The Parkland School Shooting:

Another See-through Psy-Op



We're less than a week out from this staged event in Florida, but already conspiracy theorists have compiled plenty of good evidence showing it was staged. Watch this and this video for a start. First of all, multiple students confirmed that the school conducted active shooting training a month before this event. One student interviewed said there were rumors that an active shooter drill was going to happen soon, and there had already been a fire drill earlier that day. Most of the students admit they thought it was a drill. Many students reported gunshots from multiple locations, claiming two or three shooters. Many students interviewed show very little emotion, and we even get a few who can't stop smiling, like the girl who claimed she spoke with Nikolas Cruz as he was exiting the building with the other students. If you had just been terrorized by a school shooting and found out you actually spoke with the shooter on your way out of the building, would you be chuckling about it so casually? There's also the "former" FBI agent interviewed on Wolf Blitzer who does the worst impression of someone breaking down crying that I've ever seen. Then there are the dozens of pictures of students, parents, and community members making crying faces without any tears...

More crisis actors, of course.

But the award for most obvious actor goes to David Hogg, who is now practically a national sensation. I've never witnessed a more articulate, polished, and composed 17-year-old in my life. In fact, he's so good in front of a camera that *The Washington Post* had to publish an article about him to justify how unbelievably well-spoken he and other students at this spook school are. The article is titled "The students at Florida's Douglas High are amazing communicators. That could save lives." The author writes, "what ties them together is their command of the visual medium and their powerful composure amid the worst kind of tragedy." They try to spin it by talking about how theirs is the hyper-self-aware "Snapchat generation" that is constantly processing their own lives. Sure. Just watch some of David Hogg's interviews, where he uses phrases like "soft targets" and "ajar" and talks about blood "being spilt on the floors of American classrooms." He even has the presence of mind to give an impassioned political message: "I urge them – I *urge* them – to get out and do something about it. Call your congressman. Vote. Please, *vote*!" This guy sounds like a politician, or someone reading a poorly written script. I wouldn't be surprised if he had an earpiece.

In a CNN interview the day after the event, Hogg said, "This is the 18th one [mass shooting] this year. That's unacceptable." What kid knows the number of mass shootings that have occurred this year? Did he go and look it up in preparation for the interview? Also, notice the number 18. Dead man's hand, yet again. In any case, it should come as no surprise that **Hogg's father is an FBI agent**. They say he is a "former" agent, but of course there's no such thing as a former intelligence agent.

Apparently, the masses have caught on enough that the media is now running major damage control. Yesterday David Hogg and his father went on <u>Anderson Cooper's show</u> to oppose claims that he's a crisis actor. The <u>BBC</u> also covered the interview, and linked to an article they published less than two weeks before the school shooting titled "<u>The people who think mass shootings are staged</u>". The article is just more blackwashing and misdirection, referencing the fake conspiracy theorist Alex Jones and absurd theories about the illuminati, while also tying conspiracy theorists to the alt-right and white supremacists. But I view this all as a positive. The fact that they're trying this hard means they're scared more people are catching on.

Then there's Annika Dean, who survived the Ft. Lauderdale airport shooting and whose son is now a survivor of this school shooting. We've seen this thing before, where the same families are victimized by different national tragedies. What are the odds? In fact, Annika is a school teacher who recently went through the **Broward County Active Killer Program**, "a training program that prepares teachers and staff to respond to, and plan for, active threats on campus." She was even <u>interviewed</u> last year by Steven Smith, President of Guardian Defense, the company that helped develop the program.

I should also mention the names of the two AP reporters covering this story: Terry **Spencer** and Kelli **Kennedy**. You don't even have to go past the byline to know you're in spook territory.

Some of that is pretty commonly known by Youtube aficionados and other researchers, so I want to come at this one from a slightly different angle—by doing some research on the school's namesake. The name Marjory Stoneman Douglas jumps out at you, doesn't it? Especially the middle name. Conspiracy theorists have already suggested Stoneman is a clue linking the shooting to Freemasonry. I think this is lazy at best, misdirection at worst. I won't bury the lede here, and since you're on Miles' site you can probably already guess what I found out: Marjory Stoneman Douglas was from the peerage. She was a direct descendent of Sir Thomas Horton Stanley, 4th Baronet of Bickerstaffe. The Stanleys of Bickerstaffe descended from Sir James Stanley, younger brother of Thomas Stanley, 2nd Earl of Derby. In other words, they're not just in the peerage, but *very high up* in the peerage. Thomas Horton Stanley died in 1714. Get it? 17 victims, 14th of February.



Marjory is the woman in the center of the picture above, surrounded by a group of spooky, hooded "park dignitaries" at a Venetian ball. (The governors do love their Venetian balls.) That's according to the National Park Service, NPS.gov, where I pulled the picture from. They have 38 results on a search

for her, including many articles. You see, Marjory was supposed to be an environmentalist who, with her father, is credited with saving the Everglades from the encroachment of industry. This is obviously false, since much of the Everglades wasn't saved, and she and her father played an active role in its destruction, but we'll get to that in a bit. She was born April 7 (that's 4/7) and died in 1998 at the age of **108**. She published her famous book *The Everglades: The River of Grass* in 1947, the same year Everglades National Park was dedicated and year one of the ole CIA. She lived at 3744 (3+7 = 10, 4+4 = 8; that's 108) **Stewart** Avenue, Coconut Grove. Numerology markers everywhere.

Her Wikipedia page tries to paint her early life as troubled and difficult. Her parents separated when she was six and her mother was committed to a mental institution several times after that. (Notice the tie-in to mental illness.) Growing up, she lived with her mother's family outside of **Boston**. Wikipedia tells us:

At sixteen years old she contributed to the most popular children's publication of the day, *St. Nicholas Magazine* [founded by Mary Mapes **Dodge**, and the first publisher of 20th century writers F. Scott Fitzgerald and William Faulkner] with a puzzle titled "Double Beheadings and Double Curtailings". In 1907, she was awarded a prize from the *Boston Herald* for a story titled "An Early Morning Paddle", about a boy who watches a sunrise from a canoe.

Pretty impressive for a 16-year-old girl. Also, what teenage girl in 1907 was writing stories for children's magazines entitled "Double Beheadings"? Marjory Stoneman, apparently. She went off to Wellesley College and joined a women's suffrage group. Then she married Kenneth Douglas in 1914, and this is where it gets good:

She was so impressed with his manners and surprised at the attention he showed her that she married him within three months. He portrayed himself as a newspaper editor, and was 30 years her senior, but the marriage quickly failed when it became apparent he was a con artist. The true extent of his duplicity Marjory did not entirely reveal, **despite her honesty in all other manners**. Marjory may have unwittingly married Douglas while he was already married to another woman. While he spent six months in jail for passing a bad check, she remained faithful to him. However, his scheme to scam her absent father out of money worked in Marjory's favor when it attracted Frank Stoneman's attention. Marjory's uncle persuaded her to move to Miami and for the marriage to end. In the fall of 1915, Marjory Stoneman Douglas left New England to be reunited with her father, whom she had not seen since her parents' separation when she was six years old. Shortly before that time, her father had married a lady named Lillius ("Lilla") Eleanor Shine, whose great-great grandfather was **Thomas Jefferson**.

I don't even know where to start. Does any of that make sense? Would a suffragette be that easily conned into a marriage with a man 30 years her senior? Why would a con artist waste time marrying a woman to get at her father's money, when she barely knew and had never corresponded with that father? Also, her father must have been pretty wealthy for a con artist to target him with such a long con. How did any of this "attract" her father's attention? Why would she keep the name Douglas for the rest of her life if her husband was such a scumbag?

We can pretty well infer what was actually happening here. Marjory was never estranged from her father; Kenneth Douglas was not a con artist, but rather an associate of her father's in the newspaper business. Frank Stoneman basically founded *The Miami Herald*. They don't tell you that on the Wikipedia page for *The Miami Herald*, but they do admit it on Marjory's page:

Her father, Frank Stoneman, was the first publisher of the paper that later became *The Miami Herald*.

As an inside joke, they throw in this tidbit on Marjory's page:

She joined the staff of the newspaper in 1915, originally as a society columnist writing about tea parties and society events, but news was so slow she later admitted to making up some of her stories: "Somebody would say, 'Who's that Mrs. T.Y. Washrag you've got in your column?' And I would say, 'Oh, you know, I don't think she's been here very long'".

Notice the phrase I bolded above — "despite her honesty in all other manners." But here they are, a few paragraphs later, telling us she blatantly made up news. What we can gather from all of this is that the *Herald* has been printing fake news and making up fake people from the start, and Marjory Stoneman Douglas was its original fake reporter. But she didn't do it because she was bored. That was her and her father's assignment from the beginning. Like all other major papers in the U.S., *The Miami Herald* was, and is, an Intelligence rag.

But wait, you say, where does Intelligence come into this? The very next paragraph of Marjory's Wikipedia page, actually:

Douglas was given an assignment in 1916 to write a story on the first woman to join the US Naval Reserve from Miami. When the woman did not show up for the interview, Douglas found herself joining the Navy as a Yeoman (F) first class. It did not suit her; she disliked rising early and her superiors did not appreciate her correcting their grammar as a typist, so she requested a discharge and joined the American Red Cross, where she was stationed in Paris.

Wait, what? Her interviewee didn't show up, so she decided to join the Navy herself? The writing committee in Langley tasked with rewriting her story is so sloppy they just leap over logical steps by the dozen. If you were a journalist interviewing a fireman, and the fireman stood you up, would that prompt you to become a fireman? Also, she became a Naval Reserve Yeoman in 1916, yet the first woman to hold this position was Loretta Perfectus Walsh, and she didn't enlist until March 1917. They figure you won't look at the dates too closely. Of course, Marjory wasn't really the first female yeoman. She was working for Naval Intelligence; the yeoman story is just a cover. (Speaking of spinning her life's story, Marjory's biographer was John Rothchild.)

All that to say, now you know why they picked Marjory Stoneman Douglas High School for the location of this event, and why they picked the head of the school newspaper, David Hogg, to be the face of the school. They're giving you a clue: **newspapers lie**.

Going back to Marjory and her father Frank's record as environmentalists, this is just more revisionism. While it's true Frank originally wrote articles criticizing plans to drain the Everglades for commercial development, he eventually changed his tune:

Remarkably, by 1911, Stoneman had apparently become a convert to the cause of Everglades drainage. In a New Year's Day 1911 editorial, he asserted that "this year will mark the beginning of the actual development of the Everglades, and every acre of land lying in Dade County that is brought into subjection to the plow will contribute directly to the growth and prosperity of Miami." Why the change of heart?

Frank also published an article stating the Everglades were "a menace to health, being breeding places for malaria-carrying mosquitoes; but when they are drained the tropics furnish no greater examples of fertility. **They become immensely valuable**."

Change of heart, indeed. So why the misdirection on Wikipedia? On Marjory's page it says that her father was "passionately opposed the governor of Florida, **Napoleon Bonaparte** Broward, and his attempts to drain the Everglades. He infuriated Broward so much that when Stoneman won an election

for circuit judge, Governor Broward refused to validate the election." Yet a mere two years after Broward's governorship ended, Frank is all but singing the praises of Broward's plan. It was a classic controlled opposition scheme. The industrialists were playing both sides of the debate in order to control it – and Frank and Marjory's assignment was to play the environmentalist side, subtly confusing and propagandizing the real environmentalists into complacency. Just look at the timeline – Frank and Marjory move down to Miami in the early 1900s, when there were a mere 5,000 people there. They start *The Miami Herald*, which quickly becomes a major supporter of the plan to drain and sell off the Everglades, paving the way for the South Florida land boom of the 1920s. The Stonemans didn't just support the land boom vocally; they used the *Herald* to advertise it across the country:

The need for real estate salespersons was so great at the height of the boom that Florida relaxed its regulation of realtors. It didn't really matter since two-thirds of all Florida real estate was sold by mail to speculators who never visited Florida. Those who came were gripped by the frenzy of land buying. In 1922 the *Miami Herald* was the heaviest newspaper in the nation due to its massive land advertisement section.

Marjory Stoneman Douglas was not an environmentalist. She was an actress paid to fool people. It reminds me of this picture of her from her Wellesley days:



This was the "Fools' Club." Marjory is the one kneeling, playing the part of "April's Fool." Is this an acting club? A secret society? Both? Whatever the case, she sure loved hooded cloaks.

It's worth noting that Governor Broward's wife was Annie Isabell **Douglass** – same as Marjory's married name. Like other controlled opposition schemes, this one was being run by the same family on both sides.

Before I move on from Marjory Stoneman Douglas, I should mention a few other gems from her genealogy. Aside from Stanleys, she is also related to **Pitts**, **Egertons**, **Pattens**, **Spencers**, and **Holmes**. Think of the Batman movie theater shooter James Holmes. These same families just keep popping up, don't they?

[Miles: also worth mentioning is that the Douglases, like the Stanleys, are at the top of the British peerage, supplying Scotland with many rulers over the centuries. See the recent book *When Scotland was Jewish*.]

As a bonus, I did a little research on the "victims." For the sake of brevity, let me focus on just two.

<u>Alyssa Alhadeff</u> She's admitted to be Jewish. Her father is Dr. Ilan Alhadeff. [A variant of Elon, see Elon Musk]. According to an Intelius search, Ilan is related to a David Alhadeff. Do a LinkedIn search and you'll find a <u>David Alhadeff</u>, VP of Private Wealth Management at Goldman Sachs. He's also

President of the Board of Directors for the Holocaust Center for Humanity. He's from the Seattle area. He must be a close relative of Alyssa's, probably an uncle. If you go back to Intelius and search for Alhadeffs in Florida, you'll find several with a previous address of Seattle, including Jordan Alhadeff, who has worked at Chase, Wells Fargo, and Merrill Lynch.

Alyssa's mom Lori is related, according to Intelius, to a Lian Alhadeff. It almost looks like Ilan, her husband's name, but it's not – it's Lian. There's only one webpage that comes up when you search the name Lian Alhadeff in Google – it's a genealogy of the Zucker family from Israel, which shows a Lian being born in 2010. So how is Alyssa related to a 7-year-old boy from Tel Aviv? Lian's brother's name is **Orian** Alhadeff, which reminds me of Miles' discussion about twins and Mark Twain. Twain's brother was named Orion. Elvis Presley's most famous impersonator was named Orion. The name Zucker reminds me of Mark Zuckerberg – maybe a connection there?

Something funny about Alyssa's dad Ilan: <u>HealthGrades</u> shows him still living and practicing medicine in Hackensack, NJ. HealthGrades is a popular site that usually has up-to-date information. That indicates to me that Alyssa's family moved down to the Parkland area only recently – maybe just for this hoax?

Through her mom, Alyssa is also related to several Robinovitzes. The Robinovitz/Rabinovitz/Rabinovitz/Rabinovitch/Rabinovitch family is a prominent Boston Jewish family, even having their own Wikipedia pages. The name means "son of a rabbi". Their ancestors became wealthy after founding the Stop & Shop supermarket chain. By the second generation, these Robinovitzes were already going to Harvard. Pretty good for a couple of poor immigrants from Russia. For some unknown reason they shortened their name to Rabb in 1946. They're related to Solomons, Cohns, and Goldbergs. A fourth-generation Rabinovitz, Deb Goldberg, is currently Treasurer and Receiver-General of Massachusetts. [Miles: We also find two Russian chess masters, eight famous rabbis, a Russian physicist now at UC San Diego pushing nonlinear systems (read misdirection), a mathematician at U. of Wisconsin doing the same, a philosopher of the Frankfurt School (read agent), the founder of the National Screen Service, a Distinguished Fellow at the Brookings Institute (read spook) who was formerly Israel ambassador to the US, and a Israeli/Ukrainian godfather and newspaper publisher. Finally, we have Adolphe Rabinovitch, French/Jewish Intel Officer supposedly executed by the Nazis. Note his first name.]

Through her mom, Alyssa is also related to a Jennifer Robinovitz of Mount Pleasant, SC. This is the same Jennifer who was apparently <u>attacked with a knife</u> by one of her 13-year-old students back in 2009. So these same people have been involved in school hoaxes before.

[Addendum next day from Miles: another reader sent me a link to the New York magazine from 2013, where we find an interview with Dorothy Rabinowitz of The Wall Street Journal editorial board. It is one of the most disgusting things I have ever read: the woman comes off as a complete witch. Besides being against bicycles and bike racks (she obviously prefers to breathe carbon monoxide directly from the pipe, which would explain her brain damage), she sells the fake Boston bombing, airport security, and every other feature of the fascist state, while pooh-poohing democracy. But to understand the article, you have to look at the URL, which tells us this comes from a subheading of the magazine entitled "Intelligencer". Of course it does. Any questions?]

Another famous Rabinovitz is Elliot Roberts, record executive who co-founded Lookout Management with David Geffen. [Think Lookout Mountain Air Force Station and Film Lab in Laurel Canyon, prominent in Miles' Tate/Manson research.] He was born Elliot Rabinovitz. One of their biggest stars was Tom Petty. One of the Parkland victims is, you guessed it...

<u>Alaina Petty</u> It is admitted she is a Mormon – what isn't admitted is that she's Jewish. Her father Ryan Petty shows up on Intelius as a relative of several Siegels (a Jewish name), including a Jennifer Siegel. If you search her name, you don't get anyone with a Petty for a relative, although you do get a Jennifer K. Siegel with no listed relatives who happens to work for Chase, just like one of Alyssa Alhadeff's relatives. She also worked for the <u>North American Federation of Temple Youth</u>, a major spook organization linked to the progressive Zionist movement. That proves she is Jewish, of course. In the past few years, members of NFTY's board of directors have included a Deborah **Rabinovich**, a Max **Zucker**, and a Molly **Goldberg**. We just saw all those same Jewish names linked to Alyssa Alhadeff, above. On Geni.com you'll find a <u>Sam Alhadeff</u> from Miami whose sister Rachel married a **Stanley Siegel**.

There was another Mormon victim of this attack, Madeleine Wilford. She was shot but not killed. Per the LDS website, the President of the Coral Springs chapter of LDS, Stephen Smith, estimated that there are 12 Mormon students at Douglas High School. So Cruz's rampage victimized less than 1% of the student body, but he managed to hit 17% of the school's Mormon population? Remarkable. It becomes somewhat less remarkable after you read Miles' research outing Joseph Smith and the fake Mormon project as a Jewish front. Also note the local LDS chapter president's name – it's almost identical to Stephen Smith, President of Guardian Defense, developer of the Broward County Active Killer Program.

The event coordinators want to milk this event for all it's worth, so they've already started using it to blackwash certain groups and polarize society. They create fake social media accounts linked to shooter Nikolas Cruz showing he was a racist, a homophobe, and an anti-Semite. That last one is curious, since they admit Cruz himself is Jewish through his mother. According to CNN, "Cruz hated Jews because he believed they wanted to destroy the world." As usual, the story makes no sense. Why would a Jewish kid hate Jews? Of course, they're trying to blackwash anyone who has discovered the obvious truth that nearly all famous people are related, and they're all Jewish. To even insinuate some kind of "Jewish plot" makes you an anti-Semite and a mentally unstable wacko. Though I hardly need to say it, I'm not anti-Semitic. I'm merely pointing out facts.

Here's another curious fact. Several online commenters have noted that a large part of Douglas High's students are Jewish. Some commenters quote directly from the Wikipedia page created for this event: As the school is at least 40% Jewish, Chabad rabbis associated with the school have coordinated an evening of prayer, consolation and memorial.

But this statement no longer appears on the page. Why don't they want you knowing the school is almost half Jewish? Because too many admitted Jews in this operation might raise suspicion. We already know the Broward County sheriff, Scott Israel, is the first admitted Jewish sheriff in Florida. Now we know the shooter and several of the victims were Jewish. Even with the Broward County's relatively high Jewish population – the county is 8% Jewish, according to JewishDataBank.org – the fact that a public school would be 40% Jewish is highly unlikely, especially considering much of the Jewish population is older retirees who don't have school-aged kids. Where did all these Jewish kids come from?

To close it out, I'll make a few remarks about shooter Nikolas Cruz. First of all, the picture being peddled around the media of his "arrest" doesn't make any sense compared to the story we're given. According to <u>CBS News:</u>

The suspect then arrived at a McDonald's around 3:01 p.m. and he left on foot. At 3:41 p.m., 40

minutes after leaving McDonald's, the suspect was detained at 4700 Wyndham Lakes Drive by the Coconut Creek Police Department.

Coconut Creek police officer Michael Leonard, who arrested Cruz, said Thursday that Cruz looked like a "typical high school student" when he spotted him walking away from the school. Leonard said his department was responding to the shooting when he saw someone matching the description of the suspect.

"For a quick moment I thought, 'Could this be the person, is this who I need to stop? Training kicked in. I pulled my vehicle over immediately. I engaged the suspect. He complied with my commands," Leonard said. "He was taken into custody without any issues."

In the same interview, Leonard plainly states that he was working alone and did not have a partner that day. It was just him. But in the picture we see at least two police officers, plus a third person taking the picture. Who were the other people present at his arrest? Why aren't they mentioned in any of the news stories? Leonard didn't need backup – he said Cruz was fully compliant. And why would they take a picture of him? Policemen don't stop to take a picture of a person they've just arrested. And why is Cruz on the ground? Again, Leonard said he was taken into custody without any issues. You don't make a compliant person get on the ground.

The article also says Leonard spotted him "walking away from the school." But 4700 Wyndham Lakes is two miles away from the school. You could brush this off by saying he *was* technically walking away from the school, he was just really far away at that point. But in one of the videos I linked to up top, a student on the school premises says she witnessed the shooter being taken away in handcuffs. It doesn't add up, since it can't be both ways. Why was Cruz at 4700 Wyndham Lakes, anyway? If you look at a map, you'll see the road is just a large loop that doesn't go anywhere. Are we supposed to believe that, despite having a clear plan of attack at the school (pulling the fire alarm, going to the students' evacuation path, dropping his firearm on the third floor and then slipping in with the escaping students, etc.), he had no plan once he left campus but to visit a Subway and a McDonald's and then wander around aimlessly until the cops found him? Oh, and he took an Uber to the school... Really?

Let's move on to Cruz's personal life. He allegedly became an orphan when his mother died in November. But his mother and father were actually his adoptive parents, so he was orphaned for the second time by age 18? We then find out that his friend's father, <u>James Snead</u>, who he was living with up to the time of the shooting, **is a decorated army veteran and military intelligence analyst**. So he was living with an intelligence agent at the time of the shooting. And they expect us not to bat an eyelash at this fact? How stupid do they think we are? But it gets better, I mean worse. James and his wife Kimberly knew Nikolas was mentally unwell – they even took him to see a therapist – and <u>told the Sun-Sentinel</u> that Nikolas didn't even know how to use a microwave. Reports are now emerging that Nikolas is autistic. Keep that in mind as you read this:

Snead thought he had the only key to the cabinet but has figured out Cruz must have kept a key for himself. The family kept their own rifles, bought after a burglary a couple of years ago, in a separate locked cabinet.

They told Cruz he needed to ask permission to take out the guns. He had asked only twice since November. They said "yes" once and "no" once.

Why would they ever say yes to letting Nikolas play with their guns? This kid was autistic, mentally unwell, and basically a total stranger to the Sneads. But they let him not only live with them, but also

gave him access to their gun cabinet? Seriously? A military intelligence analyst is giving guns to a troubled autistic youth twice orphaned? He should be arrested as an accesory to murder, shouldn't he? Why no talk of that? And are we supposed to believe Nikolas didn't know how to use a microwave but could masterfully operate an AR-15 rifle?

And yes, in case you were wondering, the name Cruz is in the peerage. There are several spellings, including Cruise, Cruse, and Cruws, but it's all the same name. (Think of Tom Cruise, Penelope Cruz, Ted Cruz, etc.). Wikipedia gives the origins of the name Cruz:

Its origin as a surname particularly flourished after the Alhambra Decree of 1492 [expulsion of Jews from Spain] and the increasing activities of the Spanish Inquisition, when New Christian families with Crypto-Jewish, Moorish, and/or mixed religious heritage converted to the state-enforced religion of Catholicism and subsequently fashioned and adopted surnames with unambiguous religious affiliation.

So Cruzes are crypto-Jewish. The Cruzes/Cruises of the peerage are related to Camerons, Bennets, Burnetts, Murdochs, Stuarts, Hunters, Vaughans, Phipps, Guinnesses, Robinsons, Martens, Nortons, Gosselins, Bourbons, and Riches. Finally, there's a <u>Sir Richard Robert Cruise</u>, whose mother was a Fisher (a Jewish name). The National Portrait Gallery has several photographs of Sir Richard on file, and do you know who his portraitist was? Walter Stoneman.

[Miles here for a wrap-up. Great research from my guest writer here, it goes without saying. Hopefully he will come back for more. The bulk of the research here speaks for itself, but I couldn't resist commenting on the first part, where he gives us an overview of the story. This event is the most transparent event since Sandy Hook, which it very much resembles. As usual, I see signs of the split in Intel, since it makes no sense for those who manufactured this event to instruct kids to mention the drills and other things. You will say the press accidentally interviewed kids who weren't primary actors, but I don't think that is what is happening here. The event coordinators could have and would have controlled the players and press better than that. What it looks like to me is happening is that DHS ran this event so that they can install body scanners in schools, making a huge profit. Also to keep to gun sales high. We are told these events are run to destroy the 2nd Amendment, but that is misdirection. The truth is just the opposite: they aren't pushing gun control, they are pushing gun sales. They own these companies, and profit mightily from that as well. However, as we have seen in all the other major fake events, some faction of Intelligence, possibly CIA, is undercutting the event as part of a turf war with DHS. So I think some of these kids were inserted by CIA as event spoilers. As with Sandy Hook, Las Vegas, and all the others, the research here is just too easy. The first level of clues is composed of obvious danglers, choice bits of bait that we don't even have to swim around to find. They sort of hit us in the mouth. It is like fishing by dropping a worm down the fish's throat. Very few of the researchers at Youtube have any real acumen, but they are able to find these clues in the first days, basically just by rolling out of bed and opening their eyes. If Intelligence was all on the same page here, that would be impossible. These events coordinators can easily suppress information. The only information they can't suppress is information planted by fellow agents on opposing teams. Those agents also have access to and control of the press.

We see this again with the mainstream reports that this is a hoax. Yes, they argue against that thesis, but they don't need to report it at all. Did they report that some thought the Moon landings were a hoax right after they happened back in 1969? Of course not. That isn't how it is done. You don't give your opposition face time. This would indicate that both Anderson Cooper and his interviewee David Hogg are blowing this event on purpose.

We can only hope that CIA is successful in blowing the cover of this event. We can only hope that DHS is eventually downsized by 100%. But then who is going to downsize CIA by 95%?]