their three-year-old son, Jonathan Atsadjan, in a small village near the city of Kiel.

INTERVIEW - <https://www.bitchute.com/video/awRyZ5wVqNjm/>

BOOK AT AMAZON - <https://www.amazon.com/Corona-False-Alarm-International-Bestseller-ebook/dp/B08JCDV25M>

RELEVANT BOOK: VIRUS MANIA IN THE MEDIA - Virus Mania in the media - How the Medical Industry Continually Invents Epidemics, Making Billion-Dollar Profits at Our Expense. By journalist Torsten Engelbrecht and doctor of internal medicine Claus Köhnlein, MD

Virus Mania in the media - How the Medical Industry Continually Invents Epidemics, Making Billion-Dollar Profits at Our Expense. The authors of Virus Mania, journalist Torsten Engelbrecht and doctor of internal medicine Claus Köhnlein, MD, show that these alleged contagious viruses may be, in fact, also be seen as particles produced by the cells themselves as a consequence of certain stress factors such as drugs. These particles are then identified by antibody and PCR tests and interpreted as epidemic-causing viruses by doctors who have been inoculated for over 100 years by the theory that microbes are deadly and only modern medications and vaccines will protect us from virus pandemics.

The central aim of this book is to steer the discussion back to a real scientific debate and put medicine back on the path of an impartial analysis of the facts. It will put medical experiments, clinical trials, statistics and government policies under the microscope, revealing that the people charged with protecting our health and safety have deviated from this path. Along the way, Engelbrecht and Köhnlein will analyze all possible causes of illness such as pharmaceuticals, lifestyle drugs, pesticides, heavy metals, pollution, stress and processed (and sometimes genetically modified) foods. All of these can heavily damage the body of humans and animals and even kill them. And precisely these factors typically prevail where the victims of alleged viruses live and work.

To substantiate these claims, the authors cite dozens of highly renowned scientists, among them the Nobel laureates Kary Mullis, Barbara McClintock, Walter Gilbert, Sir Frank Macfarlane Burnet and microbiologist and Pulitzer Prize winner René Dubos. The book presents approximately 1,100 pertinent scientific references, the majority of which have been published recently. The topic of this book

is of pivotal significance. The pharmaceutical companies and top scientists rake in enormous sums of money by attacking germs and the media boosts its audience ratings and circulations with sensationalized reporting (the coverage of the New York Times and Der Spiegel are specifically analyzed). Individuals pay the highest price of all, without getting what they deserve and need most to maintain health: enlightenment about the real causes and true necessities for prevention and cure of their illnesses.

“The first step is to give up the illusion that the primary purpose of modern medical research is to improve people’s health most effectively and efficiently,” advises John Abramson of Harvard Medical School. “The primary purpose of commercially-funded clinical research is to maximize financial return on investment, not health.”

Virus Mania will inform you on how such an environment took root—and how to empower yourself for a healthy life.

Authors:

- Torsten Engelbrecht works as a journalist in Hamburg. In 2009 he received the Alternative Media Award for his article “The Amalgam Controversy.” He was trained at the renowned magazine for professional journalists Message and was a permanent editor at the Financial Times Deutschland, among others. As a freelance journalist, he has written articles for publications such as OffGuardian, The Ecologist, Süddeutsche Zeitung, Neue Zürcher eitung, Frankfurter Allgemeine Sonntagszeitung, Rubikon, Freitag, Geo Saison, Greenpeace Magazin. In 2010 his book “Die Zukunft der Krebsmedizin” (The Future of Cancer Medicine) has been published, with Claus Köhnlein, MD, and two other doctors as co-authors.
- Claus Köhnlein is a medical specialist of internal diseases. He completed his residency in the Oncology Department at the University of Kiel. Since 1993, he has worked in his own medical practice, treating also Hepatitis C and AIDS patients who are skeptical of antiviral medications.

Links

- Torsten Engelbrecht - <https://www.torstenengelbrecht.com/en/home/>
- Dr Claus Köhnlein - Samantha Bailey, physician from New Zealand, interviews Claus Köhnlein, MD, on her YouTube channel (the largest on health in her country) about the fatal effects of the massive experimental administration of highly toxic drugs to so-called COVID-19 patients and the role of the PCR test. Dr. Sam Bailey’s Youtube channel, 27 October 2020 - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-LT0Snz8A4&feature=emb_logo
- The journalist Robert Stein explains in his lecture “Tabula Rasa: The Big Reset” on the basis of our Rubikon article “Die Medikamenten-Tragödie” (“The Drug Tragedy”), how it was managed to fool the world into thinking that there is a potentially deadly coronavirus going around worldwide. NuoViso.TV, 25 October 2020 (from 1:16:08) - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2gtAsk5GAY0&feature=emb_logo

BOOK AT AMAZON - <https://www.amazon.com/Virus-Mania-Continually-Epidemics-Billion-Dollar/dp/1425114679>

International collaboration of doctors, scientist, and experts against the corona lockdown measures

International collaboration of doctors

Related groups of doctors & scientist and their websites:

- World Doctors Alliance - An independent non-profit alliance of doctors, nurses, healthcare professionals and staff around the world who have united in the wake of the Covid-19 response chapter to share experiences with a view to ending all lockdowns and related damaging measures and to re-establish universal health determinance of psychological and physical wellbeing for all humanity. Open letter to all the Citizens of the World and all the Governments of the World. Total signatures 6th november: 39,220 - www.worlddoctorsalliance.com
- Questioning covid -- Clinicians, Researchers, & Health Experts from Around the World Interrogating the Mainstream Narrative Around the Pandemic - www.questioningcovid.com
- International free choice -- The initiative by Luc Montagnier, Nobel Prize winner in medicine, and Robert F. Kennedy Jr., lawyer, among others, addresses the many inconsistencies surrounding corona policy and is addressed to the presidents of the WHO, the European Commission and the European Parliament. www.internationalfreechoice.com (many languages)
- The Physicians For Informed Consent (PIC) published a report titled “Physicians for Informed Consent (PIC) Compares COVID-19 to Previous Seasonal and Pandemic Flu Periods.” According to them, the infection/fatality rate of COVID-19 is 0.26%. You can read more about that and access their resources and reasoning here. - <https://physiciansforinformedconsent.org/physicians-for-informed-consent-pic-compares-covid-19-to-previous-seasonal-and-pandemic-flu-periods/>
- International ALERT MESSAGE Of Health Professionals To Governments & Citizens Of The WORLD - Health professionals around the world call for the end of lockdowns and other COVID restrictions - The United Health Professionals is an international collective of more than 1,000 health professionals from different countries in Europe, Africa, America, Asia and Oceania, alerting governments and citizens of the whole world about the non-evidence based measures taken to fight COVID-19 and which are very dangerous for : health, education, economy, peace and other aspects of life. In its alert message, the United Health Professionals international collective explain why these measures have been taken and why they must be immediately stopped. The document accompanies the international petition. - Petition only for health professional - Sign the petition at <https://www.change.org/p/governments-worldwide-international-alert-message-of-health-professionals-to-governments-citizens-of-the-world>
- "The Great Barrington Declaration", by international doctors and experts -- As infectious disease epidemiologists and public health scientists we have grave concerns about the damaging physical and mental health impacts of the prevailing COVID-19 policies, and recommend an approach we call Focused Protection. We know that vulnerability to death from COVID-19 is more than a

thousand-fold higher in the old and infirm than the young. Indeed, for children, COVID-19 is less dangerous than many other harms, including influenza. We know that all populations will eventually reach herd immunity – i.e. the point at which the rate of new infections is stable. The most compassionate approach that balances the risks and benefits of reaching herd immunity, is to allow those who are at minimal risk of death to live their lives normally to build up immunity to the virus through natural infection, while better protecting those who are at highest risk. We call this Focused Protection. Those who are not vulnerable should immediately be allowed to resume life as normal. - The declaration now has nearly 45,000 signatures from doctors and scientists, and also approximately 600,000 from concerned citizens. Website: <https://gbdeclaration.org> - Dissenting scientists issue Covid-19 herd immunity declaration - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rz_Z7Gf1aRE&feature=emb_logo

International collaboration of doctors, scientist, and experts by country

- GERMANY - "Extra-Parliamentary Corona Investigation Committee" - www.acu2020.org/english-versions.
- SPAIN - www.medicosporlaverdad.com -- Doctors, Researchers and Health Experts around the world question the dominant narrative around the Covid pandemic. Spanish and German Doctor Groups Team Up for International Investigation of CV 'Scam' - "World List" of Doctors For The Truth
- UK - www.lockdownsceptics.org - hosted by Toby Young. Has a great amount of facts, follows the situation in Britain, includes a discussion forum.
- DENMARK -- www.Ooc.one - www.CoronaWhistleblower.org (multiple languages) - www.skrivunder.net/omgaende_ophavelse_af_covid-lovene (petition to stop lockdown immediately)
- HOLLAND -- www.opendebat.info & www.brandbriefggz.nl
- BELGIUM -- Belgian doctors call for the end of all lockdowns <https://docs4opendebate.be> (also English) - www.belgiumbeyondcovid.be & www.omgekeerdlockdown.simplesite.com/ - [www.docs4opendebate.be/en/#petitie](https://docs4opendebate.be/en/#petitie) (petition to stop lockdown immediately)
- ITALY - Non-mainstream information about coronavirus COVID-19. This site also aims to collect signatures for a petition to stop the complete and indiscriminate lockdown of Italy and its economy, which is causing unprecedented damage to millions of families. - <https://coronablues.org/> (also in English)
- AUSTRALIA - Doctors in Australia demand end to Victoria lockdown while WHO says restrictions should not be primary course Australian Doctors Federation - We are a group of senior medical doctors and health professionals who are concerned about the health impacts of the lockdowns used in response to the SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks in Victoria and across Australia. - Australian Covid Medical Network <https://covidmedicalnetwork.com/> - <https://ausdoctorsfederation.org.au/2020/09/03/open-letter-alternative-response-to-covid-19-for-victoria/>
- NEW ZEALAND - New Zealand - A balanced response to COVID-19. - <https://www.covidplanb.co.nz/>
- USA - America's Frontline Doctors -- www.americasfrontlinedoctors.com -- exist to counter the massive disinformation campaign regarding the pandemic.

How can you help? you can help in three ways: 1) get informed with the basic facts 2) tell your friends, 3) sign a petition for the government to stop lockdown immediately

1. Get informed with the basic facts -- What are the basic facts: what is the real mortality rate of the corona flu? Is the Corona Test (also known as PCR Test) reliable? What are the real damage consequences of the lockdown? These are covered in this PDF, for more info check the links.
2. Tell your friends -- News about doctors, experts and layers that disagree with WHO are being censored, while the panic is being promoted. Such an important side of the story, and mainstream media is not covering it, and social media is censoring it. Share and discuss with friends. There is enough evidence to go around.
3. Sign a petition for the government to stop lockdown immediately -- There are European and national petitions. Independent organizations in different countries have begun to use sign-up websites to gather signatures from "a petition for the government to stop lockdown immediately". Please check the links.

Please share with others. This is shocking news for many! Maybe even traumatic. Mainstream media and social media are censoring this. We depend on our social network to spread the news. This must be presented clearly. This is hard to swallow, remember that a spoon of sugar helps the medicine go down!